

**CONDITION MONITORING AND ASSESSMENT OF
POWER TRANSFORMERS
USING SWEEP FREQUENCY RESPONSE ANALYSIS
AND DISSOLVE GAS ANALYSIS.**

Meellagahawatta Prathiba Madusri Fernando

(128760K)

Dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of
Master of Science in Electrical Installation

Department of Electrical Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

March 2017

DECLARATION OF THE CANDIDATE AND SUPERVISORS

I declare that this is my own work and this dissertation does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my dissertation, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

.....

Signature of the candidate

Date:

(M.P.M. Fernando)

The above candidate has carried out research for the Masters dissertation under my supervision.

.....

Signature of the supervisor

Date:

(Prof. J.R. Lucas)

.....

Signature of the supervisor

Date:

(Dr. W.D.A.S. Rodrigo)

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Foremost, I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my supervisors Prof. J.R. Lucas and Dr. W.A. Rodrigo for the continuous support given for the research, for the motivation, enthusiasm and immense knowledge.

Further, I would like to pay my gratitude to all the lecturers engaged in the MSc course sessions for making our vision broader and providing us with the opportunity to improve our knowledge in various fields.

My sincere thanks also should go to Employees of Ceylon Electricity Board, Mrs. Dhammika Tilakasena, former Deputy General Manager and Mr. W. Edussuriya, Deputy General Manager (Asset Management-Transmission), Mr. W.G.V. Priyantha, Chief Engineer (Asset Management-Transmission), my colleague Engineers who work with me in Asset Management-Transmission branch and all the office staff there who always gave their cooperation to conduct my research work.

Moreover, I would like to thank all the Engineers and technical staff in Operation and Maintenance branch, who have helped in collecting data related to my research work. It is also a great pleasure to remember the kind cooperation of all my colleagues who have helped me in this Post Graduate programme by extending their support during the research period.

My special thanks go to my wife Mrs. Sanali Kulasooriya, and my parents Mr. Patrick Fernando and Mrs. Murin Fernando for supporting me spiritually throughout my life and tolerating my engagement on this work.

M.P.M. Fernando

ABSTRACT

Power transformer can be considered as the key element in an electricity power system. Cost and the time of installation of a power transformer are significantly higher than the installation of other equipment. Applied electrical and thermal stresses due to various factors always deteriorate the condition of transformers. In this sense, it is important to monitor and assess the condition of Power Transformers to ensure longer operation as well as to maintain a reliable operation of a power system.

Sweep Frequency Response Analysis (SFRA) can be used to assess the mechanical integrity of transformers. The test measures the transfer function response of the active part of the transformer. The three frequency responses of three phases should be identical except to inherited variations, and therefore, it can be considered as a fingerprint for a transformer. If any physical changes occur within and between the elements of the transformer, it will affect the frequency response, which can be used to detect any abnormality. However, correct interpretation of the measured response in determining the transformer condition is a critical challenge, as interpretation of frequency response is still not fully established.

On the other hand, Dissolve Gas Analysis (DGA) can be used to assess the possible stresses that could have been applied on the oil immersed transformers based on the concentration of specific gases dissolved in oil. Several diagnosis tools recommended by international standards are available and they have been using by utilities over a period of time with reasonable reliability.

In this study, SFRA and DGA measurement data were collected from set of power transformers in operation, and analyzed those approaching to develop a methodology to assess the condition of power transformers, correlating outcomes from SFRA and DGA. For this, transformers taken for the study were categorized based on their SFRA data by analyzing behavior of the response of the three phases and their

similarity. Several indices were introduced to quantify the similarity. In the other hand, the selected transformers were categorized based on their DGA data considering the recommendations provided by available standards and diagnosis tools. Further, a Computing Tool was developed using MATLAB, for the easy evaluation of the SFRA and DGA measurements.

