REFERENCES

Al-Fadhli, Salah.2009. The Ethical Dilemma of Software Piracy in Islamic Societies: The Case of Kuwait.no39,7:1-9

Ahamadu, Ibrahim. 2003. Intellectual Property Rights- A Barricade to Technological Development . An Ethical Analysis on the Less Developed Countries.

Ajzen I. 1991a. The Theory of Planned Behavior. Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes, 50,179-211.

Ajzan, I.1991b, Theory of Planned Behavior, York University, http://www.fsc.yorku.ca/york/istheory/wiki/index.php/Theory_of_planned_behavior[Accessed 23 December 2010].

Ajzen, I. 1996. The Social Psychology of Decision Making. In E.T. Higgins & A.W.Kruglanski (Eds.), *Social Psychology: Handbook of Basic Principles*, 297 – 328.

Akers, R.L.1998. Deviant Behavioral Social Leaning Approach, Belmont, CA: Wadsworth.

Anoo, Santhosh. and Srinivasan, Gopal .2010 . Monetizing Consumer Software in High-Piracy Markets, Including China and India, Deloitte Development LLC.

Archibugi, Daniele and Lippetti, Andrea. 2010. Global Policy. The Globalization of Intellectual Property Rights: Four Learned Lessons and Four Theses, no1:137-149. <u>http://www.danielearchibugi.org/downloads/papers/IPRglobalization.pdf</u>[Accessed 20 September, 2010].

Bandura, A. 1997. Self-Efficacy: The Exercise of Control. New York, NY, U.S. Freeman/Times Books/ Henry Holt & Co. (604 pp.)

Bezman, T. L., & Depken, C. A.2004. Influences on Software Piracy: Evidence from the Various United States. The University of Texas at Arlington, Department of Economics, Working Paper 04-010. http://www.uta.edu/depken/P/statepiracy.pdf [Accessed March 15, 2010].

Business Software Alliance.2011. <u>http://www.bsa.org/GlobalHome.aspx.</u> [Accessed 05 March, 2011].

Business Software Alliance. 2011. Eighth Annual BSA Global Software 2010 Piracy Study.

Boyle, J.,2003. The Second Enclosure Movement and the Construction of the Public Domain", Law and Contemporary Problems, Vol. 66 (1&2).

Butt, Aesalan.1999. Comparative Analysis of Software Piracy Determinants among Pakistani and Canadian University Students .Simon Fraser University.

Cheng, H., Sim, R. and Teegem, H. 1997. To Purchase or to Buy Software: An Empirical Study, Journal of Management Information Systems. no:13: 49-60.

Cheng, Shih-I et al. 2011. Examining Customer Purchase Intentions for Counterfeit Products Based on a Modified Theory of Planned Behavior. International Journal of Humanities and Social Science. no:10.

http://www.ijhssnet.com/journals/Vol_1_No_10_August_2011/35.pdf [Accessed 01 September, 2011].

Conner, K., and R. Rumelt. 1991. Software Piracy: An analysis of Protection Strategies. Management Science 37: 125–139.

Davis, F.; Bagozzi, R.; and Warshaw, R. 1989. User Acceptance of Computer Technology: A Comparison of Two Theoretical Models. Management Science, Volume 35, 1989, pp. 982-1003.

Depken, C. A., & Simmons, L. C. 2004. Social Construct and the Propensity for Software Piracy. Applied Economics Letters, 11(2), 97-100

Department of Census. 2010. Quarterly Report of the Sri Lanka Labor Force Survey: Quarterly Report – 2010. Department of Census & Statistics Ministry of Finance and Planning. University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.

Eining, M.M., & Christensen, A.L. 1991, A Psycho-Social Model of Software Piracy: The Development and Test of a Model. In Ethical Issues in Information Systems (pp. 182–188). Boston, USA: Boyd and Fraser publishing Company.

