THE PAYMENT DISPUTES IN CONSTRUCTION CONTRACTS IN SRI LANKA AND CONCEPT FOR SOLUTION

Amaratunga Achchige Ravindra Kumara Amaratunga

(149102 A)

Dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirement for the Master of Science in Construction Law and Dispute Resolution

Department of Builling Economics

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

August 2017

DECLARATION

I declare that this is my own work and this dissertation does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right and distribute my dissertation, in whole or in part in print, electrons.	-
medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future	works (such as
articles or books)	
Amaratunga A.A.R.K.	Date
The above candidate has carried out research for the Masters dissertationsupervision.	on under my
Dr. Gayani I karunasena	Date
Dissertation Supervisor	
1	

ABSTRACT

The payment disputes in construction contracts in Sri Lanka and concept for solution

The construction contracts suffer with many disputes in every country and treated as world phenomena; it is an inherent features of industry. The payment dispute is significant one among the disputes and need potential solution always. The world uses conventional approaches to respond the payment dispute i.e. contract administration and once it failed a legal action taken. Failing of both, many countries foresee the necessity to look for better solutions than resorting to the existing practices.

Since nineteen's onward a new concept was introduced by UK as potential solution for the payment disputes as 'Security of Payment (SOP) Act 1996' which is legislative approach. There are many countries that adopt this concept and practicing for more than decades. Unfortunately, this concept is not adopted for Sri Lankan context. Hence issue of this research has been selected to introduce a SOP concept as a potential solution for payments issues in Local Construction Industry. Currently, there are high scale of construction projects that are in pipe line and carrying out and to be involved by international contractors as well as investors. Therefore, it is the most apt time to adopt the SOP concept.

Analytically examined other countries' SOP practice through literature review and carried out primary data collection through interview in order to identify the local construction industry's behavior.

Findings in the research reveals that payment dispute can be mitigated or avoided through SOP concept. It formulated through particular country's legislation and parties created their contract provisions or administration practices are to be abide by the new concept. Therefore, victim parties can secure their rights before the dispute starts in most of the time and concept able to play vital role and potential solution for payment dispute.

Keywords: Dispute, Payment, Delay in projects, Dispute Resolution, Security of Payment, Jurisdiction and Parliament Act

Dedication.....

This dissertation is

Lovingly dedicated to

Academic Gurus

&

My beloved

Wife & Sons

For their

Love and Support

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This achievement is the result of combination of many things: mainly from immense effort and self-attempt; proper guidance by academic supporters; contribution and sharing of interviewees' knowledge; and allow me to give up some duties of family matters, having scarified more than two and half years of time borne by them.

First of all, I would highly appreciate my following academic Gurus: Supervisor, Dr. Gayani I Karunasena who guided the overall process; Dr. Y.G. Sandanayake, Programme Director in MSc in Construction Law and Dispute Resolution programme who made 'Research Athpotha' and other lecturers as my academic carrier guiders who become my main piers of this successful achievement.

My special thanks and many appreciation for interviewees who spend time and gave fullest support for my Primary Data collection without gaining any benefits. Hence, treated as silent heroes on this gigantic event.

At the end I would keep this place to my family who have given tremendous support in various ways and mainly by leaving me alone to examine the research necessities, while I was in stress - keeping smiley faces – made me happy and shared my burdens in order to achieve this academic goal and you all have become team members, so this is appreciation for you.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Dec	clarat	ion of the candidate & supervisor	i
Abs	stract		ii
Dec	licati	on	iii
Acl	cnow	ledgement	iv
Tab	ole of	contents	v
Lis	t of f	igures	x
Lis	t of t	ables	xi
Lis	t of a	bbreviations	xiii
Lis	t of A	Appendix	xiv
СН	APT	TER ONE	
IN	ΓRO	DUCTION TO THE RESEARCH	1-6
1.1	Ba	ckground	1
1.2	Se	lected issue - 'SOP concept' to Sri Lanka	2
1.3	Pa	rameters on research area including limitations	4
1.4	Ai	m and Objectives	4
	1.4.	1 Aim	4
	1.4.	2 Objectives	5
1.5	Me	ethodology	5
1.6	Ch	apter breakdown	6
1.7	Ch	apter summary	6
СН	АРТ	TER TWO	
LI	ΓER	ATURE REVIEW	7-39
2.	Plan	ning of Literature Review	7
	2.1.	Construction Contracts	8
		2.1.1. Does dispute exist in construction contracts?	9
		2.1.2. Narrow down area to the issue – 'Payment dispute'	13
	2.2.	Rational solution over the payment dispute	15
		2.2.1. Failure on Standard Practice	16

