

**DEVELOPMENT OF A SENSOR SYSTEM TO  
INVESTIGATE THE ARCHING ACTION OF SOIL**

**Abeykoon Mudiyansele Deshan Chanuka Bandara**

**178043L**

**Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree Master of  
Science in Civil Engineering**

**Department of Civil Engineering**

**University of Moratuwa**

**Sri Lanka**

**February 2019**

## DECLARATION

I declare that this is my own work and this thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my thesis/dissertation, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

Signature: .....

Date: .....

The above candidate has carried out research for the Master's thesis under my supervision.

Names of the supervisors: Dr. L.I.N. De Silva

Signature of the supervisor: .....

Date: .....

Dr Y.W.R. Amarasinghe

Signature of the supervisor: .....

Date: .....

## **ABSTRACT**

This research focused on introducing a new mechanism to measure the effective earth pressure and total earth pressure in one degree of freedom sensor system. To identify the structural deformation with respect to the earth pressure (in other words sense the earth pressure) strain gauge based cross beam structure is introduced as the sensing structure. This study was focussed on measuring  $150 \text{ kN/m}^2$  maximum earth pressure however, it is capable of customizing the system according to the measuring requirement. A novel mechanism is developed to measure the effective earth pressure directly by allowing infiltration of water into the sensor and there by cancelling the outside pore water pressure. Finite element analysis was carried out to identify and optimize the sensing cross-beam structure dimensions. Dimensions and the shape of the sensor were finalized after referring to the literature. Aluminium material was used to manufacture this sensor considering different geotechnical, mechanical and environmental factors. Precision machining methods were used to manufacture a high-quality sensor minimizing the manufacturing errors. For that CNC milling machine and CNC lathe machine in the Die and Mould laboratory were used. After that a complete data acquisition system was developed to collect, visualize and store the data. Cloud database based remote sensing mechanism was also introduced to monitor the earth pressure in real time via a web portal. Laboratory testing and validation were done using sand material. Sensitivity, zero load drift and thermal characteristic of the sensor was also identified. Finally using the developed sensor system, arching effect of sand was studied. Initially the active and passive arching effect behaviour of dry sand due to the settlement of clay soils was investigated. After that research was carried out to investigate the arching effect of dry sand and saturated sand with the known settlement. Special apparatus was developed to create a known settlement inside a sand fill .

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

I would like to express my sincere gratitude and admiration for the person who was behind me throughout the whole duration of this research, Dr. L.I.N. De Silva (senior lecturer, Department of Civil Engineering, University of Moratuwa) my research supervisor. Actually, this project might not have been a success without his priceless collaboration with valuable guidance.

I would also like to thank Dr. Y.W.R. Amarasinghe (senior lecturer, Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Moratuwa) my co-research supervisor for his guidance, suggestions, and encouragement throughout this research for me to overcome the obstacles faced in the completion of the research and also for the knowledge that I gained by working under his guidance. As a multi-disciplinary topic, it wouldn't have become a success without your cooperation.

I would like to express my gratitude and special thanks to the head of the Department of Civil Engineering prof. J.M.S.J Bandara as well as the research coordinator Prof. Rangika U. Halwathura Department of Civil Engineering of University of Moratuwa. Special thanks go to all the academic and academic support staff of both the Department of Civil Engineering and Mechanical Engineering of University of Moratuwa

Finally, my heartiest gratitude is given to Prof. S.A.S.Kulatilaka, Dr U.P. Nawagamuwa and Dr. (Mrs.) Ashani Ranathunga from Geotechnical Engineering Division, as well as the non-academic staff of the Geotechnical Engineering Division Department of Civil Engineering, University of Moratuwa. My special thanks should go to my colleagues for the support and encouragement they gave throughout this research study.

