SUITABILITY OF A SELECTED HYDROLOGICAL MODEL AND OBJECTIVE FUNCTION FOR RURAL WATERSHED MANAGEMENT IN SRI LANKA

Pratik Singh Thakuri

(158569 K)

Degree of Master of Science

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

May 2018

SUITABILITY OF A SELECTED HYDROLOGICAL MODEL AND OBJECTIVE FUNCTION FOR RURAL WATERSHED MANAGEMENT IN SRI LANKA

Pratik Singh Thakuri (158569 K)

Supervised by Professor N.T.S Wijesekera

Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree Master of Science

UNESCO Madanjeet Singh Centre for South Asia Water Management (UMCSAWM) Department of Civil Engineering

> University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

> > May 2018

DECLARATION

Prof. NTS Wijesekera

I declare that this is my own work and this thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree in any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgment is made in text.

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my thesis, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (Such as articles or books).

Pratik Singh Thakuri

Date

The above candidate has carried out research for the Master's thesis under my supervision.

Date

ABSTRACT

In a period where water resources are becoming scarce due to increased population and human activities, it is very important to have appropriate models and objective functions for water resources management especially in rural contexts. Therefore, the selection of appropriate model and objective function and to ascertain their suitability on a rural watershed is necessary. Preliminary screening of hydrological models was carried out based on the application availabilities and modelling purpose. Five models namely HEC-HMS, SWAT, TOPMODEL, MIKE SHE and SWMM were shortlisted. The shortlisted models were reviewed under several criteria such temporal scale, spatial scale, hydrological processes, documentation, resources requirement, user interface and model acquisition cost. Similarly, objective functions recommended on 'Guide for hydro-meteorological practices' by WMO namely NSE, RMSE, RAEM and MRAE were reviewed. Review of the objective functions was based on criteria such as mathematical implications, flow regimes and modelling purpose. The review of hydrological models and objective function suggested the Storm Water Management Model (SWMM) and Mean Ratio of Absolute Error (MRAE) as an appropriate model and objective function respectively for water resources modelling in rural watersheds. Accordingly, the SWMM was applied to the Ellagawa (1342 km²) and Ratnapura (653 km²) watersheds in the Kalu river basin of Sri Lanka using observed rainfall and streamflow from 2006-2014. In the present work, the SWMM model was calibrated and validated while investigating the effect of layout modifications to carry out continuous simulation of streamflow. Initially, two lumped models were developed for Ellagawa and Ratnapura watershed. Then a semi-distributed model with three sub-watersheds was developed for Ellagawa watershed. Model calibration was done for 2006-2010, and verification was carried out for the period 2011-2014. High, medium and low flow in the flow duration curve and the annual water balance were also observed during the calibration and validation. Ellagawa and Ratnapura lumped were calibrated with MRAE 0.3634 and 0.4531 respectively and validated with MRAE 0.5865 and 0.7843 respectively. Annual water balance errors of Ellagawa and Ratnapura lumped model

were 38% and 31% respectively during calibration and 10.25% and 11% respectively during validation. Ellagawa and Ratnapura lumped models calibrated intermediate flow with MRAE 0.40 and 0.37 respectively. Manning's roughness coefficient for pervious layer, depression storage for pervious layer, saturated hydraulic conductivity and initial defect, lateral discharge coefficient and deep percolation coefficient were the main parameters to be calibrated. Manning's roughness coefficient of pervious layer (npervious) was optimized in the range (0.02-0.028), depression storage of pervious layer (d-store pervious) was optimized in the range of (1.2mm-2.5mm). Similarly, saturated hydraulic conductivity (K_{sat}) was optimized in the range of (0.3mm/hr.-0.67mm/hr.). Furthermore, the initial moisture deficit (Θ) was optimized in the range of (0.2-0.5). Ellagawa semi-distributed model showed some improvement in overall and intermediate flow compared to Ellagawa lumped model. MRAE for overall hydrograph was reduced by 19% and MRAE for intermediate flow was reduced by 24%. However, Ellagawa semi-distributed model showed a poor estimation of annual, seasonal and monthly streamflow compared to Ellagawa lumped model. Hence, the semi-distributed model with single gauging cannot be considered as a better and meaningful modelling option in SWMM with certainty. This study recommends more application of SWMM for continuous modelling of streamflow in monsoon regions and more research on automatic optimization, objective function and groundwater.

ACKNOWLDGEMENT

With boundless appreciation and respect, I would like to extend my heartfelt gratitude to the people who helped me to bring this study into reality. I would like extend my profound gratitude to Professor N.T.S. Wijesekera for his continuous guidance, support, encouragement and valuable advice throughout the study. The outcome of this report and development of my research caliber was due to his strong commitment and conviction. He has been a true guardian.

