

**STUDY ON THE EFFECT OF OVERLOADING ON  
SRI LANKAN ROADS**

Liyana Arachchige Thilina Udara Liyanaarachchi

138315 L

M. Eng. in Highway and Traffic Engineering

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

May 2018

## **DECLARATION OF THE CANDIDATE AND SUPERVISOR**

I declare that this is my own work and this thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any University or other institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant the University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my thesis, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I also retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

Signature:

Date:

The above candidate has carried out research for the Master thesis under my supervision.

Signature of the supervisor:

Date:

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I wish to express my sincere gratitude to University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka, for giving me the opportunity to follow my Post Graduate program at department of civil engineering, University of Moratuwa. I would also wish to express my sincere gratitude to Prof. W.K. Mampearachchi for giving me a valuable guidance, supervision and encouragement to complete my research study. It is highly appreciated your dedication and cooperation given me to make this thesis to a success.

My sincere thanks shall go to all staff of the Department of Highway and Traffic Engineering, University of Moratuwa including Prof. J.M.S.J. Bandara, Dr. H.R Pasindu, Dr. Dimantha de Silva and Mr. Loshaka Perera for giving me a fullest corporation during the academic session and in successful completion of the Master's Degree Course during past four years period. Also thanks to all academic and nonacademic staff in Department of Civil Engineering.

My sincere gratitude should go to Project Director (NRCP Project) of RDA – Mr. A.H.M Nizar for guiding me on this research area and throughout the study. Specially thanks to the Deputy Director (Planning) of RDA - Mrs. D.A P. Padmini and staff of Planning Division for the assistance given me in collecting necessary data for the study. Also, Cooperation given by Road Development Authority staff for carrying out the studies while working on several projects is emphasized with great appreciation.

The encouragement and great support received from my friends, Mr. Asela Bambarendage, Mr. Sumedha Senarathna & Ruksala Jayarathna is appreciated and reminded.

Last but not least I extend my gratitude and appreciation to my wife and family for their support and motivation. My thanks and blessings go to everyone who supported me to complete this study, successfully.

## ABSTRACT

With the increasing demand for transport means, new technological vehicles and heavy loads carrying vehicles are used by people, in order to take the financial advantage. Consequently most of the commercial vehicles plying on Sri Lankan National Highways are overloaded. Previous studies show that overloaded vehicles are carrying as much as double weights than its maximum permissible load. Limits for standard legal axel loads and gross vehicle weight have been already imposed by Minister of transport as a part of Motor Traffic Act. But, they are violated oppressively by the transporters but not enforced stringently by road agencies or Motor Traffic Department.

Designing of flexible road pavements is mostly based on the cumulative number of equivalent standard axles(CNESA) which is significantly subscribed by the heavy vehicular traffic including overloaded vehicles. Construction cost of road pavement is hence directly incurred by CNESA and it results in extensive, costly pavement designs. Furthermore the damage by overloaded vehicles to the pavement is exponential. Continuous overloading of vehicles reduces the design life of pavement resulting premature failures and induces additional cost to road agencies for maintaining them. On the other hand, limiting the carrying loads may result in multiplied number of trips and thereby cost for the user is increased.

In this study, actual axle load survey data at selected locations to cover the national road network were analyzed and assayed in different aspects. Case study was done for the axle load data in 22<sup>nd</sup> km of A004 road & 196<sup>th</sup> km of A006 road in such a way that transport cost for user & pavement construction cost could be evaluated at different loading scenarios, such as, at legal limit, 10%, 20%, 30% & 40% than legal limit.

Results of preliminary assessment include percentage of overloading vehicles, extent of overloading, overloading growth trend over a decade, often overloaded commodity types & significant vehicle types. Results of case study include, transport cost for the user & pavement construction cost in each loading scenario, optimum level of overloading that result in minimum pavement construction cost while user cost shall be satisfied. Further it has been evaluated simple alterations that can be practice to reduce CNESA extensively.

It was concluded that, 1.2 axle type has a significant contribution to ESA due to overloading. Further, sand, fertilizer, cement, rice & paddy are identified as often overloaded commodity types. From the case study, it was concluded that, transport cost for the user is getting reduced when more overloading occurred. But, the increased user cost lie in a large range than decreased pavement cost.