Thank You,

A.M.D.C. Bandara

# CONTENTS

|       |  |    |
|-------|--|----|
| 1     | Introduction and Structure of Thesis .....                                       | 1  |
| 1.1   | Research background .....  | 1  |
| 1.2   | Research problem .....   | 3  |
| 1.3   | Objectives .....   | 4  |
| 1.4   | Limitations .....  | 4  |
| 1.5   | Methodology .....  | 6  |
| 2     | Literature Review .....  | 9  |
| 2.1   | Earth pressure cell.....   | 9  |
| 2.1.1 | Introduction.....  | 9  |
| 2.1.2 | Types of earth pressure cells.....   | 10 |
| 2.1.3 | Factors affecting the earth pressure measurement .....                           | 16 |
| 2.1.4 | Calibration of the Earth pressure cells .....                                    | 20 |
| 2.1.5 | Strain gauge based deflecting diaphragm sensors for different purposes<br>.....  | 21 |
| 2.2   | Arching effect .....   | 23 |
| 2.2.1 | Background of the arching effect.....  | 23 |
| 2.2.2 | Definition of the Arching.....   | 24 |
| 2.2.3 | Arching theories.....  | 25 |
| 2.2.4 | Different types of trap-door setups used to identify the arching effect<br>..... | 31 |
| 3     | Working Principle of Sensor System .....   | 36 |
| 3.1   | Introduction.....  | 36 |
| 3.2   | Proposed Novel Sensing System .....  | 36 |
| 3.3   | Total Stress Measuring Technique .....   | 38 |
| 3.4   | Effective Stress Measuring Technique .....                                       | 39 |

|       |   |    |
|-------|---|----|
| 3.5   | Remote Data Logging and Monitoring System .....               | 40 |
| 4     | Structural Design .....                                       | 41 |
| 4.1   | Cross beam structure .....                                    | 41 |
| 4.2   | Specifications of Cross-Beam Structure .....                  | 42 |
| 4.3   | Modeling and Simulation of Cross-Beam Structure .....         | 43 |
| 4.4   | Model of the elastic body for finite element simulation ..... | 44 |
| 4.5   | Simulation Results and Discussion .....                       | 45 |
| 4.5.1 | Results of the strain analysis .....                          | 45 |
| 4.5.2 | Results of Stress Analysis .....                              | 47 |
| 4.5.3 | Discussion .....  | 49 |
| 5     | Sensing Elements .....  | 50 |
| 5.1   | Strain sensing principle .....                                | 50 |
| 5.2   | Selection of the strain gauges .....                          | 51 |
| 5.3   | Strain Gauge Placement .....                                  | 52 |
| 6     | Fabrication of Sensing Structure and Sensor Packaging .....   | 55 |
| 6.1   | Material .....  | 55 |
| 6.2   | Precision Machining .....                                     | 55 |
| 6.3   | Fabrication of different parts .....                          | 56 |
| 6.3.1 | Sensing structure .....                                       | 56 |
| 6.3.2 | Main Casing .....   | 56 |
| 6.3.3 | Pressure acting plate .....                                   | 57 |
| 6.3.4 | Load transfer rod .....                                       | 57 |
| 6.3.5 | Tiding rings .....  | 58 |
| 6.3.6 | Other parts .....   | 58 |
| 6.4   | Strain gauges bonding .....                                   | 59 |

|       |   |    |
|-------|---|----|
| 6.5   | Water Proofing of Staring Gauges .....  | 61 |
| 6.6   | Water filtering mechanism.....  | 62 |
| 7     | Signal Conditioning and Data Acquisition (DAQ) .....                              | 63 |
| 7.1   | Overall view .....  | 63 |
| 7.2   | Amplifier.....  | 64 |
| 7.3   | Analog to Digital converter .....   | 66 |
| 8     | Development of Remote monitoring and data Logging System .....                    | 67 |
| 8.1   | Overview.....   | 67 |
| 8.2   | Microcontroller and another electronic circuitry .....                            | 68 |
| 8.3   | The local data logging system .....   | 69 |
| 8.4   | Remote monitoring system .....  | 70 |
| 8.4.1 | Development of the Soil Pressure Sensor Portal .....                              | 71 |
| 8.5   | Display View .....  | 75 |
| 9     | Testing and Validation .....  | 76 |
| 9.1   | The sensitivity of the sensor .....   | 76 |
| 9.2   | Temperature Characteristics .....   | 77 |
| 9.3   | Zero Drift .....  | 79 |
| 9.4   | Hysteresis.....   | 80 |
| 9.5   | Characteristic of effective pressure sensor .....                                 | 81 |
| 9.6   | Characteristic of sand used for experiments .....                                 | 83 |
| 10    | Evaluation of Arching Effect Using Developed Sensor System.....                   | 85 |
| 10.1  | Validation of the arching test results.....                                       | 85 |
| 10.2  | The active arching effect in dry sand due to the settlement of clay medium .....  | 89 |
| 10.3  | The passive arching effect in dry sand due to the settlement of clay medium ..... | 90 |

