UB/DON/72/2016 DCE 27/20 STUDY ON UTILIZATION OF BUILDING DEBRIS IN ROAD CONSTRUCTION IN NORTHERN PROVINCE OF SRI LANKA

LIBRARY UNIVERSITY OF MORATUWA, SRI LANKA MORATUWA

> Pirashanthy Shankar (118818M)

Degree of Master of Engineering

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

March 2016

University of Moratuwa TH3067

624 16 624-15 (043)

TH3067 + 0D - Rom

3067

STUDY ON UTILIZATION OF BUILDING DEBRIS IN ROAD CONSTRUCTION IN NORTHERN PROVINCE OF SRI LANKA

Pirashanthy Shankar

(118818M)

Thesis submitted to University of Moratuwa in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Degree of Master of Engineering in Foundation Engineering and Earth Retaining Systems

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

March 2016

DECLARATION

I declare that this is my own work and this thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any University or other institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant the University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my thesis, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

Signature: S. P. R. O.

Date: 01 104 12016

The above candidate has carried out research for the Master Dissertation under my supervision.

Signature of the Co-Supervisor : UOM Verified Signature Date:.... OI 04 2016 Dr.U.P.Nawagamuwa B.Sc.Eng (Moratuwa), M.Eng (AIT), Ph.D.(Tokyo) Senior Lecturer, Department of Civil Engineering, University of Moratuwa, Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.

ABSTRACT

Due to rapid development and population growth, construction industry has emerged with few new problems. The major problem faced by the industry is the scarcity of construction material and disposal of construction waste because of high disposal cost and inadequate land fill area.

Northern region of Sri Lanka is undergoing a massive infrastructure development within a shorter period especially in the road sector development. Roads are major consumers of aggregate and soil and the influence of aggregate cost is more in total construction cost of the roads.

The aggregates for these road construction projects are transported from Medawachchiya due to scarcity of local material and the approximated transport distance from Medawachchiya is more than 150 km. Hence, transport cost is approximately 70% of the project cost. In order to curtail the cost of construction of roads and reduce the industrial waste disposal, the possibility of using building waste as road construction material has been studied.

Building debris such as concrete, random rubble masonry, concrete block and plaster were selected for this research. Experimental studies were carried out to determine the engineering properties of the recycled construction material and compared with conventional road construction material. Aggregate Impact Value Test, Aggregate Crushing Value Test, Los Angeles Abrasion Test and California Bearing Ratio Test were carried on selected building debris to find out the suitability for road base construction. Crushed samples of selected debris were tested to determine the suitability for replacement of soil in road construction. It has been observed that the Random Rubble Masonry debris can be directly used for road base construction however, crushed debris of block masonry, plaster and concrete can replace the soil for construction of sub base, shoulder, embankment and for surface of 'D' & 'E' class roads after adding suitable percentage of plastic clay.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am most grateful to Dr.U.P.Nawagamuwa for his dedication and commitment throughout the research work as my supervisor. He gave me good guidance and constructive criticism to complete my project successfully. I am also grateful to the course coordinator Dr.U.P.Nawagamuwa and the research coordinator Dr. Nalin De Silva.

I wish to thank the Vice Chancellor, Dean, Faculty of Engineering and the Head, Department of Civil Engineering of University of Moratuwa for allowing me to make use of the facilities available at the University.

I also wish to thank all the lecturers of the post graduate course on Foundation Engineering & Earth Retaining System for their valuable efforts in the lecture series and for giving guidance and encouragement to get success in my professional career.

I am also thankful to the Director General and Director Training of Road Development Authority for sponsoring me for this postgraduate study. I am particularly indebted to thank to my superiors, Director and the Deputy Director for nominating me for the course and providing office facilities to complete this study. Further, I wish to thank staff of Road Development Authority, Northern Province for supporting me in several ways to complete my Research. My sincere thanks ought to Director Research & Development of RDA and other technical staffs of Research and Development branch for helping me to carry out the tests in the laboratory.

Finally I would like to thank my family members for their kind support extended to me.

