

## **REFERENCES**

1. Central Bank of Sri Lanka, 2014. Economic and Social Statistics of Sri Lanka 2014, Colombo: Statistics Department.
2. Central Bank of Sri Lanka, 2016. Annual Report 2016, Colombo: s.n.
3. Transport Research Board, 2010. Highway Capacity Manual. Transportation Research Board, National Research Council, Washington, DC, 2010.
4. Directorate General of Highways, 1993. Indonesian Highway Capacity Manual. Jakarta: s.n.
5. Indian Roads Congress. Guidelines for Capacity of Roads in Rural Areas, New Delhi: Indian Roads Congress, 1990.
6. I.H. Hashim and T.A. Abdel-Wahed. Evaluation of performance measures for rural two-lane roads in Egypt. AEJ, 50, 2013, pp. 245-255.
7. Oregon Department of Transportation. Modelling Performance Indicators on Two Lane Rural Highways, Oregon DOT, Oregon, 2010.
8. C. Van As. The Development of an Analysis Method for the Determination of Level of Service of Two-lane Undivided Highways in South Africa. South African National Roads Agency Limited, 2003.
9. H.V LOSSR ,Level of Service for Heavy Vehicles on Rural Road survey publish through <https://www.surveymonkey.com/s/HVLOSRR>
10. D. Bews, Department of Indian Affairs and Northern Development, Transportation Division, Technical Services and Contracts branch, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada KIA OH4. G. Smith and G. Tencha, UMA Engineering Ltd., Development of Geometric design Standard for Low Volume Roads in Canada 1479 Buffalo Place, Winnipeg, Manitoba'CanadaR3TIL7,<http://onlinepubs.trb.org/Onlinepubs/trr/1987/1106v2/1106v2-027.pdf>
11. Zegeer, C.V., R. Stewart, F. Council, and T.R. Neuman, Roadway Widths for Low-Traffic-Volume Roads, NCHRP Report 362, Transportation Research Board. Washington,D.C.:1994 [http://onlinepubs.trb.org/Onlinepubs/nchrp/nchrp\\_rpt\\_362.pdf](http://onlinepubs.trb.org/Onlinepubs/nchrp/nchrp_rpt_362.pdf)
12. Tim Martin , Thorolf Thoresen and Ulysses ,ARRB Group, 'Estimating levels of service (LOS) for freight on rural roads', (Australasian Transport Research Forum 2016 ) 500 Burwood Hwy, Vermont South, Victoria, 3133 Email for correspondence: [tim.martin@arrb.com.au](mailto:tim.martin@arrb.com.au).

**APPENDIX 01**  
**SAMPLE OF QUESTIONNAIRE REPRESENTING THREE**  
**DISTRICT**

**1. Gangodavila – Udahamulla Road in Colombo district**

CRITERIA FOR PERFORMANCE EVALUATION OF LOW VOLUME ROADS				
<b>PART 'A'</b>				
Province	Western Province		District	Colombo
EE Division	Colombo		Electrate	Kotte
Road Name	Gangodavila - Udahamulla		Length	1.3
			carriage way Width	4.5
<b>PART 'B'</b>			<b>BASIC DETAILS</b>	
			<b>SUGGESTION</b>	
A Vehicle category	Heavy Vehic 0.128		Heavy vehicle or	Light vehicle
B RDA classification	C		Class C	Class D
B Vehicle Speed (km/hr.)	Existing	Expected	averagetime (min)	
	16		5	
C Connectivity	Road Start	Main road	Main rd or minor road	
	Road End	Main road	Main rd , minor road or dead end	
d Traffic volume		per day		
<b>e Rating for this road</b>				
	% score			
Class A	75 - 100		In good and acceptable condition	
Class B	50 - 75	55	Satisfactory and Regular maintenance is enough	
Class C	25 - 50		poor condition and need improvement	
Class D	0 - 25		Very poor condition and need more attention	
<b>f Ranking of criteria</b>				
	Criteria	Ranking according to effect	% weight for each criteria	Actual parameters
1	Road width	2	25	4.5
2	Shoulder width	1	30	0.3
3	Narrow Bends	6	5	2
4	Right of Way	3	20	3.2
5	Structures	7	2	High
6	Passing Bays	4	10	4
7	Road Furniture	5	4	medium
8	Access to civic centers	8	3	1
9	Railway crossing	9	1	1
			100	
			ranking should be most important criteria as no 1 and others in ascending order	
			Weightage should be as a percentage of importancy among the most important 5 criterias	

