# DESIGN AND SIMULATION OF FUZZY INFERENCE BASED MULTIPLE PID CONTROLLERS FOR 6-DOF UNMANNED UNDERWATER VEHICLE

LIBRARY UNIVERSITY OF MORATUWA, SRI LANKA MORATUWA

Charita Darshana Makavita

### (108407T)

Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree Master of Science in Electronics and Automation



621.38 "4" 621.38+681.5(043)

Department of Electronics and Telecommunication Engineering

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

107115

January 2014

107115

#### DECLARATION

I declare that this is my own work and this thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my thesis, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

Signature: UOM Verified Signature

Date: 13/01/2014

The above candidate has carried out research for the Master's thesis under my supervision.

**UOM Verified Signature** 

Signature of the supervisor:

Prof. Rohan Munasinghe PhD, CEng, MIE(SL), MIEEE Senior Lecturer Department of Electronic and Telecommunication Engineering University of Moratuwa.

20.01.2014 Date:

i

### ABSTRACT

## Design and Simulation of Fuzzy Inference Based Multiple PID Controllers for 6-DOF Unmanned Underwater Vehicle

Keywords: PID, fuzzy, inference, multiple controllers, UUV, unmanned, underwater vehicle

Unmanned underwater vehicles are currently being utilised for scientific, commercial and military underwater applications. These vehicles require autonomous guidance and control systems in order to perform underwater tasks. Modelling, simulation and control of these vehicles are still major active areas of research and development.

This thesis explores the design of a control system for a 6-Dof unmanned underwater vehicle. The thesis consists of two phases; the first involves the design of three single decoupled PID controllers for surge, yaw and depth. Then it is shown that it is not possible to cover the entire range of operations of UUV using only single controller by simulation using MATLAB SIMULINK. The second phase is concerned with the design of multiple PID controllers covering the entire range of UUV operation, as well as the fuzzy inference based supervisor design to switch between the different controllers as the operations conditions vary.

The design of the PID controllers are based on MATLAB PID tuning algorithms which is a robust response time tuning algorithms that allows for faster design process with robust gain values. It is shown that these new tuning methods as well as graphical tuning interface overcome the adhoc and time consuming process of finding the PID gains. Further it is shown that fuzzy gain scheduling using fuzzy inference mechanism is a valid method for controlling a UUV with nonlinear dynamics.

It can be concluded that new tools such as MATLAB tuning algorithms and Fuzzy toolbox allows for fast and accurate design of controllers for highly complex systems as well as the viability of fuzzy inference multiple controllers as a method for UUV control with desired response characteristics. Finally the author recommends an actual vehicle implementation and testing as future work to be carried out. To my parents and teachers

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The research project within the Master of Science degree program provides a great opportunity to apply the engineering knowledge gained through the taught modules to a real world scenario. During this period of research I gained vast amount of knowledge and practice with the support of numerous parties. Therefore I would like to thank them all for the successful completion of the project.

First and foremost I wish to convey my sincere gratitude to Prof. Rohan Munasinghe, course coordinator of the Master in Science in Electronics and Automation Engineering and my thesis supervisor. He gave the knowledge on control theories which encouraged me to pursue a research in control related area. As my supervisor he continuously encouraged and guided me to the successful, completion of the research project.

I would like to thank Dr. Ajith Pasqual, Head of the Department of Electronic and Telecommunication Engineering, for leading us with the supporting hand.

I would also thank Prof. Dev Ranmuthugala of Australian Maritime College who introduced me to the idea of unmanned underwater vehicles and encouraged me to pursue the master's thesis in relation to that field.

Finally I would like to thank all the members of the academic staff, for sharing their knowledge and previous experiences with us, in successful completion of the project, all the non-academic staff members and our batch mates for giving me support in numerous ways.

