SCALABLE IN-MEMORY DATA MANAGEMENT MODEL FOR ENTERPRISE APPLICATIONS

Anupama Piyumali Pathirage

(138223D)



Department of Computer Science and Engineering

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

March 2015

SCALABLE IN-MEMORY DATA MANAGEMENT MODEL FOR ENTERPRISE APPLICATIONS

Anupama Piyumali Pathirage

(138223D)



Thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the Master of Science in Computer Science.

Department of Computer Science and Engineering

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

March 2015

DECLARATION

I declare that this is my own work and this dissertation does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my dissertation, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

Signature:	Date:		
Name: Anupama Piyumali Pathirage			
University of More Electronic Theses and that this report is acceptable for evaluation for	ratuwa, Sri Lanka. Lanka. Dissertations date is true to the best of my knowledge the Post Graduate Project.		
Signature:	Date:		

Name: Dr. Amal Shehan Perera

ABSTRACT

Project Title: Scalable In-Memory Data Management Model for Enterprise Applications

Authors: Pathirage A.P

Supervisor/s: Dr.Shehan Perera (Supervisor)

Dr.Malaka Walpola (Coordinator)

With the rapid advances in technology and data volume, having efficient and scalable data management system is essential for most of the enterprise applications. So In-Memory data management systems are becoming the highly used data management solution in most of the time critical enterprise solutions. Although In Memory Data Management Systems are widely used, still they are having problems such as scalability issues, concurrency problems etc. This project is an effort that aims to propose a scalable enterprise solution for in memory data management, identifying the bottlenecks in the current In-Memory Data management systems.

University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.

Although there are various benchmarks are hydidable for Disk Resident Databases, lack of a fair metric for comparing the operation and a database systems has become a problem when selecting the appropriate data management system for enterprise applications. Currently there are various in-memory databases are available and when using them with the enterprise applications, developers have to put lot of effort as there is no standard API/Interfaces available for them.

This research project addresses these two problems by providing an unbiased performance benchmark for various in-memory databases and developing a data connector framework to access different data sources such as in-memory databases, disk resident databases, flat file data bases and in-memory data caches.

This report provides details about the problem background, existing system implementations and current research areas in this domain and how I'm going to achieve the objective.

Keywords: In-Memory Database, In-Memory Data Grid, Disk Resident Database, Data Access Layer, Database Benchmarking

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to thank Dr. Shehan Perera, my supervisor, for his invaluable support, assistance and advices given throughout this project. His expertise and continuous guidance enabled me to complete my work successfully and his help in moderating the content was invaluable. I would also like to thank Dr. Malaka Walpola, the project co-ordinator, for his continuous support and feedback on the structure of the project which motivates me to do my best.

Further I would like to thank all my colleagues for their help on finding relevant research materials, sharing knowledge and experience and for their encouragement. My sincere appreciation goes to my husband and parents for the continuous support and motivation given to me to make this thesis a success.

Finally I would like to thank all my colleagues at DirectFN, who helped me to enhance my knowledge and for the support given to me to manage my MSc research work.



TABLE OF CONTENT

Declaration	I
Abstract	II
Acknowledgement	III
Table of Content	IV
Table of Figures	VI
Table of Tables	VII
List of Abbrevations	VIII
1. Introduction	2
1.1 Problem Background	2
1.2 In-Memory Data Management Systems	4
1.2.1 In-Memory Databases (IMDB)	5
1.2.2 In-Memory Data Grid (IMDG)	6
1.3 Limitations of Existing Solutions	8
1.4 Motivation	8
1.5 Objectives University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.	
2. Literature Review. Electronic Theses & Dissertations	
2.1 Disk Resident Databases vs. 1MD sac.lk	10
2.2 In-Memory Database Architecture	11
2.2.1 Impact of Memory Residency on IMDB functionality	14
2.3 Application of Main Memory Databases	18
2.3.1 IMDB for Embedded Systems	18
2.3.2 IMDB for Enterprise Applications	19
2.4 Performance Benchmarks for In-Memory Database	21
2.4.1 Wisconsin Benchmark	21
2.4.2 TimesTen Performance Throughput Benchmark (TPTBM)	23
2.4.3 Telecom Application Transaction Processing Benchmark(TATP)	24
2.4.4 Transaction Processing Performance Council -C Benchmark(TPCC)	26
2.5 Cloud based In-Memory Databases	27
3. Benchmarking Methodology	29
3.1 Analysis of Comparison and Evaluation Scenarios	29
3.1.1 Overview of Selected IMDB	30
3.1.2 Overview of Selected DRDB	34
3.1.3 Overview of In-Memory Data Caches	35