|        |   |     |
|--------|---|-----|
| 10.4   | Evaluation of arching effect with the trap-door model .....         | 93  |
| 10.4.1 | Active arching effect in dry sand with trap-door method .....       | 95  |
| 10.4.2 | Passive arching effect in dry sand with trap-door method.....       | 97  |
| 10.4.3 | Active arching effect in saturated sand with trap-door method ..... | 99  |
| 10.4.4 | Passive arching effect in saturated sand with trap-door method..... | 100 |
| 10.5   | Discussion .....  | 102 |
| 11     | Conclusions and Recommendations.....                                | 104 |
| 11.1.1 | Development of the other data acquisition part .....                | 104 |
| 11.1.2 | Testing and validation of the sensor output results.....            | 104 |
| 11.2   | Conclusion of trap-door arching effect experiment .....             | 105 |
| 11.2.1 | Clayey medium experiments.....                                      | 105 |
| 11.2.2 | Trap-door experiment .....  | 105 |
| 11.3   | Recommendation for future research .....                            | 106 |
| 12     | References .....  | 107 |



## LIST OF FIGURES

|   |    |
|---|----|
| Figure 1: Pressure transferring in the soil and arching effect.....   | 1  |
| Figure 2: Earth pressure cells .....  | 2  |
| Figure 3: Methodology .....   | 6  |
| Figure 4: Hydraulic Pressure Cell .....   | 10 |
| Figure 5: Transducer .....  | 11 |
| Figure 6: A typical strain gauge based load cell .....  | 11 |
| Figure 7: Schematic section of null pressure sensor .....   | 12 |
| Figure 8: Operating principle of a Fabry-Pérot cavity sensor .....  | 13 |
| Figure 9: Operating principle of a Fiber Bragg Grating Sensors .....  | 13 |
| Figure 10: Schematic diagram of the effective pressure sensor (Left) and Photograph of an FBG glued at the diaphragm centre (Right) ..... | 14 |
| Figure 11: Tactile sensors are bonded to pipes .....  | 14 |
| Figure 12: over-registration and under-registration .....   | 17 |
| Figure 13: Sensing structure of 4DOF force/torque sensor .....  | 21 |
| Figure 14: Sensing structure and 12 strain gauge distribution .....   | 22 |
| Figure 15: Symmetric sensing structure .....  | 22 |
| Figure 16: Stress Distribution of the soil above the yielding part of the support.....  | 25 |
| Figure 17: Load Acting on the pipe.....   | 26 |
| Figure 18: Terzaghi's arching effect model .....  | 27 |
| Figure 19: Free body diagram .....  | 27 |
| Figure 20: Arching effect in a tunnel .....   | 28 |
| Figure 21: Depth of the arching action effected.....  | 29 |
| Figure 22: Handy arching effect model .....   | 29 |
| Figure 23: Free body diagram of silo theory .....   | 31 |
| Figure 24: Experimental setup layout of .....   | 31 |
| Figure 25:Apparatus of .....  | 32 |
| Figure 26: Displacement of trajectories .....   | 32 |
| Figure 27:Experimental setup used in .....  | 32 |
| Figure 28: Experimental setup with circular trap door .....   | 33 |
| Figure 29: Experimental setup with rectangular trap door .....  | 33 |