Eisend, Martin.2006. Explaining Counterfeit Purchases: A Review and Preview. Academy of Marketing Science Review .12, p.1-22. http://www.amsreview.org/articles/eisend12-2006.pdf[Accessed 12 January, 2011].

Falvey, Rod and Foster, Neil. 2006. The Role of Intellectual Property Rights in Technology Transfer and Economic Growth: Theory and Evidence. Vienna.

Fernando, Jayantha . n.d. Software Protection & e-Commerce in IPR. <u>http://www.icta.lk/pdf/Article_%20Software_Protection&e-Commerce.pdf</u> [Accessed 02 October, 2010].

Fishbein, M., and Ajzen, 1.1975. Belief, Attitude, Intention and Behavior: An Introduction to Theory and Research, Addison- Wesley Publishing Company.

Garnor, Brayan A and West, Thomas .2004. Black's Law Dictionary. 8th. ed.

General Deterrence Theory .2005. York University.

http://www.fsc.yorku.ca/york/istheory/wiki/index.php/General_deterrence_theory#G eneral_deterrence_theory [Accessed 23 March 2011].

Givon, M.; Mahajan, V. and Muller, E .1995. Software Piracy: Estimation of Lost Sales and Impact on Software Diffusion. Journal of Marketing, 59, 1 .29–37.

Gopal, R. and Sanders, G.1998. International Software Piracy: An Analysis of Key Issues and Impacts, Information System Research. no: 9: 380-397.

Goles, T., Jayatilaka, B., George, B., Parsons, L., Chambers, V., Taylor, D., & Brune, R. 2008. Soft Lifting: Exploring Determinants of Attitude. Journal of Business Ethics, 77, 481-499.

Gottfredson, Michael R. and Hirschi, Travis.1990.A General Theory of Crime. Stanford University Press.

Gupta, P., Gould, S., & Pola, B. 2004. To Pirate or not to Pirate: A Comparative Study on the Ethical Values Versus other Influences on Consumers' Software Acquisition-Mode Decision. Journal of Business Ethics, 55(3), 255–274.

Husted, Bryan W. 2000. The Impact of National Culture on Software Piracy, Journal of Business Ethics, 26(3); 197-211.

Hogenbirk, A. E., & Van Kranenburg, H. L. 2001, Determinants of Multimedia, Entertainment, and Business Software Copyright Piracy Rates and Losses: A cross-National Study. Mimeo, Department of Organization and Strategy, University of Maastricht.

International Intellectual Property Alliance .2011. http://www.iipa.com/aboutiipa.html [Accessed 05 January, 2011].

International Rehabilitation Council for Torture Victims (IRCT).2006.Country Assessment Report Sri Lanka.

International Standard Classification of Occupation (ISCO - 88) http://www.statistics.gov.lk/samplesurvey/occ_code.pdf[Accessed 23 December 2010].

Jones, T.M. 1991. Ethical Decision Making for Individuals in Organizations : An Issue Contigent Model. Academy of Management Review ,366-395.

Jones, G. E. and Kavanagh, M. J. 1996, "An Experimental Examination of the Effects of Individual and Situational Factors on Unethical Behavioral Intentions in the Workplace", Journal of Business Ethics, Vol.15, pp.511-523.

J Francis et al. 2004. Constructing Questionnaires Based On the Theory Of Planned Behaviour, A Manual For Health Services Researchers, United Kingdom. <u>http://www.rebeqi.org/ViewFile.aspx?itemID=212[Accessed 23 March 2011].</u>

Katz, M., & Shapiro, C. 1986. Technology Adoption in the Presence of Network Externalities. Journal of Political Economy, 94(4),822–841.

Kovacic, Zlatko J.2008. Determinants of Worldwide Software Piracy. The Open Polytechnic of New Zealand, Wellington.

Kopczynski, Mary .2009. Robin Hood Versus the Bullies: Software Piracy and Developing Countries, Submission for the 69th Annual Nathan Burkan Memorial Competition American Society of Composers, Authors, and Publishers (ASCAP).

