

LB/DON/10/04

①

PDA TEST AS A METHOD OF PILE TESTING FOR BORED AND CAST IN-SITU R.C. PILES END BEARING ON ROCK

Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements
for the Degree of Master of Science in Geotechnical
Engineering



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka
Electronic Theses & Dissertations
www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

624.154

624.154

R.M. ABEYSINGHE

UM Thesis coll.

University of Moratuwa



79573


79573

Department of Civil Engineering
University of Moratuwa
Sri Lanka
December 2003

79573

DECLARATION

The work included in this thesis in part or whole, has not been submitted for any other academic qualification at any institute


.....
Signature of the candidate

Certified


.....
Signature of the supervisor

 University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka
Electronic Theses & Dissertations
www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

ABSTRACT

The Pile Driving Analyzer (PDA) is a powerful tool to assess pile driving and High Strain Dynamic Pile (HSDP) load testing which may supplement or replace static testing. The PDA is seeing increasing usage in the testing of the various types of cast-in-place piles. In some parts of the world, this type of testing is the most common use for the PDA. This solution is particularly attractive for very large, high capacity piles where the large capacity makes a static test extremely costly.

The rapidly increased use of pile foundations and the appearance of the new driving techniques, as well as stress wave measurement equipment have led researchers to look for better understanding of the dynamic and static behavior of the hammer-pile –soil system and to develop more reliable methods of pile analysis. The reliability of the pile driving analysis is primarily a function of the accuracy of the soil parameters and the theological model of the soil. The application of stress wave theory on piles is critically revived in literature.

The scope of this study is an attempt to find a reliable range for dynamic soil parameters for end bearing bored piles in residual formation and to improve the accuracy of the wave equation analysis methods. The investigation has been focused on the comparison of the soil parameters used in practice from those used in conventional soil mechanics and soil dynamics. The solution of the stress wave equation is based on Smith's approach. Dynamic analysis of the field-recorded data was performed using CAPWAP (Case Pile Wave Analysis Program) and based on the Case Method. Ground investigation is done for evaluation of static soil properties before installation of piles. Static pile load tests were also performed on the same pile which were subjected to dynamic load test to study the load settlement behavior of the bored cast insitu piles. Further more, the static piles capacity variation of driven piles with time termed set-up effect was studied in details for piles driven in residual formations.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This research work has been carried out at the University of Moratuwa with the support of Sierra Construction Ltd during years 2002-2003.

The author would like to express his sincere thanks and acknowledgement to:

- Dr. H.S Thilakasiri and Prof. B.L. Tennakoon, the supervisors of this research work, for their constant, friendly support, valuable discussions and critical reading of the manuscript.
- All the academic and technical staff of soil mechanics laboratory and rock mechanics laboratory for the kind assistance in field and laboratory testing work.
- Mr. Nimal Lokuge, Mr. M.A.J Somathilaka and all the staff members of the Sierra Piling & Geotechnical Divisions for supplying necessary computer software, machinery and equipments
- Pile Dynamic Inc USA for providing literature


The author has been financially supported by Asian Development Bank and the Ministry of Science and Technology, Sri Lanka during the course of the work.



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka
Electronic Theses & Dissertations
www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

R.M. Abeysinghe
01st December 2003


Contents	Page
Abstract	i
Acknowledgement	ii
Contents	iii
List of Figures	vi
List of Tables	viii
Chapter 1 Introduction	1
1.1 General	1
1.2.1 Objective of the Study	3
Chapter 2 Literature Survey	5
2.1 Introduction	5
2.2 Evaluation of bearing capacity of piles by static methods	5
2.2.1 General Approach	5
2.2.2 Meyerhof's empirical correlation	8
2.3 Evaluation of the ultimate load capacity of piles by insitu tests	8
2.3.1 Static Cone Penetration test	8
2.3.2 Dynamic Penetration Test	8
2.4 Correlation between sounding resistance for different sounding methods	10
2.5 Piles bearing on rock- driven pile	12
2.6 Bored and Cast in place piles	15
2.7 Evaluation of the ultimate load capacity of piles using dynamic methods	17
2.7 Pile Driving Formulae	17
2.7.1 Drawbacks of pile driving formulas	19
2.7.2 Application of stress wave theory on piles	20
2.7.2.1 Smith Idealization	21
2.7.2.2 Pile Model – Internal Spring	21
2.7.2.3 Soil Model –External Spring	22
2.7.2.4 Basic Equation	23
2.7.2.5 Input Data for Smith's approach	24
2.7.2.6 Modification of Smith's damping factor	27
2.7.3 Development of Smith's approach	27
2.7.4 The WEAP Program	28
2.7.5 The CAPWAP Program	28
2.7.6 The Approach of the Randolph and Simon	28
2.7.6.1 Interaction of the pile shaft	28
2.7.6.2 Interaction at the base	29
2.7.7 Holeyman's Approach	30
2.7.7.1 Hysteretic damping	31
2.7.7.2 Radiation Damping	31
2.7.7.3 Resistance damping	31
2.7.8 Behavior of Shaft resistance	33
2.7.9 Smith and Chow's Approach (Finite element Method)	33
2.7.10 The Case Method	33
2.8 Pile Load Tests	34
2.8.1 Static Pile load tests	34
2.8.2 Osterberg Cell Test	36
2.8.3 High Strain Dynamic Pile Load Test (PDA Test)	37

