

**OPTICS BASED LOW COST FULL-FIELD IN-PLANE
DISPLACEMENT MEASURING TECHNIQUE**

A. Diluxshan 168018G

Master of Science of Engineering

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

December, 2017

OPTICS BASED LOW COST FULL-FIELD IN-PLANE DISPLACEMENT MEASURING TECHNIQUE

A. Diluxshan 168018G

The Research Thesis was submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the
Degree of Master of Science of Engineering

Supervised by Dr. H.M.Y.C. Mallikarachchi



Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

December, 2017

DECLARATION

I declare that this is my own work and this thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my thesis, in whole or in part in print electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books)

.....

Date: October 23, 2017

A. Diluxshan

The undersigned hereby certified that they have read and recommended the thesis for the acceptance in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the Degree of Bachelor of Science of Engineering.

.....

Date: October 23, 2017

Dr. H.M.Y.C. Mallikarachchi

ABSTRACT

Optics Based Low-cost Full-field In-plane Displacement Measuring Technique

Displacement/strain measurement plays a significant role in understanding structural and material behaviour. In the local context, commonly used contact based systems have a major limitation when it comes to measuring deformation of a surface. A measuring probe can record the displacement/strain only at a single location and hence require a larger number of pre-defined measuring points in order to calculate the deformation of the surface. Furthermore, the comparative stiffness between the measuring probe and the object under consideration has to be significantly larger. A non-contact optic based system is an ideal candidate for overcoming the problems encountered in such systems.

This research attempts to utilize image processing techniques in order to develop a low cost non-contact based deformation measuring technique to measure the in-plane deformation of an object. A set of pre-defined measuring points (targets) were marked on specimen surfaces and a series of images with a commonly available camera was taken while applying a load. The images were then processed using the image processing tools available in MATLAB software to track the motion of predefined targets. The calculated displacements were validated against the physical measurements taken during the bending test.

Key Words: *digital image correlation, DIC, image processing, non-contact measurements, pattern matching*

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to make this opportunity paying gratitude for all the people who gave their helpful hand during my research study as it would never get succeeded without them.

I would like to express my profound gratitude and deep regards to the supervisor of this research, Dr. H.M.Y.C. Mallikarachchi, Senior Lecturer, Dept. of Civil Engineering, University of Moratuwa, for giving me the guidance and continuous supervision and sharing knowledge and time in work on the research project.

I appreciate the financial assistance given by Senate Research Council (SRC) of University of Moratuwa and National Research Council (NRC) of Sri Lanka.

I obligate to thank Prof. W.P.S. Dias, Dr. C.S. Lewangamage, Dr. Indika Perera and Dr. R.U. Halwatura for their valuable comments and advices given during research progress evaluations.

Last but not least, I am truly grateful to many people including the technical staffs in the laboratory and my colleagues who have helped me in various ways during my research. I would like to make this as an opportunity to express my sincere gratitude towards them all.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLARATION	i
ABSTRACT	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iv
LIST OF FIGURES	vi
LIST OF TABLES	vii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	vii
1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background	1
1.1.1 Contact Based Systems	1
1.1.2 Non-contact Based System	2
1.2 Objectives of the Research Study	3
1.3 Outline	3
2 LITERATURE REVIEW	4
2.1 Digital Image Correlation	4
2.1.1 Selection of Experimental Setup	5
2.1.2 Digital Imaging	5
2.1.3 Pattern Matching	7
2.1.4 Pattern Recognition	8
2.2 Applications	9
2.3 Camera Calibration	12
2.3.1 Calibration Algorithm:	13
2.4 Correlation Methods:	14
3 DEVISING PHYSICAL SETUP AND CAMERA CALIBRATION - 15	
3.1 Identifying External Factors to be Considered	15
3.1.1 Contrasting Background	16
3.1.2 Lighting and Shades	16
3.1.3 Camera Position	17
3.1.4 Thickness Effect of the Targets	17
3.2 Camera Calibration	18
3.2.1 Experimental Setup	19
3.2.2 Processing Calibration Images	21
4 IDENTIFICATION OF PREDEFINED TARGETS	28
4.1 Measuring Rigid Body Motion with Predefined Targets	28
4.1.1 Preparation of Targets	28

4.1.2	Capturing Images-----	29
4.1.3	MATLAB Algorithm for Identifying Targets-----	30
4.1.4	Analysis and Results of Rigid Body Motion Test -----	32
4.1.5	Problems Encountered and the Solutions: -----	33
4.2	Measuring In-plane Deflection of Flexible Structures -----	34
4.2.1	Three-point Bending Setup -----	34
4.2.2	Image Processing – Flexible Beam -----	36
4.2.3	Comparison of Vertical Deflections -----	38
5	FULL-FIELD DEFORMATION MEASUREMENT-----	42
5.1	Template Matching: -----	42
5.2	Pattern Matching-----	43
5.3	Surface Deformation under Uniaxial Tensile Testing -----	47
5.3.1	Uniaxial Tensile Test Setup-----	47
5.3.2	Processing Images for Full-field Deformation -----	49
5.3.3	Comparison of Results from Uni-Axial Tensile Test -----	53
5.4	Cost Comparison of the Developed Technique-----	61
6	CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK -----	62
6.1	Future Work -----	62
	REFERENCES -----	64
	BIBLIOGRAPHY -----	65
	APPENDICES-----	I
	Appendix A: Equipment Used in the Experiment-----	I
	A.1 Camera: -----	I
	A.2 Apparatus: -----	I
	A.3 Universal Testing Machine-----	II
	A.4 Extensometer -----	III
	A.5 Camera EOS 700D -----	III
	Appendix B: MATLAB Algorithm -----	VI
	B.1 Calibration Algorithm-----	VI
	B.2 Output of the above (Appendix B.1) Algorithm:-----	VIII
	B.3 Finding Out the Centre of the Circles-----	X
	B.4 Timber Deflection Measurement -----	XV
	B.5:Template Matching Algorithm-----	XXI
	B.6 Pattern Recognition Algorithm -----	XXII
	Appendix C: Strain Contours-----	XXVIII
	Specimen 1:-----	XXVIII
	Specimen 2:-----	XXXI

