# EX-POST ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIAL REVIEW OF INVOLUNTARY RESETTLEMENT HOUSING SCHEMES IN SRI LANKA

#### UDYA MADHAVI ABEYSINGHE 158022 E

Degree of Master of Science

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka May 2019

# EX-POST ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIAL REVIEW OF INVOLUNTARY RESETTLEMENT HOUSING SCHEMES IN SRI LANKA

UDYA MADHAVI ABEYSINGHE 158022 E

Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree Master of Science

Department of Civil Engineering
University of Moratuwa
Sri Lanka
May 2019

#### DECLARATION OF THE CANDIDATE & SUPERVISOR

I, Udya Madhavi Abeysinghe hereby declare that this is my own carried out at the Division of Environmental Engineering, Department of Civil Engineering, University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka and this thesis does not incorporate without acknowledging any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgment is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant to the University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and

distribute my thesis/dissertation, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books). Date: ..... Udya Madhavi Abeysinghe Division of Environmental Engineering Department of Civil Engineering University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka The above candidate has carried out research for the Masters dissertation under my supervision. Signature of the Supervisor: ..... Date: ..... Prof. J. M. A. Manatunage Division of Environmental Engineering Department of Civil Engineering University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

#### **Abstract**

# Ex-post Environmental and Social review of Involuntary Resettlement Housing Schemes in Sri Lanka

Worst natural disaster recorded in the recent history of Sri Lanka was tsunami 2004. Two third of the Sri Lanka coastline was hit by tsunami wave and took over 40,000 lives and made millions dollars'worth of property lost. Immediate actions were taken by the Sri Lankan government to recover the lost and damages of the people with the aid from different national and international organizations. About 485 tsunami resettlement sites were constructed in 12 districts in Sri Lanka. After a decade of the tsunami disaster, it is vital to look into the long term impacts of the tsunami resettlement programs implemented in different areas of the country. Although the basic steps followed for the resettlement is same, some of the factors are different from the site to site especially, donor, administrative area and social characteristics of beneficiaries.

The criteria chosen to assess the long-term satisfaction of the re-settlers consisted of factors related to site selection, the design of dwelling units and surroundings, material well-being and provision of services and infrastructure, aspects related to social factors and perceptions regarding the resettlement process, neighborhood and social interactions.

Creation of opportunities to rebuild is a critical factor that determined the satisfaction and dissatisfaction on the resettlement program. To make resettlement a success story, it is essential to identify the failure factors too. Economic, social, environmental and even physical factors differentiation from region to region has to acknowledge seriously.

Sites were selected from the data base of Rapid Environment Assessment survey using stratified sampling method. It was observed that the resettled communities included in the present study are not satisfied in the long-term due to various physical, environmental and socio-economic factors. Mainly Inconvenience to livelihood activities, Beneficiary selection process, Housing planning without considering the cultural and social status of the affected people-, Poor building quality, improper Wastewater management, Infrastructure provision were identified as critical factors that led long term dissatisfaction of affected people and finally abandonment of sites.

Keywords: Involuntary resettlement, tsunami, long term impacts, success and failure

#### **DEDICATION**

To my husband Asitha Kurukulasuriya

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

First and foremost, I would like to express my appreciation to my research supervisor, Professor. J. M. A. Manatunga for sacrificing his worthy time of heavily loaded work schedule to guide, direct, advise, comment, correct and criticize my works; for allowing me to learn through my own experience. I am also grateful to the University of Moratuwa for funding this research study until its success.

This research was supported by Senate Research Committee short term grant, the University of Moratuwa I would like to express my sincere gratitude for University of Moratuwa for awarding Senate Research Committee short term grant.

I am thankful to all the members of the Environmental Engineering Division of the Department of Civil Engineering for their support and kind assistance regarding my research work. Last but not least, I appreciate every person who contributed in no small way to make this research project, a success.

### **Table of Contents**

1	CHA	APTER INTRODUCTION	1-1
	1.1	Background of the Research	1-1
	1.2	Problem Statement	1-2
	1.3	Rational of the Study	1-2
	1.3.	Objectives of the Study	1-2
	1.4	Methodology	1-2
2	CHA	APTER LITERATURE REVIEW	2-4
	2.1	What is Resettlement?	2-4
	2.2	Types of Resettlement	2-4
	2.2.	Voluntary Resettlement	2-4
	2.2.2	2 Involuntary Resettlement.	2-5
	2.3	Impacts of Resettlement	2-7
	2.4	The fact of Satisfaction	2-9
	2.5	Identification of environmental and social factors that causes satisfaction	on of displaced
	people	2-10	
	2.6	Resettlement Experiences in Sri Lanka	2-14
	2.7	Tsunami 2004 in Sri Lanka	2-14
	2.7.	Resettlement process in tsunami 2004 Sri Lanka	2-15
	2.7.	Policies, Regulations and Institutional Framework of Involuntary I	Resettlement in
	Sri l	Lanka 2-16	
	2.8	Other Resettlement Policies and Regulations	2-22
	2.8.	World Bank Resettlement Policy	2-22
	2.8.	2 Asian Development Bank Involuntary Resettlement Policy	2-23
3	CHA	APTER RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	3-24
	3.1	Site selection methodology	3-24
	3.2	Criteria for site selection	3-24
	3.3	Data collection methodology	3-27
	3.4	Methodology for objective one	3-27
	3.5	Methodology for objective two	3-27
	3.6	Methodology of objective three	3-35
	3.7	Data analysing methodology	3-36
	3.7.	Data analysing methodology - Questionnaire survey one	3-37
	3.7.	Data analysing methodology - Questionnaire survey two	3-37
4	CHA	APTER RESULTS ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION	4-38
	4.1	Selected sites for survey	4-38