#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

| De                                      | clarati                                       | on                         |   | i  |
|---|---|----------------------------|---|----|
| Ab                                      | astract                                       | t                          |   | ii |
| Ac                                      | knowl   | edgmer                     | its   | iv |
| Tał                                     | ole of  | Content                    | s   | v  |
| List of Figures vii                     |   |                            |   |    |
| List of Tables x                        |   |                            |   | x  |
| List of Abbraviations xi                |   |                            | xiii  |    |
| 1.                                      | 1. Introduction 1                             |                            |   |    |
| 2.                                      | Modeling of Unmanned Underwater Vehicle (UUV) |                            |   | 5  |
|   | 2.1   | UUVI                       | Kinematics  | 5  |
|   |   | 2.1.1 F                    | Reference frames                                      | 5  |
|   |   | 2.1.2 5                    | Six-DOF kinematics equations                          | 7  |
|   | 2.2   | UUVI                       | Dynamics  | 9  |
|   |   | 2.2.1                      | Rigid body dynamics                                   | 9  |
|   |   | 2.2.2                      | Longitudinal and lateral models                       | 13 |
|   | 2.3   | The U                      | UV Model Used For Simulation                          | 18 |
|   |   | 2.3.1                      | Rational for model selection                          | 19 |
|   |   | 2.3.2                      | The 6-DOF model                                       | 19 |
|   |   | 2.3.3                      | Problems in the model                                 | 22 |
|   |   | 2.3.4                      | Linear state space dynamic equations of the NPS model | 25 |
| 3.                                      | UUV   | Contro                     | oller Design  | 26 |
|   | 3.1   | Surge                      | Controller  | 26 |
|   | 3.2   | Headin                     | ng Controller   | 36 |
|   | 3.3   | Depth                      | Plane Controller                                      | 44 |
|   |   | 3.3.1                      | Pitch loop controller                                 | 46 |
|   |   | 3.3.2                      | Depth loop controller                                 | 49 |
| 4. Testing of Decoupled PID Controllers |   |                            | coupled PID Controllers                               | 57 |
|   | 4.1   | Testing Heading Controller |   | 57 |
|   |   | 4.1.17                     | Fest1: derivative gain of heading controller          | 57 |
|   |   | 4.1.2                      | Fest 2: proportional gain of the heading controller   | 59 |
|   |   | 4.1.3 5                    | Summary of heading controller test                    | 60 |

| 4.2      | Testing Depth/Pitch Controller                                   | 61   |
|----------|--|------|
|          | 4.2.1 Test3: proportional gain of depth controller               | 61   |
|          | 4.2.2 Test 4: proportional gain of pitch controller              | 63   |
|          | 4.2.3 Test 5: derivative gain of pitch controller                | 64   |
|          | 4.2.4 Summary of results of depth controller test                | 66   |
| 4.3      | Testing Surge Controller   | 66   |
|          | 4.3.1 Test6: proportional and integral gains of surge controller | €6   |
| 4.4      | Conclusion   | 71   |
| 5. Multi | ple PID Controller Design  | 69   |
| 5.1      | Heading PID Controllers  | 69   |
|          | 5.1.1 Gains of the multiple heading controllers                  | 69   |
| 5.2      | Depth PID Controllers  | 70   |
|          | 5.2.1 Gains of multiple dive plane controllers                   | 70   |
| 6. Fuzzy | Gain Scheduling Supervisor Design                                | 71   |
| 6.1      | Heading Fuzzy Supervisor Design                                  | 71   |
| 6.2      | Depth Fuzzy Supervisor Design                                    | 76   |
| 7. GUI I | nterface Design and Final Testing                                | 82   |
| 7.1 (    | GUI Interface Design   | 82   |
| 7.2      | Testing of the Fuzzy Gain Scheduled PID Controller Performance   | e 84 |
|          | 7.2.1 Heading controller results                                 | 84   |
|          | 7.2.2Depth controller results                                    | 86   |
| 8. Discu | ssion  | 88   |
| 9. Concl | usion  | 90   |
| 10. Futu | re Work  | 91   |
| Referen  | ce List  | 92   |

