A STUDY ON FACTORS AFFECTING STRESS AND ITS LEVEL OF MANAGEABILITY AMONG CONSTRUCTION PROJECT MANAGERS IN NORTHEN AND EASTERN PROVINCES

Velmurugu Rajagopalasingam

(07/9770)



Degree of Master of Science

Department of Building Economics

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

October 2012

A STUDY ON FACTORS AFFECTING STRESS AND ITS LEVEL OF MANAGEABILITY AMONG CONSTRUCTION PROJECT MANAGERS IN NORTHEN AND EASTERN PROVINCES

Velmurugu Rajagopalasingam

(07/9770)



Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science

Department of Building Economics

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

October 2012

DECLARATION

(Dr. Sepani Senaratne)

I declare that this is my own work and this thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my thesis/dissertation, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

Signature:	University of Moratuwa, Sri La Electronic Theses & Dissertation www.lib.mrt.ac.lk	
The above candidat supervision.	e has carried out research for the MSc Γ	Dissertation under my
Signature of the sup	pervisor:	Date

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This Dissertation has become an end product with the support and encouragement of

many unforgettable people.

Thanks are due first to my supervisor, Dr. Sepani Senaratne, Senior Lecturer,

Department of Building Economics for her great insights, leadership, support,

encouragement, assistance, sense of humor and thus guiding me in making this

research into a satisfying reality.

My special thanks are also extended to Mr. Indunil Seneviratne Course Coordinator

& Head, Department of Building Economics for his comments, suggestions and

critical insights in preparation of this dissertation.

My thanks are also extended to Dr Yasangika Sandanayake Research coordinator for

her instructions, guidance and motivation to complete this study in a fruitful manner.

University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka. Electronic Theses & Dissertations

It is also my duty to acknowledge the services rendered by the senior lectures and

lectures of the department of Building Economics, university of Moratuwa during

my academic period. My sincere thanks go to the officers of the Department of

Building economics, Faculty of Architecture, University of Moratuwa for helping in

various ways to clarify the things related to my academic works in time with

excellent cooperation and guidance.

My immeasurable thanks are also extended to all other friends and individuals

assisted me in numerous ways including the participants of the questionnaire survey

to make this endeavour a success.

Eng. V. Rajagopalsingam

July 2012

ii

ABSTRACT

Although a number of studies of stress in occupational settings have been conducted over the past decades, there are relatively few studies relating to stress of construction project management. The present study examines to identify the critical stressors causing stress, to assess the level of stress and to explore the impact of stress on performance of construction project managers (CPMs). Primary data was collected through use of a written questionnaire distributed by postal mailing and hand delivered to 155 samples of CPMs who work in a variety of construction entities (sector wise) using stratified simple random sampling.115 questionnaires were returned in a usable form giving an overall response rate of 74%.

An analysis of the data collection for this study was determined in three ways. As a first step Relative Important Indices and mean score were generated to identify the sources of stress. Besides, factor analysis has been conducted including reliability analysis to confirm the sources of stress. Next, Descriptive statistics of scoring mean and standard deviation were generated for required variables to assess the level of stress and then correlation calculation was generated to assess the impact of stress on performance of CPMs. Finally Chi Square tests were conducted to test the significance of these correlations.

University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.

Four kinds of stressors (Task stressors, organizational stressors, physical stressors and personal stressors) and seven sources of stresses including work overload, poor role congruence, poor organizational structure, career development environment, poor work environment, work group cooperation and type A behavior of personality were identified among CPMs. Four types of stress were identified in this research: objective stress, subjective stress, burn out and physiological stress. From the analysis, low level of objective stress, moderate level of subjective stress, high level of burnout and moderate level of physiological stress were found among the CPMs. This study confirms the impacts of four types of stress on performance (task, interpersonal & organizational) of CPMs. Limitation and implication of these research findings were also discussed in this study. Finally it is recommended to hold stress management workshops for the CPMs to relax their stresses and employers are recommended to keep reviewing and monitoring the abilities of the CPMs and allocate the responsibilities and work load accordingly. Direction for further studies is also suggested.

Keywords: Stress, Stressor, Coping, Performance

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Decl	laratio	n	. i
Ack	nowle	dgement	. ii
Abst	tract .		iii
Tabl	le of C	Contents	. iv
List	of Fig	gures	. vii
List	of Tal	oles	. viii
1.0	INT	RODUCTION	. 1
	1.1	Background	. 1
	1.2	Identification of the Research Problem	. 2
	1.3	Research Questions	. 3
	1.4	Aim	. 3
	1.5	Objectives Children Children	. 3
	1.6	Objectives University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka. Conceptual Framework of Research Electronic Theses & Dissertations	. 3
	1.7	Hypothesesww.lib.mrt.ac.lk	. 4
	1.8	Limitations	. 4
	1.9	Chapter Breakdown	. 4
2.0	LIT	ERATURE REVIEW	. 5
	2.1	Introduction	. 5
	2.2	The Concept of Stress	. 5
	2.3	Definition of Stress	. 6
	2.4	Types of Stress	. 8
	2.5	Sources of Stress	. 10
	2.6	Stress and Performance	. 13
	2.7	Stress and Performance of Construction Project Managers	. 15
	2.8	Stress Coping Strategies	
	2.9	Summary	19