Kumar Jha, Shishir. 2004. Copyright and Copy Duties – Importance of the Public Domain for the Developing Countries, no:3:21-30. Review of Business Research, http://copysouth.org/portal/sites/default/files/copyrights-and-copyduties.pdf. [Accessed 23 May 2011].

Kyper, E., Lievano, R. J., Mangiameli, P., & Shin, S. K.2004. Software Piracy: A Time-series Analysis.Proceedings of the 10th Americas Conference on Information Systems, New York.

Lau, E.K.W.2003.An Empirical Study of Software Piracy.Business Ethics.12 (3),233-245. Marron, D. B., & Steeper. G. 2000. Which Countries Protect Intellectual Property? The Case of Software Piracy. Economic Inquiry, 38(2), 159-174.

Mishraa, Alok and Akmana, Ibrahim and Yazici, Ali .2006. Software Piracy among IT Professionals in Organizations. International Journal of Information Management. no.26:401–413. <u>http://www.slideshare.net/m9821735856/software-piracy[Accessed 12 January 2011]</u>.

Mongin, Philippe .1997. Expected Utility Theory. Handbook of Economic Methodology.

https://studies2.hec.fr/jahia/webdav/site/hec/shared/sites/mongin/acces_anonyme/pag e%20internet/O12.MonginExpectedHbk97.pdf[Accessed 23 March 2011].

Moores, T. 2003. The Effect of National Culture and Economic Wealth on Global Software Piracy Rates. Communication of the ACM, 46(9), 207-215.

Moores, T., & Dhaliwal, J. 2004. A Reversed Context Analysis of Software Piracy Issues in Singapore. Information and Management, 41(8), 1037–1042.

Moores, T. and Dhillon G. 2000. Software Piracy: A View from Hong Kong. Communications of the ACM, 43, 12, 88–93 National Committee for Intellectual Property Issues in Software .1991. Intellectual Property Issues in Software. National Academy Press. <u>http://books.nap.edu/openbook.php?record_id=1788&page=43 [Accessed 8 October,</u> 2010].

Moores, T., Nill, A., & Rothenberger, M. 2009. Knowledge of Software Piracy as an Antecedent to Reducing Pirating Behavior. Journal of Computer Information Systems, 50(1), 82-89.

Oz, E.1998. Acceptable Protection of Software Intellectual Property: A survey of Software Developers and Lawyers. Information and Management, 34(3), 161–173.

Papadopoulos, T. 2003. Determinants of International Sound Recording Piracy. Economic Bulletin, 6(10),1-9.

Peace, Graham A. and Galletta, Dennis F. and Hong ,James Y.L. 2003. Software Piracy in the Workplace: A Model and Empirical Test. Journal of Management Information Systems. no:20:153-177.

http://www.katzis.org/wiki/images/4/4e/Peace_2003.pdf [Accessed 23 December 2010].

Pitcher, Courtney A. 2008. Investigation of a Behavioral Model for Financial Decision Making. http://eprints.maths.ox.ac.uk/722/1/FormattedCPitcher.pdf [Accessed 23 December 2010].

Poddar, Sougata .2003. On Software Piracy when Piracy is Costly. National University of Singapore Department of Economics Working Paper.

Rajani, Niranjan.2003. Free as in Education.Significance of the Free/Libre and Open Source Software for Developing Countries. Ministry for Foreign Affairs, Finland.

Randall et al.1996. Communications of the ACM .A New View of Intellectual Property and Software, no:3:21-30.<u>http://people.csail.mit.edu/davis/cacm96.pdf</u> [Accessed, 5 October, 2010].

Rasmussen, H. B. 2003. Explaining Software Piracy. Centre for Economic and Business Research, University of Copenhagen.

Salami, S.R. and Goodarzi, M. n.d. The Role of Intellectual Property Rights (IPRs) in Technological Development, Some Suggestions and Policy Implications from Iran's experience for other Developing Countries.

