OPTIMIZING PASSENGER MOVEMENTS THROUGH AIRPORT TERMINALS

D.D.G.A.D.S. Saparamadu

(118037R)

Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

January 2019

OPTIMIZING PASSENGER MOVEMENTS THROUGH AIRPORT TERMINALS

D.D.G.A.D.S. Saparamadu

(118037R)

Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Civil Engineering

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

January 2019

DECLARATION OF THE CANDIDATE & SUPERVISOR

I declare that this is my own work and this dissertation does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and

Signature of the Supervisor: Date:

Abstract

Minimizing walking distances, waiting times and delays at critical service centers such as ticket counters, immigration, baggage claim and security checks and optimal spacing of other services or frictions such as shops, washrooms, food cabins and internet accesses within a terminal could contribute much towards passenger comfort. Knowledge regarding arrival and waiting patterns of passengers at mandatory service centers and other services helps model passenger flow through the terminal. This knowledge depends on airport location, the operating strategy of the terminal and the frictions placed in between mandatory service centers.

Existing simulation and analytical models for walking distances and waiting times are for specific use at one airport or one part of the airport only. They cannot be used elsewhere. Therefore, finding out flexible mathematical models for common use at all airport terminals is the main purpose of this research. The research concentrates on two main objectives, of which, the first is to develop mathematical models to optimize passenger flow through different servers and other facilities minimizing total waiting time at all mandatory service centers. The other objective is to evaluate the different terminal configurations and find the optimum terminal configuration with the least waiting time for passengers.

Data related to waiting time and service time at different mandatory service centers helped find placements for suitable frictions to be located before the mandatory service centers. Criteria developed for the purpose were means and variances of waiting times at mandatory service centers with and without frictions. If the mean waiting time at a mandatory service center without friction is less than that at a mandatory service center with friction, a friction before the mandatory service center gets rejected. Queuing theory helped fix suitable frictions before the mandatory service centers. These analytical solutions were verified using the Monte Carlo simulation using queuing theory.

Secondly, proper frictions to be placed before the gates in terminal configurations to minimize passenger delays were realized with the pier type terminal configuration, where the three pier type terminal configurations with frictions was considered for optimal terminal configuration to minimize passenger delays. The optimum terminal configuration to minimize passenger delays appeared to be the terminal with three piers holding an unequal number of gates. The developed models include the common features of all airport terminals and are capable of describing any terminal configuration.

• Keywords: Waiting time, Walking distance, Frictions, Terminal configuration, Simulation

DEDICATION

To,

My ever loving...

Parents,

Husband

&

Sister!!

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, it is with great respect and veneration that I express my appreciation to my research supervisor, Professor JMSJ Bandara for sacrificing his worthy time of heavily loaded work schedule to guide, direct, advice, comment, correct and criticize my works; for allowing me to learn through my own experience. Without the knowledge and vast experience imparted to me with advice by my supervisor, I may not have been to complete my research successfully.

Further, I would like to convey my gratitude to Prof. WK Mampearachchi for all the help, advice and encouragement he has given to me throughout the time period I was at the Transportation Engineering Division and as one of my panel member. I am also thankful for the enormous help and assistance I received from Dr. T Sivakumar as the other panel member.

I am also grateful to the Institute of Technology University of Moratuwa for granting me study leave and sponsoring me for the Ph.D.

I am deeply indebted to Mr. Ajith Dias, the Chairman, Major General Nissanka Wijesinghe, Head of security, all the airport managers and all the other staff members in Sri Lankan Airlines Limited for giving their great support to collect the data at Bandaranaike International Airport.

My heartfelt gratitude goes to Dr. (Mrs) SC Mathugama for all the helpful suggestions made me to complete my research work. Also my sincere thanks due to Mrs. PS Yatapana, Mrs. CPN Attygalle, Dr. HUCP Hewawasam, Ms. AS Fernando, Mrs. UM Abeysinghe, Ms. AKDK Chathurangi and Mrs. ALMD Silva, who gave me valuable assistance to make this endeavor a success, shared my workload and allowed me time to complete this research.

My heartiest thanks to Mrs. Vineeta Goonetilleke for all the helpful grammar corrections made by wasting her priceless time. Without her endless support, I may not have been to finish my thesis nicely.

I express my sincere gratitude towards to all my friends and staff in Transportation Engineering Division of the Department of Civil Engineering for their support and kind assistance regarding my research work.

Furthermore, my warmest gratitude goes to all of experts and every person who have helped me encouraged me assisted me in completion of this research.