PART 'C'		CRITERIA EVALUATION				SUGGESTION		
<b>1 Road width</b>	Current condition	Low	Medium	High	up to 3.5m	low	4.5	
			X		Between 3.5 - 4.0m	medium		
					above 4.0m	High		
<b>2 Shoulder width</b>	Current condition	Low	Medium	High	up to 0.6m	low	0.3	
		X			Between 0.6-1.0m	medium		
					above 1.0m	High		
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Shoulder Width required for sudden parking. For the safety of pedestrian and drivers</li> <li>Shoulder Width is depend on the type of vehicle.</li> <li>When considering expected width, it should be consider the expected speed and type of vehicle Category</li> </ul>						
<b>3 Narrow Bends (Visibility)</b>	Current condition	Low	Medium	High	above 6 No /km	low	2	
				X	Between 3-6No/km	medium		
					up to 3 No/km	High		
		If There is more Bends are in the road, Its effecting to the <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Driving com fortability</li> <li>Reduce the speed</li> <li>Cause for accident</li> </ul>						
<b>4 Right of Way</b>	Current condition	Low	Medium	High	up to 3.0m	low	3.2	
			X		Between 3.0 - 5.0m	medium		
					above 5.0m	High		
		If There is not enough space to improve Road Then need Road side Acquisition and course high expenditure						
<b>5 Structures</b>	Current condition	Low	Medium	High	Existing structures are streamly not enough	Low	High	
				X	There some structures but not enough for proper maintain	medium		
					Existing structures are enou but no full fill requirement	High		
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Extension of culvert</li> <li>Required new Culvert</li> <li>Required new Retaining Walls and toe walls</li> <li>Required concrete drains</li> <li>Required more Earth drains</li> </ul>						

<b>6 Passing Bays</b>							
	Current condition	Low	Medium	High	up to 3 No/km	Low	
			X		Between 3-6No/km	medium	4
					above 6 No /km	High	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>●. Since the Road is narrow, it is required to provide passing bays to pass vehicle and overtake.</li> <li>●. Even though widen the road, it is required -to provide passing Bays and Bus bays .</li> </ul>						
<b>7 Road Furniture</b>							
	Current condition	Low	Medium	High	Edge line and pedestrian only	Low	
			X		Edge line, pedestrian and sign boards	medium	medium
	Road furniture <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>●. Edge Line and Pedestrian</li> <li>●. Sign Boards</li> <li>●. Street lights</li> </ul>				Edge line, pedestrian and sign boards, street lighting	High	
<b>8 Access to civic centers</b>							
	Current condition	Low	Medium	High	up to 1 No	Low	
		X			Between 2-3No	medium	1
					above 3 No	High	
	Intended civic centers are <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>●. School</li> <li>●. Hospital</li> <li>●. Main temples</li> <li>●. Government organizations</li> </ul>						

2.0 Kothalwala – Alothiyawa Road in Kaluthara District

CRITERIA FOR PERFORMANCE EVALUATION OF LOW VOLUME ROADS					
<b>PART 'A'</b>					
Province	Western Province		District	Kaluthara	
EE Division	Kaluthara		Electrate	Bandaragama	
Road Name	Kothalawal - Alothiyawa		Length	2.4	
			carriage way Width	3.8	
<b>PART 'B'</b>			<b>BASIC DETAILS</b>		
			<b>SUGGESTION</b>		
A	Vehicle composition	Heavy Vehic	0.044	Heavy vehide or	Light vehide
		Light Vehide			
B	RDA classification	D		Class C	Class D
B	Vehicle Speed (km/hr.)	Existing	Expected	averagetime (min)	
		14		10	
					12
C	Connectivity	Road Start	Main road	Main rd or minor road	
		Road End	Main Road	Main rd , minor road or dead end	
d	Traffic volume		per day		
<b>e Rating for this road</b>					
		% score			
	Class A	75 -100		In good and acceptable condition	
	Class B	50-75		Satisfactory and Regular maintenance is enough	
	Class C	25 - 50	50	poor condition and need improvement	
	Class D	0- 25		Very poor condition and need more attention	
<b>f Ranking of criteria</b>					
	Criteria	Ranking according to effect	% weight for each criteria	Actual paramets	
1	Road width	1	23	3.8	ranking should be most important criteria as no 1and others in ascending order
2	Shoulder width	2	20	1	
3	Narrow Bends	5	10	3	Weightage should be as a percentage of importancy among the most important 5 criterias
4	Right of Way	3	16	3.5	
5	Structures	6	9	medium	
6	Passing Bays	4	14	3	
7	Road Furniture	7	5	medium	
8	Access to civic centers	8	3	1	
9	Railway crossing	9	0		
			100		