It can be recommended that, overloading up to 20% from GVW shall be an optimum level where the both parties will be satisfied. Further it could be controlled overloading extensively & reduce pavement cost by rules against 1.2 & 1.22 type vehicles which are overloaded more than 30% from GVW. Simple methods to enforce the rules are also discussed in recommendations.

**Keywords:** Vehicle overloading, standard legal axel loads limits, effective enforcement

## TABLE OF CONTENT

1	Introduction .....	1
1.1	Back Ground of the Study .....	1
1.2	Objective .....	2
2	Literature survey .....	3
2.1	Introduction .....	3
2.1.1	Overloading & Prevailing Regulations in Sri Lanka .....	3
2.1.2	Overview of Flexible Pavement Design .....	5
2.1.3	Equivalent Standard Axel Load (ESA) .....	6
2.1.4	Flexible Pavement Design Methods used in Sri Lanka .....	7
2.1.5	Estimation of Volume of Traffic & Cumulative ESA .....	8
2.1.6	Assessing the strength of the existing layers and sub-grade soil .....	9
2.2	Literatures on Case Studies & Analysis on Overloading .....	9
2.2.1	Controlling Vehicle Overloading in BOT Projects .....	10
2.2.2	Effect on Cost of Road Construction & Maintenance Due to Overloading .....	11
2.2.3	Analysis of Loss Cost of Road Pavement Distress due to Overloading Freight Transportation.....	15
2.3	Austrroads Pavement Design Guide and Design Traffic Calculation according to Ausrroads .....	17
2.3.1	Design Traffic Calculation according to Ausrroads .....	17
2.3.2	Traffic Load Distribution (TLD).....	19
2.3.3	Pavement Damage in Terms of Standard Axle Repetitions (SAR) .....	19
3	Methodology .....	21
3.1	Introduction .....	21
3.2	Preparation of Axle Load Survey Database .....	22
3.3	Road list & Location Map of Axle Load data collected.....	22

3.4	Processing and Analyzing of data in various aspects.....	23
3.5	Case study for different overloading scenarios .....	24
3.5.1	Determination of transport cost for the user. ....	25
3.5.2	Review of Pavement Design Reports and evaluation of pavement construction cost.....	32
3.5.3	Replacement of 30% overloaded vehicles with next type of axles.....	36
4	Data Analysis and discussion.....	37
4.1	Introduction .....	37
4.2	Analysis of axle load growth trends on average ESA basis .....	37
4.3	Identification of frequently overloaded areas, zones in Sri Lanka.....	41
4.4	Analysis of axle load data in each location .....	43
4.4.1	Composition of vehicles based on axle type/ Contribution on ESA from each vehicle type .....	43
4.4.2	Percentage of overloaded vehicles & often overloaded vehicle type ..	46
4.4.3	How extent overloading occurred .....	46
4.4.4	Often overloaded commodity type.....	49
4.4.5	Calculations of Average ESA of overloaded/ non-overloaded/ all vehicles .....	50
4.5	Evaluation of damaging effect of 1.2 & 1.22 axle types.....	52
4.6	Analysis of unit cost for users encountered in 1.2 & 1.22 axle vehicles.....	53
4.7	User cost calculation for different loading scenarios .....	53
4.8	Review of Pavement Designs.....	56
4.9	Evaluation of pavement construction cost.....	57
5	Conclusion & Recommendations.....	61
5.1	Conclusion.....	61
5.2	Recommendation.....	63
6	References .....	64

