DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT OF MINIATURIZED TACTILE SENSORS FOR TACTILE IMAGING

Thotegodage Don Isuru Udayanga

148042F



Department of Mechanical Engineering

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

August 2016

DECLARATION

I declare that this is my own work and this thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my dissertation, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

Signature Date

University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.
Electronic Theses & Dissertations

The above candidates what it carried and research for the Masters thesis under my supervision.

Name of the supervisor: Dr. Y.W.R. Amarasinghe

Signature of the supervisor: Date

ABSTRACT

Tactile sensors are devices which acquire data from the physical world through sense of touch. These acquired data may be related to either, surface roughness, texture, force, or any other tactile parameter. Even though, tactile sensor systems are identified as a feasible method to acquire force feedback in robotics and automation systems, due to the requirement of physical interaction between the sensor and application, development of tactile sensors does not come to the spotlight during the past decades. Rather, researchers were more focused on developing non-contact sensors for various sensing modalities when comparing with the tactile sensors. Currently, importance of tactile sensors has come to the spotlight, as development of robotics, automation and biomedical applications are limited due to lack of tactile feedback. Also, many application areas are identified, where tactile sensors can be incorporated such as robotics, industrial automation, biomedical imaging, biomedical robotics, etc.

Tactile imaging is one of the medical imaging technique, which mimic manual palpitation to diagnose diseases such as breast cancer, prostate cancer, etc. Tactile sensor is the foremost element in a tactile imager. Comparing with the other medical imaging techniques, it was found that tactile imaging is the most cost effective method to screen breast cancers. Also it has other advantages such as minimum exposure to radiation, simple and easy operation, etc. Hence, main aim of this research is to develop miniaturized tactile sensors for tactile imaging applications.

Working with that aim, miniaturized tactile sensors were developed during this research. In these developed sensors, Quantum Tunnelling Composite (QTCTM), which is a conductive polymer composite, has been used as the sensing element. A novel structure was proposed to be incorporated with the sensing elements and analysis of the structure discussed. Proposed sensor was developed and calibrated. In the next stage of this research, a novel enclosed tactile sensor was designed and developed utilizing the same sensing and working principle as the developed the tactile sensor. Main motive of developing this sensor is to include the proposed that the sensor is to include the proposed intervented to the tactile sensor, was developed and calibrated so that it could be integrate with tactile imaging applications. Sensitivity of this developed tactile sensor, calculated to be 0.02 V/N and sensor displayed repeatability of ±3 N.

An experiment was carried out to evaluate the usability of developed sensors in tactile imaging applications. Using the developed sensor pressure variation of a human left hand was mapped and visual images were constructed. Applicability of sensor arrays instead of a single sensor in tactile imaging applications and miniaturization techniques to be used to construct tactile sensor arrays with high Taxel density is discussed.

A MEMS based tactile sensor design was proposed to be developed to construct tactile sensor arrays with good performance for tactile imaging applications. Proposed sensor design analysed and simulated to validate the proposed working and sensing principles. Fabrication steps for the designed MEMS sensor was proposed.

Key Words: Tactile Imaging, Tactile Sensors, Force Sensors, MEMS

DEDICATION

I dedicate my dissertation work to my family and my teachers. A special feeling of gratitude to my loving parents, Jayasena and Padmini Perera whose words of encouragement and push for tenacity ring in my ears.



ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I am deeply grateful to Head of Department of Mechanical Engineering Dr. W.K. Wimalsiri and all the academic and non-academic staff of the department for their constant encouragement in the period of research. Especially my research supervisor, Dr. Y.W.R Amarasighe gave me the best support and attention towards my work. Without his keen supervision none of this would have been possible for myself alone. I consider myself very fortunate for being able to work with such a resourceful individuals.

I also like to extend my sincere gratitude to Mr. V.S.C.Weragoda of the department of materials engineering for helping me in the process of testing of the developed tactile sensors. I had to invoke the help of him several times and he agreed to assist me without a reluctance each time.

I am particularly grateful for the assistance given by Mr. Janaka Mangala and Mr Janath Priyankara with all the non-academic staff at Die and Mould Centre of University of Moratuwa, when fabricating the designed sensor structures.