2.8.3.1	PDA Measurement	37
2.8.3.2	The pile Driving Analyzer	37
2.8.3.3	CAPWAP Method	38
2.8.3.4	CAPWAPC Method	40
2.8.3.5	The CAPWAP Model	40
2.8.3.5.1	The pile model	40
2.8.3.5.2	The Soil Model	41
2.8.3.5	Basic Relationships	41
2.8.4.1	Unloading and reloading	42
2.8.4.2	Toe Model Extension Gap and Plug	44
2.8.4.3	Damping	44
2.8.4.4	Radiation Damping in CAPWAP	44
2.8.5	Algorithm for Wave Propagation	47
2.8.5.1	The uniform pile	47
2.8.5.2	The non uniform pile	48
2.8.5.3	Pile Slack	48
2.8.5.4	Pile Damping	49
2.8.5.5	Pile Top Quantities and Analysis Type	49
2.8.5.6	Pile Toe	49
2.8.5.7	Extra Pile Toes	49
2.8.5.8	Pile Variable and Integration	50
2.8.6	Dynamic Soil Parameters	50
2.8.7	Damping Multiplier	51
2.9.	Strength Gain of Driven Pile	52
2.9.1	Mathematical Modeling of Thixotropy	52
 Sri Lanka Electronic Theses & Dissertations www.lib.mrt.ac.lk		
Chapter 3	Methodology	55
3.1	Scope of the work-Capacity Estimation of Drilled Shaft Bearing on rock	55
3.2	Ground Investigation	55
3.3	Pile Installation	55
3.4	Pile Preparation	55
3.5	Stress Wave Measurement	56
3.6	Measuring pile top measurement under static load	57
3.7	From Dynamic Methods	57
3.8	Static Methods for Bored Piles in Residual Soils	57
3.9	The CAPWAP Procedure	57
3.9.1	Residual Stress Analysis (RSA)	58
3.9.2	The Multiple Blow Analysis (MBA)	60
3.9.3	Static Analysis	61
Chapter 04 -Capacity Measurement of Bored Cast In-situ RC Piles Bearing on Rock and Estimation of Dynamic Soil Parameters		62
4.1	Introduction	62
4.2	Correlation Considerations	63
4.3	Correlation of static load movement results	64
4.4	Data Analyses	64
4.5	Case Studies –Static and Dynamic Load Test Comparisons	64
4.5.1	Case 1: Bored Cast-In-Situ End Bearing Pile at Karapitiya – Galle	64
4.5.1.1	Results from the Soil Investigation	65

4.5.1.2	Instrumentation of the pile	67
4.5.1.3	Results of Wave Measurement and CAPWAP analysis	67
4.5.1.4	Static load test	72
4.5.2.1	Case Study-II Bored Cast In-Situ End Bearing Pile at Trincomalee	73
4.5.2.2	Site Conditions	74
4.5.3	Case III Pile Testing at Kalaniya Century Properties piling Project	81
4.5.3.1	Site Conditions	81
4.6	Case Study Dynamic Load Test Results	92
4.6.1	Case IV Bored Cast-In-Situ End Bearing Piles on Rock at 2000 plaza	92
4.6.2	Case V –Bored Cast In-Situ End bearing piles at Wattala	96
4.6.3	Case VI Malambe Precast RC Driven Pile	102
4.6.4	Case Study VII Bored Cast insitu End Bearing Rock Socket Pile at Kirulapone	103
4.6.5	Case Study VII Bored Cast insitu Rock Socket End Bearing pile at Rajagiriya	106
4.6.6	Case Study IX Bored Cast Insitu Rock Socket End Bearing piles at Wattala	108
4.6.7	Case study X Bored Cast insitu Rock Socket End Bearing pile at Narahenpita	111
Chapter 5 –Discussion, Recommendation and Conclusions		115
5.1	Resistance distribution	115
5.2	Dynamic Soil Parameters	119
5.2.1	Damping factors	119
5.2.2	Soil Quakes	121
5.2.3	Radiation Damping	122
5.3	Pile Type	122
5.4	Recommendation for the further study	123

