MITIGATING DISPUTES IN PUBLIC-PRIVATE PARTNERSHIPS: A STUDY ON INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECTS IN SRI LANKA

Gayathri Nagalingam

(159160G)

Degree of Master of Science in Construction Law and Dispute Resolution

Department of Building Economics

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

May 2020

MITIGATING DISPUTES IN PUBLIC-PRIVATE PARTNERSHIPS: A STUDY ON INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECTS IN SRI LANKA

Gayathri Nagalingam

(159160G)

Theses/Dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science in Construction Law and Dispute Resolution

Department of Building Economics

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

May 2020

Declaration, copyright statement and the statement of the supervisor

"I declare that this is my own work and this thesis/dissertation does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my thesis/dissertation, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

	21/05/2020
Gayathri N (159160G)	Date:
The supervisor/s should certify the thesis/dis	ssertation with the following declaration.
The above candidate has carried out research thesis/ Dissertation under my supervision.	n for the Bachelors/Masters/MPhil/PhD
Name of Supervisor: Prof. (Mrs) Y.G Sanda	nayake
Signature of the Supervisor	 Date

Government of Sri Lanka (GOSL) has history of applying Public Private Partnerships (PPP) in public infrastructure development projects. All the construction projects are prone to disputes, however, the projects procured via PPP is most subjected to disputes due to its nature and characteristics, where involve huge stakeholders with various interests, complex and long-term nature, prone to impacts from outside forces, often beyond the control of the collaboration parties, complex legal arrangements, easily subjected to misinterpretation. Disputes are the key factor, which avert the wealthy accomplishment of the project and subsequently end up in huge unexpected costs, contract revocation, and relationship and reputation devastation. It is difficult to entirely eliminate the disputes in PPP; however, the disputes could be mitigated by proposing the most suitable strategies for PPP projects.

Accordingly, this study aims on how to mitigate disputes in PPP infrastructure projects in Sri Lanka. Qualitative approach was adopted as the most appropriate research approach for this study and interviews were conducted using semi-structured interview guideline among the personnel who involved in Sri Lankan's PPP projects, thereby the total of twelve participants from public party, private party and others were interviewed. Documentary review also adopted as secondary data collected tool. Data were analysed by adopting cause and effect diagram and content analysis using pre-determined themes as per research objectives.

The eventual findings revealed that, the disputes in Sri Lankan PPP infrastructure projects often occurred related to political, legal, environmental, land and market & revenue; which are identified as most influential categories, in which the PPP projects are highly affected, and those cannot be eliminated in the future PPP projects as well. Meanwhile, disputes related to contract, design and specification, and construction are identified as medium influencing categories, in which the PPP projects are considerably affected and those factors can be eliminated by the time, based on the experiences and when the PPP projects get familiar to professional, thereby, those can be eliminated based on the mitigation strategies. In addition, human behavior and economic related dispute are considered as less influential factors, where human behavior related disputes can be mitigated though the education, training, and well communication system. Moreover, economic related disputes can be settled by allocating proper contingencies and adequate contractual provision in the contract and those already practiced by the project practitioners in Sri Lanka.

Finding further revealed that, inadequate knowledge on PPP, lacks in partnering, lack of transparency, poor quality of contract drafting, lack of legal, policy, administration and investment framework, changes in government and policy, poor public awareness, absence of procurement guideline and standard forms for PPP, poor centralized legal system, poor feasibility and risk management strategies, poor coordination, changes in technology are most significant root causes of disputes in PPP in Sri Lanka. Accordingly, public and private parties' close cooperation and effective relationship management, proper coordination, increase the quality of contract drafting, obtain experts' advice, appoint independent partner, proper feasibility study and risk analysis are found as most frequent dispute mitigation strategies. Moreover, develop the strong PPP framework, strong national policies, permanent entities to PPP, transparency procedure, conduct public awareness, develop proper guideline and standard form of contract for PPP, improve PPP unit, improve adequate knowledge on PPP, re-negotiation, strengthen state guarantee, and appoint steering committee are the most significant strategies which can suggest for the future PPP projects in Sri Lanka.

Key words: Sri Lanka, Public-Private Partnerships, PPPs, Disputes, Causes of disputes, Mitigation strategies

I dedicate this research to my beloved family

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This research embraces much dedication and absolute assistance received from many individuals, who contribute in plentiful ways to complete this research study. Thus, I take this opportunity to express my gratefulness to each who spent their precious time and endeavour and to all who shared their immense knowledge and professional experience.

First and foremost, I am obliged to my dynamic and inspiring dissertation supervisor and Head of the Department of Building Economic, Prof. (Mrs) Y.G Sandanayake, for her admirable supervision, immense direction, encouragement and support which have made significant contribution to the successful completion of this study. Through her experience and knowledge, she has continuously enriched my raw ideas

Furthermore, I would like to express the greatest appreciation to the industry professionals, for their valuable advice and support, in spite of their busy schedule. They have really been an inspiration and driving force for me.