Furthermore, I would like to express my gratitude to Mr. Janaka Basnayake, Mr. Dumith Janaka Basnayake, Mr. Dumith Janaka Basnayake, Mr. Dumith Janaka Basnayake, Mr. Dumith Janaka Basnayake Mr. Janaka Basnayake when fabricating electrodes for the developed tactile sensors.

Moreover, I'm particularly grateful to Dr. R.A.R.C. Gopura, who is the research coordinator of Mechanical Engineering Department and Dr. R.U. Weerasuriya, who is the chair of the progress review panel appointed for this research, for their valuable comments and advices given to me throughout this research.

Last but not the least, I owe my thankfulness to Mr. Salith Ranasinghe and Mr. Chathura Jeewantha of the Mechatronics and MEMS/NEMS laboratory for providing me the tools and facility required for conduction my research works whenever required.

Thotegodage Don Isuru Udayanga

MSc Postgraduate,

Department of Mechanical Engineering,

University of Moratuwa.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Declaration | |
|----------------|---|
| Abstract | i |
| Dedication | ii |
| Acknowledgi | mentiv |
| List of Figure | esiz |
| List of Tables | s |
| List of Abbre | viationsxv |
| List of Apper | ndicesxvi |
| 1 Introducti | ion |
| 2 Literature | e Review |
| 10.7%277 | University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka. Factile Sensors Electronic Theses & Dissertations 2.1.1www.dibractleselsing |
| | 2.1.2 History of Tactile Sensing |
| | 2.1.3 Sensing Principles and Existing Tactile Sensors |
| | 2.1.4 Current Trends of Tactile Sensing |
| 2.2 | Tactile Imaging |
| | 2.2.1 Applications of Tactile Imaging |
| | 2.2.2 Existing Tactile Imagers 10 |
| 2.3 | Conclusion – Literature Review |
| 3 Developm | nent of 1-DOF Tactile Sensor |
| 3.1 | Introduction 2 |

| | 3.2 | Sensing principle of the proposed 1-DOF Tactile Sensor | 22 |
|---|-------------|--|----|
| | | 3.2.1 Challenges of QTC TM | 24 |
| | 3.3 | Mechanical Structure of the Proposed 1-DOF Tactile Sensor | 27 |
| | | 3.3.1 Working Principle of the Proposed Sensor Structure | 27 |
| | | 3.3.2 Proposed Structural Design 1 for 1-DOF Tactile Sensor | 29 |
| | | 3.3.3 Proposed Structural Design 2 for 1-DOF Tactile Sensor | 31 |
| | 3.4 | Analysis and Simulation of Proposed 1-DOF Tactile Sensor | 34 |
| | 3.5 | Fabrication of Designed 1-DOF Tactile Sensor | 40 |
| | | 3.5.1 Fabrication of Sensing Element Configuration | 40 |
| | | 3.5.2 Structural Fabrication and Assembling | 41 |
| | 3.6 | Testing of Developed 1-DOF Tactile Sensor and Results | 43 |
| 4 | Develop | University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka. | 46 |
| | 4.1 | www.lib.mrt.ac.lk Introduction | 46 |
| | 4.2 | Proposed Structural Design for 1-DOF Enclosed Tactile Sensor | 46 |
| | 4.3 | Analysis and Simulation of Proposed 1-DOF Enclosed Tacti | |
| | 4.4 | Fabrication of Designed 1-DOF Enclosed Tactile Sensor | 56 |
| | | 4.4.1 Fabrication of Sensing Element Configuration | 56 |
| | | 4.4.2 Structural Fabrication and Assembling | 57 |
| | 4.5 | Testing of Developed 1-DOF Enclosed Tactile Sensor and Results | 59 |
| 5 | Application | on of Tactile Sensors for tactile Imaging | 63 |
| | 5.1 | Introduction | 63 |