		2.2.2.	Failure on legal approach	18
		2.2.3.	New approach against conventional method - 'SOP concept	ot' 20
	2.3.	Source	es of SOP concept	24
	2.4.	Initiate	e SOP concept and its evolution until modern day	25
		2.4.1.	Pros and cons on modern SOP application	27
		2.4.2.	Different SOP approach in other countries	31
	2.5.	Identif	y influential key factors on SOP concept	32
		2.5.1.	First Tier – SOP definition and introduction	32
		2.5.2.	Second Tier – Adjudication process	34
		2.5.3.	Third Tier – Act application by Jurisdiction	36
	2.6.	Identif	Sy capabilities of present situation of Sri Lanka on SOP	
		concep	ot	38
	2.7.	Chapte	er summary	39
CI	HAPT	ER TH	IREE	
RI	ESEA	RCH N	METHODOLOGY	40-45
3.	Intro	duction		40
	3.1.	Meth	od of information collection	41
	3.2.	Secon	ndary source data collection	42
		3.2.1.	Review LR	43
		3.2.2.	Develop theoretical and conceptual approach	43
	3.3.	Prima	ary source data collection	43
		3.3.1	. Primary source – Market approach	44
	3.4.	Analy	ysis of data collection	44
	3.5.	Ethic	cal pitfalls	45
	3.6.	Chap	oter Summary	45
CI	HAPT	ER FO	DUR	
D A	ATA (COLLE	ECTION AND ANALYSIS	. 46-106
4. 1	I	ntroduc	tion	46
4.2	2 5	Selecting	g Primary Data and arrangement	46
	4	4.2.1 H	How interview parties and quantum were selected?	47

	4.2.2	Mode of interviews	. 53
4.3	Client	s' perception	. 54
4.4	Profes	sional advisors' perception	. 57
4.5	Supply	y Chain Management parties' perception	. 58
	4.5.1	Interview with Suppliers	. 59
	4.5.2	Interview with Subcontractors	. 62
	4.5.3	Interview with equipment rental parties	. 64
	4.5.4	Interview with the main contractors	. 65
4.6	Profes	sional parties' purview	. 70
	4.6.1	Interview outcome with Design Developers/Contract	
		Administrator	. 71
	4.6.2	Interview with Legal Advisors	. 74
	4.6.3	Interview outcome with Policy implementation institution	. 80
	4.6.4	Interview's outcome with Adjudicators	. 82
4.7	Synthe	esis analysis of Primary Data and Literature Review	. 84
4.8	Why r	ational solutions fail on payment disputes?	. 84
	4.8.1	Payment dispute as world phenomena	. 85
	4.8.2	Why these rational are failed?	. 86
	4.8.3	How far payment dispute effect to the industry?	. 88
4.9	New a	pproach in the world and key criteria	. 89
	4.9.1	SOP concept	. 89
	4.9.2	Key criteria on SOP concept	. 90
4.10	Indust	ry conditions against SOP concept	. 93
	4.10.	1 Overview on SCM parties	. 94
	4.10.2	2 Overview of professionals/advisors/policy makers' outcome	. 98
4.11	Propos	se: SOP concept is necessary for Sri Lanka	103
4.12	Chapte	er summary	105

CHAPTER FIVE

Concl	usions a	nd Recommendations107 - 121
5.1	Conclu	sions 107
	5.1.1	Findings on first objective
	5.1.2	Findings on second objective
	5.1.3	Findings on third objective
	5.1.4	Findings on fourth objective
5.2	Commo	ents on constituent of SOP concept
5.3	Recom	mendations
5.4	Further	Studies
List of	Referen	nces
List of	Case La	aw