List of Figures

- Figure 2.1 Axially loaded pile
- Figure 2.2 Values of Z_c/d and $K_s \tan \phi'_a$ for piles in sand (after Vesic 1967 and Meyerhof 1976)
- Figure 2.3 Relationship between N_q and ϕ (after Berezantzev et al, 1961)
- Figure 2.4 Calculation diagram of the ultimate point bearing capacity per unit area
- Figure 2.5 Relationship between penetration resistance and angle of friction, ϕ .
- Figure 2.6 Correlation between cone resistance and SPT values.
- Figure 2.7 Bearing capacity factors for foundations on rock (1980)
- Figure 2.8 Reduction factor for rock socket skin friction
- Figure 2.9 Reduction factor for discontinuities in rock mass
- Figure 2.10 Mass factor
- Figure 2.11 Schematic diagram of pile driving
- Figure 2.12 The process of energy transfers and pile penetration
- Figure 2.13 Smith pile soil model
- Figure 2.14 Load deformation relationship for internal spring
- Figure 2.15 Load deformation relationships for soil
- Figure 2.16 Effective angle of internal shearing resistance ϕ' Vs damping constant for sand, J
- Figure 2.17 Liquidity index Vs damping constant for clay
- Figure 2.18 Concept of equivalent solid
- Figure 2.19 PDA Configuration
- Figure 2.20 CAPWAP Program flow
- Figure 2.21 CAPWAP Pile model
- Figure 2.22 The Smith soil resistance model
- Figure 2.23 Static Shaft Resistance
- Figure 2.24 Static toe resistance
- Figure 2.25 The extended CAPWAP soil resistance model (a) shaft (b) toe
- Figure 3.1 Instrumented pile
- Figure 3.2a Variation of μ for different dynamic formulae
- Figure 3.3 Load settlement curves
- Figure 3.4 Capacity variation with time
- Figure 3.5 Measured and estimated capacity from Skov and Denver (1988) for the case study
- Figure 3.6 Measured and estimated capacity from Svinkin et al. (2002) and Chun et al. (1999) for the case study
- Figure 4.1 Location of selected sites
- Figure 4.2 Depth versus subsoil profile and SPT N values
- Figure 4.3 (a), (b) strain transducer and accelerometer attachment (c) hammer impact to the pile
- Figure 4.4 Measured force and velocity near pile top
- Figure 4.5 Measures and Computed force
- Figure 4.6 Variations of Damping Factors
- Figure 4.7 Variations of Soil Quakes
- Figure 4.8 Skin resistance distribution for different analysis
- Figure 4.9 Load Settlement Curves predicted by CAPWAP
- Figure 4.10 Measured pile top settlement under static load
- Figure 4.11 Pile Top Settlement under static and dynamic loads
- Figure 4.12 Sub soil profile

