UTILIZATION OF BUILDING DEBRIS AS AGGREGATES IN STONE COLUMN CONSTRUCTION IN SRI LANKA

K.W.D. Sanjeewa (138824V)

Degree of Master of Science in Foundation Engineering and Earth Retaining Systems

> Department of Civil Engineering University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

> > February 2017

DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING UNIVERSITY OF MORATUWA SRI LANKA



UTILIZATION OF BUILDING DEBRIS AS AGGREGATES IN STONE COLUMN CONSTRUCTION IN SRI LANKA

Thesis submitted to University of Moratuwa in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Degree of Master of Engineering in Foundation Engineering and Earth Retaining Systems

by

Kongaha Waththage Dilan Sanjeewa

February 2017

DECLARATION

I declare that this is my own work and this thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgement of any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any University or other institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and believe it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant the University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my thesis, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

Signature:....

Date:....

The above candidate has carried out this research for the Master Dissertation under my supervision.

SignatureoftheSupervisor:..... Date:.....

Dr.U.P.Nawagamuwa

B.Sc.Eng (Moratuwa), M.Eng(AIT), Ph.D.(YNU)

Senior Lecturer,

Department of Civil Engineering,

University of Moratuwa,

Moratuwa,

Sri Lanka.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am most grateful to Dr.U.P.Nawagamuwa for his dedication and commitment throughout the research work as my supervisor. He gave me good guidance and constructive criticism to complete my project successfully. I am also grateful to the course coordinator Dr. Nalin De Silva and Dr.N.H. Priyankara for their support to complete this work successfully.

I wish to thank the Vice Chancellor, Dean, Faculty of Engineering and the Head, Department of Civil Engineering of University of Moratuwa for allowing me to make use of the facilities available at the University.

I also wish to thank all the lecturers of the post graduate course on Foundation Engineering and Earth Retaining Systems for their valuable efforts in the lecture series and for giving guidance and encouragement to be successful in my professional career.

I am particularly indebted to thank to my superiors, Director and the Deputy Director of Central Expressway Project-III, Road Development Authority for nominating me for the course and providing office facilities to complete this study. Further, I wish to thank staff of Road Development Authority, Northern Province for supporting me in several ways to complete my Research.

I and my supervisor Dr. U.P.Nawagamuwa also sincerely thank to the Managing Director of Geo Engineering Consultants (Pvt) Ltd, Mr. NishanthaHikkaduwageand his staff for helping me to allowtheir laboratory apparatus to be used atthe university and completeseveral tests in the laboratory successfully. further, I wish to sincerely thank the staff of the soil Mechanics laboratory of Civil Engineering Department for helping me to carry out the tests in the laboratory and Central Expressway Project staff for giving their support to complete this Research successfully.

Finally I would like to thank my family members for their kind support extended to me.

K.W.D. Sanjeewa,

M.Eng./P.G. Diploma in Foundation Engineering and Earth Retaining Systems, Geotechnical Engineering Division, Department of Civil Engineering, University of Moratuwa.

ABSTRACT

Due to rapid development and population growth, construction industry has emerged with few recent problems. The major problem faced by the construction industry is the scarcity of construction material and disposal of construction waste because of high disposal cost and inadequate land fill area.

Due to the remnants of 30 year civil war happened in Sri Lanka, huge amount of building debris are to be disposed during new infrastructure constructions. To curtail the amount of building debris, the possibility of using them (concrete, brick and plaster) for civil engineering applications can provide an attractive way to reduce the wastes to be disposed of and it may also provide fiscal benefits. In this study, the scope for using building debris as the traditional rock aggregate for stone columns was investigated.

Experiments were conducted using building debris(concrete, brick and plaster)and stone aggregate passing through a 14 mm and retained on a 10mm British standard (BS) sieves. Where experimental studies were carried out to determine the engineering properties (Durability, Shear strength & Compressive strength) of the recycled construction material and compared with conventional road construction material (aggregates).

AIV, ACV and LAAV tests and slake durability index test were carried on selected building debris to find out the suitability to be used in stone columns construction. And uniaxial compressive strength testwas carried out to find the resistance to impact and crushing under loads. Improvement in shear strength was tested using vane shear in radially as well as with depth in several laboratory models with a centred stone column made up of different building debris.