Pirashanthy Shankar,

Road Development Authority

CONTENTS

Abstracti
Acknowledgementii
Contentsiii
List of Figuresvi
List of Tablesviii
List of Abbreviationsxi
Chapter1 Introduction1
1.1 General introduction1
1.2 Problem Statement
1.3 Objectives4
1.4. Methodology4
Chapter2 Literature review
2.1 Introduction
2.2 Laboratory Tests to Determine Properties of Material in Road Construction
2.2.1 Tests on Aggregates
2.2.1.1 Aggregate Impact Value
2.2.1.2 Aggregate Crushing Value
2.2.1.3 Los Angeles Abrasion Value
2.2.1.4 Flakiness Index
2.2.1.5Water Absorption
2.2.2 Tests on Soil

2.2.2.1 California Bearing Ratio9					
2.2.2.2 Liquid Limit9					
2.2.2.3 Plastic Limit10					
2.2.2.4 Plasticity Index10					
2.2.2.5 Modified Compaction Test11					
2.3 Desired Material Properties in Road Construction12					
2.3.1 Aggregate12					
2.3.1.1 Base Construction12					
2.3.2 Soil					
2.3.2.1 Properties of soil topping for earth roads					
2.3.2.2 Properties of intermediate layer for earth roads					
2.3.2.3 Properties of Gravel Surfacing13					
2.3.2.4 Properties of sub base material13					
2.3.2.5 Road Shoulders15					
2.3.2.6 Road Embankment15					
Chapter3 Testing and Calculation					
3.0 Introduction					
3.1 Aggregate Impact Value Test					
3.2 Aggregate Crushing Value Test					
3.3 Los Angeles Abrasion Value Test22					
3.4 Water Absorption Test24					

3.7 California Bearing Ratio Test	2
-----------------------------------	---

Chapter4	Results and Analysis					
4.1	Results					
4.2 Analysis41						
Chapter5	Conclusion45					
References						
Appendix 1	Observation of Aggregate Impact Value Test					
Appendix 2	Observation of Aggregate Crushing Value Test					
Appendix 3	Observation of Los Angeles Abrasion Value Test					
Appendix 4	Observation and Calculation of Atterberg Limit Test					
Appendix 5	Observation and Calculation of Modified Compaction Test					
Appendix 6	Observation and Calculation of California Bearing Ratio Test					
Appendix 7	Photos					

LIST OF FIGURES

- Figure 1.1 Road Sector Development in the Northern Province of Sri Lanka
- Figure 1.2 Aggregate Usage in Road Construction
- Figure 1.3 Building Debris
- Figure 3.1 Location Map of Test Samples
- Figure 3.2 Aggregate Impact Value of different type of debris
- Figure 3.3 Aggregate Crushing Value of different type of debris
- Figure 3.4 Los Angeles Abrasion Value of different type of debris
- Figure 3.5 Graph for no. of blows Vs. Moisture Content of Sample 1
- Figure 3.6 Results of Atterberg Limit Test
- Figure 3.7 Graph for Moisture Content Vs. Dry Density of Sample 1
- Figure 3.8 Results of Modified Compaction Test
- Figure 3.9 Graph for penetration Vs Load of Sample 1
- Figure 4.1 Results of Coarse Particle Test
- Figure 4.2 Results of Atterberg Limit Test
- Figure 4.3 Results of Modified Compaction Test
- Figure 4.4 Results of CBR Test
- Figure 4.5 Comparison of Coarse Particle Test
- Figure 4.6 Comparison of Atterberg Limit Test Results
- Figure 4.7 Comparison of Compaction Test Results
- Figure 4.8 Comparison of CBR Test Results
- Figure A4.1 Graph for no. of blows Vs. Moisture Content of Sample 2
- Figure A4.2 Graph for no. of blows Vs. Moisture Content of Sample 3
- Figure A4.3 Graph for no. of blows Vs. Moisture Content of Soil

- Figure A5.1 Graph for Moisture Content Vs. Dry Density of Sample 2
- Figure A5.2 Graph for Moisture Content Vs. Dry Density of Sample 3
- Figure A5.3 Graph for Moisture Content Vs. Dry Density of Soil
- Figure A6.1 Graph for penetration Vs Load of Sample 2
- Figure A6.2 Graph for penetration Vs Load of Sample 3
- Figure A6.3 Graph for penetration Vs Load of Soil
- Figure A6.4 Graph for penetration Vs Load of RR Masonry debris
- Figure A7.1 Construction Debris
- Figure A7.2 Concrete Debris
- Figure A7.3 Random Rubble Masonry Debris
- Figure A7.4 Cement Plaster Debris
- Figure A7.5 Concrete Block Wall
- Figure A7.6 Lime Stone
- Figure A7.7 Road Base Construction
- Figure A7.8 Sub Base Construction