## **LIST OF FIGURES**

|  | Page                 |
|--|----------------------|
| Figure 1.1: Remotely Operated Vehicle  | 1                    |
| Figure 1.2: Autonomous Underwater Vehicle  | 1                    |
| Figure 2.1: Earth fixed and Body fixed reference frames  | 6                    |
| Figure 2.2: NPS AUV II   | 18                   |
| Figure 3.1: Step response of controller in equation 3.1  | 27                   |
| Figure 3.2: Step response of controller in equation 3.2<br>Figure 3.3: Step response of controller in equation 3.3   | 28<br>28             |
| Figure 3.4: Controller effort of controller in equation 3.3<br>Figure 3.5: Step response of controller in equation 3.4<br>Figure 3.6: Controller effort of controller in equation 3.4<br>Figure 3.7: Simulink model of surge controller simulation | 29<br>29<br>30<br>30 |
| Figure 3.8: Speed (m/s) vs. time (s) for a unit step input   | 31                   |
| Figure 3.9: Propeller rate (RPM) vs. time(s) for a unit step input   | 31                   |
| Figure 3.10: Normalized speed (m/s) vs. time(s) for a unit step input  | 32                   |
| Figure 3.11: Normalized speed (m/s) vs. time(s) with anti windup<br>Figure 3.12: Two step speed response (m/s) vs. time(s) without anti windup   | 33<br>33             |
| Figure 3.13: Unit step response (m/s) vs. time(s) without anti windup  | 34                   |
| Figure 3.14: Full nonlinear simulink model for surge control   | 34                   |
| Figure 3.15: Normalized speed (m/s) vs. time(s) for nonlinear model  | 35                   |
| Figure 3.16: Propeller rate (RPM) vs. time(s) for nonlinear model  | 35                   |
| Figure 3.17 : Unit step response at different speeds   | 36                   |
| Figure 3.18: Unit step response for controller in equation 3.5   | 37                   |
| Figure 3.19: Unit step response for controller in equation 3.6   | 38                   |
| Figure 3.20: Unit step response for controller in equation 3.7   | 38                   |
| Figure 3.21: Initial simulink linear model for heading controller  | 39                   |
| Figure 3.22: 60 <sup>°</sup> response (in degrees) vs. time(s) of heading controller   | 39                   |
| Figure 3.23: Rudder deflection (degrees) vs. Time (s) for heading controller   | 40                   |
| Figure 3.24: New Simulink Model for Heading Controller   | 40                   |
| Figure 3.25: 60 <sup>°</sup> response (in degrees) vs. time(s) of heading controller   | 41                   |
| Figure 3.26: Rudder deflection (degrees) vs. time (s) for heading controller   | 41                   |
| Figure 3.27: Nonlinear simulink model for heading controller   | 42                   |
| Figure 3.28: 60 <sup>°</sup> response (in degrees) vs. time(s) of heading controller   | 42                   |

| Figure 3.29: Rudder deflection (degrees) vs. time (s) for heading controller    | 43 |
|---|----|
| Figure 3.30: 60 <sup>°</sup> response at different speeds of heading controller | 43 |
| Figure 3.31: Normalized yaw response of heading controller                      | 44 |
| Figure 3.32: Block diagram of depth transfer function                           | 44 |
| Figure 3.33: Double loop depth control system                                   | 45 |
| Figure 3.34: Block diagram of inner loop structure 1                            | 46 |
| Figure 3.35: Block diagram of inner loop structure 2                            | 46 |
| Figure 3.36: Step response of controller in equation 3.8                        | 48 |
| Figure 3.37: Step response of controller in equation 3.9                        | 49 |
| Figure 3.38: Block diagram of outer loop  | 49 |
| Figure 3.39: Step response of controller in equation 3.10                       | 50 |
| Figure 3.40: Step response of controller in equation 3.11                       | 51 |
| Figure 3.41: Simulink linear model for depth plane controller                   | 51 |
| Figure 3.42: Unit response (m) vs. time(s) of depth controller                  | 52 |
| Figure 3.43: Pitch response (in degrees) vs. time(s) of depth controller        | 52 |
| Figure 3.44: Stern plane deflection (degrees) vs. time(s) of depth controller   | 53 |
| Figure 3.45: Nonlinear simulink model for depth controller                      | 53 |
| Figure 3.46: Unit response (in meters) vs. time(s) of depth controller          | 54 |
| Figure 3.47: Pitch response (in degrees) vs. time(s) of depth controller        | 54 |
| Figure 3.48: Stern plane deflection (degrees) vs. time(s) of depth controller   | 54 |
| Figure 3.49: 1m dive at different speeds of depth controller                    | 55 |
| Figure 3.50: Normalized depth response at U0of depth controller                 | 56 |
| Figure 3.51: Normalized depth response at 3U0of depth controller                | 56 |
| Figure 6.1: Membership function plots of speed variable                         | 71 |
| Figure 6.2: Membership function plots of yaw variable                           | 72 |
| Figure 6.3: Rule editor with rules added  | 75 |
| Figure 6.4: Surface mapping inputs to output variable $K_P$                     | 75 |
| Figure 6.5: Surface mapping inputs to output variable $K_D$                     | 76 |
| Figure 6.6: Membership function plots of speed variable                         | 76 |
| Figure 6.7: Membership function plots of depth variable                         | 77 |
| Figure 6.8: Rule editor with rules added  | 80 |
| Figure 6.9: Surface mapping inputs to output variable pitch $K_P$               | 80 |
| Figure 6.10: Surface mapping inputs to output variable pitch $K_D$              | 81 |
| Figure 6.11: Surface mapping inputs to output variable depth                    | 81 |

| Figure 7.1: GUI Interface with default                | 82 |
|---|----|
| Figure 7.2: GUI interface with new values             | 83 |
| Figure 7.3: GUI interface with speed and heading      | 83 |
| Figure 7.4: GUI interface with speed and depth output | 84 |

