


LB/DON/25/04

OPTIMIZATION OF THE EFFLUENT TREATMENT
SYSTEM (ANAEROBIC/AEROBIC) FOR RUBBER
INDUSTRY BY KINETIC MODELING



Indika Upuli Hettiarachchi

This thesis was submitted to the Department of Chemical & Process
Engineering of the University of Moratuwa in partial fulfillment of the
requirements for the Degree of M.Sc. in Polymer Technology
(2000/2001).

Department of Chemical & Process Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka.

December, 2003.

UM Thesis coll.

University of Moratuwa



79629

79629

79629

Contents

| | <u>Page number</u> |
|------------------------------------|--------------------|
| Declaration | I |
| Abstract | II, III, IV |
| Acknowledgement | V |
| List of tables, figures, annexures | VI, VII, VIII, IX |
| List of symbols & acronyms | X, XI |

Chapter 1: Introduction

| | |
|---|---|
| 1.1 The natural rubber industry in Sri Lanka | 1 |
| 1.2 Environmental problems caused by the natural rubber industry in Sri Lanka | 2 |
| 1.3 Environmental pollution control in rubber processing industry | 3 |
| 1.4 Scope of the study | 4 |
| 1.5 Objectives | 5 |



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka
Electronic Theses & Dissertations
www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

Chapter 2: Literature Review

| | |
|--|----|
| 2.1 Rubber effluent characteristics | 6 |
| 2.1.1 Concentrated latex production process | 7 |
| 2.1.1.1 Production process | 7 |
| 2.1.1.2 In-plant pollution control | 11 |
| 2.1.2 Processing of latex into crepe rubber | 11 |
| 2.1.2.1 Production processes | 11 |
| 2.1.2.2 In-plant pollution control | 15 |
| 2.2 Treatment of wastewater | 18 |
| 2.2.1 Classification of wastewater treatment methods | 18 |
| 2.2.1.1 Physical treatment methods | 18 |
| 2.2.1.2 Chemical treatment methods | 18 |
| 2.2.1.3 Biological treatment methods | 19 |

| | |
|--|-----------|
| 2.3 Biological treatment processes | 19 |
| 2.4 The RRI based cost effective biological treatment process | 20 |
| 2.4.1 The mechanism of anaerobic digestion | 24 |
| 2.4.2 Biological processes in the aerobic tanks | 26 |
| 2.4.3 Factors depending on the growth of bacteria | 27 |
| 2.4.3.1 The pH | 27 |
| 2.4.3.2 The alkalinity | 28 |
| 2.4.3.3 The temperature | 28 |
| 2.4.3.4 Nutrients | 28 |
| 2.5 Biological treatment for industrial wastewater | 29 |
| 2.5.1 Nutrient & growth factor requirements | 30 |
| 2.5.2 Kinetics of biological growth | 30 |
| 2.5.2.1 Cell growth | 31 |
| 2.5.2.1.1 Cell growth & substrate utilization | 31 |
| 2.5.2.1.2 Effects of endogenous metabolism | 31 |
| 2.5.2.1.3 Effects of temperature | 32 |
| 2.6 Activated-sludge process | 34 |
| 2.6.1 Process design | 35 |
| 2.6.2 Process description | 35 |
| 2.6.3 Process microbiology | 36 |
| 2.6.4 Process analysis | 37 |
| 2.6.5 Process design & control relationships | 39 |
| 2.6.5.1 Sludge production | 40 |
| 2.6.5.2 Oxygen requirements & transfer | 40 |
| 2.6.5.3 Nutrient requirements | 41 |
| 2.6.5.4 Effluent characteristics | 41 |
| 2.6.6 Process control | 41 |

| | |
|---|----|
| 2.6.6.1 Dissolved- oxygen control | 42 |
| 2.6.6.2 Return activated-sludge control | 43 |
| 2.6.6.3 Sludge wasting | 44 |
| 2.6.6.4 Oxygen-uptake rates | 45 |
| | |
| Chapter 3: Materials & Methods | |
| 3.1 The experimental apparatus | 46 |
| 3.1.1 Measurement of BOD using BOD apparatus | 47 |
| 3.1.2 The VSS test | 48 |
| 3.2 Operating conditions of the full-scale activated-sludge process | 48 |
| | |
| Chapter 4: Results & Discussion | 50 |
| 4.1 Calculation of important parameters (Y , k_d , K_s , k , μ_m) | |
| 4.1.1 Determination of Y & k_d | 51 |
| 4.1.2 Determination of K_s , k , μ_m | 52 |
| 4.1.3 Full-scale plant data | 57 |
| | |
| Chapter 5: Conclusions | 65 |
| | |
| References | |



Declaration

I hereby declare that this submission is a result of work carried out by me & to the best of my knowledge, it contains no material previously written or published by another person nor material which has been accepted for the award of any degree or acceptable qualification of a university, or other institute of higher learning except where the due reference to the material is made.