It was observed that the model done using concrete wastes exhibited a similar capacity of traditional rock aggregates of same size. Other materials did exhibit the same behavior though their results from slake durability tests were relatively low.

CONTENTS

Declaration of the candidates & Supervisor i
Acknowledgement ii
Abstract iii
Contents iv-vi
List of Tablesvii-ix
List of Figuresx-xi
Notationsxii
Appendices xiii
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION 1-2
CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW
2.1 Introduction
2.2 Stone Columns 4
2.2.1 Load Carrying Mechanism of Stone Column
2.2.2 Estimation of Load Carrying Capacity of Stone Column
2.2.3 Failure Mechanisms of Stone Columns
2.2.4 Stone Column Construction7
2.3 Previous Studies Related to The Use of Alternative Material for Stone Column Construction
CHAPTER 3: METHODOLOGY 10-11

CHAPTER 4: EXPERIMENTAL PROGRAM

4.1 Characterization of Materials	12
4.1.1 Clay	12
4.1.2 Building debris	12
4.1.3 Stone aggregates	12
4.2 Tests on Clay Sample	12
4.3 Tests on Building Debris Samples13	3
4.3.1 Aggregate Impact value (AIV)	13
4.3.2 Aggregate Crushing Value (ACV)	13
4.3.3 Los Angeles Abrasion Value Test (LAAV)	13
4.3.4 Slake Durability Index Test and Test Procedure	4
4.4Tests on Stone Column Models 1	5
4.4.1 Test set-up and Loading Arrangement15	
4.4.2 Preparation of the Clay Bed16	
4.4.3 Construction of the Stone Column and Compressive Strength Test Procedure	
4.4.4. Vane Shear Test and Test Procedure	

CHAPTER 5: RESULTS

5.1 Results of Clay Sample Tests	20
5.1.1 Results of Specific Gravity (G) of the Clayey Soil	20
5.1.2 Results of Atterberg Limit Test	20
5.1.3 Results of Moisture Content Test	20
5.1.4 Results of Hydrometer Analysis Test	20
5.1.5 Results of Standard Proctor Compaction Test	21
5.1.6Results of Consolidation Test	21 -22
5.1.7 Results of Organic Content Test	22

5.2 Results of Building Debris Tests	•	23
5.2.1 Results of Aggregate Impact Value Test		23
5.2.2 Results of Aggregate Crushing Value Test		23
5.2.3 Results of Los Angeles Abrasion Value Test		23
5.2.4 Results of Slake Durability Test 2	4	
5.3 Results of Stone Column Model Tests	24	
5.3.1Results of Compressive Strength Test	24	
5.3.2 Results of Vane Shear Test	25	

CHAPTER 6: ANALYSIS

6.1 Analysis of Clay Sample		26
6.2 Analysis of Building Debris Tests		27
6.2.1 Slake Durability Test		27
6.2.2 AIV, ACV & LAAV Tests		27-28
6.3 Analysis of Stone Column Model Tests	29	
6.3.1 Compressive Strength Test		29-30
6.3.2 Vane Shear Test		30-34

CHAPTER 5:	CONCLUSION.	[.35-36

CHAPTER 6: REFERENCES

LIST OF TABLES

Table 3.1Standard Tests

Table 5.1Results of Consolidation Test of Clay Sample

Table 5.2 Coefficient of Permeability with m_v and C_v

Table 5.3 Results of Aggregate Impact Value Test of different type of debris

Table 5.4 Results of Aggregate Crushing Value Test of different type of debris

Table 5.5 Results of Los Angeles Abrasion Value Test of different type of debris

Table 5.6 Results of Vane Shear Test of clay sample at a radial distance of 80 mm from the Centre of Model

Table 5.7 Results of Vane Shear Test of clay sample at a radial distance of 35 mm from the Centre of Model