I would like to sincerely thank Dr. R.L.H.L Rajapakse for his support and guidance. His sincere and consistent encouragement is greatly appreciated. He has been a source of inspiration.

I would also like to thank Mr. Wajira Kumarasinghe, for his support, care and hospitality that he have shown and all the staff of UNESCO Madanjeet Singh Centre for South Asia Water Management (UMSCSAWM), University of Moratuwa.

Finally, I would like to extend my gratitude to Late Shri Madanjeet Singh for his vison of seeking regional cooperation, peace and solidarity through education and South Asia Foundation (SAF) for giving me this opportunity.

TABLE OF CONTENT

DECLA	ARAT	TON	. ii
ABSTR	RACT		. ii
ACKNO	OWLI	DGEMENT	.iv
1. IN	TROE	DUCTION	1
1.1.	Gen	eral	1
1.2.	Stuc	dy Area	3
1.3.	Obje	ective of the Study	4
1.3	.1.	Overall Objective:	4
1.3	5.2.	Specific Objectives	4
2. LIT	ΓRΑΤ	URE REVIEW	5
2.1.	Hyd	Irological Modelling Practice	5
2.2.	Prel	iminary Screening of Hydrological model	5
2.3.	Rev	iew of Hydrological Model	8
2.3	.1.	Hydrological Modelling System (HEC-HMS)	8
2.3	5.2.	Soil and Water Analysis Tool (SWAT)	9
2.3	3.3.	MIKE SHE	10
2.3	5.4.	TOPMODEL	10
2.3	5.5.	Storm Water Management Model (SWMM)	11
2.4.	Crit	eria for the Selection of Model	12
2.4	.1.	Temporal Scale	12
2.4	.2.	Spatial Scale	13
2.4	.3.	Modelling Process	15

2.4	.4.	Resources Requirement	18
2.4	.5.	Documentation Support	19
2.4	.6.	User Interface	19
2.4	.7.	Model Acquisition Cost	20
2.5.	Cri	teria Evaluation for Model Selection	21
2.6.	Sto	rm Water Management Model (SWMM)	25
2.6	5.1.	General Description	25
2.7.	Rev	view on SWMM Parameters	27
2.7	'.1.	Depression Storages	27
2.7	.2.	Manning's Roughness Coefficients	27
2.7	'.3.	Infiltration Parameters	28
2.8	Sen	sitivity Analysis	30
2.9.	Mo	del Calibration and Validation	31
2.10.	Obj	ective Function	31
2.1	0.1.	Nash-Sutcliffe Efficiency (NSE)	32
2.1	0.2.	Root Mean Square Error (RMSE)	33
2.1	0.3.	Ratio of Absolute Error to Mean (RAEM)	34
2.6	5.4.	Mean Ratio of Absolute Error (MRAE)	34
2.11.	Cri	teria for the Selection of Objective Function	35
2.1	1.1.	Mathematical Implication	36
2.1	1.2.	Flow Regimes	36
2.1	1.3.	Modelling Purpose	36
2.12.	Cri	teria Evaluation for the Selection Objective Function	37
MI	THO	DDOLOGY	30

4	. DA	TA AND DATA CHECKING	.41
	4.1.	Study Area	.41
	4.2.	Data Collection Summary	.43
	4.3.	Data Screening	.44
	4.4.	Annual Water Balance	.45
	4.5.	Streamflow Response to Rainfall (Seasonal)	.49
	4.6.	Streamflow Response to Rainfall (Monthly)	.51
	4.7.	Thiessen Average Rainfall	.53
5	. AN	ALYSIS	.60
	5.1. S	election of Watersheds	.60
	5.2. N	Iodel Compartments	.60
	5.2.	1. Surface Runoff	.60
	5.2.	2. Surface Runoff Parameter Estimation	.60
	5.2.	3. Infiltration	.62
	5.2.	4. Groundwater	.62
	5.3. P	arameter Sensitivity and Optimization	.63
	5.4. D	evelopment of Watershed Model	.64
	5.5. D	elineation of Subwatersheds	.64
	5.6. D	evelopment of Precipitation Model	.66
	5.7. D	evelopment of Lumped model	.66
	5.8. D	evelopment of Semi-distributed Model	.66
	5.9. S	election of Routing Method	.68
	5.10.	Selection of Routing Time Steps	.69
	5 11	Model Calibration	69

