

SYSTEMS APPROACH TO IMPROVE DISASTER PREPAREDNESS BASED ON CASE STUDIES

Ovitigamuwa Pathiranage Chameera Randil

188020A

Degree of Master of Science

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

May 2019

SYSTEMS APPROACH TO IMPROVE DISASTER PREPAREDNESS BASED ON CASE STUDIES

Ovitigamuwa Pathiranage Chameera Randil

188020A

Thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree
Master of Science in Civil Engineering

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

May 2019

DECLARATION

I declare that this is my own work and this thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my thesis/dissertation, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

Signature:

Date:

The above candidate has carried out research for the Master's thesis under my supervision.

Names of the supervisor: Professor W. P. S. Dias

Signature of the supervisor:

Date:

Names of the supervisors: Dr. C. S. A. Siriwardana

Signature of the supervisor:

Date:

ABSTRACT

Systems approach to improve disaster preparedness based on case studies

Preparedness planning for a disaster is an essential component in the process of the Disaster Risk Reduction (DRR), which is adhered by many disaster management professionals and authorities globally and locally. There, the question rises 'up to which extent of the disaster should the preparedness planning be done?'. To answer this question, knowledge on the extent of the disaster and its impact should be quantitatively established. When the impacts are considered, there will be impacts which have affected different components of given systems, due to the interrelations that exist within systems and its subcomponents. The spreading of impacts from one system to another due to these interrelations are called as the cascading effect. To identify the cascades and the components of systems, Emergency Operations Procedures (EOPs) and resilience frameworks are used in this study, and to quantify the cascade relationships as a proof of concept, a case study is used.

The chosen case study area is Kaduwela Divisional Secretariat Division (DSD), for the 2016, 2017 and 2018 floods. Kaduwela DSD was severally hit by all three events, as Kelani river is running by the boundary of the DSD. For these floods, data on flood impact such as the number of affected people, establishment of relief camps, supply of food and dry rations and payment of compensation were gathered, as well as the data on flood hazard extent and the exposure such as flood extent maps, elevation maps of the Kaduwela area and the building footprint were gathered. Preliminary interpretations of the data revealed patterns and relationships that define the human behaviour after a flood, and more importantly, the rationales behind initiating the relief requirements were understood, along with the monetary requirements to satisfy those requirements.

Furthermore, mathematical analyses were carried out to identify the regression relationships which predicted the human movement from the flood characteristics in a disaster. The tests were conducted on comparing the Pearson correlations and the multi criteria analysis, between the dependant and independent variables. The analyses revealed that there was more than one model to capture the effects to the humans having various inputs representing the flood characteristics. Therefore, all of the possible models were evaluated, by comparing the results from the models with the original data from the case study. By this, the best model to estimate the number of affected people and families was chosen and it was used as the quantifying relationship from flood characteristics to the human movement.

The aforementioned mathematical relationships are then summarised in to the overall cascading effect diagram, which made the cascade diagram a cascade model. This cascade model is having the flood inundation area as the input parameter which is a flood characteristic. Therefore, now this quantified cascade model could be used to identify the number of people and houses affected, number of relief camps formed, expected costs of number of required facilities, number of security officials and healthcare officials required per relief camp, number of cooked food parcels, dry ration parcels and relief items required and the costs for those which are the output parameters of the cascade model. Furthermore, the model consists with the relationships to estimate the amounts for compensations for building structural and content damage in a disaster.

Keywords: Quantifying flood damages, Cascading effect, Inundation area, Compensations, Damage prediction,

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my supervisors Professor W. P. S. Dias and Dr. C.S.A. Siriwardana for their immense support, guidance and encouragement throughout this research. Because of their guidance, I was able to successfully complete my masters and also able to get a very big exposure to local and international study environment. And also, I would like to express my sincere gratitude to Dr. Nimal Wijerathna for his immense support and the insights for the successful completion of my masters.

Further, I would like to express my appreciation to the research coordinators of the Department of Civil Engineering, University of Moratuwa; Prof. A.A.D.A.J Perera, Professor R. U. Halwatura and Dr. Mrs. J. C. P. H. Gamage, who instructed me to improve my research work. And also, I would like to express my gratitude to Prof. J.M.S.J. Bandara and Prof. S.A.S. Kulathilaka who were the heads of the Department of Civil Engineering during the duration of my masters for creating a good atmosphere to work.

I am extremely grateful to the GCRF funded project MOBILISE (Multi-agency cOllaboration platform for BuILdIng reSiliEnt communities) for funding my research activities as well as sharing and shaping the knowledge created from this study throughout the course of the masters and providing me with the necessary exposure to international standard research environments. I would also like to thank to the two EU funded projects; ASCENT (Advancing Skill Creation to Enhance Transformation) and CABARET (Capacity Building in Asia for Resilience Education) for providing me the necessary funding and opportunities to improve my exposure to international research environments to carry out my research.

