

**BEHAVIOUR OF REINFORCED CONCRETE
COLUMNS CONFINED WITH CFRP**

Chamila Kumara Rankoth

(128014E)

Degree of Master of Science

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

June 2013

**BEHAVIOUR OF REINFORCED CONCRETE
COLUMNS CONFINED WITH CFRP**

Chamila Kumara Rankoth

(128014E)

Dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree
Master of Science

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa
Sri Lanka

June 2013

DECLARATION

“I declare that this is my own work and this dissertation does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my dissertation, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

Signature:

Date:

The above candidate has carried out research for the Masters Dissertation under my supervision.

Signature of the supervisor:

Date

Abstract

In worldwide reinforced concrete is the major construction material used for buildings and general structures. The requirement of strengthening of reinforced concrete structures may emerge not only due to timed degradation but also due to various reasons like construction faults, increased loads and changes in utilization purpose etc. Rehabilitation of reinforced concrete structures using Carbon Fiber Reinforced Polymer materials is recognized as a very effective retrofitting method in all over the world and provides advantages like durability, water proofing capability, ease of application due to less weight etc. CFRP materials can be used for strengthening of almost all the components of a RC structure like beams, slabs columns, beam column joints etc. Among these, strengthening of columns should be done with greater care because of failure of a major column may lead to failure of complete structure. In this research attention was given to study the behavior of short RC columns strengthened with CFRP composites.

The current practice on strengthening reinforced concrete columns with external CFRP jacketing is to provide full confinement all over the column with less care on the required strength increment. As CFRP is a costly material providing partial confinement where necessary may be a viable option for cost reduction, rather than providing full confinement. The numbers of studies that have been carried out on partially confined rectangular columns are relatively less and only some available design guidelines provide design process for providing partial confinement using CFRP.

It is obvious that the best way to identify the structural behavior is through a thorough experimental study. But behaviour CFRP confined columns depends on many parameters such as, failure stresses and strains of CFRP jacket, jacket thickness, number of CFRP layers, percentage of steel reinforcement etc. Studying of all those parameters experimentally is not a cost effective option as the structural form and load sharing will be specific for a single case. Finite element modeling is the best way to study a criterion that depends on this kind of large number of input variables. But still the finite element modeling of confined concrete column is in evolving stage and there is no globally accepted finite element model to predict the behavior of CFRP confined columns.

The experimental and theoretical studies available in Sri Lanka regarding CFRP strengthening are next to zero. When design guidelines available for CFRP design are considered, there are various design codes for different countries like USA, Japan, Canada and Switzerland. Under this background designers and contractors in Sri Lanka are in a fuzz regarding which design guideline system will provide adequate safety factor while maintain the feasibility of the project

Three major objectives were setup under this study. An experimental study was carried out to investigate the ductility and ultimate load carrying capacity increment of both reinforced and unreinforced square columns due to full confinement and to understand the behaviour of reinforced concrete columns with partial confinement. Second objective was to develop a finite element model using ANSYS software to simulate the behavior of confined concrete columns and study an analytical model capable of predicting stress strain behaviour and ultimate load carrying capacity of CFRP confined columns. Also a study was carried out about different available guidelines and attempt was made to identify the factor of safety provided for each design methods against obtained experimental results.

Under experimental study total number of 17 columns with dimensions of $150 \times 150 \times 350$ mm was tested. The test specimens consist of 6 plain concrete columns with 3 control

specimens and 3 fully confined columns. 11 reinforced concrete columns with 3 control specimens, 3 columns with full confinement and 5 columns with partial confinement. Two wrapping patterns were used for partially confined columns, three specimens with two sets of 75mm width wraps at top and bottom of the column and two specimens with three 50mm wraps at top bottom and middle of the column. The volumetric ratio of CFRP for partially confined column specimens were kept constant to study the effect of wrapping pattern and jacket location for strength and ductility increment. All the columns were tested for axial compression. Under analytical study the model proposed by “Harajli” was studied. CFRP design specifications published by American Concrete Institute (ACI) and International Federation for structural concrete (fib), Switzerland were reviewed against the experimental results to identify the factor of safety against ultimate load carrying capacity estimation.