Sekaran, Uma. 2003. Research Methods for Business-A Skill Building Approach. New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.4th edition.

Simpson, P. M., Banerjee, D., & Simpson, C. L. 1994. Softlifting: A Model of Motivating Factors. Journal of Business Ethics, 13, 431–438.

Software & Information Industry Association. 2011. http://www.siia.net/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=159&Itemid= 6. [Accessed 02 February, 2011].

Software & Information Industry Association. 2009. What is Piracy: The Piracy Problem.

http://www.siia.net/index.php?option=com_docman&task=doc_download&gid=14& Itemid=318. [Accessed 02 February, 2011].

Sri Lanka Association of Software and Service Companies(SLASSCOM).2010. Quarterly Report of the Sri Lanka Labor Finance and Accounting Outsourcing.

Sri Lanka Information Communication Technology Association (SLICTA). 2007. Rising Demand: The Increasing Demand for IT Workers Spells a Challenging Opportunity for the IT Industry.

Story, Alan.2004.Intellectual Property and Computer Software- A Battle of Competing Use and Access Visions for Countries of the South. Geneva: International Centre for Trade and Sustainable Development

(ICTSD).http://www.iprsonline.org/unctadictsd/docs/CS_Story.pdf [Accessed, 29 September, 2010].

Swinyard, W. R., Rinne, H., & Kau, A. K. 1990. The Morality of Software Piracy: Cross-cultural Analysis. Journal of Business Ethics, 9,655–664.

University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.

Takeyama, L. 1997. The Inter-temporal Consequences of Unauthorized Reproduction of Intellectual Property Journal of Law and Economics, 40(2), 511– 522.

Theories used in IS Research. 2005. Theory of Reasoned Action. York University, http://www.istheory.yorku.ca/theoryofreasonedaction.htm. [Accessed 23 March 2011].

Theories used in IS Research. 2005. Theory of Planned Behavior. York University, http://www.istheory.yorku.ca/theoryofplannedbehavior.htm [Accessed 23 March 2011].

The Survey System, Creative Research System, Sample Size Calculator. http://www.surveysystem.com/sscalc.htm [Accessed, 02 April, 2011].

Tops.lk .2010. Business Software Alliance to Create Awareness: Focuses on Perils of Software Piracy. 19 February.http://www.tops.lk/article15253-business-software-alliance-to-create-awareness-focuses-on-perils-of-software-piracy.html[Accessed, 25 September, 2010].

Van der Byl, K., & Van Belle, J. P. 2008. Factors Influencing South African Attitudes toward Digital Piracy.Communications of the IBIMA, 1(1), 202-211. Wagner, S. C. and Sanders, G. L., 2001, Considerations in Ethical Decision-Making and Software Piracy, Journal of Business Ethics, Vol.29, No.1/2, pp.161-170.

Wang et al .2005. Purchasing Pirated Software: Examination of Chinese Consumers. Journal of Consumer Marketing. 22/6, p.340–351. http://www.gsm.pku.edu.cn/store/object/20076916447JCM.pdf [Accessed 06 January, 2011].

Weblink, Mark .2005. Duke Law and Technology Review. A New Paradigm for Intellectual Property Rights in Software.no.12. http://www.law.duke.edu/journals/dltr/articles/pdf/2005dltr0012.pdf [Accessed 5 October, 2010].

Whitman, M. E., A. M. Townsend, A. R Hendrickson and R. B. Rensvold: 1998, 'Computer Aversion and Computer-use Ethics in U.S. and Asian Cultures', The Journal of Computer Information Systems 4, 19–24.

World Intellectual Property Organization. 2011.http://www.wipo.int/aboutwipo/en/what_is_wipo.html [Accessed 16 March, 2011].

World Trade Organization. .2011. http://www.wto.org/english/thewto_e/whatis_e/whatis_e.htm [Accessed 10 April, 2011].