Finally, several case studies were carried out justifying the proposed methodology verifying the benchmarking of the introduced indices against several faulty and good transformers.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLARATION OF THE CANDIDATE AND SUPERVISORS.....	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	ii
ABSTRACT.....	iii
LIST OF FIGURES.....	viii
LIST OF TABLES.....	x
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS.....	xi
LIST OF APPENDICES.....	xii
Chapter 1- INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Background.....	1
1.2 Requirement of Methodology for Condition Monitoring.....	2
1.3 Objective of the Study.....	2
1.4 Methodology.....	3
Chapter 2- LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1 Sweep Frequency Response Analysis (SFRA).....	6
2.1.1 SFRA theory.....	6
2.1.2 Use of SFRA.....	8
2.1.2.1 SFRA base line measurement.....	8
2.1.2.2 SFRA diagnostic application.....	8
2.1.3 SFRA measurement method.....	9
2.1.4 Measurement types and test connections.....	10
2.1.4.1 Open circuit measurement.....	10
2.1.4.2 Short circuit measurement.....	10
2.1.4.3 Inter winding measurement.....	10
2.1.5 Characteristics of SFRA plot.....	10
2.1.5.1 Regions of the SFRA plot.....	10
2.1.5.2 Behavior of the SFRA plot.....	12
2.1.6 Classification of failure modes using SFRA.....	14
2.2 Dissolve Gas Analysis (DGA).....	16
2.2.1 Formation of gases.....	16

2.2.1.1	Decomposition of oil in power transformers.....	16
2.2.1.2	Decomposition of cellulosic insulation.....	16
2.2.1.3	Other sources of gas.....	17
2.2.2	Types of faults.....	17
2.2.3	Diagnosis tools.....	18
2.2.3.1	Key Gas analysis.....	19
2.2.3.2	Dornenburg’s analysis.....	20
2.2.3.3	Rogers’s Ratio analysis.....	21
2.2.3.4	Duval’s Triangle analysis.....	22
2.2.4	Gas concentration levels.....	24
2.2.4.1	Typical concentration values.....	24
2.2.4.2	Calculating own 90% typical values for a network.....	25

Chapter 3- DATA ANALYSIS AND OUTPUTS

3.1	SFRA Data Collection.....	26
3.1.1	Measuring instrument.....	28
3.1.2	Measurement data format.....	29
3.2	SFRA Data Analysis.....	29
3.2.1	Comparison tools.....	30
3.2.1.1	Absolute Sum of Logarithmic Error (ASLE).....	30
3.2.1.2	Cross correlation coefficient (CCF).....	31
3.2.1.3	Turning function and trace comparison.....	32
3.3	DGA Data Collection.....	34
3.3.1	Oil sample collection and measurement of gases.....	34
3.4	DGA Data Analysis.....	36
3.5	Development of the Methodology for Condition Assessment.....	38
3.5.1	SFRA approach.....	38
3.5.2	DGA approach.....	41
3.5.3	The method flow.....	43
3.5.4	MATLAB Computing Tool.....	46

Chapter 4- CASE STUDIES

4.1	Case Study 01.....	49
-----	--------------------	----

4.2 Case Study 02.....	53
4.3 Case Study 03.....	56
4.4 Case Study 04.....	62
Chapter 5- CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
5.1 Conclusion.....	68
5.2 Recommendations.....	71
5.2.1 Future works.....	72
Reference List.....	73
Appendices.....	75

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure No.	Description	Page
Figure 2.1:	Two port network.....	6
Figure 2.2:	Typical SFRA plot.....	7
Figure 2.3:	Schematic of the frequency response measurement circuit.....	9
Figure 2.4:	Separation of the regions for the study purpose.....	11
Figure 2.5:	Parallel and series resonant point.....	13
Figure 2.6:	n-stage lumped network with mutual coupling.....	14
Figure 2.7:	Graphical representation of Duval's triangle.....	23
Figure 3.1:	Test connections for the measurements.....	27
Figure 3.2:	Overview of the OMICRON FRAnalyzer.....	28
Figure 3.3:	Deviation in gain of two SFRA measurements.....	30
Figure 3.4:	Representation of the Turning Function of a SFRA measurement.....	33
Figure 3.5:	Glass syringe used to collect oil sample.....	34
Figure 3.6:	Adding air in to the syringe through a CO ₂ filter and shake for gas extraction.....	35
Figure 3.7:	Diagram of gas chromatograph.....	35
Figure 3.8:	Flow chart of the method for concision assessment using SFRA and DGA data.....	44
Figure 3.9:	Snapshot of the GUI of the computing tool.....	46
Figure 4.1:	AssessCon condition prediction for Kiribathkumbura TR01.....	50
Figure 4.2:	HV open SFRA measurement of Kiribathkumbura TR01.....	51
Figure 4.3:	Zoomed view of the deviated area shown in Figure 4.2.....	51
Figure 4.4:	AssessCon condition prediction for failed Ukuwela TR01.....	54
Figure 4.5:	SFRA plot of Ukuwela TR01 for HV open configuration.....	55
Figure 4.6:	SFRA plot of Ukuwela TR01 for HV short configuration.....	55
Figure 4.7:	SFRA plot of Ukuwela TR01 for LV open configuration.....	56
Figure 4.8:	AssessCon condition prediction for Veyangoda TR02.....	58
Figure 4.9:	LV open SFRA measurement of Veyangoda TR02.....	59
Figure 4.10:	Zoomed view of the deviated area shown in Figure 4.9.....	59
Figure 4.11:	HV short SFRA measurement of Veyangoda TR02.....	60
Figure 4.12:	Zoomed view of the deviated area shown in Figure 4.11.....	60
Figure 4.13:	AssessCon condition prediction for Kilinochchi TR03.....	63