4.2	Comp	pliance with Legislations	4-41
4.1	.1	Compliance with legislation - Environmental	4-41
4.1	.2	Compliance with Legislations - Site planning	4-41
4.3	Aver	age Household size	4-42
4.4	Leve	l of Satisfaction of Environmental Factors	4-42
4.1	.3	Dwelling	4-42
4.1	.4	Surrounding Environment	4-57
4.1	.5	Services	4-62
4.5	Leve	l of Satisfaction for Social Factors	4-76
4.1	.6	Infrastructure facilities	4-76
4.1	.7	Leisure and Neighborhood	4-86
4.6	Over	all satisfaction of Environmental and social factors	4-96
4.7	Leve	l of Ownership and percentage of owners who are willing to sell or rent	houses4-
98			
4.8	Aban	ndoned Houses	4-99
5 CH	IAPTEI	R CONCLUSION	5-100
REFER	ENCES	S	5-104

## **List of Figures**

Figure 2-2 Number of reported displaced people due to tsunami, by district2	2-15
Figure 2-1 DSDs of Sri Lanka affected by tsunami 2004	2-15
Figure 3-1 Graphical illustration of the summary of field survey methodology for study3	3-26
Figure 3-2 Graphical presentation of Methodology	3-27
Figure 4-1 Locations of sites selected for the study	<b>1-4</b> 0
Figure 4-2 Site selected	1-40
Figure 4-3 Open space between living area and kitchen in Kirinda New Town Tsun	ami
Resettlement Houses	1-46
Figure 4-4 Godadenikanda Houses are very close to each other	1-46
Figure 4-5 Cooking outside in Galmulla, Hambantota district	1-49
Figure 4-6 Kitchen use as a storage room in Godadenikanda, Galle (behind rainwater harvest	ting
tank)4	1-49
Figure 4-7 Temporary kitchen at Mayurapura Hambantota4	1-49
Figure 4-8 Temporary kitchen built by wood panels adjacent to the house in Epitawaththa Ma	tara
4	1-49
Figure 4-9 Toilets built separate toilets outside the houses Epitawaththa Matara4	<b>1-5</b> 0
Figure 4-10 Insecure staircases in	1-52
Figure 4-11 Insecure wiring in	1-52
Figure 4-12 Collapse of roof due to improper maintenance Arahena4	
Figure 4-13 Crack on wall. This house is abandoned due to Unlivable condition in Arahena Ma	tara
4	1-54
Figure 4-14 Cracks on wall in4	1-54
Figure 4-15 Cracks on the floor, Wellodaya, Hambantota4	1-54
Figure 4-16 Nidangalawella, Hambantota district incomplete house	1-56
Figure 4-17 Layout plan of the TZUCHI village resettlement site Hambantota4	<b>1-6</b> 0
Figure 4-18 Garbage dump nearby road at Godadenikanda4	1-69
Figure 4-19 Garbage dump and burn on an empty land Galmulla Hambantota4	1-69
Figure 4-20 Waste burned along the road side Siribopura II	1-69
Figure 4-21 Bathing water contaminated with soap flow to the canal flow on back yard4	<b>1-</b> 71
Figure 4-22 Grey water flow openly on the back yards of the houses Kapuwaththa II, Hamban	tota
4	<b>1</b> -72
Figure 4-23 Grey water flow through a poorly constructed drain and little kid play near	
Mayurapura, Hambantota	
Figure 4-24 Polluted canal adjacent to Nupawela flats due to waste water disposal4	<b>1-7</b> 3
Figure 4-26 Constructed wetland in Kesbepana, Galle	1-73

Figure 4-25 Drain outs from constructed wetland Kesbepana	4-73
Figure 4-27 Constructed wetland at Mudiyansewaththa, Matara	4-74
Figure 4-28 structures covered with weeds in constructed wetland Mudiyansewaththa	, Matara4-
74	
Figure 4-29 Unsealed soakage pits in	4-75
Figure 4-30 Nearby canal and marshy land in Eluwila, Galle	4-75
Figure 4-31 Drainages blocked in Arahena Matara and Godadenikanda, Galle	4-75
Figure 4-32 Dust in road and sealed louvers	4-77
Figure 4-33 Road from TZUCHI village to Hambantota town	4-77
Figure 4-34 Internal roads and shades in TZUCHI village Hambantota	4-77
Figure 4-35 Small shops at houses to sell day to day goods at Rassandeniya Matara	4-83
Figure 4-36 Policeman was on duty for 24 hr at the Grama Niladari office and now not	functioning
at Rassandeniya, Matara	4-89