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka. Electronic Theses & Dissertations www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

APPENDIX I-PRE SURVEY INTERVIEW SCRIPT

Determinants of End User Software Piracy among Sri Lankan Professionals

Software piracy defined as "the illegal copying of computer software", is a global phenomenon which costs over 50 billion dollars per annum around the world according to Business Software Alliance report of 2011.

Broadly speaking, software piracy is of two types, end user piracy and commercial piracy. The end user piracy occurs when users install software on more machines than they are authorized to in terms of their license agreements. When it comes to commercial piracy ,it can take many forms like 'hard disk loading' which occurs when computer dealers install illegal copies of software as an incentive to buy hardware from a particular vendor. 'Software counterfeiting' refers to the illegal reproduction and sale of software in a form that is substantially similar to the original product. 'Retail piracy' another form of commercial piracy which involves sale of pirated software at a retail level. 'Internet piracy' occurs when unauthorized copies of software made available on the billet meterfor downloading: retains.

As mentioned earlier, software piracy is a global phenomenon and Sri Lanka is no exception in this direction. In fact the problem is all the more acute in our region the Asia-Pacific region. According to global organization Business Software Alliance report of 2011, Bangladesh accounts for the highest piracy rate with 91%, followed by Sri Lanka 89%, Indonesia 86% and Vietnam 85%. .Irrespective of all the endeavors from the government and Business Software Alliance the piracy rate in Sri Lanka remains constant over the years from 2006 to 2008 where the rate was 90%. The year 2009 saw a slight decline of 1% where the rate was 89%.

In this context it is imperative to find out what exactly causing the customer's to opt for unlicensed software. The high cost of proprietary software, reduced exposure to and difficulties in familiarizing with open source software and lack of awareness of intellectual property violations are a few such determinants. So the aim of this survey is to identify the key determinants of end user software piracy among Sri Lankan professionals.

Oue	estio	n	THE NUMBER	ANT THE REAL PROPERTY AND	1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.	and the second
-		-	you know a	bout software	piracy?	
2.	Hav	e you	a ever used	software with	out obtair	ing proper licenses?
		Yes	Q3			
		No	Q2.a.	1		
2.a	. Ho	w do	you know	you have neve	r committ	ed software piracy?
		10-5			1	
3.	Hav			and/or open so	ource soft	ware?
		Yes	Q4			
		No	Q4.a.			
4.	soft		compared (lity and functionality of unlicensed e copies and free and open source
5.	Mic	crosof	t, Sun, Ora	ion the role of	f software gin know	atuwa, Sri Lanka. Manufactures from the West (like ledge dissemination and innovation
6.	Ho	w do y	you see Sof	tware piracy f	from a mo	ral standpoint?
		Pira	cy is morall	y acceptable	Q6.a.	
		Pira	cy is immor	al	Q6.b.	
				o you justify s mmit softwar		racy? ven when he thinks it is immoral?
7.	ofi	nnova	ation, fair u	-	blic doma	nt, there are three important concepts in. Could you say a few words about
8.						in Intellectual property discipline ght act of 2003?
9.	Whofs	at is	vour unders		41	ity of punishment if one found guilty

- 10. What is your idea about the probability of one getting caught if committed software piracy in Sri Lanka?
- 11. When buying/using software do you consult colleagues to pick the best alternative available?

Yes	Q11.a.
No	Q12

11.a .How is the involvement and the influence of the peers on the choice of software?

12. Is there anything else you would like to comment on in relation to software piracy in Sri Lanka?

Demographic fa	ctors		
Age	NASSEN	Part of the State of the State of the	anti-a de se des
Gender			
Education			
Job Category			
Income level	Ó	University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka. Electronic Theses & Dissertations www.lib.mrt.ac.lk	

APPENDIX II-QUESTIONNAIRE

Determinants of the Use of Unlicensed Software among Sri Lankan Legal , IT and Business Professionals

This questionnaire is a part of an investigation that seeks to explore the determinants of the use of unlicensed software among Sri Lankan Legal, IT and Business professionals. The information provided by you will help better understand the widespread use of unlicensed software among Sri Lankan professional community. Please read each question carefully and respond to the best of your ability. There are no correct or incorrect responses and my interest is merely in your personal point of view.

