# AN ENHANCED BLUE-GREEN DEPLOYMENT FOR REDUCING COST AND APPLICATION DOWNTIME

H.M.D. THILINA JAYAWARDANA

168227V

MSc in Computer Science

Department of Computer Science and Engineering

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

May 2018

### DECLARATION

I declare that this is my own work and this MSc Research Report does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my thesis, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works.

H.M.D.T. Jayawardana

Date

I certify that the declaration above by the candidate is true to the best of my knowledge and that this project report is acceptable for evaluation for the MSc Research.

Dr. Indika Perera

Date

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to sincerely convey my gratitude to my supervisor Dr. Indika Perera for the guidance and motivation throughout the research. Also my appreciation goes to University LIbrary for providing research materials. I am also thankful for my colleagues and the staff of Pearson Lanka Pvt LTD for their valuable help and support.

#### ABSTRACT

Application deployment is one of the critical milestones in the software development lifecycle. There are always risks of downtime and failing the new application version. Blue-Green deployment aka A/B deployment is one of the popular web application deployment techniques to mitigate those deployment risks. With the Blue-Green approach, it provides a quick backout plan with an existing set of servers with the previous application version up and running. Even though this has become more popular with the development of cloud infrastructure services, there are some scenarios still this approach brings disadvantages.

In this research, we discuss alternative development approaches in order to address above mentioned concerns while preserving the favorable features which are available in the Blue-Green deployment methodology. It has been considered two alternative approaches for the Blue-Green process without impacting the applications. It has been thoroughly analyzed each alternative approach that we suggest with in order to determine an alternative deployment process for the suitable situation.

Throughout this research, it has been considered Java web application deployment processes as the concerned scenario. As an alternative deployment processes, it has been discussed some of the already existing methodologies and trending novel techniques as well.

It has been proposed two alternative deployment mechanisms comparative to the Blue-Green deployment methodology. The first approach is proposed using the Parallel deployment capability of Apache Tomcat and the second approach is Deployment using Linux containers. Both of these approaches have been tested along with the conventional Blue-Green deployment methodology. The efficiency of each alternative approach has been assessed in a popular cloud environment Amazon Web Service (AWS) considering the practical usage of the solutions.

With this research it has been considered enhancing the existing Blue-Green deployment methodology with the proposed alternative approaches.

By analyzing the results it has been concluded that proposed alternative approaches can be used to enhance the Blue-Green deployment with some pros and cons.

Keywords: Cloud, High-availability, Deployment, Downtime, Release, Web application

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	ii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	Х
1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.3. Deployment downtime	4
1.4. The problem and motivation	5
1.5. Outline	7
1.6. Virtualization	7
1.7. High availability architecture	8
1.6. Objectives	8
Reduce deployment downtime	8
Quick rollback and versioning	9
CI/CD support	9
2. LITERATURE REVIEW	10
2.1. Prior work	11
2.1.1. SLA-Aware application deployment	11
2.1.2. A/B Deployment process	12
2.1.3. Canary deployment process	14
2.1.4. Continuous delivery	15
2.1.5. High-available cloud infrastructure	16
2.1.6. Multi-cloud scenarios	16
2.1.7. Database downtime	17
2.2. Literature review summary	18
3. METHODOLOGY	19
3.1. Architecture and scope	20
3.1.1. Versioning	20
3.1.2. CI/CD Integration	22
3.1.3. Deployment process	22
3.1.4. System under test	23
3.2. Evaluation	24

4.	SOLUTION ARCHITECTURE AND IMPLEMENTATION	26
	4.1. Architecture Introduction	27
	4.2. Language and platform	27
	4.3. Deployment method	28
	4.4. Approach 1 - Parallel deployment with Tomcat server	30
	4.4.1. Parallel deployment	30
	4.4.2. Proxy interface	30
	4.4.3. Clustering	32
	4.4.4. Drawbacks	32
	4.5. Approach 2 - Using Linux containers	34
	4.5.1. Proxy Interface	35
	4.5.2. Deployment mechanism	37
	4.5.3. NginX	38
5.	SYSTEM EVALUATION	39
	5.1. Introduction	40
	5.2. Load generation	41
	5.3. A/B deployment evaluation	41
	5.4. Evaluation alternative approaches	42
	5.5. Results and discussion	43
	5.5.1. A/B deployment deployment with 20 concurrent users	44
	5.5.2. A/B deployment deployment with 100 concurrent users	45
	5.5.1. Approach 1: using parallel deployment with 20 concurrent users	45
	5.5.2. Approach 1: using parallel deployment with 100 concurrent users	47
	5.5.2. Approach 2: using Linux containers with 20 concurrent users	49
	5.5.2. Approach 2: using Linux containers with 100 concurrent users	51
6.	CONCLUSION	54
	6.1. Conclusion	55
	6.1.1. Alternative approach 1: Parallel deployment using Tomcat	55
	6.1.2. Alternative approach 2: Using Linux containers	55
	6.1.3. Cumulative conclusions of both alternative approaches	56
	6.2. Study limitations	57
	6.3. Future works	57

REFERENCES	58
Appendix I - NginX configurations	61
Appendix II - Python helper app source code	63

# LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1 Cost of unplanned outage in data centers	3
Figure 1.2 Cost vs duration of unplanned downtime	4
Figure 2.1 A/B deployment	13
Figure 2.2 Canary deployment	14
Figure 2.3 Continuous Delivery Process	15
Figure 3.1 Multiple application versions running in Tomcat	21
Figure 4.1 Approach 1 - Single Tomcat server	31
Figure 4.2 Approach 1 - Tomcat as a cluster	32
Figure 4.3 Approach 2 - Tomcat using Linux containers	34
Figure 4.4 Approach 2 - Proxy interface	36
Figure 4.5 Proxy interface GUI	36
Figure 5.1 A/B deployment Jenkins jobs	42
Figure 5.2 A/B deployment duration	43
Figure 5.3 A/B deployment - Response time for 20 concurrent users	44
Figure 5.4 A/B deployment - Response time for 100 concurrent users	45
Figure 5.5 Approach 1 using parallel deployment - memory usage with 20 users	46
Figure 5.6 Parallel deployment - CPU usage with 20 concurrent users	46
Figure 5.7 Approach 1 using parallel deployment - Response time for 20 users	47
Figure 5.8 Approach 1 using parallel deployment - memory usage with 100 users	48
Figure 5.9 Parallel deployment - CPU usage with 100 concurrent users	48
Figure 5.10 Parallel deployment - Response time for 100 concurrent users	49
Figure 5.11 Parallel deployment duration	49
Figure 5.12 Linux containers - Memory usage with 20 concurrent users	50
Figure 5.13 Approach 2 using Linux containers CPU usage with 20 users	50
Figure 5.14 Linux containers - Response time for 20 concurrent users	51
Figure 5.15 Linux containers Memory usage with 100 concurrent users	52
Figure 5.16 Linux containers CPU usage with 100 concurrent users	52
Figure 5.17 Linux containers - Response time for 100 concurrent users	52
Figure 5.18 Linux containers deployment duration	53

# LIST OF TABLES

44
45
46
48
51
53

# LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation	Description
API AWS	Application Programming Interface Amazon Web Services
CD	Continuous Delivery
CI	Continuous Integration
DNS	Domain Name System
DR	Disaster Recovery
HTTP	Hypertext Transfer Protocol
QOS	Quality of Service
SLA	Service Level Agreement
TPS	Transactions Per Second