### **List of Tables**

Table 2.1 Summary of Environmental and Social factors for long term satisfaction2-11
Table 2.2 Environment and Social factors for long term satisfaction of resettlement program.2-13
Table 2.3 Number of resettlement sites based on the district
Table 2.4 Summary of Environmental and Social Policies and regulations applied for tsunaming
resettlement by different institutions
Table 2.5 Mandatory elements of the UDA housing guidelines for tsunami resettlement2-20
Table 3.1 Total number of tsunami resettlement sites and surveyed sites in each district3-24
Table 3.2 Environmental and Social Criteria, Sub criteria and Indicators for site selection3-25
Table 3.3 Sample size
Table 3.4 Environmental and Social variables used to ascertain the level of satisfaction of
beneficiaries
$Table \ 3.5 \ Source \ of \ environmental \ and \ social \ factors \ identification \ for \ questionnaire \ survey \ .3-36 \ and \ social \ factors \ identification \ for \ questionnaire \ survey \ .3-36 \ and \ social \ factors \ identification \ for \ questionnaire \ survey \ .3-36 \ and \ social \ factors \ identification \ for \ questionnaire \ survey \ .3-36 \ and \ social \ factors \ identification \ for \ questionnaire \ survey \ .3-36 \ and \ social \ factors \ identification \ for \ questionnaire \ survey \ .3-36 \ and \ social \ factors \ identification \ for \ questionnaire \ survey \ .3-36 \ and \ social \ factors \ identification \ for \ questionnaire \ survey \ .3-36 \ and \ social \ factors \ identification \ for \ questionnaire \ survey \ .3-36 \ and \ social \ factors \ social \ $
Table 4.1 Selected Tsunami Resettlement sites
Table 4.2 Settlement planning requirement by UDA4-58
Table 4.3 Design tools for urban physical quality enhancement
Table 4.4 Water storage facility of resettlement sites
Table 4.5 Solid waste management methods of surveyed tsunami resettlement sites4-67
Table 4.6 NHDA Guidelines for parking requirements
Table 4.7 Approximate distance to the institutions from the resettlement sites4-79
Table 4.8 Settlement planning requirements by the UDA
Table 4.9 Satisfaction Level of Environment Factors
Table 4.10 Satisfaction level of social factors

## **List of Graphs**

Graph 4-1 Average Household size	4-42
Graph 4-2 Level of Satisfaction of Dwelling Size	4-43
Graph 4-3 Level of Satisfactions of Light and Ventilation	4-45
Graph 4-4 Level of Satisfactions for Noise	4-47
Graph 4-5 Level of Satisfaction of Interior design	4-48
Graph 4-6 Level of Satisfaction of Fire and Other safety facilities	4-51
Graph 4-7 Level of satisfaction of Building quality	4-53
Graph 4-8 Level of satisfaction of Completion house	4-55
Graph 4-9 Level of overall satisfaction of Dwelling	4-56
Graph 4-10 Level of satisfaction of Site Selection	4-57
Graph 4-11 Level of satisfaction for layout of the property	4-59
Graph 4-12 Level of satisfaction for Landscaping	4-61
Graph 4-13 Level of Satisfaction for Water Supply	4-65
Graph 4-14 Level of Satisfaction for Electricity	4-66
Graph 4-15 Level of satisfaction for solid waste management	4-68
Graph 4-16 Level of satisfaction for waste water management	4-71
Graph 4-17 Level of satisfaction for Public transport	4-76
Graph 4-18 Level of satisfaction for Parking spaces	4-78
Graph 4-19 Level of satisfaction for education facilities	4-80
Graph 4-20 Level of Satisfaction of Hospital facilities	4-81
Graph 4-21 Level of Satisfaction of Shopping and other daily facilities	4-82
Graph 4-22 Level of Satisfaction of Facilities for religion practices	4-83
Graph 4-23 Level of Satisfaction for Average distance to administrative matter	4-84
Graph 4-24 Level of Satisfaction for Land tenure	4-85
Graph 4-25 Level of Satisfaction of Leisure and Sports facilities	4-87
Graph 4-26 Level of Satisfaction for Neighborhood safety	4-88
Graph 4-27 Level of satisfaction of privacy	4-90
Graph 4-28 Level of satisfaction for Time for family commitments	4-91
Graph 4-29 Level of satisfaction for community development programs	4-92
Graph 4-30 Level of satisfaction for Convenience to livelihood	4-93
Graph 4-31 Level of satisfaction of conflicts and social issues	4-94
Graph 4-32 Level of satisfaction for Participation for planning	4-96
Graph 4-33 Ownership and willing to sell or rent houses	4-98
Graph 4-34 Percentage of abandoned houses from total number of houses	4-99