#### LIST OF TABLES

| Pa   | age |
|--|-----|
| Table 4.1: Settling time in seconds for changes in $K_D$ of heading controller       | 58  |
| Table 4.2: Peak overshoot for changes in $K_D$ of heading controller                 | 58  |
| Table 4.3: Speed hit in m/s for changes in $K_D$ of heading controller               | 59  |
| Table 4.4: Settling time in seconds for changes in $K_p$ of heading controller       | 60  |
| Table 4.5: Peak overshoot for changes in $K_p$ of heading controller                 | 60  |
| Table 4.6: Speed hit in m/s for changes in $K_p$ of heading controller               | 60  |
| Table 4.7: Settling time in seconds for changes in $K_P$ of depth controller         | 62  |
| Table 4.8 : Peak overshoot for changes in $K_P$ of depth controller                  | 62  |
| Table 4.9: Speed hit in m/s for changes in $K_P$ of depth controller                 | 63  |
| Table 4.10: Settling time in seconds for changes in $K_P$ of pitch controller        | 64  |
| Table 4.11: Peak overshoot for changes in $K_P$ of pitch controller                  | 64  |
| Table 4.12: Speed hit in m/s for changes in $K_P$ of pitch controller                | 64  |
| Table 4.13: Settling time in seconds for changes in $K_D$ of pitch controller        | 65  |
| Table 4.14 : Peak overshoot for changes in $K_D$ of pitch controller                 | 65  |
| Table 4.15: Speed hit in m/s for changes in $K_D$ of pitch controller                | 66  |
| Table 4.16: Performance Criteria of Surge Controller                                 | 67  |
| Table 5.1 : Proportional gain values of heading controllers                          | 69  |
| Table 5.2: Derivative gain values of heading controllers                             | 70  |
| Table 5.3 : Proportional gain values of pitch controllers                            | 70  |
| Table 5.4: Derivative gain values of pitch controllers                               | 70  |
| Table 5.5: Proportional gain values of depth controllers                             | 70  |
| Table 6.1 : Singletons of output variable $K_P$                                      | 72  |
| Table 6.2: Singletons of output variable K <sub>D</sub>                              | 73  |
| Table 6.3 : Singletons of output variable pitch $K_P$                                | 77  |
| Table 6.4: Singletons of output variable pitch $K_D$                                 | 78  |
| Table 6.5 : Singletons of output variable depth $K_P$                                | 78  |
| Table 7.1: Settling time results for heading control of initial operating conditions | 84  |
| Table 7.2: Peak overshoot results for heading control of initial operating condition | 85  |
| Table 7.3: Speed hit results for heading control of initial operating conditions     | 85  |
| Table 7.4: Settling time results for heading control of intermediate operating point | 85  |

| Table 7.5: Peak overshoot results for heading control of intermediate operating points   | 85  |
|--|-----|
| Table 7.6: Speed hit results for heading control of intermediate operating points        | 86  |
| Table 7.7: Settling time results for depth control of initial operating conditions       | 86  |
| Table 7.8: Peak overshoot results for depth control of initial operating conditions      | 86  |
| Table 7.9: Speed hit results for depth control of initial operating conditions           | 86  |
| Table 7.10: Settling time results for depth control of intermediate operating points     | 87  |
| Table 7.11: Peak overshoot results for depth control of intermediate operating points    | 87  |
| Table 7.12: Settling Speed hit Results for depth control of intermediate operating point | s87 |

#### LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

#### Description AUV Automated Underwater Vehicle CB Centre of Buoyancy CG Centre of Gravity CGS Conventional Gain Scheduling DOF Degrees Of Freedom FGS Fuzzy Gain Scheduling GUI Graphical User Interface **GUIDE GUI Development Environment** NED North-East-Down NPS Naval Postgraduate School MSS Marine systems Simulator PD **Proportional Derivative** PI Proportional Integral PID Proportional Integral Derivative ROV **Remotely Operated Vehicle** Unmanned Aerial Vehicle UAV URV Underwater Recovery Vehicle UUV Unmanned Underwater Vehicle

Abbreviation