MANAGING RISKS IN PROJECT COST OF FOREIGN FUNDED POWER TRANSMISSION LINE PROJECTS IN SRI LANKA

Channa Basnayake

(108484A)



Dissertation submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the Degree of Master of Science in Project Management

Department of Building Economics

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

February 2013

DECLERATION

I declare that this is my own work and this dissertation does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my dissertation, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

C.Basnayake Date:



I hereby acknowledge that Mr C.Basnayke has followed the dissertation process set by the Department of Building Economics.

Dr. Nayanthara De Silva Dissertation Supervisor

Date:

ABSTRACT

A risk is an uncertain event or condition that, if it occurs, has a positive or negative effect on a project objective. Inherently, power transmission line (PTL) projects are riskier than most of the other construction projects. In Sri Lanka, PTL projects are in a boom, however the practice and knowledge in risk management is at minimum level in this specific area. This research work is a comprehensive study of risk factors and risk response measures related to cost aspects of PTL projects in Sri Lanka.

Risk factors and risk response measures were identified through an extensive literature survey and a pilot survey with experts in the industry. These identified risk factors were further studied through a questionnaire survey for their probability and impact with a scale ranging from very low to very high scoring from 1 to 5. Further, in the questionnaire survey, the respondents were asked to select the widely used and best proposed risk response measures for each risk factor, form the list developed by the pilot survey.

The risk rating was calculated for each risk factor using the information gathered by the questionnaire survey and classified from the range of very low to very high. According to the client's perception 'way leave clearance' became the most significant risk factor where as in view of the contractor and overall, it became 'exchange rate fluctuation'.

By using the information gathered from the questionnaire survey, a risk response framework was developed for risk factors with risk level medium or above in view of the client and the contractor. Among the response measures 'transfer risk to contractor', 'accept the risk and have a contingency plan' 'accept the risk and take no action', 'placing conditions on bid', and 'claiming for the damage if risk occurred' were the most preferred risk repose measures.

Key words: power transmission line projects, risk, risk factor, risk response measure.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

A work of this nature would have never been succeeded without the support, guidance, assistance and encouragement of numerous other individuals. They include my teachers, batch mates, colleagues, superiors and subordinates in the work place, family members and relatives. I take this opportunity to express my sincere gratitude to all of them.

First and foremost I express my heartfelt gratitude to my dissertation supervisor Dr. Nayanathara De Silva for the guidance given amidst her busy work schedule. All the staff members at the Department of Building Economics had been highly supportive in achieving this endeavour and I thank all of them.

At Ceylon Electricity Board, I express my sincere gratitude to all the peers, superiors and subordinates for the support given. My special thank goes to Mr. L.R. Vidanapathirana and Mr. R. Ganeshwaran who were kind enough to share their vast knowledge in power transmission sector and to Mr. A.U.S. Ranatunge who supported me in every way.

Normally professionals in the contractors' side are extremely busy. I would like to acknowledge all the professionals from the contracting parties who supported in filling the questionnaire forms and sharing their knowledge despite their busy schedules. My special thank goes to Mr. C. Kumara for introducing me the contractors.

During the period of this research, I had been relieved from the family commitments and therefore I was able to make this endeavour a success. My heartfelt gratitude goes to my parents, wife, daughter and all the other loving relatives.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLERATION	i			
ABSTRACT	ii			
CKNOWLEGEMENT ABLE OF CONTENTS IST OF FIGURES IST OF TABLES				
		LIST OF ABREVIATIONS		
		CHAPTER 1	1	
		INTORUDICTION	1	
1.1 Introduction	1			
1.2 Background	1			
1.3 Research Problem	2			
1.4 Aim & Objectives Economic Theses & Dissertations	2			
1.5 Methodology	2			
1.6 Scope & Limitation	3			
1.7 Thesis Outline	3			
1.8 Summary	4			
CHAPTER 2	5			
LIRATURE REVIEW	5			
2.1 Introduction	5			
2.2 Risk & Uncertainty	6			
2.3 Risk Management	7			
2.3.1 Risk identification	8			
2.3.2 Risk analysis	9			
2.3.3 Risk response	11			

2.4 Risks in Project Cost	12
2.4.1 Financial & economic risks	17
2.4.2 Political & environmental related risks	18
2.4.3 Design related risks	19
2.4.4 Construction related risks	20
2.4.5 Physical risks	21
2.4.6 Acts of god	22
2.4.7 Other risks	22
2.5 Summary	22
CHAPTER 3	24
RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	24
3.1 Introduction	24
3.2 Research Process	24
3.3 Research Design	24
3.3.1 Research philosophy	25
3.3.2 Research approach	25
3.3.3 Research technique	26
3.4 Summary	31
CHAPTER 4	33
DATA ANALYSIS AND RESULTS	33
4.1 Introduction	33
4.2 Questionnaire Survey Results	33
4.2.1 Respondents' profile	33
4.2.2 Probability, impact, rating and level of risk factors	34
4.2.3 Risk response measures	43
4.2.4 Risk response framework	52

CHAPTER 5	54
CONCLUSION, RECOMMENDATION AND FURTHER RESEARCH	54
5.1 Conclusion	54
5.2 Recommendation	55
5.3 Further research	56
REFERENCES	57
APPENDICES	63
Appendix 1: Questionnaire Survey	63
Appendix 2: RII of probability of risk factors and ranking (client's view)	70
Appendix 3: RII of impact of risk factors and ranking (client's view)	72
Appendix 4: RII of probability of risk factors and ranking (contractor's view)	74
Appendix 5: RII of impact of risk factors and ranking (contractor's view)	76
Appendix 6: RII of probability of risk factors and ranking (overall view)	78
Appendix 7: RII of impact of risk factors and ranking (overall view)	80
Appendix 8: Risk response measures (client's view)	82
Appendix 9: Risk response measures (contractor's view)	86

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1	Risk break down structure	14
Figure 2.2	Categorized project and construction risks	16
Figure 2.3	Risk identification list for a transmission construction project	17
Figure 4.1	Risk rating of predominant risk factors	40
Figure 4.2	Risk response framework	53



LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	Risks identified in design & build building project case study	15
Table 3.1	Risk level according to risk rating	31
Table 4.1	Respondents' profile	34
Table 4.2	Probability, impact, risk rating and risk level	35
Table 4.3	Predominant risk factors	39
Table 4.4	Risk response measures	44
Table 4.5	Most preferred risk response measures	52



LIST OF ABRVIATIONS

CEB Ceylon Electricity Board

D&B Design and build

FIDIC International Federation of Consulting Engineers

H High L Low

M Medium

PTL Power transmission line

RL Risk level

RII Relative importance index

(RII)_p Relative importance Index of probability

(RII)_I Relative importance index of impact

VH Very high VL Very low