PART 'C'		CRITERIA EVALUATION				SUGGESTION		
<b>1 Road width</b>	Current condition	Low	Medium	High	up to 3.5m	low	3.8	
		X			Between 3.5 - 4.0m	medium		
					above 4.0m	High		
<b>2 Shoulder width</b>	Current condition	Low	Medium	High	up to 0.6m	low	1	
			X		Between 0.6-1.0m	medium		
					above 1.0m	High		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Shoulder Width required for sudden parking. For the safety of pedestrian and drivers</li> <li>Shoulder Width is depend on the type of vehicle.</li> <li>When considering expected width, it should be consider the expected speed and type of vehicle Category</li> </ul>								
<b>3 Narrow Bends ( Visibility)</b>	Current condition	Low	Medium	High	above 6 No/km	low	3	
			X		Between 3-6No/km	medium		
					up to 3 No/km	High		
If There is more Bends are in the road, Its effecting to the <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Driving comfortability</li> <li>Reduce the speed</li> <li>Cause for accident</li> </ul>								
<b>4 Right of Way</b>	Current condition	Low	Medium	High	up to 3.0m	low	3.5	
			X		Between 3.0 - 5.0m	medium		
					above 5.0m	High		
If There is not enough space to improve Road Then need Road side Acquisition and cause high expenditure								
<b>5 Structures</b>	Current condition	Low	Medium	High	Existing structures are streamly not enough	Low	medium	
			X		There some structures but not enough for proper maintain	medium		
					Existing structures are enough but no full fill requirement	High		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Extension of culvert</li> <li>Required new Culvert</li> <li>Required new Retaining Walls and toe walls</li> <li>Required concrete drains</li> <li>Required more Earth drains</li> </ul>								

<b>6 Passing Bays</b>							
	Current condition	Low	Medium	High	up to 3 No/km	Low	
		X			Between 3-6No/km	medium	3
					above 6 No /km	High	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Since the Road is narrow, it is required to provide passing bays to pass vehicle and overtake.</li> <li>• Even though widen the road, it is required -to provide passing Bays and Bus bays .</li> </ul>						
<b>7 Road Furniture</b>							
	Current condition	Low	Medium	High	Edge line and pedestrian only	Low	
			X		Edge line, pedestrian and sign boards	medium	medium
	Road furniture				Edge line, pedestrian and sign boards, street lighting	High	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Edge Line and Pedestrian</li> <li>• Sign Boards</li> <li>• Street lights</li> </ul>						
<b>8 Access to civic centers</b>							
	Current condition	Low	Medium	High	up to 1 No	Low	
		X			Between 2-3No	medium	1
					above 3 No	High	
	Intended civic centers are <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• School</li> <li>• Hospital</li> <li>• Main temples</li> <li>• Government organizations</li> </ul>						










