EVALUATING THE SUCCESS LEVEL OF DESIRED OUTCOMES OF ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION METHODS IN BUILDING PROJECTS IN SRI LANKA

Iresha Subashine Gamage

(179160B)

Degree of Master of Science in Construction Law and Dispute Resolution

Department of Building Economics

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

March 2020

EVALUATING THE SUCCESS LEVEL OF DESIRED OUTCOMES OF ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION METHODS IN BUILDING PROJECTS IN SRI LANKA

Iresha Subashine Gamage

(179160B)

Dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science in Construction Law and Dispute Resolution

Department of Building Economics

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

March 2020

DECLARATION

Declaration, copyright statement and the statement of the supervisor

"I declare that this is my own work and this dissertation does not incorporate without

acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any

other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and

belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another

person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Further, I acknowledge the intellectual contribution of my research supervisor Ms.

Menaha Thayaparan for the successful completion of this research dissertation. I

affirm that I will not make any publication from this research without the name of my

research supervisor as contributing author unless otherwise I have obtained written

consent from my research supervisor.

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce

and distribute my thesis/dissertation, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other

medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as

articles or books)".

Signature:	Date:28.03.2020

The supervisor should certify the dissertation with the following declaration.

The above candidate has carried out research for the Dissertation under my

supervision.

Signature of the supervisor: Date:28.03.2020......

i

Evaluating the Success Level of Desired Outcomes of Alternative Dispute Resolution Methods in Building Projects in Sri Lanka

The success or failure of dispute resolution depends comprehensively on implementing a mechanism to resolve the particular dispute while having a sound understanding of the ideologies and features of each dispute resolution method. This document reported a study on the features of both traditional and Alternative Dispute Resolution mechanisms including litigation, negotiation, mediation, adjudication, arbitration and hybrid methods. Even though, the topic Alternative Dispute Resolution in construction industry has been rapidly addressed, the actual scenario of achieving desired outcomes by using Alternative Dispute Resolution for resolving construction disputes has not been discussed sufficiently.

The aim of this research was to evaluate the success level of using Alternative Dispute Resolution methods to resolve disputes in building projects in Sri Lanka by assessing the level of achieving desired outcomes of Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR). The research employed a mixed research approach entailing semi-structured interviews as the preliminary stage and case studies as the following stage. Subsequently, manual content analysis and cognitive mapping were used to capture and map the major findings from the interviews and case studies.

Results of the analysis elicited that there are five highly desired outcomes of using ADR hence those were evaluated under each case study to review the achievement level. Accordingly, the analysis evident that the parties would not get desired outcomes of ADR as expected and the research has identified six main reasons for this cause. Finally, recommendations were provided to enhance the level of achieving desired outcomes of using ADR by minimizing the loop holes identified in case study findings.

Key Words: Alternative Dispute Resolution, desired outcomes, level of achieving

I dedicate this piece of work

to my beloved family

members.....

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This successful research outcome can be identified as a collaboration of the efforts

given by many parties rather than an individual effort. Therefore, it is my pleasure to

thank every single one who gave their important assistance on numerous conditions

and to all who shared their knowledge and expert experience to make this work a

triumph. It ought to be said that without all of you this would have not been a reality.

First and foremost, I owe my heartfelt gratitude towards my dissertation supervisor,

Senior Lecturer Dr. Menaha Thayaparan, for all the valuable ideas, assistance and

guidance, put forward to make this study a success. The support given at all the times

is highly appreciated.

I wish to extend my utmost gratitude to Prof. Yasangika Sandanayake, the programme

coordinator, senior lecturers, lecturers and all other staff members of the Department of

Building Economics for their enormous advices and commitment during the course of

this study. My grateful acknowledgment is made to all the member of non-academic

staff of the Department of Building Economics as well for their support.

I wish to express my greatest appreciation to the professionals in the industry who

contributed to this study by actively participating and sacrificing their valuable time in

data collection process despite of their busy work schedules.

Finally, I express my heartfelt gratitude to my beloved family members and to all the

colleagues as well for their support given in numerous ways to make this piece of work

a success.

Gamage I.S.

March 2020

iv

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLARATION	I
ABSTRACT	II
DEDICATION	III
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	IV
TABLE OF CONTENTS	V
LIST OF FIGURES	VIII
LIST OF TABLES	IX
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	X
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Problem Statement	3
1.3 Aim and Objectives	4
1.4 Scope and Limitations	4
1.5 Methodology	5
1.6 Chapter Breakdown	6
CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE SYNTHESIS	7
2.1 Introduction	7
2.2 Construction disputes	7
2.2.1 Association between conflicts, claims and disputes	8
2.2.2 Common causes of conflicts in the construction industry	9
2.3 Construction dispute resolution	11
2.3.1 Litigation – Traditional way of dispute resolution	12
2.4 Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR)	14
2.4.1 Available ADR methods in construction industry	14
2.4.1.1 Negotiation	15
2.4.1.2 Mediation	16
2.4.1.3 Adjudication	17
2.4.1.4 Arbitration	18
2.4.1.5 Hybrid ADR methods	20
2.4.2 Available ADR methods in Sri Lankan construction industry	23