| | 5.2 | Development of Graphical User interface |
|--|-----|--|
| | 5.3 | Tactile Imaging using Developed 1-DOF Tactile Sensors |
| | | 5.3.1 Experiment Conducted to Construct Tactile Images Using |
| | | Developed 1-DOF Tactile Sensors |
| | | 5.3.2 Results of the Experiment to Construct Tactile Images 69 |
| 6 Design and Simulation of MEMS based Tactile Sensor | | nd Simulation of MEMS based Tactile Sensor71 |
| | 6.1 | Introduction |
| | | 6.1.1 Why MEMS?71 |
| | | 6.1.2 Literature Review |
| | 6.2 | Structural Design of 5-DOF MEMS Tactile Sensor |
| 6.3 Working Principle of 5-DOF MEMS Tactile Sensor. | | Working Principle of 5-DOF MEMS Tactile Sensor |
| | | Sensily Pinersity of Moratems, Telileseks. 78 Electronic Theses & Dissertations |
| | 6.5 | Structural W. Ailalysist and Ilthe Proposed 5-DOF MEMS Sensor |
| | | Structure |
| | 6.6 | Sensing Element Placement in Proposed 5-DOF MEMS Sensor |
| | | Structure85 |
| | 6.7 | Multiphysics Analysis of 5-DOF MEMS Tactile Sensor 86 |
| | 6.8 | Results of the Simulation of proposed 5-DOF MEMS Tactile |
| | | Sensor |
| | 6.9 | Fabrication of Proposed 5-DOF MEMS Tactile Sensor |
| | | 6.9.1 Proposed Wiring Design for 5-DOF MEMS Tactile Sensor 96 |
| | | 6.9.2 Proposed Fabrication Steps for 5-DOF MEMS Tactile Sensor 96 |

| 7 Conclusion |
|--|
| References |
| Appendices xviii |
| Appendix A: Sensor Enclosure (Design 1)xviii |
| Appendix B: Production Drawings of Sensor Structure (Design 2)xx |
| Appendix C: Material Properties Chartxxiv |
| Appendix D: Production Drawings of Sensor structure (Enclosed sensor). xxv |
| Appendix E: Arduino Code of Graphical User Interfacexxix |
| Appendix F: Production Drawings of 5-DOF MEMS Sensor Structure xxxii |



LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure 1.1: Miniaturized tactile sensor development process |
|---|
| Figure 2.1: Application areas of tactile sensing |
| Figure 2.2: General view of breast tactile imager |
| Figure 2.3: Representation of the breast examination results by breast tactile imager |
| Figure 2.4: General view of prostate tactile imager |
| Figure 2.5: Representation of prostate examination results by prostate tactile imager |
| Figure 2.6: General view of vaginal tactile imager |
| Figure 2.7: Representation of stage III prolapse by vaginal tactile imager |
| Figure 3.1: Categories to be considered when developing a 1-DOF tactile sensor 21 University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka. Figure 3.1: Categories to be considered when developing a 1-DOF tactile sensor 21 University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka. Figure 3.1: Categories to be considered when developing a 1-DOF tactile sensor 21 University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka. Figure 3.1: Categories to be considered when developing a 1-DOF tactile sensor 21 Www.lib.mrt.ac.lk 23 |
| Figure 3.3: Variation in resistance as a function of compression for a soft silicone-Ni |
| type 123 QTC [™] composite |
| Figure 3.5: Experiment results of QTC TM pill |
| Figure 3.6: Free body diagram of the proposed working principle of the mechanical structure |
| Figure 3.7: Isometric view conceptual design of structural design 1 and component assembly |
| Figure 3.8: Sectional Front elevation conceptual design of structural design 1 and component assembly |

| Figure 3.9: Basic dimensions of the proposed sensor structure 1 | 30 |
|---|----|
| Figure 3.10: Isometric view conceptual design of structural design 2 and compone assembly | |
| Figure 3.11: Sectional Front elevation conceptual design of structural design 2 ar component assembly | |
| Figure 3.12: Basic dimensions of the proposed structural design 2 | 33 |
| Figure 3.13: Components arrangement in the assembly of proposed structural designation of the components arrangement in the assembly of proposed structural designation. | |
| Figure 3.14: Geometry Considered for the FEA | 35 |
| Figure 3.15: Total deformation of the sensor structure under loading for spring gauge 1.0 mm | _ |
| Figure 3.16: Total deformation of the sensor structure under loading for spring gauge 1.5 mm University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka. Figure 3. Electronic Theses & Dissertations for spring gauge 1 www.lib.mrt.ac.lk mm. | 37 |
| Figure 3.18: Equivalent Stress of sensor structure under loading for spring gauge 1 mm. | |
| Figure 3.19: Total deformation plot of QTCTM pill. | 38 |
| Figure 3.20: Equivalent stress plot of QTCTM pill. | 39 |
| Figure 3.21: Electrode Fabrication; (a) Electrode arrangement with sensing element (b) Electrode Design | |
| Figure 3.22: Fabricated structural components and assembled sensor structure | 42 |
| Figure 3.23: Fabricated 1-DOF Tactile Sensor | 43 |
| Figure 3.24: System layout of the experimental setup | 44 |