Finally, I would like to express my gratitude to all the professionals from the Divisional Secretariat Office, Kaduwela, Disaster Management Center, Urban Development Authority and the Regional Director of Health Services Office, Western Province, Sri Lanka for their immense support provided in gathering the required data for this study.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLARATION	i
ABSTRACT	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
LIST OF FIGURES	vii
LIST OF TABLES	ix
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	x
1. INTRODUCTION TO THE STUDY	1
1.1 Problem identification	1
1.2 Objectives of the study	2
1.3 Methodology used in the study	3
1.4 Structure of the thesis	4
2. LITERATURE REVIEW: CONTEXT OF DISASTER DAMAGE QUANTIFICATION	5
2.1 Hazards, impacts and the context of disaster management in Sri Lanka	5
2.2 Flood damage analyses	8
2.3 Risk, hazard, exposure and vulnerability in the context of a flood	9
2.3.1 Hazard	9
2.3.2 Exposure	10
2.3.3 Vulnerability	10
2.4 Impacts to a system	11
2.4.1 Definition of a system and the importance of system thinking	11
2.4.2 Components of a system	13
2.4.3 Critical infrastructures (CI)	14
2.4.4 Resilience frameworks and other frameworks defining the CI categories	15
2.4.5 Resilience of the CI and traits of resilience	17
2.5 Cascading events and the cascading effect of disasters	21
2.6 Cascade modelling	23
2.7 Dynamics in a disaster	26

2.8	Overall disaster damage assessment	27
2.8.1	Methodological approach	28
2.8.2	Costing of disasters	28
2.8.3	Social aspects of a disaster	29
2.8.4	Drawbacks in the current methods in the disaster damage assessments	30
3.	CASCADING MODELLING OF A FLOOD: A CASE STUDY	31
3.1	Representation of cascades	31
3.2	Demonstration of the cascading effect: a case study	34
3.3	Data collection	36
3.3.1	Disaster data	37
3.3.2	Digital Elevation Mapping (DEM) of the Kaduwela DS division	39
3.3.3	Flood extent maps	40
3.3.4	Collection of other data	41
3.4	Preparation and the representation of data	42
3.4.1	Preparation of data	42
3.4.2	Representation of data	44
4.	INTERPRETATION OF DATA	45
4.1	Variation of the number of affected people with time	45
4.2	Variation of the number of people in relief camps	47
4.3	Distribution of cooked food	48
4.4	Distribution of dry rations	50
4.5	Administration, security and healthcare	51
4.6	Cash flows of the DS division	52
4.7	Compensations and other expenses	53
4.7.1	Compensations	53
4.7.2	Other expenses and work carried out	53
5.	MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS OF DATA	55
5.1	Correlation between the data categories	55
5.1.1	Highlights of the correlations	58
5.2	Correlations with the modified entries	60
5.3	Multi-criteria analysis for the flood characteristics	64

5.4	Linear correlations for additional compensations	67
6.	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	70
6.1	Reconstruction of the cascade diagram for the number of affected populations	70
6.2	Reconstruction of the cascade diagram for the number of affected families	75
6.3	Usage of the mathematical correlations in predictions and other validations	79
6.4	Challenges in the study	82
7.	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	84
7.1	Conclusions	84
7.2	Limitations and recommendations	86
	REFERENCES	87
	APPENDIX A: COLLECTED DATA FOR 2016 FLOODS	92
	APPENDIX B: COLLECTED DATA FOR 2018 FLOODS	105