The results of experimental study revealed that any kind of external confinement, full or partial with external CFRP jacketing is capable of increasing the load carrying capacity and ductility of a column to a considerable extent. The load carrying capacity increment for fully wrapped reinforced concrete columns were 100% while for plain concrete columns it was 47%. When load carrying capacity increment of partially confined columns considered, the specimens with two 75mm wraps showed a capacity increment of 59% while specimens with three 50mm wraps showed an increment of 83%. From above observation it was realized that for partially confined columns not only the volumetric ratio of the CFRP wrapping but also the wrapping pattern have a large influence on load carrying capacity. When ductility increment is considered it was observed that ductility increment is proportional to the strength increment, more the strength increment more the ductility increment.

The finite element model based on Extended Drucker Prager plasticity model for concrete showed a good prediction of horizontal strain vs. vertical stress variation for fully confined models and could predict the failure load with an error of 1.8% hence the developed finite element model can be treated as an acceptable model.

The analytical model proposed by Harajli showed a considerable variation of stress strain behaviour prediction but the ultimate failure load prediction according to above analytical model showed a good agreement with experimental failure loads with an error of 1.1%

The estimated failure loads from ACI guidelines showed a factor of safety of 3.5 against experimental results while fib guidelines showed a factor of safety of 1.6 for fully confined reinforced concrete specimens. Only fib guidelines gives a method to estimate failure load of partially confined columns and showed a factor of safety of 2 for specimens with 75mm wrappings and 2.1 for specimens with 50mm wrappings. As the current practice is to provide full confinement for columns and two guidelines shows a considerable variation of factor of safety the designers are advised to select the suitable design system based on the risk level associated with a specific project.

Keywords: CFRP, reinforced concrete columns, partial confinement, strength, ductility, finite element modeling

Acknowledgement

I would like to express my special thanks of gratitude to my supervisor Dr. C.S. Lewangamage for the sincere guidance and motivation gave to make this project a success.

My special thank is given to Prof. M.T.R. Jayasinghe the former head of department of civil engineering for the advices and support given through the project and for being the chairperson of the progress review committee. I should mention the support given by Dr. L.L. Ekanayeke as a progress review committee member.

I should convey my thanks to Prof H.S. Thilakasiri, research coordinator of department of civil engineering for the support given throughout the project.

All the required Carbon Fiber materials was provided by NAVCORP Engineering Consultants – Sri Lanka, my special thanks is given to the chair person Mr. Dhammika Wimalarathne for the great support.

I would like to convey my special thanks to Prof. Ranjith Dissanayake and Mr. Bandara, University of Peradeniya for providing facilities for specimen testing.

Finally I would like to thank all other members of academic staff and nonacademic staff of department of civil engineering, University of Moratuwa and all other personnel who gave me support and courage to make this research project a success.

This project was supported by University of Moratuwa Senate Research Grant Number SRC/LT/2011/26.

Table of contents

Declaration.....	i
Abstract.....	ii
Acknowledgement.....	iv
Table of content.....	v
List of Figures.....	x
List of Tables.....	ix
1 INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 Background.....	1
1.1.1 Strengthening of reinforced concrete structures.....	1
1.1.2 Strengthening of reinforced concrete columns with CFRP.....	4
1.2 Scope and objectives of the project	6
1.3 Methodology.....	7
1.4 Research outcomes.....	10
1.5 Arrangement of the dissertation.....	11
2 LITERATURE REVIEW	13
2.1 General	13
2.2 Literature on experimental studies.....	13
2.3 Literature on computer modeling	16
2.3.1 Concrete material behavior	17
2.3.2 Steel material behaviour.....	24
2.3.3 CFRP material behavior	24
2.3.4 Current level of knowledge on finite element modeling of CFRP confined columns	26