J. U. Hettiarachchi
Indika Upuli Hettiarachchi.
December, 2003.



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.
Electronic Theses & Dissertations
www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

Abstract

Raw rubber processing factories generate large amounts of wastewater containing organic pollutants & various process chemicals. Factory effluents exhibit high BOD (Biochemical Oxygen Demand) & COD (Chemical Oxygen Demand) concentrations, ammonia & suspended solids that are amenable to biological treatment methods.

Rubber Research Institute (RRI) of Sri Lanka developed a novel & cost effective biological effluent treatment technique for rubber-processing effluents discharged by crepe rubber & centrifuged latex factories. Treatment system, based on high rate anaerobic digestion coupled with aerobic stabilization also consists of settling & sand filtration. The main feature of this technique is the use of a low cost, septic tank type anaerobic digester filled up with coir fibres for the attachment of useful microorganisms for effective biological conversion. Biological kinetic expressions have been derived for the design & control of effluent treatment plants where aerobic digestion is used commonly as the only treatment method. The kinetic coefficients in these expressions are widely used in design calculations. For a specified waste, a given biological community & a particular set of operating conditions the kinetic coefficients are fixed. Kinetic coefficients used for the design of domestic effluent treatment plants cannot be applied for the design of industrial effluent treatment plants as the waste composition & biological communities involved are different. Also kinetic coefficients for the anaerobically pretreated wastewater could be very different to those of the raw wastewater even for the same type of waste. No kinetic study has been carried out yet for the RRI developed treatment process for making possible improvements & modifications for optimal operation & performance of the aerobic treatment system to reduce capital, operational & maintenance costs under low loading conditions.

The objective of this study is to find out the kinetic coefficients required for the design of activated sludge process from anaerobically pretreated rubber industry

wastewater. The obtained values of kinetic coefficients were used to model an existing treatment system.

A pilot-scale continuously aerated stirred tank was used as a model reactor. Reactor was operated without a recycle stream & fed with a steady flow of anaerobically pretreated wastewater obtained from a full-scale rubber industry effluent treatment plant. Samples were taken for five different runs at five different mean-cell residence times (θ_c). BOD & MLVSS (Mixed Liquor Volatile Suspended Solids) of each sample for each run were measured according to Standard Methods for the Examination of Water & Wastewater.

The following kinetic coefficients were estimated by a graphical method using measured data & the standard kinetic expressions.

- Y = cell yield coefficient
- k_d = cell decay coefficient
- K_s = half-velocity constant
- k = maximum substrate concentration per unit mass of microorganisms
- μ_m = maximum specific growth rate).

The obtained kinetic coefficients show significant differences to those of domestic wastewater reported in literature. Maximum substrate concentration per unit mass of microorganisms (k) is less than one-half of the corresponding value for domestic wastewater. This implies more than double the concentration of microorganisms is required to be maintained in the aeration tank than that for domestic wastewater. Half-velocity constant (K_s) is more than double the concentration of the corresponding value for domestic wastewater. It implies that the microorganisms have high affinity to anaerobically digested substrate. This could be expected because most anaerobically digested intermediate products & end products are considered good substrates for heterotrophic organisms. The cell yield coefficient (Y) is comparatively higher & the cell decay coefficient (k_d) relatively lower than those for domestic wastewater leading to a higher μ_m .

maximum specific growth rate. Therefore a richer microorganism concentration could be expected in the aeration tank.

Obtained kinetic coefficients were used to model an existing activated sludge treatment system. The minimum mean-cell residence time calculated with the obtained kinetic coefficients lead to a value of 0.9 (d) with a safety factor of 3.33 & is within the accepted range for plant operation (2 – 20). Sludge washouts are very unlikely due to the fulfillment of the condition $\theta_c > 1/\mu_m$ indicating a good waste stabilization. Calculations revealed significant difference between the predicted & operated condition of the plant. The obtained kinetic coefficients were used to optimize the plant operation by estimating sludge recirculation rate, aeration rate & sludge production rate. The findings will help improve the treatment system design & reduce the associated costs.