Last but not least, my heartfelt thanks to my parents and my sister for being my silent prayer for throughout my success in every stage of my educational career up to this very day of life. I would like to express my deepest thanks to my loving husband for being with me throughout, bearing all the difficulties due to my busyness life. My failures and successes are measured by him. Also my heartiest thanks goes to my mother in law, sister in law and brother in law, who helped me in various ways through this journey. Finally, without my entire family's support, this thesis would never have been possible.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECL	ARATION OF THE CANDIDATE & SUPERVISOR	i
Abstra	act	. ii
DEDI	CATION	iii
ACKN	NOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
LIST	OF FIGURES	ix
LIST	OF TABLES	xii
LIST	OF ABBREVIATIONSx	iii
1.	INTRODUCTION	. 1
1.1.	Background	. 1
1.2.	Literature Review	. 3
1.3.	Research Problem	. 4
1.4.	Research Objectives	. 4
1.5.	Research Methodology	. 5
1.6.	Data Collection.	. 6
1.7.	Data Analysis	. 7
1.8.	Summary	. 8
2.	LITERATURE REVIEW	. 9
2.1.	Introduction	. 9
2.2.	Passengers' waiting time at airport terminals	. 9
2.3.	Passengers' walking distance at airport terminals	18
2.4.	Gate Position Estimation	22
2.5.	Terminal Configuration Models	26
2.6.	Summary	31
3.	DATA COLLECTION AND METHODOLOGY	32
3.1.	Introduction	32
3.2.	Data Collection.	34
3.3.	Identify outliers of the datasets	37
3.4.	Distribution Assessment Techniques	39
3.4.1.	The Probability Plot	39
342	Empirical CDF and Histogram	40

3.5.	Tests for identifying distributions	40
3.5.1.	Anderson Darling Test	41
3.5.2.	Chi-Square Goodness of Fit Test	42
3.6.	Conversion to Normal Distribution	44
3.6.1.	Transformation of Log-Normal to Normal	45
3.6.2.	Transformation of Weibull to Normal	45
3.7.	Cumulative Distributions	46
3.7.1.	Moment generating function of a continuous variable	46
3.7.2.	Cumulative distribution of two continuous variables	47
3.7.3.	Cumulative distribution of three continuous variables	48
3.8.	Hypothesis Testing Techniques	49
3.8.1.	Welch's t – Test	50
3.8.2.	F Test	52
3.8.3.	Levene's Test for Equality of Variances	53
3.8.4.	ANOVA Test of Homogeneity of Variances	54
3.9.	Queuing Theory Technique	56
3.10.	Summary	57
4.	MODEL DEVELOPMENT	59
4.1.	Introduction	59
4.2.	Placing frictions before the mandatory service centers	61
4.3.	Queuing Technique for determining delays	64
4.3.1.	Frictions placed for arrival procedure	68
4.3.2.	Frictions placed for departure procedure	74
4.4.	Frictions placed before the gates	82
4.5.	Terminal Comparison	89
4.6.	Summary	93
5.	SIMULATION AND OPTIMIZATION	94
5.1.	Introduction	94
5.2.	Gathering Data	95
5.2.1.	Service time data at immigration counters in arrival procedure	95
5.3.	Distributions of service times and waiting times of mandatory service	
	centers	96

5.4.	Transform other distributions to Normal distribution	. 100
5.4.1.	Transformation of Weibull distribution to Normal distribution	. 100
5.4.2.	Transformation of Log-Normal distribution to Normal distribution	. 102
5.5.	Parameters for Cumulative Distributions	. 105
5.6.	Placing frictions before the mandatory service centers	. 106
5.7.	Monte Carlo Simulation Verification.	. 118
5.7.1.	One friction placed before the mandatory service center in arrival	
	procedure	. 122
5.7.2.	One friction placed before the mandatory service center in departure	
	procedure	. 127
5.7.3.	Two frictions placed before the mandatory service center in arrival	
	procedure	. 134
5.7.4.	Two frictions placed before the mandatory service center in departure	
	procedure	. 139
5.8.	Frictions placed before the gates	. 146
5.9.	Terminal Comparison.	. 152
5.10.	Summary	. 158
6.	DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION	. 159
6.1.	Discussion	. 159
6.2.	Conclusion	. 162
6.3.	Future Work	. 163
REFE	RENCES	. 164
Apper	ndix A	. 178
Apper	ndix B	. 186
Apper	ndix C	. 189