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2-1; Effect of overloading in ESA values.....	7
Figure 3-1; Measuring of axle weight of a vehicle .....	21
Figure 3-2; Map of few survey locations .....	23
Figure 3-3; Flow chart to describe user transport cost calculation .....	27
Figure 4-1; Average ESA growth trend in A006 road at 193km .....	38
Figure 4-2; Average ESA growth trend in A006 road at 114km .....	38
Figure 4-3; Average ESA growth trend in A006 Road at 57kn.....	39
Figure 4-4 Average ESA growth trend in A004 at 128km .....	39
Figure 4-5; Average ESA growth trend in A004 at 33km .....	40
Figure 4-6; Average ESA growth trend in A001 Road at 107km.....	40
Figure 4-7; Map of most overloaded locations and overloading intensity.....	42
Figure 4-8; Vehicle composition of A006 Road at 193km .....	43
Figure 4-9; ESA contribution by each type of axles in A006 road at 193km.....	44
Figure 4-10; Difference in vehicle composition with ESA contribution .....	44
Figure 4-11; Vehicle composition in A006 road at 57km .....	45
Figure 4-12; ESA contribution by each type of axle in A006 road at 57km .....	45
Figure 4-13; Extent of overloading in 2-axle trucks in A006 road at 193km.....	47
Figure 4-14; Extent of overloading of multy axle trucks in A006 road at 193km.....	47
Figure 4-15; Extent of overloading of 2-axle trucks in A006 roads at 57km .....	48
Figure 4-16; Extent of overloading of multy axle vehicles in A006 road at 57km ...	48
Figure 4-17; Overloading by commodity type for 2-axle trucks .....	49
Figure 4-18; Overloading by commodity type for 3-axle trucks .....	49
Figure 4-19; Overloading by commodity type of Multi axle vehicles.....	50
Figure 4-20; Change of average ESA due to overloading .....	51
Figure 4-21; Unit transport cost analysis of 1.2 & 1.22 axle vehicles for different commodities .....	53
Figure 4-22 Change of User cost with each loading scenario A004 Road .....	54
Figure 4-23 Change of User cost for each loading scenario A006 Road.....	55
Figure 4-24; Total Transport Cost for user encountered during 10 years period – A004 Road Vehicles Passed 22 km .....	55
Figure 4-25; Total Transport Cost for user encountered during 10 years period – A006 Road Vehicles Passed 193 km .....	56



Figure 4-26; Variation of CNESA with each loading cases .....	57
Figure 4-27; Change of construction cost for km length .....	58
Figure 4-28; Change of construction cost for km length A006 .....	59
Figure 4-29; Change of cost for construction of 1km length in each scenario .....	60

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 2-1: Axle load of a vehicle as per 2014 Gazette .....	3
Table 2-2; Gross Vehicle Weight as per 2014 Gazette .....	3
Table 2-3; Identified locations by RDA for weigh bridge installation .....	5
Table 2-4; Sample Calculation of ESA for a vehicle.....	8
Table 2-5; Sample Table Format used for analysis.....	12
Table 2-6; Axle Group Types and Standard Axle Loads According to AUSTRROADS .....	18
Table 3-1; Roads and locations where axle load surveys done.....	22
Table 4-1; Axle types denoted by notations.....	37
Table 4-2; Percentage of overloaded heavy vehicles.....	41
Table 4-3; Overloaded Percentages .....	46
Table 4-4; Average ESA representation for different axle types in A006 road at 193km .....	50
Table 4-5; Average ESA representation for different axle types in A004 road at 32km .....	51

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

<b>Abbreviation</b>	<b>Description</b>
AASHTO	American Association of State Highways and Transportation Officials
ADT	Average Daily Traffic
BBD	Benkelman Beam Deflection Test
BC	Bituminous Surfacing
BOT	Build Operate and Transfer
CBR	California Bearing Ratio
CNSA	Cumulative Number of Standard Axles
DCP	Dynamic Cone Penetration
DF	Damage Factor
DFC	Damage Factor Cost
DLL	Deficit Design Life
ESA	Equivalent Standard Axle Load
FWD	Falling Weight Deflectometer Test
GVW	Gross Vehicle Weights
LL	Liquid Limit
MAL	Maximal Axle Load
MCC	Manual Classified Count
MDD	Maximum Density
M-E	Mechanistic –Empirical
PI	Plasticity Index
RDA	Road Development Authority
SAR	Standard Axle Repetitions
TRL	Transport research Laboratory
VDF	Vehicle Damage Factor

## **LIST OF APPENDICES**

**APPENDIX – A:** Gazette Notification on axle weight regulations

**APPENDIX – B:** Sample data sheet used in axle load survey

**APPENDIX – C:** Axle load data analysis sheets

**APPENDIX – C 1:** Analysis of axle load growth trend

**APPENDIX – C 2:** Vehicle & esa composition

**APPENDIX – C 3:** Overloaded vehicle percentage,  
Overloaded extent in each road

**APPENDIX – C 4:** Tables of cost values for each scenario in A004 & A006

**APPENDIX – D:** Data collection sheet used in questionnaire survey

**APPENDIX – E:** Cost tables for a004 & a006 road / Calculation of Average Cost Values/ Adjustments to MCC & ADT data/ Calculation of Cumulative user cost