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1: Contact-based measurement systems (a) dial gauge (b) strain gauges-----	1
Figure 1.2: Observing optical flow in an object using DIC -----	2
Figure 2.1: Optical analysisi of an object for deformation -----	4
Figure 2.2: Typical electronic textile of a material -----	5
Figure 2.3: (a) Important parameters in digital imaging (b) pixel arrangement -----	6
Figure 2.4: Histogram of an image (a) sample image (b) corresponding image histogram--	6
Figure 2.5: Pattern matching correlation parameters -----	7
Figure 2.6: Particle movement inside ROI and ROI movement-----	8
Figure 2.7: 3D ESPI Ssystem (Q-300)-----	9
Figure 2.8: Image analysisi (a) strain of bridge pier (b) vibration of pipes (red)-----	10
Figure 3.1: Experimental setup of Hounsfield Tensometer -----	15
Figure 3.2: Aluminium dog-bone specimen (Units: mm) -----	15
Figure 3.3: Zoomed image of targets -----	16
Figure 3.4: Clamped specimen-----	16
Figure 3.5: Effect of over illumination (a) image with camera flash (b) processed image with IPT	17
Figure 3.6: Checkerboard pattern for calibration -----	18
Figure 3.7: Experimental setup of camera calibration -----	19
Figure 3.8: Region of Interest (ROI)-----	19
Figure 3.9: Checkerboard pattern oriented in different planes -----	20
Figure 3.10: Flowchart of camera calibration -----	21
Figure 3.11: Possible radial distortion types-----	22
Figure 3.12: Tangential Distotion -----	23
Figure 3.13: Detected checkerboard square corner points (green circle) and reprojected points (red cross)-----	23
Figure 3.14: Zoomed view of a corner of a checkerboard square-----	24
Figure 3.15: Scatter plot of reprojection error of image 7 and image 30 -----	24
Figure 3.16: Mean reprojection error of calibration images -----	25
Figure 3.17: 3D view of the camera centric view-----	25
Figure 3.18: Extrinsic parameters visualization from pattern centric view-----	26
Figure 4.1: Four targets used to define coplaner rigid body -----	29
Figure 4.2: Different orientations of targets -----	29
Figure 4.3: Flow chart for the blob method-----	30
Figure 4.4: Process of target detection:(a) Undistorted image (b) Grayscale image (c) Binary image (d) Pseudo coloured image (e) Labelled image -----	31
Figure 4.5: Comparison of percentage error in measured distances -----	32
Figure 4.6: Region of Interest (ROI) of three-point bending experiment-----	34
Figure 4.7: Experimental setup of bending test -----	34
Figure 4.8: Calibration image samples -----	35
Figure 4.9: Images of initial configuration of three-point bbending setup (a) Original image(b) After undistorting with camera parameters -----	36
Figure 4.10: Projected centre of a target -----	37
Figure 4.11: Selection of appropriate greyscale colour datum range -----	37
Figure 4.12: Comparison of vertical displacements of targets from dial gauge readings and MATLAB readings-----	40
Figure 5.1: Template matching analysis-----	42
Figure 5.2: Template rotated (a) by 10 degrees (b) by 90 degrees -----	43
Figure 5.3: Image of a balloon (A) before stretching (b) after stretching-----	44
Figure 5.4: Detected SURF points -----	46
Figure 5.5: Matched features -----	46

Figure 5.6: Deformed region (Red quadrilateral) -----	46
Figure 5.7: Experimental setup of uniaxial tensile testing -----	47
Figure 5.8: (a) Clamped specimen (b) Extensometer -----	48
Figure 5.9: Load vs. Time curve for a specimen-----	49
Figure 5.10: Flow chart for image processing of uni-axial tensile test-----	50
Figure 5.11: Block diagram for pattern matching -----	55
Figure 5.12: ROI (a) before loading (b) during necking (c) strain contour during necking (d) overlapping of b & c (the strain contour during the necking sample)-----	58
Figure 0.1: ROI (a) before loading (b) during necking -----	XXX
Figure 0.2: ROI (a) before loading (b) during necking -----	XXXII

LIST OF TABLES

Table 4.1: Comparison of measured distance in between the target points -----	32
Table 4.2: Comparison of displacements obtained from five targets-----	38
Table 5.1: Types of detecting surface features -----	44
Table 5.2: Comparison of strain output from extensometer and MATLAB -----	53
Table 5.3: Strain contours of specimen 3 -----	56
Table 5.4: Comparison of strain contours with the same colormap range-----	59
Table 5.5: Mesh sensitivity analysis for the strain contours -----	60

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

DIC	Digital Image Correlation
ROI	Region of Interest
DOF	Depth of Field