The anonymity and confidentiality of the identity of the respondents will be strictly respected and the records of the study will be kept strictly confidential.

Definitions

* Knowledge Dissemination -refers to is the transferring of knowledge within and across settings, with the expectation that the knowledge will be "used" conceptually or instrumentally i.e. in the form of modified or new practices or in terms of learning, enlightenment, or the acquisition of new perspectives and Attitudes.

* Open-Source Software refers to software whose source code is made available for use or modifications as users, or developers see fit: Often the open source software are developed as a public collaboration and made freely available. www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

* Office software suite - is a collection of office automation software whose main components typically are word processing, spreadsheets, presentations, graphics, and database applications.

* Peer-to-peer (P2P) Networks – are defined as networks where there are no fixed clients or severs where peer nodes are used to transmit and share public or private files over the internet with the use of file sharing software.

* Proprietary Software: refers to software for which the publishers or developers have exclusive legal rights. The purchaser, or licensee, is given the right to use the software under certain conditions, but restricted from other uses, such as modification, further distribution, or reverse engineering.

Please read the following hypothetical software purchase scenarios carefully and answer each question in the order presented.

Scenario 1:

Imagine that a professional, purchases a personal computer from a leading hardware retailer where he learns it is going to cost an additional couple of thousands of rupees even for obtaining the licensed versions of the minimum set of software he would require for his personal and professional work. Upon inquiry the retailer suggests installation of unlicensed versions of the key software packages at a minimal price where the professional will receive no backup media, user manuals or vendor support. Having compared the total cost of ownership of licensed software and the value of updates and vendor support the professional decides to go ahead with the unlicensed versions as the cost outweighs the benefits of technical support.

1. If I were the professional I would be able to pay excessive prices for purchasing/using the licensed software products.

Strongly Disagree 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 Strongly Agree

2. For me to spend a lot of money for purchasing/using the licensed software version is easy.

Strongly Disagree 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 Strongly Agree

3. My personal income permits me to easily spend money for licensed software products.

Strongly Disagree 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 Strongly Agree

- 4. I would expect to continue using the unlicensed software if I were the professional. Electronic Theses & Dissertations Strongly Disagree 123 3 44.5.16 7 Strongly Agree
- 5. If I were the professional I would want to continue using the unlicensed software.

Strongly Disagree 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 Strongly Agree

6. If I were the professional I would intend to continue using the unlicensed software.

Strongly Disagree 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 Strongly Agree

7. If I were the professional who uses unlicensed software my colleagues would;

Strongly Disapprove 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 Strongly Approve

8. My colleagues think it is okay to use unlicensed software.

Strongly Disagree 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 Strongly Agree

9. My colleagues would not be disappointed with me if I use unlicensed software.

Unlikely 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 Likely

Scenario 2:

Imagine that a professional requires an analytical software tool for his research work which he finds quite expensive. On the other hand, he cannot proceed further without the tool. He can either purchase the software from the vendor site or get an unlicensed version of the software from a P2P site for free. The professional resorts to the second option and downloads an unlicensed version of the tool from a P2P site for free. He reasons that his research would contribute largely to knowledge and that outweigh the loss caused by making an illegal copy of software tool. Further, he argues the mere cost of a tool hindering the research efforts is a crime and further it is fair use that the software is used for knowledge generation.

10. a. It is illegal, if I download or share software over peer to peer (P2P) networks such as

BitTorrent, Kazaa, Lime Wire, and Bearshare if I were the professional.