|  |    |
|--|----|
| Figure 30: Centrifuge trap-door experimental setup .....                                     | 34 |
| Figure 31: Trap door setup by .....  | 34 |
| Figure 32: Experimental setup by .....   | 35 |
| Figure 33: Cross sectional view .....  | 35 |
| Figure 34: Setup plane view and photo .....  | 35 |
| Figure 35: Proposed sensor system with remote data logging and monitoring system<br>.....    | 36 |
| Figure 36: Schematic view of proposed sensor .....   | 37 |
| Figure 37: Mechanism to measure the Total Stress.....  | 38 |
| Figure 38: Effective stress sensing mechanism.....   | 39 |
| Figure 39: Proposed cross-beam structure with connected outer ring .....                     | 41 |
| Figure 40: Cross-beam structure model .....  | 42 |
| Figure 41: Fixed end condition.....  | 43 |
| Figure 42: Applied load.....   | 43 |
| Figure 43: Control mesh of Stress and Strain analysis.....                                   | 44 |
| Figure 44: Deformed Control Mesh of Stress and Strain analysis .....                         | 44 |
| Figure 45: Strain contour in x-direction .....   | 45 |
| Figure 46: Strain variation on top and bottom surface of single beam in x-direction<br>..... | 45 |
| Figure 47: Strain variation on the bottom surface of a single beam in x-direction ...        | 46 |
| Figure 48: x-y coordinates.....  | 47 |
| Figure 49: Stress contour in x-direction .....   | 47 |
| Figure 50: Stress variation on the top surface of a single beam in x-direction .....         | 48 |
| Figure 51: Stress variation on the bottom surface of a single beam in x-direction ...        | 48 |
| Figure 52: Definition of yield.....  | 49 |
| Figure 53: Strain gauge details .....  | 51 |
| Figure 54: Sensing element bonding location .....  | 53 |
| Figure 55: Sensing element locations in top and bottom surface.....                          | 53 |
| Figure 56: Wheatstone bridge and sensing element on cross beam structure .....               | 54 |
| Figure 57: CNC milling Machine.....  | 55 |
| Figure 58: Cross Beam Structure .....  | 56 |

|   |    |
|---|----|
| Figure 59: Main Casing for effective stress measurement .....                                       | 56 |
| Figure 60: Load acting plate.....   | 57 |
| Figure 61: Load transfer rod.....   | 57 |
| Figure 62: Tiding ring .....  | 58 |
| Figure 63: Water infiltration holes outer rings and cable tiding rings .....                        | 58 |
| Figure 64: Cotton wool, alcohol and 320-grade abrasive paper.....                                   | 59 |
| Figure 65: Marking the position .....   | 59 |
| Figure 66: Masking.....   | 60 |
| Figure 67: CN adhesive dropping and spreading .....   | 60 |
| Figure 68: CN adhesive and polyethylene sheet .....   | 61 |
| Figure 69: SB tape covered strain gauges .....  | 61 |
| Figure 70: Water infiltration holes .....   | 62 |
| Figure 71: Data Acquisition System.....   | 63 |
| Figure 72: DAQ device components .....  | 64 |
| Figure 73: LMP2021MAEVAL instrumentation amplifier evaluation board.....                            | 65 |
| Figure 74: ADC141s626eb evaluation board .....  | 66 |
| Figure 75: Processing and computation unit .....  | 67 |
| Figure 76: Total Data Acquisition system.....   | 68 |
| Figure 77: SIM808 GSM/GPRS/GPS module and Arduino Mega.....   | 68 |
| Figure 78: Main Control unit.....   | 69 |
| Figure 79: Remote monitoring system .....   | 70 |
| Figure 80: User logging and user registration .....   | 71 |
| Figure 81: Add device .....   | 72 |
| Figure 82: Remove Device.....   | 73 |
| Figure 83: View of graphical illustrations of the data flow and View of Statistics of the data..... | 74 |
| Figure 84: Download and view raw data.....  | 74 |
| Figure 85: Display view .....   | 75 |
| Figure 86: Laboratory testing for estimate the sensitivity.....                                     | 76 |
| Figure 87: Sensitivity graph of the sensor (0 – 3 kg) .....   | 76 |
| Figure 88: Sensitivity graph of the sensor (0 – 60 kg) .....  | 77 |