3	3.1.4	Overview of Flat File Database	36
3	3.1.5	Feature Comparison of Selected Database	37
3.2	2 An	alysis of Benchmark Criteria	37
3	3.2.1	Benchmark Design	39
	3.2.1.	1 System Configuration	39
	3.2.1.	2 Test Data	39
	3.2.1.	3 Benchmark Workload and Experimental Design	40
3	3.2.2	Benchmark Execution	41
3	3.2.3	Benchmark Analysis	42
3.3	3 Re	sults	42
3	3.3.1	Results for Insert Operation	42
3	3.3.2	Results for Select Operation	45
3	3.3.3	Results for Update Operation	48
3	3.3.4	Results for Delete Operation	50
4.]	Frame	work Implementation	53
4.1	l Pro	blem Background	53
4.2	2 De	sign of the Framework	54
4.3	3 Im	plementation Details Electronic Theses & Dissertations	56
2	4.3.1	Implementation of Flat File based DB.	56
4	4.3.2	Implementation of In-Memory Cache	58
4	4.3.3	Implementation of the Framework for Data	61
4.4	4 Pei	formance Analysis of Framework	65
5. (Conclu	sion And Future Work	67
5.1	l Co	nclusion	67
5.2	2 Fu	ture Work	69
6.]	Refere	nces	70

TABLE OF FIGURES

Figure 1 : Moore's Law for Disk Speed	3
Figure 2: In Memory Data Management System	5
Figure 3: IMDG Architecture	7
Figure 4 : Disk Resident Databases vs. IMDS	11
Figure 5 : IMDB Architecture	13
Figure 6 : Usage of IMDB	18
Figure 7 : Enterprise Performance In Memory Cycle	20
Figure 8 : Times Ten Benchmark Throughput update (100% Updates)	24
Figure 9: TATP benchmark on transaction processing time	25
Figure 10 : SQLite Architecture	30
Figure 11: MemSQL Architectue	33
Figure 12 : Elements of Oracle	34
Figure 13 : Database System Benchmark Methodology	38
Figure 14: Example Insert Statement	42
Figure 15: Insert Operation -Run Time Comparison	43
Figure 16: Insert Operation - Transactions per Second Comparison	43
Figure 17: Insert Operation - Concurrent Connections vs TPS	44
Figure 18: Example Select Statement	45
Figure 19: Select Operation - Run Time Comparison	46
Figure 19: Select Operation - Run Time Comparison University of Vioratuwa, Sri Lanka. Figure 20: Select Operation - Transactions Per Second Comparison Flectronic I heses & Dissertations Figure 21: Select Operation - Concurrent Connections vs TPS	46
Figure 21: Select Operation - Concurrent Connections vs TPS	47
Figure 22 : Select with Joins TPS comparison. Ik	47
Figure 23 : Example Update Statement	48
Figure 24: Update Operation - Run Time Comparison	48
Figure 25: Update Operation - Transactions Per Second Comparison	49
Figure 26: Update Operation - Concurrent Connections vs TPS	49
Figure 27 : Example Delete Operation	50
Figure 28: Delete Operation -Run Time Comparison	50
Figure 29: Delete Operation - Transactions Per Second Comparison	51
Figure 30 : Delete Operation - Concurrent Connections vs TPS	51
Figure 31 : Proposed Architecture for Database API	55
Figure 32: Database organization in Flat File DB	56
Figure 33 : Query Execution Method of Flat File DB	57
Figure 34 : Flat File DB - Table Data	58
Figure 35 : Example usage of In-Memory Cache	59
Figure 36: Class Diagram of In-Memory Cache	60
Figure 37 : Class diagram of Data Connection Framework	62
Figure 38 : ExecuteQuery Method for SQLite DB	64
Figure 39 : Example usage of Framework	64
Figure 40: Insert Operation Performance of Framework - With Oracle	65
Figure 41 : Select Operation Performance of Framework - With SQLite	66
Figure 42: Select Operation Performance of Framework	66

TABLE OF TABLES

Table 1 : CSQL Wisconsin Benchmark Results	23
Table 2 : Feature Comparison of Selected Databases	37
Table 3 : Benchmark System Configurations	39
Table 4 : Database Table Data	40
Table 5 : Performance Metrics	41
Table 6: Benchmark Tool Implementation Details	41



LIST OF ABBREVATIONS

Abbreviation		Description
ACID		Atomicity, Consistency, Isolation, Durability
ANSI		American National Standards Institute
API		Application Programming Interface
CDC		Change Data Capture
CPU		Central Processing Unit
CRUD		Create, Read, Update, and Delete
CSV		Comma Separated Values
DAL		Data Access Layer
DBA		Database Administrator
DML		Data Manipulation Language
DRDB		Disk Resident Database
IMDB		fin Meintry Databas Lanka.
IMDG	Electronic T www.lib.mr	heses & Dissertations In-Memory Data Grid
IMDS	W W W.HO.HH	In-Memory Data Management System
IPC		Inter Process Communication
JIT		Just In Time
JDBC		Java Database Connectivity
ODBC		Open Database Connectivity
MMDB		Main Memory Database
MVCC		Multi Version Concurrency Control
RAM		Random Access Memory
RDBMS		Relational Database Management System
RTOS		Real Time Operating System
SQL		Structured Query Language
STL		Standard Template Library
TPS		Transactions per Second