Unlikely 2 3 4 5 7 Likely 1 6

10. b. Committing an illegal acts is:

Extremely Undesirable 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 Extremely Desirable

11. a. My act of downloading licensed software without purchasing it, infringes the intellectual property rights of the software manufacturer.

	Unlikely	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Likely		
11	L. b. Infringi	ing i	ntel	lectu		rop	Un erty Ele	ive righ	rsity of th	of Moratuwa. Sri Lank e software manufacturer is: Theses & Dissertations	2
				1	and a	/				t-00 11-1	

Extremely Undesirable 2 VBWW.150617 Extremely Desirable

12. a. Due to exuberant prices of original legal software, many of us in developing countries will not be able to experience and learn new technologies if not for illegal copies of licensed software.

ıka.

Unlikely 2 3 4 5 6 7 Likely 1

12. b. Not being able to learn new technologies is:

Extremely Undesirable 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 Extremely Desirable

13. a. With strong licensing processes the software manufactures control technologies that could benefit the rest of society.

Unlikely 1 2 3 4 5 7 Likely 6

13. b. Any company or individual controlling technologies that could benefit t the rest of society is;

Extremely Undesirable 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 Extremely Desirable

Scenario 3:

Imagine that a professional who has been using an unlicensed version of a proprietary office software suite both for his personal and professional work learns that most of his colleagues have migrated to an open source office suite. His exposure to open source software is minimal yet he obtains a copy of the open source software and sees if how it fits requirements.

14. If I were the professional to migrate to open source software, i would find familiarizing with open

source software cumbersome and time consuming.

Unlikely 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 Likely

15. If I were the professional I would not chose to migrate to open source as I have no exposure to open source software.

Ur	likely	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Likely	
----	--------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	--------	--

Scenario 4:

Imagine that a professional uses a software package for his office work of which the company has a licensed version. There is a tight deadline to be met which requires additional effort and the professional plans to work extra hours from home. He wants the same software environment present in his home computer so he makes a copy of the software package from the company software repository and installs the same in his home computer. He reasons that the company has legally purchased the software and further the is using the software for afficial use and does not gain any personal benefit from this.

Electronic Theses & Dissertations

www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

16. If I were the professional who copied the company software illegally the probability I would get

caught for Software Piracy in Sri Lanka is:

Very Low	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Very High
----------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	-----------

17. If I were the professional and were caught for illegally copying company software, I will certainly be prosecuted in Sri Lanka.

Strongly Disagree 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 Strongly Agree

18. If I were the professional who copied company software illegally and were caught, I think the punishment would be:

Very Low 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 Very High

19. If I were the professional who copied company software illegally and were caught, I will be severely punished.

Strongly Disagree 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 Strongly Agree

20. For me to use unlicensed software is:

Very Difficult 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 Very Easy

21. I am confident that I could use unlicensed software if I want to.

Strongly Disagree 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 Strongly Agree

22. The decision to use unlicensed software is within my control.

Strongly Disagree 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 Strongly Agree

23. Whether I use unlicensed software or is entirely up to me.

Strongly Disagree	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Strongly Agree	I
-------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	----------------	---

Demographics

24. What is your gender?

Male Female

University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka. Electronic Theses & Dissertations

25. Which of the following age group do you belong to?

18-25 26-35 36-45 46-55 More than 55

26. What is your major area of specialization?

Legal Information Technology Business

27. What is the average number of hours that you currently work per week?

1-10 11-20 21-30 31-40 41 or more

28. What is your personal monthly income before taxes in 2011?

Less than Rs.20000

Rs.20000-Rs.40000 Rs.41000-Rs.60000 Rs.61000-Rs.80000 More than Rs.80000

29. How many years of work experience do you have?

Less than 10 10-20 21-30 31-40 More than 40

Thanks a lot for taking part in this survey. I truly appreciate your time and cooperation.

Thank you.

Prabodha Herath

herath.prabodha@gmail.com



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka. Electronic Theses & Dissertations www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