 Table 6.1
 Slake Durability Index Groups of Different Types of Debris

Table 6.2Summary of AIV, ACV & LAAV Tests

Table 6.3 RDA Criteria for Stone Column Material

- Table 6.4Ultimate Load Efficiency of Building Debris Related to Aggregate
- Table A1.1Specific Gravity Test of Clayey Sample
- Table A2.1
 Liquid Limit Test (Penetration method) of Clayey Sample
- Table A2.2Plastic Limit Test of Clayey Sample
- Table A2.3
 Moisture Content Results of Liquid Limit Test
- Table A2.4Moisture Content Results of Plastic Limit Test
- Table A3.1Moisture Content Test of Clayey Model
- Table A4.1Hydrometer Analysis Test of Clayey Sample
- Table A4.2Results of Hydrometer Analysis Test
- Table A5.1
 Standard Proctor Compaction Test of Clayey Sample
- Table A5.2Dry Density and Moisture Content of Other Samples
- Table A5.3Dry Density Values at 100% Saturation

- Table A6.1Clayey Sample Details
- Table A6.2Consolidation Test of Clayey Sample
- Table A6.3Specimens Calculation
- Table A6.4Consolidation Test Calculation
- Table A8.1 Aggregate Impact Value Test of Concrete Debris
- Table A8.2 Aggregate Impact Value Test of Aggregates
- Table A8.3Aggregate Crushing Value Test of Concrete Debris
- Table A8.4Aggregate Crushing Value Test of Aggregates
- Table A8.5Los Angeles Abrasive Value Test of Concrete Debris
- Table A8.6Los Angeles Abrasive Value Test of Aggregates
- Table A9.1Slake Durability Test of Brick Debris
- Table A9.2Slake Durability Test of Concrete Debris
- Table A9.3Slake Durability Test of Plaster Debris
- Table A9.4
 Initial Values of Slake Durability Test of Different Type of Debris
- Table A9.5Values of Slake Durability Test of Different Type of Debris after One Month
- Table A9.6
 Values of Slake Durability Test of Different Type of Debris after Two Months
- Table A10.1
 Compressive Strength Test of Concrete
- Table A10.2
 Compressive Strength Test of Aggregate
- Table A10.3Compressive Strength Test of Brick
- Table A10.4
 Compressive Strength Test of Plaster
- Table A10.5Compressive Strength Test of Clay
- Table A11.1
 Compressive Strength Values of Concrete
- Table A11.2
 Compressive Strength Values of Aggregate
- Table A11.3
 Compressive Strength Values of Brick
- Table A11.4
 Compressive Strength Values of Plaster
- Table A11.5 Compressive Strength Values of Clay

 Table A12.1
 Vane Shear Test at 80 mm Distance from Center of Model Initially

 Table A12.2
 Vane Shear Test at 35 mm Distancefrom Center of Model Initially

Table A12.3Vane Shear Test at 80 mm Distancefrom Center of Model with Load after 07Days Soaked Period

Table A12.4Vane Shear Test at 35 mm Distancefrom Center of Model with Load after 07Days Soaked Period

Table A12.5Vane Shear Test at 80 mmDistance from Center of Model with Load after OneMonth Soaked Period

Table A12.6Vane Shear Test at 35 mmDistance from Center of Model with Load after OneMonth Soaked Period

 Table A13.1
 Shear Strength Values at 80 mm Distance from Center of Model Initially

 Table A13.2
 Shear Strength Values at 35 mm Distance from Center of Model Initially

Table A13.3Shear Strength Values at 80 mm Distance from Center of Model with Load after07 Days Soaked Period

Table A13.4Shear Strength Values at 35 mm Distance from Center of Model with Load after07 Days Soaked Period

Table A13.5Shear Strength Values at 80 mm Distance from Center of Model with Load afterOne Month Soaked Period

Table A13.6Shear Strength Values at 35 mm Distance from Center of Model with Load afterOne Month Soaked Period

 Table A14.1
 Shear Strength Calibration Chart for the 33 mm Blade

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1 Aggregates Usage in Stone Columns In Road Construction

Figure 1.2 Building Debris (Kankesanthurai, Jaffna)

Figure 2.1 Construction Procedure of Stone Column

Figure 2.2Installation Patterns of Stone Columns

Figure 2.3 Load Carrying Mechanism of a Single Stone Column

Figure 2.4 Failure of a Single Stone Column

Figure 4.1Slake Durability Test Procedure

Figure 4.2 Typical Loading Arrangement of Stone Column: (a) Sketch; (b) Sample within the test machine