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 3. 1: Waiting times at mandatory service centers in departure procedure 36
Figure 3. 2: Waiting times at mandatory service centers in arrival procedure 36
Figure 3. 3: Box plot graph
Figure 3. 4: Box plot for waiting time data of Immigration counters with outliers 38
Figure 3. 5 Box plot for waiting time data of Immigration counters without outliers 39
Figure 4. 1: Differences of means of the delays and that of variances of the delays at
service centers
Figure 4. 2: Mandatory service centers without frictions
Figure 4. 3: Mandatory service centers with one friction
Figure 4. 4: Mandatory service centers with two frictions
Figure 4. 5: Mandatory service centers without frictions in arrival procedure 68
Figure 4. 6: One friction placed before each mandatory service center in arrival
procedure 69
Figure 4. 7: Two frictions placed before each mandatory service center in arrival
procedure71
Figure 4. 8: Mandatory service centers without frictions in departure procedure 74
Figure 4. 9: One friction placed before each mandatory service center in departure
procedure
Figure 4. 10: Two frictions placed before each mandatory service center in departure
procedure
Figure 4. 11: One pier configuration with <i>n</i> number of gates
Figure 4. 12: One pier configuration with n number of gates and m number of frictions
85
Figure 4. 13: The original layout and considered layout of the one pier
Figure 4. 14: Terminal configuration of two piers with n/2 gates for each
Figure 4. 15: Terminal configuration of three piers with n/3 gates for each90
Figure 4. 16: Terminal configuration of three piers with different number of gates for
each

Figure 5. 1: Histogram of the data of waiting time at Immigration counters97
Figure 5. 2: Probability Plot of the data of waiting time at Immigration counters 97
Figure 5. 3: Empirical Cumulative Distribution Function of the data of waiting time at
Immigration counters
Figure 5. 4: Probability Plot of the data of waiting time at entrance check-in counters
in departure procedure before the transformation
Figure 5. 5: Probability Plot of the data of waiting time at Entrance Check-in counters
in departure procedure after the transformation
Figure 5. 6: Probability Plot of the data of service time at Entrance Check-in counters
in departure procedure before the transformation
Figure 5. 7: Probability Plot of the data of service time at Entrance Check-in counters
in departure procedure after the transformation
Figure 5. 8: Probability Plots of the data of service time at Immigration counters in
departure procedure before and after the transformation
Figure 5. 9: Probability Plots of the data of waiting time at Immigration counters in
arrival procedure before and after the transformation
Figure 5. 10: Probability Plots of the data of service time at baggage station in arrival
procedure before and after the transformation
Figure 5. 11: Histogram of the data of waiting time at Immigration counters in arrival
procedure
Figure 5. 12: Layout of service centers without placing frictions in arrival procedure
Figure 5. 13: Layout of service centers with placing one friction in arrival procedure
Figure 5. 14: Layout of service centers without placing frictions in departure procedure
Figure 5. 15: Layout of service centers with placing one friction in departure procedure
Figure 5. 16: Layout of service centers with placing two frictions in arrival procedure

Figure 5. 17: Layout of service centers with placing two frictions in departure
procedure
Figure 5. 18: One pier configuration with <i>n</i> number of gates
Figure 5. 19: One pier configuration with n number of gates and m number of frictions
Figure 5. 20: Terminal configuration of two piers with 6 gates for each
Figure 5. 21: Terminal configuration of three piers with 4 gates for each
Figure 5. 22: Terminal configuration of three piers with different number of gates for
each

LIST OF TABLES

Table 3. 1: Service and waiting times at Immigration counter in departure procedure
Table 3. 2: Anderson Darling values under different level of significance values 42
Table 4. 1: Decision for placing friction before the mandatory service centers 63
Table 5. 1: Service time and waiting time at Immigration counter in arrival procedure
Table 5. 2: Distributions of waiting and service time of mandatory service centers at
BIA
Table 5. 3: The distributions of frictions with means and variances
Table 5. 4: The proper frictions which can be placed before the mandatory service
centers in arrival and departure procedures
Table 5. 5: The status of placing frictions before the mandatory service centers in
arrival procedures
Table 5. 6: The status of placing frictions before the mandatory service centers in
arrival procedures
Table 5. 7: The status of placing frictions before the mandatory service centers in
departure procedures
Table 5. 8: The status of placing frictions before the mandatory service centers in
departure procedures
Table 5. 9: Confidence Intervals for mean waiting time of the mandatory service
centers in arrival and departure procedures119
Table 5. 10: Waiting time Intervals for mean waiting time at Immigration counters in
arrival procedures
Table 5. 11: Generated data with parameter values for mean waiting time at
Immigration counters in arrival procedures

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

BIA - Bandaranaike International Airport

FIFO – First in – First out

CDF – Cumulative Density Function

AD – Anderson Darling

ANOVA – Analysis of Variance