Acknowledgement

I wish to thank my supervisor Dr. S.L.J. Wijekoon for the guidance & advice given to me throughout in completing this research project.

I am also grateful to

- Dr. W. M. G. Seneviratne, Head, Chemical Engineering & Processing unit, Rubber Research Institute of Sri Lanka
- Mr. K. Subramaniam, M.Sc. course coordinator, Chemical & Process Engineering Department, University of Moratuwa
- Dr. Shantha Walpolage, Mrs. Shantha Maduwage & all the other staff members of Chemical & Process Engineering Department, University of Moratuwa who helped in numerous ways in carrying out the project
- The non-academic staff of Chemical & Process Engineering Department, University of Moratuwa especially Mr. H. R. Saraneri, Mr. Shantha Peiris & Mrs. Dinusha Martino who provided the assistance in the experimental work
- Mr. Sarath Siriwardene & the staff of Chemical Engineering & Processing unit, Rubber Research Institute of Sri Lanka
- Mr. Navindra Alponso, Instructor, Chemical & Process Engineering Department, University of Moratuwa
- Mr. Lalith Gunatilake
- Miss Dumila Panditha
- Mr. Prasanga Gunaratne, Mr. Pubudu Perera & Mr. Madura Ekanayake who were always ready to provide their assistance whenever required.

I will be indebted to Asian Development bank for granting financial assistance for the course of study.

| List of Tables | <u>page number</u> |
|---|--------------------|
| Table 1: Total rubber production | 1 |
| Table 2: The average chemical composition of rubber processing effluents | 6 |
| Table 3: Characteristics of wastewaters from concentrated latex manufacture | 9 |
| Table 4: Average chemical composition of combined wastewaters & wasteloads | 10 |
| Table 5: Standards stipulated by the Central Environmental Authority of Sri Lanka for the discharge of wastewater from concentrated latex production into inland waters | 10 |
| Table 6: Wastewater characteristics of crepe rubber manufacturing | 15 |
| Table 7: Standards stipulated by the Central Environmental Authority of Sri Lanka for the discharge of natural rubber industry wastewater into inland waters | 16 |
| Table 8: Average performance & operating conditions of the ASP | 49 |
| Table 9: Substrate & biomass concentrations for the five runs | 50 |
| Table 10: Comparison of kinetic coefficients for domestic, rubber & soap industry Wastewater | 57 |
| Table 11: Full-scale plant data | 58 |
| Table 12: Predicted operating conditions of the full-scale ASP using kinetic Coefficients for domestic wastewater & from this study | 64 |

| List of Figures | <u>page number</u> |
|---|--------------------|
| Figure 1: Concentrated latex production process | 8 |
| Figure 2: Processing latex into crepe rubber | 12 |
| Figure 3: Processing latex into sole crepe rubber | 13 |
| Figure 4: Processing field coagulated latex into scrap rubber | 14 |
| Figure 5: Processing of latex into Ribbed Smoked Sheet Rubber | 14 |
| Figure 6: Design of a RRI developed treatment plant | 17 |
| Figure 7: The aerobic in nature | 72 |
| Figure 8: The anaerobic in nature | 73 |
| Figure 9: Aerobic digestion mechanism | 74 |
| Figure 10: Schematic diagram of the treatment plant adopted by the Rubber Research Institute of Sri Lanka (RRI) | 74 |
| Figure 11: Schematic of a complete-mix reactor without recycle | 33 |
| Figure 12: Schematic of complete-mix reactor with cellular recycle & wasting from the recycle line | 37 |
| Figure 13: Typical mass balances for Return-sludge control a) aeration tank mass balance .b)secondary clarifier mass balance | 75 |
| Figure 14, 14': Plot to determine kinetic coefficients Y & k_d / K_s & k | 54/55 |