2.4	Literature on analytical modeling	27
2.5	Literature on design guidelines.....	28
2.6	Summary	29
3	EXPERIMENTAL STUDY	30
3.1	General	30
3.2	Selection of specimen types	31
3.3	Size, reinforcement and CFRP arrangements.....	33
3.4	Materials and material properties	34
3.4.1	Concrete	34
3.4.2	Reinforcing Steel	36
3.4.3	Carbon fiber material	36
3.4.4	Epoxy binder material for Tyfo SCH 41.....	36
3.5	Specimen preparation.....	36
3.5.1	Casting and surface preparation.....	36
3.5.2	Applying CFRP wrapping.....	40
3.5.3	Fixing strain gauges	41
3.6	Specimen Testing.....	43
3.7	Summary	44
4	CONFINEMENT EFFECT AND FAILURE BEHAVIOUR	46
4.1	General	46
4.2	Behavior of reinforced concrete control specimens (RF – C).....	47
4.3	Behaviour of reinforced concrete full wrap specimens (RF - F).....	49
4.4	Behaviour of reinforced concrete partially confined specimens with two 75 mm layers (RF-P-75mm).....	51

4.5	Behaviour of reinforced concrete columns partially wrapped with three 50mm layers (RF-P-50 mm).....	53
4.6	Behaviour of unreinforced concrete control specimens (PL-C).....	55
4.7	Behaviour of plain concrete fully wrapped specimens (PL-F).....	57
4.8	Confinement effect on reinforced and unreinforced concrete columns	59
4.9	Summary	62
5	FINITE ELEMENT MODELING OF CFRP CONFINED COLUMNS...	63
5.1	General	63
5.2	Software.....	64
5.3	Material models	64
5.3.1	Concrete material model	64
5.3.2	Steel material model	65
5.3.3	CFRP material model.....	65
5.4	Element selection.....	67
5.4.1	Properties of Solid185.....	67
5.4.2	Properties Link 8.....	68
5.4.3	Properties of Solid46.....	68
5.5	Modeling with ANSYS	69
5.6	Analysis process	71
5.7	Finite element model calibration	71
5.7.1	Calibration criteria	71
5.7.2	Results of finite element model calibration.....	72
5.8	Finite element model validation – fully confined columns.....	74
5.9	FEM validation – partially confined columns	77
5.9.1	Finite element modeling of RF-P-75mm specimens	77

5.9.2	Finite element modeling of RF-P-50mm specimens	80
5.10	Finite element modeling of PL-F specimens	82
5.11	Summary.....	85
6	ANALYTICAL MODELING	86
6.1	General	86
6.2	Stress strain behavior with model proposed by Harajli	86
6.2.1	Introduction	86
6.2.2	Stress strain curve from Harajli's model.....	87
6.2.3	Defining the failure load	89
6.3	Results	89
6.3.1	The ultimate failure load calculation	89
6.3.2	The stress strain curve from Harajli's model	89
6.4	Summary	90
7	COMPARISON OF EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS WITH EXISTING DESIGN GUIDELINES.....	91
7.1	General	91
7.2	Design methodology with ACI.....	91
7.2.1	Calculating CFRP requirement for predetermined axial strength gain	92
7.2.2	Predicting ultimate carrying capacity of a confined column directly..	95
7.2.3	Stress Strain relationship proposed in ACI guidelines	96
7.3	Design of CFRP jacketing according to fib bulletin report.....	97
7.4	Results	101
7.4.1	Factor of safety from ACI guidelines	101
7.4.2	Factor of safety according to fib bulletin report.....	101
7.5	Summary	103

8	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	104
8.1	General	104
8.2	Experimental study	105
8.3	Finite element modeling and analytical modeling.....	106
8.4	Factor of safety against available design guidelines.....	106
8.5	Recommendations for future research work.....	107
	REFERENCE LIST	108
	APPENDIX –A : Concrete mix design	111
	APPENDIX – B : Technical data of SCH 41 carbon fiber.....	112
	APPENDIX – C : Calculations for Harajli’s Model	114
	APPENDIX – D : Design load carrying capacity ACI.....	116
	APPENDIX - E : Design load carrying capacity fib	117
	VITA.....	119