6.	RESULTS	70
	6.1. Lumped Model Calibration	70
	6.1.1. Ratnapura Lumped Model Calibration	70
	6.1.2. Ellagawa Lumped Model Calibration	75
	6.2. Lumped Model Validation	80
	6.2.1. Ratnapura Lumped Model Validation	80
	6.2.2. Ellagawa Lumped Model Validation	84
	6.3. Ellagawa Semi-Distributed Model Calibration	88
	6.3.1. Modelling Scenario	88
	6.3.2. Model Calibration	89
	6.4. Ellagawa Semi-Distributed Model Validation	93
7.	DISCUSSION	98
	7.1. Model Components	98
	7.2. Optimization	.100
	7.3. Lumped Model	.101
	7.3.1. Comparison of Calibration Results	.101
	7.3.2. Comparison of Validation Results	.102
	7.4. Semi-Distributed Modeling	.103
	7.4.1. Semi-Distributed Modelling (SWMM)	.103
	7.4.2. Calibration Procedure	.104
	7.4.3. Improvement of model performance	.105
	7.5. Comparison of Parameters	.106
	7.5.1. Physical Parameters	.106
	7.5.2 Calibration Parameters	107

7.6. Comparison of Water Quantity Estimations	111
7.7. Hydro-Meteorological Data	112
7.7.1. Selection of Data Period	112
7.7.2. Data Error	112
7.8. Uncertainty in Groundwater Model	113
8. CONCLUSIONS	114
9. RECOMMENDATIONS	115
REFERENCES	116
APPENDIX A: Masss Curve Analysis	129
APPENDIX B: Streamflow Response with Rainfall	134
APPENDIX C: Parameter Sensitivity	139
APPENDIX D: Matching of Hydrograph in Normal Plot	142
APPENDIX E: Paramter Optimization	149

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1-1 Study Area	3
Figure 2-1 SWMM Schematic (Rossman & Huber, 2016).	26
Figure 3-1 Methodology flowchart	39
Figure 4-1 Study Area in Topographical Map	42
Figure 4-2 Landuse Map of Ellagawa Watershed	42
Figure 4-3 Annual Rainfall vs Observed Annual Streamflow on Ellagawa Watershed	45
Figure 4-4 Variation of Annual Losses and Runoff on Ellagawa Watershed	46
Figure 4-5 Variation of Annual Rainfall and Streamflow on Ratnapura Watershed	47
Figure 4-6 Variation of Annual Losses and Runoff at Ratnapura Watershed	48
Figure 4-7 Streamflow at Ellagawa corresponding to Maha Season (2006-2014)	49
Figure 4-8 Streamflow at Ellagawa corresponding to Yala Season (2006-2014)	50
Figure 4-9 Ellagawa Monthly Rainfall in Response to Streamflow (2006-20014)	51
Figure 4-10 Thiessen Polygons on Ellagawa Watershed	53
Figure 4-11 Thiessen Polygon on Ratnapura Watershed	54
Figure 4-12 Observed Streamflow with Thiessen Rainfall (Ratnapura Calibration)	55
Figure 4-13 Observed Streamflow with Thiessen Rainfall (Ratnapura Validation)	56
Figure 4-14 Observed Streamflow with Thiessen Rainfall (Ellagawa Calibration)	57
Figure 4-15 Observed Streamflow with Thiessen Rainfall (Ellagawa Validation)	58
Figure 5-1 DEM of the Study Area	64
Figure 5-2 Delineated Subwatersheds of Ellagawa watershed	65
Figure 5-3 Layout of Ellagawa Model with Subwatersheds in SWMM	67
Figure 6-1 Hydrographs of Ratnapura Lumped Model (Calibration)	71
Figure 6-2 Flow Duration Curves of Ratnapura Lumped Model (Calibration)	72
Figure 6-3 Annual Water Balance of Ratnapura Lumped Model (Calibration)	74
Figure 6-4 Hydrographs of Ellagawa Lumped Model (Calibration)	76
Figure 6-5 Flow Duration Curves of Ellagawa Lumped Model (Calibration)	77
Figure 6-6 Annual Water Balance of Ellagawa Lumped Model (Calibration)	79
Figure 6-7 Hydrographs of Ratnapura Lumped Model (Validation)	81
Figure 6-8 Flow Duration Curves of Ellagawa Lumped Model (Validation)	82

Figure 6-9 Annual Water Balance of Ratnapura Lumped Model (Validation)	. 83
Figure 6-10 Hydrographs of Ellagawa Lumped Model (Validation)	. 85
Figure 6-11 Flow Duration of Ellagawa Lumped Model (Validation)	. 86
Figure 6-12 Annual water balance Ellagawa lumped model (Validation)	. 87
Figure 6-13 Hydrographs of Ellagawa Semi-distributed Model (Calibration)	. 90
Figure 6-14 Flow duration curve of Ellagawa semi-distributed Model (Calibration)	. 91
Figure 6-15 Annual Wter Balance of Ellagawa semi-distributed model (Calibration)	. 92
Figure 6-16 Hydrographs of Ellagawa semi-distributed model (Validation)	. 94
Figure 6-17 Flow Duration Curves of Ellagawa semi-distributed Model (Validation)	. 95
Figure 6-18 Annual Water Balance of Ellagawa semi-distributed Model (Validation)	. 97