- Figure 4.13 Measured force and velocity
- Figure 4.14 Measured and computed force
- Figure 4.15 Variation of damping factors
- Figure 4.16 Variations of Soil Quakes
- Figure 4.17 Skin resistance distributions
- Figure 4.18 Predicted pile top settlement by CAPWAP
- Figure 4.19 Measured pile top settlement under static load
- Figure 4.20 Pile Top Settlement under static and dynamic loads
- Figure 4.21 Sub Soil Profile
- Figure 4.22 Measured force & velocity P-23
- Figure 4.23 Calculated and measured force P 23
- Figure 4.24 Measured and Calculated force P 13
- Figure 4.25 Measured velocity and force P-13
- Figure 4.27 Variation of damping factor for pile P-23
- Figure 4.28 Variation of damping factor for pile P-13
- Figure 4.29 Variation of soil quakes P-23
- Figure 4.30 Variation of soil quakes P-13
- Figure 4.31 Variation of Skin friction p-23
- Figure 32 Variation of skin resistance P-13
- Figure 33 Load Settlement Curves for different CAPWAP analysis P 23
- Figure 34 Load Settlement Curves for different CAPWAP analysis P 13
- Figure 35 Load Settlement Curves from static load test P-23
- Figure 36 Load Settlement Curves from static load test P-13
- Figure 37 Load Settlement Curves for Static and different CAPWAP analysis P-23
- Figure 38 Load Settlement Curves for Static and different CAPWAP analysis P-13
- Figure 4.38 Subsoil profile  Electronic Theses & Dissertations
www.lib.mrt.ac.lk
- Figure 4.39 Force and velocity plots near pile top (a) Measured (b) Matched
- Figure 4.40 (a) Skin resistance distribution, (b) Load Settlement curves
- Figure 4.41 Sub soil profile
- Figure 4.42 (a) Measured velocity and force, (b) Matched force
- Figure 4.43 (a) Resistance distribution, (b) load Settlement curve
- Figure 4.44 Sub soil profile
- Figure 4.45 (a) Measured velocity and force, (b) Measured and matched force.
- Figure 4.46 (a) Soil resistance distribution (b) pile top/bottom settlement
- Figure 4.47 Subsoil profile
- Figure 4.48 (a) measured force and velocity, (b) measured and matched force
- Figure 4.49 (a) soil resistance distribution (b) pile top/bottom settlement
- Figure 4.50 Soil profile
- Figure 4.51 (a) Measured force and velocity, (b) Matched force
- Figure 4.52 (a) Soil resistance distribution, (b) Pile top/bottom settlement
- Figure 4.53 Sub soil profile
- Figure 4.54 (a) Measured force and velocity, (b) Matched force
- Figure 4.55 (a) Soil resistance distribution (b) Pile top/bottom settlement
- Figure 4.56 Subsoil Profile
- Figure 4.57 (a) Measured force and velocity (b) Matched force
- Figure 4.58 (a) Soil resistance distribution (b) pile top/bottom settlement
- Figure 5.1 (a) Skin resistance distributions along pile shaft together with SPT N values at the pile location based on soil investigation report-test pile at Karapitiya Galle
- Figure 5.1 (b) Skin resistance distributions along pile shaft together with SPT N values at the pile location based on soil investigation report-test pile at Trincomalee

- Figure 5.1 (c) Skin resistance distributions along pile shaft together with SPT N values at the pile location based on soil investigation report-test pile at Rajagiriya
- Figure 5.1 (d) Skin resistance distributions along pile shaft together with SPT N values at the pile location based on soil investigation report-test piles at Kalaniya

List of Table

Table 2.1	Values of K_1
Table 2.2	Soil type VS Friction angle
Table 2.3	Observed ultimate base resistance values from plate or loading tests on weak rock
Table 2.4	Empirical values of Soil Quakes(Q), Damping Factor(J) and side adhesion
Table 2.5	Inputs and Outputs for different wave equation program
Table 3.1	Part of Data collected during PDA testing
Table 3.2a	Mean and standard deviation (STD) of μ for driven piles in residual formations
Table 3.2b	Data from dynamic load testing of bored piles and ratios between the predicted and measured skin frictional resistance.
Table 3.2c	Toe resistance mobilized and the rock properties
Table 3.3a	Soil layers near the test pile
Table 3.3	Capacity variation with time
Table 3.4	Parameters used in predictions
Table 4.1	Description of test pile
Table 4.2	Designed Soil Parameter Values
Table 4.3	Dynamic Soil Parameters
Table 4.4	Description of test pile
Table 4.5	CAPWAP numerical data
Table 4.6	Description of the test pile
Table 4.7	Dynamic Soil Parameters for pile P23
Table 4.8	Dynamic Soil Parameters for pile P13
Table 4.8	Description of test pile
Table 4.9	Dynamic soil parameters
Table 4.10	Description of the test piles
Table 4.11	Dynamic soil parameters
Table 4.12	Dynamic soil parameters
Table 4.13	Description of the test pile
Table 4.14	Dynamic soil parameters
Table 4.15	Description of the test pile
Table 4.16	Dynamic soil parameters
Table 4.17	Description of the test pile
Table 4.18	Dynamic soil parameters
Table 4.20	Dynamic soil parameters
Table 5.1	Maximum, Average, Minimum Damping Factors for CAPWAP and WEAP obtain during the present analyses
Table 5.2	Estimated Case Damping factors for Case Method
Table 5.3	Smith Shaft Damping; toe Damping Values Vs soil types from Best Match and Radiation Damping obtained from data analyses
Table 5.4	Shaft Quakes and Toe Quakes Vs soil type from best match and radiation damping obtained from data analyses