List of Figures

Figure 1.1 : Some structural strengthening techniques (a) steel plate bonding, (b) Section enlargement with reinforced concrete, (c) section enlargement and steel jacketing, (d) and (e) externally bonded FRP systems.....	3
Figure 1.2:Methodology, experimental study.....	7
Figure 1.3 : Methodology, analytical modeling and Finite Element modeling.....	8
Figure 1.4 : Methodology, design Guidelines	8
Figure 2.1 : Typical Monotonic stress strain behavior of concrete.....	18
Figure 2.2 : Idealized stress strain Curve for Concrete.....	19
Figure 2.3 : Drucker - Prager Yield surface	21
Figure 2.4 : Drucker-Prager model with respect to mean stress and equivalent stress	22
Figure 2.5 : yield surface of Extended Drucker – Prager model	23
Figure 2.6 : Bilinear isotropic model for steel reinforcement	24
Figure 2.7 : Formation of CFRP laminates	25
Figure 2.8 : Stress strain behaviour of CFRP laminate.....	25
Figure 3.1 : Reinforced specimens with full external confinement	31
Figure 3.2 : Reinforced concrete columns with partial confinement.....	32
Figure 3.3 : Control specimens	33
Figure 3.4 : Reinforcement arrangement.....	33
Figure 3.5 : Wrapping arrangement for partially confined columns	34
Figure 3.6 : Specimen types sizes and reference names – Reinforced specimens....	35
Figure 3.7 : Specimen types, sizes and reference names - plain concrete specimens	35
Figure 3.8 : Specimen Casting.....	37
Figure 3.9 : Top and bottom surface preparation.....	37
Figure 3.10 : Cutting the corners for providing rounded edges.....	38
Figure 3.11 : Providing corner radious.....	38
Figure 3.12 : Filling up air bubble holes	39
Figure 3.13 : After surface preparation	39
Figure 3.14 : Saturation of carbon fiber sheet with epoxy	40
Figure 3.15 : Saturating the concrete surface with epoxy	40

Figure 3.16 : Applying the carbon fiber sheet on the concrete surface.....	40
Figure 3.17 : Removing air bubbles.....	41
Figure 3.18 : Surface preparation for strain gauges.....	42
Figure 3.19 : Fixing of Strain gauges.....	42
Figure 3.20 : Testing machine	43
Figure 3.21 : Data Logger	43
Figure 3.22 : LVDT Arrangement for displacement measurement	44
Figure 4.1 : Failure mode of RC-C-3 specimen	47
Figure 4.2 : Vertical strain vs. stress at mid height of the column	48
Figure 4.3 : Load vs. vertical deformation curve for RC-C specimens	48
Figure 4.4 : Failure mode of RF-F specimens	49
Figure 4.5 : Stress Vs vertical strain for RF-F specimens.....	50
Figure 4.6 : Horizontal strain and stress at mid height for RF-F specimens.....	50
Figure 4.7 : Vertical load - deformation variation for RF-F specimens.....	51
Figure 4.8 : Failure model of RC-P-75mm specimens	51
Figure 4.9 : Vertical strain vs. stress variation for RC-P-75mm specimens	52
Figure 4.10 : Vertical load vs. deformation variation for RC-P-75mm specimens ...	52
Figure 4.11 : Failure mode of RF-P-50mm specimens	53
Figure 4.12 : Horizontal Strin Vs Vertical stress in concrete for RC-P-50mm layers	54
Figure 4.13 : Horizontal strain Vs vertical stress for CFRP midlayer for RC-P-50mm specimens	54
Figure 4.14 : Vertical Load Vs Deformation curve for RC-P-50mm specimens	55
Figure 4.15 : Failure modes for PL-C specimens	55
Figure 4.16 : Vertical strain vs. stress variation for PL-C specimens.....	56
Figure 4.17 : Vertical load vs. deformation variation for PL-C specimens	56
Figure 4.18 : Failure mode for PL-F specimens	57
Figure 4.19 : Vertical strain vs. stress variation for PL-F specimens	58
Figure 4.20 : Horizontal stress vs. stress variation for PL-F specimens	58
Figure 4.21 : Load Deformation variation for PL-F specimens	59
Figure 5.1 : Stress-Strain behaviour for tor and mild steel	65
Figure 5.2 : Stress-Strain behaviour of CFRP material.....	66
Figure 5.3 : Geometry and node locations solid185	67