Figure 4.14: Deviation in the low frequency range of HV open SFRA measurement.....	64
Figure 4.15: Deviation in the low frequency range of LV open SFRA measurement.....	64
Figure 4.16: AssessCon condition prediction for Kilinochchi TR03 after core demagnetization.....	66
Figure 4.17: Frequency response of HV open after demagnetizing.....	66
Figure 4.18: Frequency response of LV open after demagnetizing.....	67

LIST OF TABLES

Table No.	Description	Page
Table 1.1:	Assessment of Transformer Health Condition.....	03
Table 2.1:	Frequency sub band sensitivity.....	15
Table 2.2:	Key gas analysis.....	19
Table 2.3:	Status information.....	19
Table 2.4:	Key gas fault diagnosis.....	20
Table 2.5:	Dornenburg's limits for individual gases.....	21
Table 2.6:	Dornenburg's diagnosis.....	21
Table 2.7:	Fault gas ratios.....	22
Table 2.8:	Roger's ratio diagnosis.....	22
Table 2.9:	Duval's triangle fault zones.....	23
Table 2.10:	Typical gas levels of Power Transformers (ppm)	24
Table 3.1:	Calculated 90% gas concentration values.....	37
Table 3.2:	Ranges of 90% typical concentration values observed in power transformers given in IEC guidelines.....	37
Table 3.3:	Categorization limits for SFRAI	41
Table 3.4:	Weights and scores allocated for the each gas based on their level of presence.....	42
Table 3.5:	Categorization of transformers according to the level of gases.....	43
Table 3.6:	Overall condition prediction.....	46
Table 3.7:	Algorithm for the overall condition prediction.....	48
Table 4.1:	Gas concentrations recorded in Kiribathkumbura TR01.....	49
Table 4.2:	Gas concentrations recorded in Ukuwela TR01.....	50
Table 4.3:	Gas concentrations recorded in Veyangoda TR02.....	53
Table 4.4:	Test results of magnetic balance test of Kilinochchi TR03.....	54
Table 4.5:	Calculated indexes based on SFRA measurement data for Kiribathkumbura TR01.....	57
Table 4.6:	Gas concentrations recorded in Kiribathkumbura TR01.....	57
Table 4.7:	Calculated indexes based on SFRA measurement data for Ukuwela TR01.....	62
Table 4.8:	Gas concentrations recorded in Ukuwela TR01.....	62
Table 4.9:	Calculated indexes based on SFRA measurement data for Veyangoda TR02.....	65

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation	Description
CCF	Cross Correlation Coefficient
CEB	Ceylon Electricity Board
DGA	Dissolve Gas Analysis
DGAI	Dissolve Gas Analysis Index
GS	Grid Substation
HV	High Voltage
LV	Low Voltage
SFRA	Sweep Frequency Response Analysis
TDCG	Total Dissolved Combustible Gas

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix	Description	Page
Appendix-A:	Sample set of data of a SFRA measurement.....	75
Appendix-B:	Calculated average deviations in dB.....	76
Appendix-C:	Calculated CCF values.....	80
Appendix-D:	Calculated distance values correspond to turning functions of SFRA measurement.....	84
Appendix-E:	Weights and scores derived for the calculation of each index, for four regions.....	88
Appendix-F:	Calculated values for ASLE, CCFI and DisTF for four regions.....	100
Appendix-G:	The SFRAI values for the four regions.....	103
Appendix-H:	A sample test report of dissolved gas concentration measurement.....	104
Appendix-I:	Concentration levels of dissolved gases and the different diagnoses based on the concentration.....	105
Appendix-J:	DGAI and categorization of transformers	108
Appendix-K:	MATLAB coding of the computing tool	111