| Figure 3.25: Experiment Results; voltage vs. force plot |
|---|
| Figure 4.1: Isometric view of proposed design of novel enclosed sensor structure 47 |
| Figure 4.2: Sectional front elevation of proposed design of novel enclosed sensor structure |
| Figure 4.3: Exploded view of the proposed novel enclosed sensor structure 48 |
| Figure 4.4: Geometry Considered for the FEA |
| Figure 4.5: Boundaries selected to apply boundary conditions for FEA |
| Figure 4.6: Total deformation variation of the sensor structure |
| Figure 4.7: Force vs. Deformation plot. 53 |
| Figure 4.8: Equivalent stress variation of the sensor structure |
| Figure 4.9: Force vs. Equivalent stress plot |
| Figure 4.10 Total deroit and for the season of the season |
| Figure 4.12: Proposed revised electrode design |
| Figure 4.13: Steps of electrode fabrication and fabricated electrode |
| Figure 4.14: Fabricated structural components and Assembled and finalized novel enclosed 1-DOF tactile sensor |
| Figure 4.15: System layout of experimental setup |
| Figure 4.16: Developed experimental setup |
| Figure 4.17: Calibration Results for Continuous Loading & Unloading |
| Figure 4.18: Repeatability analysis for Continuous Loading & Unloading |
| Figure 4.19: Calibration Results for Dead Weight Loading & Unloading |

| Figure 5.1: Proposed system layout for the graphical user interface |
|---|
| Figure 5.2: Developed Hardware Interface |
| Figure 5.3: LabVIEW program developed for the proposed graphical user interface66 |
| Figure 5.4: Developed GUI based on LabVIEW software |
| Figure 5.5: Chosen posture for the experiment |
| Figure 5.6: Data points considered on the left hand |
| Figure 5.7: System layout of the proposed experimental setup |
| Figure 5.8: Graphical representation of pressure values on data points considered on the left hand |
| Figure 5.9: Constructed pressure mapping image of the left hand for given posture 70 |
| Figure 5.10: Constructed Contour color mapping image of the pressure variation of left hand for given posture |
| Figure 6.2: Isometric view of the conceptual design of proposed MEMS tactile sensor |
| Figure 6.3: Plan view of the conceptual design of proposed MEMS tactile sensor 75 |
| Figure 6.4: Degrees of freedoms of the structure |
| Figure 6.5: Possible structural deformations for loading condition A |
| Figure 6.6: Possible structural deformations for loading condition B |
| Figure 6.7: Piezoresistive sensing element arrangement in full Whetstone Bridge 80 |
| Figure 6.8: Piezoresistive sensing element arrangement in half Whetstone Bridge 80 |

| Figure 6.9: Selected boundary to apply boundary load |
|--|
| Figure 6.10: Selected Boundaries to apply fixed constraint boundary condition 82 |
| Figure 6.11: Displacement plot of the sensor structure for load condition A |
| Figure 6.12: Displacement plot of sensor structure for load condition B |
| Figure 6.13: Equivalent stress plot of sensor structure for load condition A |
| Figure 6.14: Equivalent stress plot of sensor structure for load condition B |
| Figure 6.15: Stress variation along a beam under loading and suitable position to place sensing elements |
| Figure 6.16: integrated sensing elements on the structure and their positions with electrical connections |
| Figure 6.17: Geometry considered for the Multiphysics analysis |
| Figure 6.18: Considered Piezoresistive layer for material assignment in the University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka. Multiphysis lectronic Theses & Dissertations 87 WWW.lib.mrt.ac.lk Figure 6.19: Specified thin Piezoresistive sensing elements 88 |
| Figure 6.20: Specified thin conductive layer |
| Figure 6.21: Specified electrical terminals for the Multiphysics analysis |
| Figure 6.22: Change in resistance of elements in beam 1 for Loading Condition A. 90 |
| Figure 6.23: Change in Resistance of elements in beam 3 for Loading Condition A 90 |
| Figure 6.24: Change in Resistance of elements in beam 1 for Loading Condition B 91 |
| Figure 6.25: Change in resistance of elements in beam 3 for Loading Condition B. 91 |
| Figure 6.26: Voltage variation of a Whetstone Bridge in a beam |
| Figure 6.27: Current direction of a Whetstone Bridge |