Figure 4.3 Construction process of the Stone Column

Figure 4.4Arrangement of the Stone Column Prior to Compressive Test

Figure 4.5Testing Locations of Vane Shear Test

Figure 4.6Testing Arrangement of Vane Shear Test

Figure 5.1 Results of Standard Proctor Compaction Test with Zero Air Void Line

Figure 5.2 Graph of Load Vs. Volume of Compressibility and Coefficient of Consolidation

Figure 5.3 Results of Slake Durability Test for Different Types of Building Debriswith Time

Figure 5.4 Results of Compressive Strength Test for Different Type of Building Debris

Figure 6.1 Plasticity Chart

Figure 6.2 Comparison of AIV, ACV & LAAV Tests

Figure 6.3 Variation Between Compressive Load and Penetration for Different Type of Building Debris

Figure 6.4 Graphical representation of undrained shear strength in clay surrounding of different stone column models and time relationship at 80 mm distance from the centre of stone column

Figure 6.5 Graphical representation of undrained shear strength in clay surrounding of different stone column models and time relationship at 35 mm distance from the centre of stone column

Figure 6.6 Graphical representation of percentage of shear strength gain in clay surrounding of stonecolumn models made with different building debris compared to shear strength in claywithout stone column Vs. time at same distance from the centre of made stone column models: (a) From 80 mm Distance; (b) From 35 mm Distance

Figure 6.7 Graphical representation of percentage of shear strength gain in clay surrounding of stonecolumn models made with different building debris compared to shear strength in claywithout stone column Vs. time at same depth level from the surface of made stone column models: (a) From 33 mm Depth; (b) From 80 mm Depth

- Figure A2.1 Graph of Moisture Content vs. Penetration
- Figure A4.1 Graph of Percentage of Finer vs. Particle Size (Diameter of particle)
- Figure A6.1 Consolidation Graph for 25 kPa Load
- Figure A6.2 Consolidation Graph for 50 kPa Load
- Figure A6.3 Consolidation Graph for 75 kPa Load
- Figure A6.4 Consolidation Graph for 100 kPa Load
- Figure A9.1 Initial Slake Index Values of Different Type of Debris
- Figure A9.2 Slake Index Values of Different Type of Debris after One Month
- Figure A9.3 Slake Index Values of Different Type of Debris after Two Months
- Figure A15.1Construction Debris
- Figure A15.2 Concrete Debris
- Figure A15.3 Brick Debris
- Figure A15.4 Cement Plaster Debris

NOTATIONS

LL	- Liquid Limit
PL	- Plastic Limit
PI	- Plastic Index
MDD	- Maximum Dry Density
OMC	- Optimum Moisture Content
SSCM	- Standard Specification of Construction & Maintenance of Roads & Bridges
BS	- British Standards
ASTM	- American Standards for Testing and Materials
AASHTO	- American Association of State Highways and Technical Official
GOSL	- Government of Sri Lanka
RDA	- Road Development Authority
ICTAD	- Institute of Construction Training and Development
AIV -Aggregate Im	npact Value
ACV -Aggregate C	rushing Value
LAAV- Los Angele	Abrasive Value

APPENDICES

- Appendix 1 Observations and Calculations of Specific Gravity Test
- Appendix 2 Observations and Calculations of Atterberg Limit Test
- Appendix 3 Observations and Calculations of Moisture Content Test
- Appendix 4 Observations and Calculations of Hydrometer Analysis Test
- Appendix 5 Observations and Calculations of Proctor Compaction Test
- Appendix 6 Observations and Calculations of Consolidation Test
- Appendix 7 Observations and Calculations of Organic Content Test
- Appendix 8 Observations and Calculations of AIV, ACV and LAAVTests
- Appendix 9 Observations and Calculations of Slake Durability Test
- Appendix 10 Observations of Compressive Strength Test
- Appendix 11 Values of Compressive Strength Test
- Appendix 12 Observations of Vane Shear Test
- Appendix 13 Shear Strength Values of Clay Surrounding
- Appendix 14 Shear Strength Calibration Chart
- Appendix 15 Images of Building Debris