Finally, I express my heartfelt gratitude to my beloved family members, friends and many others, for willingly giving me their emotional and moral support.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLA	RATIONi
ABSTR	ACTii
DEDIC	ATIONiii
ACKNO	OWLEDGEMENTiv
TABLE	OF CONTENTS v
LIST O	F FIGURESviii
LIST O	F TABLESix
LIST O	F ABBREVIATIONSx
LIST O	F APPENDICESxi
СНАРТ	ER 01
1.0	INTRODUCTION TO THE RESEARCH
1.1	Background
1.2	Research Problem
1.3	Aim and Objectives5
1.4	Research Methodology5
1.5	Scope and Limitations
1.6	Chapter Breakdown 6
1.7	Chapter Summary6
СНАРТ	TER 02
2.0	LITERATURE REVIEW7
2.1	Introduction
2.2	Public-Private Partnerships (PPP)
23	Public-Private Partnership in Sri Lanka - Overview 17

	2.4	Disputes in the PPPs projects	20
	2.5	Strategies to mitigate the disputes in PPPs project	27
	2.6	Chapter Summary	27
C	НАРТ	ER 03	28
3	.0	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	28
	3.1	Introduction	28
	3.2	Research Process	28
	3.3	Research Approach	29
	3.4	Research techniques	29
	3.5	Data collection techniques	30
	3.6	Data analysis	31
	3.7	Chapter Summary	31
C	CHAPT	ER 04	32
4	.0	DATA ANALYSIS AND FINDITING	32
	4.1	Introduction	32
	4.2	Participants Profile	32
	4.3	Documents reviewed	34
	4.4	PPPs projects that the interviewee involved in Sri Lanka	34
	4.5	Content analysis for interviewed data	36
	4.6	PPPs nature and it's arrangement for disputes	37
	4.7	Disputes in PPPs in Sri Lanka	42
	4.8 strate	Investigation of causes behind the disputes along with the mitigation gies in PPPs in Sri Lanka	43
	4.9	Strategies to mitigate the disputes in PPPs in Sri Lanka	91
	4 10	Chapter Summary	99

СНАРТ	ER 05	. 100
5.0	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	. 100
5.1	Conclusions	. 100
5.2	Recommendations for industry practitioners	. 104
5.3	Further Studies	. 104
REFER	ENCES	. 105
APPENDICES		121

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1: PPP arrangement and types of PPPs
Figure 2.2: PPP Contract parties and Generic structure of PPPs
Figure 2.3: Stages leading to origin of disputes
Figure 3.1: Research Process
Figure 4.1: Structure of the themes
Figure 4.2: PPPs characteristics which lead to the disputes
Figure 4.3: Coding structure of major disputes categories
Figure 4.4: Causes and effect diagram of PPP's disputes
Figure 4.5: Causes of land related disputes
Figure 4.6: Causes of Design and specification related disputes
Figure 4.7: Causes of contract related disputes
Figure 4.8: Causes of construction disputes
Figure 4.9: Causes of political related disputes
Figure 4.10: Causes of legal related disputes
Figure 4.11: Causes of environment related disputes
Figure 4.12: Causes of Market and revenue related disputes
Figure 4.13: Causes of Economic related disputes
Figure 4.14: Causes of human behaviour related disputes

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1: Definitions of PPP
Table 2.2: Key responsibilities of public and private parties
Table 2.3: Contract mode of PPP
Table 2.4: Types of Concessions mode of PPP
Table 2.5: Types of BOT and similar arrangement of PPP
Table 2.6: Roles and Responsibilities of PPP project parties
Table 2.7: Largest PPP projects in Sri Lanka in between 1999 and 2017
Table 2.8: Causes of disputes by categories
Table 2.9: Dispute categories and related causes of disputes
Table 2.10: Examples of PPP project cancelled due to disputes
Table 4.1: Profile of selected Participants
Table 4.2: Root causes and mitigation strategies for land related
Table 4.3: Root causes and mitigation strategies for design/ specification related 54
Table 4.4: Root causes and mitigation strategies for contract related
Table 4.5: Root causes and mitigation strategies to construction related 69
Table 4.6: Root causes and mitigation strategies for political related
Table 4.7: Root Causes and mitigation strategies for legal related disputes
Table 4.8: Root Causes and mitigation strategies for environmental related disputes
Table 4.9: Root causes and mitigation strategies to market and revenue related disputes
Table 4.10: Root causes and mitigation strategies to economic related
Table 4.11: Root causes and mitigation strategies for human behavior related 90
Table 4.12: Proposed mitigation strategies in PPP infrastructure projects

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ADB : Asian Development Bank

ADR : Alternative Dispute Resolution

BOI : Board of Investment
BOO : Build-Own-Operate
BOT : Build-Own-Transfer

BOOT : Build-Own-Operate-Transfer

BOOST : Build-Own-Operate-Share-Transfer
BROT : Build-Rehabilitate-Operate-Transfer

BLO : Build-Lease-Own

BTO : Build-Transfer-Operate

CICT : Colombo International Container Terminal

CEB : Ceylon Electricity Board

CEA : Central Environmental Authority

CHEC : China Harbor Engineering Company

DBFO : Design-Build-Finance-Operate : Design-Build-Finance-Maintain

GOSL : Government of Sri Lanka

IFC International Finance Cooperation

MOF Ministry of Finance

NHDA . National Housing Development authority

NPC National Procurement Commission

NWS&DB · National Water Supply & Drainage Board

PPP Public Private Partnership

RDA : Road Development Authority

ROT : Rehabilitate-Operate-Transfer

RLT Rehabilitate-Lease or Rent-Transfer

SPV : Special Purpose Vehicle

SLPA : Sri Lanka Ports Authority

SBD : Standard Bidding Document

UDA : Urban Development Authority

USD : United State Dollar

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A: Summary of causes and strategies for PPP disputes	121
Appendix B: Causes and Effect Diagram of PPP disputes	130
Appendix C: Expert Interview Guideline	139
Appendix D: Transcript of Expert Interview	143