LIST OF FIGURES

		Page
Figure 1.1:	Chapter Breakdown	5
Figure 2.1:	Flow of Literature Review	6
Figure 3.1:	Flow of research	39
Figure 3.2:	Structure of the data collection	40
Figure 3.3:	Area classification .	41
Figure 4.1:	Flow chart of Primary Data collection and analysis	45
Figure 4.2:	Cascade Payment pattern in construction project vs	
	stakeholder's characters	46
Figure 4.3:	Selected stakeholders' distribution against industry	51
Figure 4.4:	Contractual link on professional service with the client	56
Figure 4.5:	Typical construction supply chain	57
Figure 4.6:	Project SCM parties' contractual link	58
Figure 4.7:	Payment trend on supply of materials against progress of works	58
Figure 4.8:	Main Contractor's dual role on a project	65
Figure 4.9:	World phenomenon – disputes are inherent in construction	83
Figure 4.10:	Failing factors (contract administration)	85
Figure 4.11:	Failing factors (legal)	86
Figure 4.12:	Payment effect to the industry from main contractor	87
Figure 4.13:	SOP distribution in the world .	88
Figure 4.14:	Interviewed parties' weightage – actual v planned	93
Figure 4.15:	Different layers in payment flow with SCM parties	94

LIST OF TABLES

	Page
Table – 2.1:	World phenomena on payment disputes10
Table – 2.2:	Ranking of causes of disputes in the selected region
Table – 2.3:	Cause of delay
Table – 2.4:	Payment provisions in a form of contract15
Table – 2.5:	Period of dispute settlement for 13 projects
Table – 2.6:	Main Tiers in UK SOP Act
Table – 2.7:	Parties effect on SOP
Table – 2.8:	First Tier in UK SOP Act
Table – 2.9:	First Tier in Australian SOP Act
Table – 2.10:	First Tier in New Zealand SOP Act
Table – 2.11:	First Tier in Singapore SOP Act
Table – 2.12:	Second Tier in UK SOP Act
Table – 2.13:	Second Tier in Australian SOP Act
Table – 2.14:	Second Tier in New Zealand SOP Act
Table – 2.15:	Second Tier in Singapore SOP Act
Table – 2.16:	Third Tier in UK SOP Act
Table – 2.17:	Third Tier in Australian SOP Act
Table – 2.18:	Third Tier in New Zealand SOP Act
Table – 2.19:	Third Tier in Singapore SOP Act
Table – 4.1:	The selected Stakeholders and number of participation50
Table – 4.2:	Selected mode of interviews
Table – 4.3:	Response to interview questions
Table – 4.4:	Suppliers' payment status against construction parties59
Table – 4.5:	Subcontractors' response on payment dispute
Table – 4.6:	Equipment rental parties' response on payment dispute
Table – 4.7:	Contractor's role on subordinators
Table – 4.8:	The clients' effect on contractor's contribution

LIST OF TABLES (cont'd)

		Page
Table – 4.9:	Payment effects on SCM parties from main contractor	68
Table – 4.10:	Rational methods for payment dispute solution	84
Table – 4.11:	Interviewed SCM parties	87
Table – 4.12:	Comparison on interview participants	92
Table – 4.13:	Existing industry factors	100
Table – 5.1:	Finding facts against objectives	114
Table – 5.2:	Legislation related to compulsory adjudication in different	
	countries/ regions	117

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation Description

BOQ Bill of Quantities

CA Contract Administrator

CEM College of Estate Management, UK

Ed. Edition

FIDIC Conditions of Contract published by Federation Internationale

des Ingenieurs-Conseils

GCOC General Conditions of Contract

HGCR Housing Grant Construction and Re-Generation

ICTAD Institute for Construction Training and Development

ICTAD/SBD Institute for Construction Training and Development/ Standard

Bidding Document

IMaCS ICRA Management Consulting Services Limited

LR Literature Review

No. Numbers

PD Primary Data

Rev.ed. Revised edition

RICS The Royal Institution of Chartered Surveyors

SBD Standard Bidding Documents as Conditions of Contract issued

by Institute for Construction Training and Development

SD Secondary source data

SOP Security of Payment

Vol. Volume (e.g.: Vol.4)

2nd ed. Second edition

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix	Description	Page
A	Interview Questionnaire	. 136
В	CID Clause 67 comparison with first tier of SOP concept	. 145
C	CID Clause 67 comparison with second tier of SOP concept	. 147
D	DAB Guideline	148
E	SOP concept comparison	. 150
F	Content of Tool Kit for Singapore Act and Ireland Act	. 155