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2-1 Literature Survey for Preliminary Screening of Hydrological Models	6
Table 2-2 Criteria, Factors, Ranks and Scores for the Selection of the Model	22
Table 2-3 Criteria Evaluation for the Selection of the Model	23
Table 2-4 Literature summary of CN method	29
Table 2-5 Comparison of Horton's and Green Ampt Method	29
Table 2-6 Merits and Demerits of the Selected Objective Function	35
Table 2-7 Criteria, Factors, Ranks and Scores for the Selection of Objective Function	37
Table 2-8 Criteria Evaluation for the Selection of Objective Function	38
Table 4-1 Coordinates of Rainfall Stations	41
Table 4-2 Coordinates of Streamflow gauging Stations	41
Table 4-3 Landuse Coverage on Ellagawa Watershed	43
Table 4-4 Data Summary	44
Table 4-5 Variation of Annual Rainfall and Streamflow on Ellagawa Watershed	45
Table 4-6 Variation of Annual Rainfall and Streamflow at Ratnapura Watershed	47
Table 4-7 Streamflow corresponding to Seasonal Rainfall (2006-2014)	49
Table 4-8 Thiessen Weight for Rainfall Station for Ellagawa watershed	53
Table 4-9. Theissen Weight of Rainfall for Ratnapura Stations	54
Table 5-1 Parameter Estimation of the Surface Runoff Compartment	61
Table 5-2 Initial Estimation of the Parameter	61
Table 5-3 Initial Estimation of Green-Ampt Infiltration Model Parameter	62
Table 5-4 Thiessen Weights of Subwatersheds	66
Table 5-5 Description of Junctions and Outlets of Ellagawa Semi-Distributed Model	68
Table 5-6 Description of Nodes of Ellagawa Semi-Distributed Model	68
Table 6-1 Calibration Results of Ratnapura Lumped Model	70
Table 6-2 Calibrated Parameters of Ratnapura Lumped Model	70
Table 6-3 Annual Water Balance of Ratnapura Lumped Model Calibration	73
Table 6-4 Annual Mass Balance of Ratnapura Lumped Model Calibration	73
Table 6-5 Calibration Results of Ellagawa Lumped Calibration	75
Table 6-6 Calibrated Parameter of Ellagawa Lumped Model	75

Table 6-7 Annual Water Balance of Ellagawa Lumped Model Calibration
Table 6-8 Annual Mass Balance of Ellagawa Lumped Model Calibration
Table 6-9 Validation Results of Ratnapura Lumped Model
Table 6-10 Annual Water balance of Ratnapura Lumped Model Validation
Table 6-11 Annual Mass Balance of Ratnapura Lumped Model Validation
Table 6-12 Validation Results of Ellagawa Lumped Model
Table 6-13 Annual Water Balance of Ellagawa Lumped Model Validation
Table 6-14 Annual Mass Balance of Ellagawa Lumped Model Validation
Table 6-15 Calibrated Parameter of Ellagawa Semi-Distributed Model
Table 6-16 Calibration Results of Ellagawa Semi-Distributed Model
Table 6-17 Annual Water Balance of Ellagawa Semi-Distributed Model Calibration 92
Table 6-18 Annual Mass Balance of Ellagawa Semi-Distributed Model Calibration 92
Table 6-19 Validation Results of Ellagawa Semi-Distributed Model
Table 6-20 Annual Water Balance of Ellagawa Semi-Distributed Model Validation 96
Table 6-21 Annual Mass Balance of Ellagawa semi-Distributed Model Validation 96
Table 7-1 Available Models within the various Components of SWMM
Table 7-2 Parameters Subjected to Optimization
Table 7-3 Comparison of Results of Lumped Models (Calibration)
Table 7-4 Comparison of Runoff coefficients of Lumped Models (Calibration)
Table 7-5 Comparison of Results of Lumped Models (Validation)
Table 7-6 Comparison of Runoff Coefficients of Lumped Model (Validation)
$Table\ 7-7\ Comparison\ of\ Ellagawa\ Lumped\ and\ Semi-Distributed\ Model\ (Calibration)\\ 10500000000000000000000000000000000000$
Table 7-8 Comparison of Ellagawa Lumped and Semi-Distributed Model (Validation) 105
Table 7-9 Comparison of Physical Parameters of the Models
Table 7-10 Comparison of Calibration Parameters
Table 7-11 Comparison of Water Quantity Estimation