LIST OF TABLES

- Table 2.1Desired Liquid Limit Value of Road Construction Material
- Table 2.2Desired Plastic Index Value of Road Construction Material
- Table 2.3Desired Maximum Dry Density Value of Road Construction Material
- Table 2.4Desired Properties of Road Base Aggregate
- Table 2.5Grading of Aggregate for Road Base
- Table 2.6Desired Properties of Upper Sub base material
- Table 2.7Desired Properties of Lower Sub base material
- Table 2.8Grading of Sub base material
- Table 2.9Desired Properties of Road Shoulder material
- Table 2.10Desired Properties of Road Embankment material
- Table 3.1Aggregate Impact Value Test of Concrete debris
- Table 3.2Result of Aggregate Impact Value Test of Concrete debris
- Table 3.3
 Aggregate Crushing Value Test of Concrete debris
- Table 3.4
 Result of Aggregate Crushing Value Test of Concrete debris
- Table 3.5Los Angeles Abrasive Value Test of Concrete debris
- Table 3.6Result of Los Angeles Abrasive Value Test of Concrete debris
- Table 3.7Atterberg Limit Test of Sample 1
- Table 3.8Table for no. of blows Vs moisture content of sample 1
- Table 3.9Plastic Limit of Sample 1
- Table 3.10Results of Atterberg Limit Test
- Table 3.11Modified Compaction Test of Sample 1
- Table 3.12Table for moisture content Vs. dry density of sample 1
- Table 3.13Results of Modified Compaction Test

- Table 3.14
 Reading of California Bearing Ratio Test of Sample 1
- Table 3.15Table for penetration Vs. load of sample 1
- Table 3.16Results of California Bearing Ratio Test
- Table 4.1Summary of Aggregate Test Results
- Table 4.2Summary of fine particles test results
- Table 4.3Criteria for Road Base Material
- Table 4.4Criteria for fine particle material in Road Construction
- Table 5.1Summary of Compliance in Road Construction
- Table A1.1Reading of Aggregate Impact Value Test of RR Masonry Debris
- Table A1.2Reading of Aggregate Impact Value Test of Concrete Block Debris
- Table A2.1Reading of Aggregate Crushing Value Test of RR Masonry Debris
- Table A2.2
 Reading of Aggregate Crushing Value Test of Concrete Block Debris
- Table A3.1Reading of Los Angeles Abrasive Value Test of RR Masonry Debris
- Table A3.2
 Reading of Los Angeles Abrasive Value Test of Concrete Block Debris
- Table A4.1Reading of Atterberg Limit Test of Sample 2
- Table A4.2Table for Plastic Limit of Sample 2
- Table A4.3Reading of Atterberg Limit Test of Sample 3
- Table A4.4Table for Plastic Limit of Sample 3
- Table A4.5Reading of Atterberg Limit Test of Soil
- Table A4.6Table for Plastic Limit of Soil
- Table A5.1Reading of Modified Compaction Test of Sample 2
- Table A5.2Reading of Modified Compaction Test of Sample 3
- Table A5.3Reading of Modified Compaction Test of Soil
- Table A6.1
 Reading of California Bearing Ratio Test of Sample 2
- Table A6.2Results of California Bearing Ratio Test of Sample 2

- Table A6.3Reading of California Bearing Ratio Test of Sample 3
- Table A6.4Results of California Bearing Ratio Test of Sample 3
- Table A6.5Reading of California Bearing Ratio Test of Soil
- Table A6.6Results of California Bearing Ratio Test of Soil
- Table A6.7
 Reading of California Bearing Ratio Test of RR Masonry Debris
- Table A6.8Results of California Bearing Ratio Test of RR Masonry Debris

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AIV	-	Aggregate Impact Value
ACV	-	Aggregate Crushing Value
LAAV	-	Los Angeles Abrasive Value
CBR	-	California Bearng Ratio
LL	-	Liquid Limit
PL	-	Plastic Limit
PI	-	Plastic Index
MDD	-	Maximum Dry Density
OMC	-	Optimum Moisture Content
SSCM	-	Standard Specification of Construction & Maintenance of Roads & Bridges
BS	-	British Standards
ASTM	-	American Standards for Testing and Materials