### 3.0 Kongasdeniya – Mallahawa Road in Gampaha District

CRITERIA FOR PERFORMANCE EVALUATION OF LOW VOLUME ROADS				
<b>PART 'A'</b>				
Province	Western Province		District	Gampaha
EE Division	Nittambuwa		Electate	Meerigama
Road Name	Kongasdeniya - Mallahawa		Length	4.7
			carriage way Width	4
<b>PART 'B'</b>			<b>BASIC DETAILS</b>	
			<b>SUGGESTION</b>	
A Vehicle composition	Heavy Vehicle	0.02		Heavy vehicle or
	Light Vehicle	90		Light vehicle
B RDA classification	D		Class C	Class D
B Vehicle Speed (km/hr.)	Existing	Expected	averagetime (min)	
	24		12	
C Connectivity	Road Start	Main road		Main rd or minor road
	Road End	Main road		Main rd, minor road or dead end
d Traffic volume		per day		
<b>e Rating for this road</b>				
	% score			
Class A	75 - 100			In good and acceptable condition
Class B	50 - 75			Satisfactory and Regular maintenance is enough
Class C	25 - 50	45		poor condition and need improvement
Class D	0 - 25			Very poor condition and need more attention
<b>f Ranking of criteria</b>				
	Criteria	Ranking according to effect	% weight for each criteria	Actual parameters
1	Road width	2	19	4
2	Shoulder width	1	26	0.6
3	Narrow Bends	4	15	3
4	Right of Way	5	10	4
5	Structures	6	7	medium
6	Passing Bays	3	17	2
7	Road Furniture	7	5	Low
8	Access to dvic centers	8	1	1
9	Railway crossing	9	0	
			100	
				ranking should be most important criteria as no 1 and others in ascending order
				Weightage should be as a percentage of importancy among the most important 5 criterias

PART 'C'	CRITERIA EVALUATION				SUGGESTION		
<b>1 Road width</b>	Current condition	Low	Medium	High	up to 3.5m	low	4
			X		Between 3.5 - 4.0m	medium	
					above 4.0m	High	
<b>2 Shoulder width</b>	Current condition	Low	Medium	High	up to 0.6m	low	0.6
		X			Between 0.6-1.0m	medium	
					above 1.0m	High	
							<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Shoulder Width required for sudden parking. For the safety of pedestrian and drivers</li> <li>Shoulder Width is depend on the type of vehicle.</li> <li>When considering expected width, it should be consider the expected speed and type of vehicle Category</li> </ul>
<b>3 Narrow Bends</b>	Current condition	Low	Medium	High	above 6 No /km	low	3
			X		Between 3-6No/km	medium	
					up to 3 No/km	High	
							<p>If There is more Bends are in the road, Its effecting to the</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Driving comfortability</li> <li>Reduce the speed</li> <li>Cause for accident</li> </ul>
<b>4 Right of Way</b>	Current condition	Low	Medium	High	up to 3.0m	low	4
			X		Between 3.0 - 5.0m	medium	
					above 5.0m	High	
							<p>If There is not enough space to improve Road Then need Road side Acquisition and cause high expenditure</p>
<b>5 Structures</b>	Current condition	Low	Medium	High	Existing structures are streamly not enough	Low	medium
			X		There some structures but not enough for proper maintain	medium	
					Existing structures are enou but no full fill requirement	High	
							<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Extension of culvert</li> <li>Required new Culvert</li> <li>Required new Retaining Walls and toe walls</li> <li>Required concrete drains</li> <li>Required more Earth drains</li> </ul>

<b>6 Passing Bays</b>							
	Current condition	Low	Medium	High	upto 3 No/km	Low	
		X			Between 3-6No/km	medium	2
					above 6 No /km	High	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Since the Road is narrow, it is required to provide passing bays to pass vehicle and overtake.</li> <li>● Even though widen the road, it is required -to provide passing Bays and Bus bays .</li> </ul>						
<b>7 Road Furniture</b>							
	Current condition	Low	Medium	High	Edge line and pedestrian only	Low	
		X			Edge line, pedestrian and sign boards	medium	Low
					Edge line, pedestrian and sign boards, street lighting	High	
	Road furniture <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Edge Line and Pedestrian</li> <li>● Sign Boards</li> <li>● Street lights</li> </ul>						
<b>8 Access to civic centers</b>							
	Current condition	Low	Medium	High	upto 1 No	Low	
		X			Between 2-3No	medium	1
					above 3 No	High	
	Intended civic centers are <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● School</li> <li>● Hospital</li> <li>● Main temples</li> <li>● Government organizations</li> </ul>						

**APPENDIX 02**  
**PHOTOS INDICATING NATURE OF PROVINCIAL ROADS**

 <p>Lack of passing bays on narrow roads</p>	 <p>Narrow Bends</p>
 <p>Poor shoulder conditions</p>	 <p>Limited road width</p>
 <p>Inadequate highway structures</p>	 <p>Right of way limitations</p>
 <p>Inadequate road furniture</p>	<p>Figure 1. Common issues prevalent in provincial road network.</p>