| ANNEXURES | <u>page number</u> |
|--|---------------------------|
| Annex 1: Consultancy services to rubber industry | 67 |
| Annex 2: Reports on the rubber factory wastewater treatment & disposal Rubber production in Sri Lanka | 69 |
| Annex 3: Technologies of wastewater treatment used in the Sri Lankan rubber industry | 70 |
| Annex 4: Technologies of wastewater treatment used in the Sri Lankan rubber industry | 71 |
| Annex 5: Figure 7: The aerobic in nature | 71 |
| Annex 6: Figure 8: The anaerobic in nature | 73 |
| Annex 7: Figure 9: Aerobic digestion mechanism | |
| Figure 10: Schematic diagram of the treatment plant adopted by the Rubber Research Institute of Sri Lanka (RRI) | 74 |
| Annex 8: Figure 13: Typical suspended solids mass balances for Return-sludge control | |
| a)aeration tank mass balance | |
| b)secondary clarifier mass balance | 75 |
| Annex 9: BOD & COD removal efficiencies & variation of pH after anaerobic treatment | 76 |
| Annex 10: COD removal efficiencies of aerobic treatment | 77 |



Annex 11: COD removal efficiencies after sedimentation & filtration 78

**Annex 12: Summary of the overall removal efficiencies of COD, BOD,
TS, N & P after treatment 79**



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.
Electronic Theses & Dissertations
www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

List of symbols & acronyms

d = day

d^{-1} = day^{-1}

dX/dt = rate of change of microorganism concentration in the reactor measured in terms of mass(VSS), mass VSS/(volume.time)

E = process efficiency

K_s = half-velocity constant, mass/volume

k = μ_m/Y , maximum rate of substrate utilization per unit mass of microorganisms, time^{-1}

k_d = endogenous decay coefficient, time^{-1}

N_0 = influent TKN, mass/volume

N = effluent TKN, mass/volume

P_x = net weight of activated sludge produced each day, measured in terms of VSS, lb/d (kg/d)

Q = flow rate, volume/time

Q'_w = cell wastage rate from recycle line, volume/time

Q_e = flow rate of effluent from the solids separation unit, volume/time

r_g = rate of bacterial growth, mass/volume.time

r_{su} = substrate utilization rate, mass/volume.time

r'_g = net rate of bacterial growth, mass/volume.time

r_T = reaction rate at T

r_{20} = reaction rate at 20°C

S = concentration of growth limiting substrate in solution or substrate concentration in effluent, mass/volume

S_0 = substrate concentration in influent, mass/volume

S_0-S = mass concentration of substrate utilized, mass/volume

t = tonne

T = temperature, $^\circ\text{C}$

U = specific substrate utilization rate, time^{-1}

V_r = volume of the reactor

V_s = volume of the settling tank

V_T = volume of reactor plus volume of settling tank

X_0 = concentration of microorganisms in the influent, mass VSS/volume

X = concentration of microorganisms in the reactor, mass VSS/volume

X_r = microorganism concentration in return sludge line, mass VSS/volume
 X_e = microorganism concentration in effluent from the solids separation unit, mass VSS/volume

Y = maximum yield coefficient, mg/mg (ratio of the mass of cells formed to the mass of substrate consumed, measured during any finite period of logarithmic growth)

Y_{obs} = observed yield,

θ = hydraulic retention time

θ_c = mean cell-residence time

θ_{ct} = mean cell- residence time based on the total system

$1/\theta_c$ = net specific growth rate

' θ ' = temperature activity coefficient

μ = specific growth rate, time⁻¹

μ_m = maximum specific growth rate, time⁻¹

μ' = net specific growth rate, time⁻¹

ASP = Activated Sludge Process

BOD = Biochemical Oxygen Demand

COD = Chemical Oxygen Demand

DO = Dissolved Oxygen

DRC = Dry Rubber Content

IRRDB = International Rubber Research Development Board

MLSS = Mixed Liquor Suspended Solids

MLVSS = Mixed Liquor Volatile Suspended Solids

OUR = Oxygen Uptake Rate

RAS = Return Activated-Sludge

RCCSR = Rubberized Coir Carrier Septic Tank Reactor

RRI = Rubber Research Institute

RRIM = Rubber Research Institute of Malaysia

RSS = Ribbed Smoked Sheet

SBR = Sequencing Batch Reactor

SOUR = Specific Oxygen Uptake Rate

TKN = Total Kjeldal Nitrogen

TMTD = Tetra-Methyl-Thiuram-Disulphide

TOC = Total Organic Carbon

TSR = Technically Specified Rubber

WAS = Waste Activated-Sludge