Figure 5.4 : Geometry and Node locations of link8 element	68
Figure 5.5 : Geometry and node locations of Solid46 element	69
Figure 5.6 : Line plot of generated solid model.....	70
Figure 5.7 : Final solid model element level plot	70
Figure 5.8 : Variation of calibration quantity with concrete grade.....	72
Figure 5.9 : Variation of calibration quantity with internal friction angle.....	72
Figure 5.10 : Variation of calibration quantity with flow angle	73
Figure 5.11 : Variation of calibration quantity with Poisson's ratio.....	73
Figure 5.12 : Stress vs. vertical strain for calibrated model	74
Figure 5.13 : Stress vs. horizontal strain variation for calibrated model	75
Figure 5.15 : Steel stress values at the point of CFRP rupture.....	76
Figure 5.14 : Failure strain of CFRP layer of the finite element model.....	76
Figure 5.16 : Vertical load deformation variation	77
Figure 5.17 : Finite element model of RF-P-75mm specimens.....	77
Figure 5.18 : Vertical stress vs. strain variation of test specimens and FEM.....	78
Figure 5.19 : Horizontal strain variation of concrete with vertical stress for FEM and experimental results	78
Figure 5.21: Vertical load deformation variation comparison for RF-P-75mm specimens	79
Figure 5.20: Horizontal strain vs. vertical stress variation in FRP for FEM and experimental results	79
Figure 5.22 : Finite element model of RF-P-50mm specimens.....	80
Figure 5.23 : Horizontal strain variation with vertical stress for concrete.....	80
Figure 5.24 : Horizontal strain vs. vertical stress variation for CFRP middle layer..	81
Figure 5.25 : Horizontal strain vs. vertical stress of CFRP top and bottom layers....	81
Figure 5.26 : Vertical load deformation comparison for RF-P-50mm specimens	82
Figure 5.27 : Finite element model of PL-F specimens	82
Figure 5.28 : Line plot of PL-F specimen finite element model	83
Figure 5.29 : Vertical strain vs. stress plot for PL-F specimens.....	83
Figure 5.30 : Horizontal strain vs. vertical stress variation for PL-F specimens.....	84
Figure 5.31 : Vertical load deformation variation for PL-F specimens	84
Figure 6.1 : Typical stress strain curve generated according to Harajli model	87

Figure 7.1 : Cross sectional dimensions	94
Figure 7.2 : Lateral confining stress and CFRP jacket stress	97
Figure 7.3 : Definition of terms for Equation 7.23	99
Figure 7.4 : Modification for partial confinement	100

List of Tables

Table 2.1 : Willam- Wranke parameters	20
Table 2.2 : Typical dry fiber properties.....	26
Table 2.3 : Properties of bonding agent	26
Table 2.4 : Gross laminate properties	26
Table 3.1 : Strian gauge loactions.....	41
Table 4.1 : Failure loads RC-C specimens	47
Table 4.2 : Failure load and ductility ratio of RC-F specimens	49
Table 4.3 : Failure load and ductility ratio for RC-P-75mm specimens	52
Table 4.4 : Failure load and ductility index for RC-P-50mm specimens.....	53
Table 4.5 : Failure load and ductility ratio for PL-F specimens.....	57
Table 4.6 : Comparison of ultimate failure loads	60
Table 4.7 : Ductility enhancement comparison	61
Table 5.1 : Orthotropic material properties for CFRP	66
Table 5.2 : Prameter range for model calibration.....	71
Table 5.3 : Selected material parameters for concrete model from model calibration	74
Table 7.1 : Factro of safety against ACI design load.....	101
Table 7.2 : Factor of safety against fib design criterion.....	102
Table A.1 : Concrete mix proportions for grade 25 concrete.....	111
Table A.2 : Cube test results - 1.....	111
Table A.3 : Cube test results - 2.....	111
Table C.1 : Input data Harajli model.....	114
Table C.2 : Calculated data Hrajli model	114
Table C.3 : Output data Harajli model	114
Table C.4 : Stress strain behaviour of CFRP confined Short Columns – Harajli....	115
Table D.1 : Input parameters ACI design capacity.....	116
Table D.2 : Calculated parameters ACI design capacity	116
Table D.3 : Output parameters ACI design capacity	116
Table E.1 : Input parameters for fib strength capacity calculation.....	117
Table E.2 : Output parameters for RF-F specimens fib calculation	117

Table E.3 : Output parameter for RF-P-75mm specimens	117
Table E.4 : Output parameters for RF-P-50mm specimens	118