|   |    |
|---|----|
| Figure 89: Setups for measuring temperature characteristics.....  | 78 |
| Figure 90: Temperature vs Pressure variation from 32 <sup>0</sup> C to 40 <sup>0</sup> C.....                                       | 78 |
| Figure 91: Temperature vs Output variation from 31 <sup>0</sup> C to 50 <sup>0</sup> C and 50 <sup>0</sup> C to 32 <sup>0</sup> C . | 78 |
| Figure 92: Sensor output variation with time for 10.5 kg load .....   | 79 |
| Figure 93: Sensor output vs time.....   | 79 |
| Figure 94: Hysteresis Curve .....   | 80 |
| Figure 95: Characteristics of earth pressure cell identification setup.....   | 81 |
| Figure 96: Saturated time curve for an effective sensor .....   | 81 |
| Figure 97: Particle size distribution of selected sand .....  | 83 |
| Figure 98: Shear Stress vs shear displacement.....  | 83 |
| Figure 99: Shear Stress vs normal stress .....  | 84 |
| Figure 100: Details of the arching effect test setup.....   | 85 |
| Figure 101: Laboratory experiment setup .....   | 85 |
| Figure 102: Terzaghi's Arching Value vs Sensor Output graph.....  | 88 |
| Figure 103: Experimental setup for evaluating the active arching due to the settlement of clayey soil.....                          | 89 |
| Figure 104: Sand filling (Left), clayey cube (Middle), whole setup (Right) .....  | 89 |
| Figure 105: Active arching in the clayey medium .....   | 90 |
| Figure 106: Experimental setup for evaluate the passive arching due to settlement of clayey soil.....                               | 91 |
| Figure 107: Doughnut shape clayey fill .....  | 91 |
| Figure 108: Passive arching in the clayey medium graph.....   | 92 |
| Figure 109: Jacking Mechanism.....  | 93 |
| Figure 110: Moving apparatus placed in the bucket .....   | 93 |
| Figure 111: Known settlement arching effect evaluation setup .....  | 94 |
| Figure 112: Trap-Door Experimental Method .....   | 94 |
| Figure 113: Stress vs time curve of the trap-door active arching model for dry sand (24.6kN/m <sup>2</sup> ).....                   | 95 |
| Figure 114: Stress vs time curve of the trap-door active arching model for dry sand (37.4kN/m <sup>2</sup> ).....                   | 95 |

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| Figure 115: Stress vs time curve of the trap-door active arching model for dry sand (51.1kN/m <sup>2</sup> ).....   | 96  |
| Figure 116: Stress vs time curve of the trap-door active arching model for dry sand (64.5kN/m <sup>2</sup> ).....   | 96  |
| Figure 117: Stress vs time curve of the trap-door passive arching model for dry sand (24.6kN/m <sup>2</sup> ).....  | 97  |
| Figure 118: Stress vs time curve of the trap-door passive arching model for dry sand (36.2kN/m <sup>2</sup> ).....  | 97  |
| Figure 119: Stress vs time curve of the trap-door passive arching model for dry sand (47.8kN/m <sup>2</sup> ).....  | 98  |
| Figure 120: Stress vs time curve of the trap-door passive arching model for dry sand (59.4kN/m <sup>2</sup> ).....  | 98  |
| Figure 121: Stress vs time curve of the trap-door active arching model for saturated sand for total and effective pressure (applied pressure 24.6kN/m <sup>2</sup> ).....     | 99  |
| Figure 122: Stress vs time curve of the trap-door active arching model for saturated sand for total and effective pressure (applied pressure 37.5kN/m <sup>2</sup> ).....     | 99  |
| Figure 123: Stress vs time curve of the trap-door active arching model for saturated sand for total and effective pressure (applied pressure 51.8kN/m <sup>2</sup> ).....     | 100 |
| Figure 124: Stress vs time curve of the trap-door passive arching model for saturated sand for total and effective pressure (applied pressure 24.6kN/m <sup>2</sup> ).....    | 101 |
| Figure 125: : Stress vs time curve of the trap-door passive arching model for saturated sand for total and effective pressure (applied pressure 37.5 kN/m <sup>2</sup> )..... | 101 |
| Figure 126: Stress vs time curve of the trap-door passive arching model for saturated sand for total and effective pressure (applied pressure 51.8 kN/m <sup>2</sup> ).....   | 102 |
| Figure 127: Measured values vs applied values .....   | 103 |

## LIST OF TABLES

|  |    |
|--|----|
| Table 1: Comparison of Different Types of EPC .....                    | 15 |
| Table 2: Factors affecting to Earth Pressure cell output results ..... | 17 |
| Table 3: Different trap-door experiments .....                         | 31 |
| Table 4: Cross-beam structure dimensions.....                          | 42 |
| Table 5: Strain gauge dimensions.....                                  | 51 |
| Table 6: Strain gauge characteristics .....                            | 51 |
| Table 7: Terzaghi's Theoretical values vs Experimental values .....    | 87 |