	2.5 Factors influencing the selection of ADR for construction projects	25
	2.6 Desired outcomes of using ADR to resolve disputes	29
	2.7 Summary	32
(CHAPTER THREE: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	33
	3.1 Introduction	33
	3.2 Research Process	33
	3.2.1 Contextual study of the research	34
	3.2.2 Formulating the research problem	34
	3.2.3 Literature synthesis	34
	3.2.4 Developing a research design	34
	3.2.4.1 Research philosophy	36
	3.2.4.2 Research approach	37
	3.3.4.3 Research techniques	40
	3.3.5 Write-up	43
	3.4 Summary	43
(CHAPTER FOUR: ANALYSIS OF RESEARCH FINDINGS	 4 4
	4.1 Introduction	44
	4.2 Preliminary expert interviews	44
	4.2.1 Objectives of the preliminary expert interviews	44
	4.2.2 Respondents to preliminary expert interviews	45
	4.2.3 Analysis of preliminary expert interviews	45
	4.2.3.1 Factors influencing for using ADR in Sri Lankan Projects	46
	4.3 Case studies	50
	4.3.1 Objectives of the case studies	50
		<i>E</i> 1
	4.3.2 Sources of data used in case studies	31
	4.3.2 Sources of data used in case studies	
		52
	4.3.3 Background study of each case	52 52
	4.3.3 Background study of each case	52 52 53
	4.3.3 Background study of each case 4.3.3.1 Case Study 01(CS-1) 4.3.3.2 Case Study 02 (CS-2)	52 52 53
	4.3.3 Background study of each case 4.3.3.1 Case Study 01(CS-1) 4.3.3.2 Case Study 02 (CS-2) 4.3.3.3 Case Study 03 (CS-3)	52 52 53 54

4.3.4 Analysis of data collected through case studies	58
4.3.4.1 Case Study 01 – Analysis (CS-1)	60
4.3.4.2 Case Study 02 – Analysis (CS-2)	61
4.3.4.3 Case Study 03 – Analysis (CS-3)	62
4.3.4.4 Case Study 04 – Analysis (CS-4)	63
4.3.4.5 Case Study 05 – Analysis (CS-5)	64
4.3.4.6 Case Study 06 – Analysis (CS-6)	65
4.3.5 Cross case analysis of the level of achieving desired outcomes of u	using
ADR	66
4.3.6 Recommendation for enhance the level of achieving desired outco	mes by
using ADR	70
4.3.6.1 Common reasons for less achievement level of desired outcom	nes of
using ADR	70
4.3.6.2 Recommendation to enhance the level of achieving desired ou	tcomes
of ADR	72
4.4 Summary	77
5.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	78
5.1 Introduction	78
5.2 Conclusions	78
5.3 Recommendations	81
5.4 Limitations of the Research	81
5.5 Further Research Directions	82
REFERENCES	83
APPENDIX 01: GUIDELINE OF THE INTERVIEW PART I	94
APPENDIX 02: SAMPLE TRANSCRIPT OF THE INTERVIEW PART	Г І 96
APPENDIX 03: INTERVIEW GUIDELINE OF CASE STUDIES	98
APPENDIX 04. SAMPLE TRANSCIPT OF CASE STUDY INTERVIE	W 100

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1. Variety of Risk, conflict, claim and dispute	2
Figure 1.2. Chapter breakdown	6
Figure.2.1. Conflicts, Claims and Disputes	8
Figure 2.2. Construction Dispute Resolution Steps	12
Figure 3.1. Research Process	33
Figure 3.2. Research design	35
Figure 3.3. Nested Research Methodology	35
Figure 3.4. Identification of unit of analysis	39
Figure 4.1. Influencing factors for using ADR	49
Figure 4.2. Desired outcomes of the highest influencing factors for using ADR	50
Figure 4.3. Highly desired outcomes of ADR	58
Figure 4.4. Graphical presentation of the level of achieving desired outcomes	69

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1.Common causes of conflicts	9
Table 2.2. Common Hybrid ADR methods	20
Table 2.3. Summary of findings for factors influencing the selection of ADR	
processes	26
Table 2.4.Summary of the common factors influencing to use ADR	28
Table 2.5. Influencing factors vs. desired outcomes	29
Table 4.1. Respondents' profile-Preliminary Expert Interviews	45
Table 4.2. Summary of the respondent's opinion	46
Table 4.3. Summary of data sources of case studies	51
Table 4.4. Interpretation of scale for evaluating the level of achieving desired	
outcomes in cases	59
Table 4.5. Cross case analysis of level of achieving desired outcomes	66
Table 4.6. Numeric presentation of level of achieving desired outcomes	69
Table 4.7. Reasons for less achievement level of desired outcomes of ADR	71
Table 4.8. Recommendation for enhance the level of achieving desired outcome	es of
ADR	73

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ADR – Alternative Dispute Resolution

CIDA – Construction Industry Development Authority

DRB or DAB - Dispute Review Board or Dispute Adjudication Board

FIDIC- The International Federation of Consulting Engineers

JCT - Joint Contracts Tribunal