3.0	RES	SEARCH METHODOLOGY	21
	3.1	Introduction	21
	3.2	Conceptual Framework of the Research	21
	3.3	Hypotheses	22
	3.4	Research Methodology	22
	3.5	Measures	24
	3.6	Limitation	31
	3.7	Summary	31
4.0	PRE	ESENTATION OF THE BACKGROUND DATA	32
	4.1	Introduction	32
	4.2	General Information	32
	4.3	Sources of Stress	38
	4.4	Objective Stress & Subjective Stress	39
	4.5	Burnout & Physiological Stress	40
	4.6	Job Performance	40
	4.7	University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka. Electronic Theses & Dissertations	41
	4.8	www.lib.mrt.ac.lk	41
5.0 I	DAT <i>A</i>	A ANALYSIS AND RESEARCH FINDINGS	42
	5.1	Introduction	42
	5.2	Analysis of Data	42
	5.3	Analysis of Level of Stress of Construction Project managers	51
	5.4	Analysis of Impact of Stress on Performance of Construction	
		Project Managers	56
	5.5	Correlation Analysis	59
	5.6	Chi – Square Tests	65
	5.7	Testing of Hypothesis and Discussion of Findings	65
	5.8	Summary	68

6.0	CON	NCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	69
	6.1	Introduction	69
	6.2	Conclusion	60
	6.3	Summary of Findings of Hypothesis	70
	6.4	Recommendation	71
	6.5	Research Limitation	72
	6.6	Further Research	72
REF	ERE	NCES	73
APP	ENDI	X A: OUESTIONNAIRE SURVEY	77



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1	Conceptual Framework of Research	03
Figure 2.1	Stressor – Stress Relationship	10
Figure 2.2	The Inverted U Theory of Stress and Performance	15
Figure 4.1	Frequency vs. Age	33
Figure 4.2	Frequency vs. Gender	34
Figure 4.3	Frequency vs. Marital Status	35
Figure 4.4	Frequency vs. Tenure	36
Figure 4.5	Frequency vs. Nature of Organization	37
Figure 5.1	Stressors vs. Mean Score	45
Figure 5.2	Stressors vs. Percentage of Relative Important Indices	46
Figure 5.3	Main Stressors vs. Mean Score	47



LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	Construction Project Manager's Duties	16
Table 3.1	Sampling Framework	23
Table 3.2	Stressors Measures	25
Table 3.3	Stress Measures	28
Table 3.4	Performance Measures	30
Table 4.1	Frequency vs. Age	33
Table 4.2	Frequency vs. Gender	34
Table 4.3	Frequency vs. Marital status	35
Table 4.4	Frequency vs. Tenure	36
Table 4.5	Frequency vs. Nature of Organization	37
Table 4.6	Presentation of Data from Questionnaire –Sources of Stress	38
Table 4.7	Presentation of data from Questionnaire - Objective Stress &	
	Subjective Stress	39
Table 4.8	Presentation of Data from Questionnaire –Burnout & University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.	40
Table 4.9	Presentation of Data from Questionnaire –Job Performance WWW.lib.mrt.ac.ik	41
Table 4.10	Presentation of Data for Hypotheses	41
Table 5.1	Sources of Stress vs. Average Score & Relative Important	
	Indices	43
Table 5.2	Sources of Stress vs. Factor Loading & Reliability (Alpha)	48
Table 5.3	Categorization of the Level of Stress for Analysis	52
Table 5.4	Scoring of Objective Stress Variables	53
Table 5.5	Scoring of Subjective Stress Variables	53
Table 5.6	Scoring of Burnout Variables	54
Table 5.7	Scoring of Physiological Stress Variables	55
Table 5.8	Level of Stress among Construction Project Managers	56
Table 5.9	Scoring of Task Performance Variables	57
Table 5.10	Scoring of Overall Interpersonal Performance	57
Table 5.11	Scoring of Organizational Performance	58
Table 5.12	Scoring of Overall Job Performance	59

Table 5.13	Pearson Correlation Analysis between Objective Stress and	
	Performance	60
Table 5.14	Pearson Correlation Analysis between Subjective Stress and	
	Performance	61
Table 5.15	Pearson Correlation Analysis between Burnout and	
	Performance	62
Table 5.16	Pearson Correlation Analysis between Physiological Stress and	
	Performance	63
Table 5.17	Pearson Correlation Analysis between Overall Stress and	
	Overall Performance	. 64
Table 5.18	Chi – Square Test Results among Stress and Performance	65
Table 6.1	Summary of Findings of Hypothesis	70