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1.	Methodology of the research	3
Figure 2.1.	Schematic presentation of a system definition [1]	12
Figure 2.2.	Classification of CI	14
Figure 2.3.	Community Resilience Framework (CRF) of Sri Lanka [28]	16
Figure 2.4.	Example of a cascade diagram	24
Figure 3.1.	Representation of the explored cascade relationships	33
Figure 3.2.	Selected cascade branch to evaluate	33
Figure 3.3.	Kaduwela DS division	34
Figure 3.4.	GNDs in Kaduwela DS	35
Figure 3.5.	Printed and filed document keeping about disaster events	38
Figure 3.6.	Photographs of property damage	39
Figure 3.7.	Digital Elevation Mapping (DEM) of Kaduwela DS division	39
Figure 3.8.	Flood extent map of 2016 for Kaduwela DS division [46]	40
Figure 3.9.	Flood extent map of 2018 for Kaduwela DS division [46]	41
Figure 3.10.	Building data from the OpenStreetMaps	42
Figure 3.11.	Ground elevations and the flood boundary	43
Figure 3.12.	Impact to the people in 2016 floods	44
Figure 3.13.	Impacts to the people in 2018 floods	44
Figure 4.1.	Variation of the number of people affected with time for 2016 floods	45
Figure 4.2.	Variation of the number of people affected with time for 2018 floods	46
Figure 4.3.	Number of people in camps for 2018 floods	47
Figure 4.4.	Comparison between the number of the affected people in the Pahala Bomiriya GND, and the number of people who came to the relief camps, in 2018 flood	48
Figure 4.5.	Number of cooked food packets distributed	49
Figure 4.6.	Cash flow of the DSD and the cooked food distribution, just after the disaster	52
Figure 5.1.	Affected maximum population vs Affected families in 2016	58
Figure 5.2.	Affected maximum population vs Affected families in 2018	58
Figure 5.3.	Affected maximum population vs Inundated area 2016	59
Figure 5.4.	Inundated area vs Minimum elevation 2016	59
Figure 5.5.	Number of houses required the additional compensations for structural damage vs number of total affected houses	67
Figure 5.6.	Number of houses required additional compensations for content damages vs number of affected families	68
Figure 5.7.	Value of building content damage compensations vs number of recorded cases	68
Figure 6.1.	Cascade diagram with different approaches to find the affected population	72

Figure 6.2.	Cascade diagram with different approaches to find the affected families	76
Figure 6.3.	Cascade which was quantified in this study	80
Figure 6.4.	Compliance of the flood boundary with the contours for 2016 flood extent map	83
Figure 6.5.	Compliance of the flood boundary with the contours for 2018 flood extent map	83

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1.	Impact of recent floods in Sri Lanka	6
Table 2.2.	Examples for direct, indirect, tangible and intangible impacts	9
Table 2.3.	Components that can be used to identify CIs from the CRF, Sri Lanka	17
Table 2.4.	Components of the resilience of CI [5]	18
Table 2.5.	Components of a system	19
Table 2.6.	Characterisation of a CI system after disturbed [6]	20
Table 2.7.	Characteristics of the interdependencies	23
Table 3.1.	Map key for GND map	35
Table 3.2.	Affected people and affected families from the recent floods in Kaduwela DS division	36
Table 4.1.	Recommended value of the dry rations parcel	50
Table 4.2.	Recommended buying prices for relief pack	50
Table 4.3.	Provision of other essentials	51
Table 4.4.	Miscellaneous expenses occurred to the Kaduwela DS division	54
Table 4.5.	Cleaning expenditure for Happawela, Kaduwela DS	54
Table 5.1.	Key for the entries	56
Table 5.2.	Correlation coefficients (R^2) for the parameters for 2016 floods	57
Table 5.3.	Correlation coefficients (R^2) for the parameters for 2018 floods	57
Table 5.4.	Key for the entries	61
Table 5.5.	Correlation coefficients (R^2) for the modified parameters for 2016 floods	62
Table 5.6.	Correlation coefficients (R^2) for the modified parameters for 2018 floods	62
Table 5.7.	Correlations among the modified entries, 2016 floods	63
Table 5.8.	Results of the multi criteria analysis for the affected population	65
Table 5.9.	Results of the multi criteria analysis for the affected families	66
Table 6.1.	Data created by the mathematical models for affected population	73
Table 6.2.	Results of the similarity test for the affected population	74
Table 6.3.	Data created by the mathematical models for affected families	77
Table 6.4.	Results of the similarity test for the affected families	78
Table 6.5.	Key to quantify the relationships	81

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AFCOMP	Affected Families from Computation
AFCORR	Affected Families from Correlation
APCOMP	Affected Population from Computation
APCORR	Affected Population from Correlation
CI	Critical Infrastructure
CLD	Causal Loop Diagrams
CRF	Community Resilience Framework
CRIP	Climate Resilience Improvement Project
DEM	Digital Elevation Mapping
DM	Disaster Management
DMC	Disaster Management Centre
DRR	Disaster Risk Reduction
DS	Divisional Secretariat
EOP	Emergency Operation Procedure
FES	Flood resilience supportive Eco-System Service-delivery
FEMA	Federal Emergency Management Agency
GIS	Geographic Information System
GND	Grama-Niladhari Division
GN	Grama-Niladhari
GO	Government Organisation
LiDAR	Light Detection and Ranging
MCDA	Multi-Criteria Decision Analyses

MDG	Millennium Development Goals
SD	System Dynamics
SDG	Sustainable Development Goals
SMEs	Small and Medium Enterprises
UDA	Urban Development Authority