| Figure 6.28: Plot result for loading condition A showing the relationship between |
|---|
| output voltage of Whetstone Bridge and force applied to the sensor |
| Figure 6.29: Plot result for loading condition B showing the relationship between |
| output voltage of Whetstone Bridge and force applied to the sensor |
| Figure 6.30: Proposed wiring design with wire bonding pads for 5-DOF MEMS tactile |
| sensor96 |
| Figure 6.31: Proposed fabrication steps for the designed 5-DOF MEMS tactile sensor. |
| |



LIST OF TABLES

| Table 2.1: Piezoresistive Tactile Sensors | 5 |
|---|------|
| Table 2.2: Capacitive Tactile Sensors | 7 |
| Table 2.3: Optoelectric Tactile Sensors | 8 |
| Table 2.4: Piezoelectric Tactile Sensors | 9 |
| Table 2.5: Conductive Polymer Tactile Sensors | . 10 |
| Table 2.6: Advantages and disadvantages of sensing principles | . 11 |
| Table 3.1: Input Parameters for the ANSYS finite element analysis | . 34 |
| Table 3.2: Results of FEA Analysis for different spring gauges | . 40 |
| Table 4.1: Input parameters of FEA | . 51 |
| Table 4.2: Sensor characteristics values calculated for the developed sensor | . 61 |
| Table 5.1: University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka. Table 5.1: Electronic Theses & Dissertations | . 64 |
| Table 6.1: Efferature regarding MEM3 tactile sensors | |
| Table 6.2: Basic dimensions of the sensor structure | . 75 |
| Table 6.3: Piezoresistive element specification | . 79 |
| Table 6.4: Parameters specified for the structural analysis | . 81 |
| Table 6.5: Parameters specified for the Multiphysics analysis | . 87 |
| Table 6.6: Voltage output for each whetstone bridge for Loading condition A | . 93 |
| Table 6.7: Voltage output for each whetstone bridge for Loading condition B | 94 |

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| Abbreviation | Description |
|--------------|---|
| ADC | Analogue to Digital Converter |
| BSF | Breast Self-Examination |
| CAD | Computer Aided Drawing |
| CBE | Clinical Breast Examination |
| CNC | Computer Numerical Controller |
| CT | Computed Tomography |
| DOF | Degrees of Freedom |
| DRE | Digital Rectal Examination |
| FEA | Finite Element Analysis |
| GUI | Graphical User Interface |
| MEMS | Micro Electro Mechanical Systems |
| MIS | Minimally Invasive Surgery |
| MRI | University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka. |
| PSA S | Electronic Theses & Dissertations Prostate Specific Antigen www.lio.mrt.ac.lk |
| PVDF | Polyvinylidene Fluoride |
| PZT | Lead Zirconate Titanate |
| QTC | Quantum Tunneling Composite |
| SOI | Silicon on Insulator |
| TRUS | Transrectal Ultrasound |
| USB | Universal Serial Bus |
| | |

LIST OF APPENDICES

| Appendix | Description | Page |
|----------------|---|--------------|
| Appendix A: So | ensor Enclosure (Design 1) | xviii |
| Appendix B: Pr | roduction Drawings of Sensor Structure (Design 2). | xx |
| Appendix C: M | Naterial Properties Chart | xxiv |
| Appendix D: Pr | roduction Drawings of Sensor structure (Enclosed se | ensor) . xxv |
| Appendix E: A | rduino Code of Graphical User Interface | xxix |
| Appendix F: Pr | roduction Drawings of 5-DOF MEMS Sensor Struct | ure xxxii |

