

**INVESTIGATION OF MULTICOMPONENT
HEAVY METALS ADSORPTION CAPABILITY
USING RAW COIR DUST AND PROCESSED
COIR PITH**

A.M.P.C. Amarasinghe

159251L

Degree of Master of Science in Sustainable Process Engineering

Department of Chemical & Process Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

July 2020

Declaration of the candidate and supervisor

“I declare that this is my own work and this thesis/dissertation does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any University or other institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text”

.....

.....

Signature

Date

“I hereby grant the University of Moratuwa the right to archive and to make available my thesis or dissertation in whole or part in the University Libraries in all forms of media, subject to the provisions of the current copyright act of Sri Lanka. I retain all proprietary rights, such as patent rights. I also retain the right to use in future works (such as articles or books) all or part of this thesis or dissertation.”

.....

.....

Signature

Date

“I have supervised and accepted this thesis/dissertation for the award of the degree”

.....

.....

Signature of supervisor

Date

Dr. H.H.M.P. Rathnayake
Senior Lecturer Grade II
Dept. of Chemical and Process Engineering
University of Moratuwa

ABSTRACT

Water is the most vital natural resource that sustains all living organisms on the earth and access to safe and clean water has become a crisis due to intense water pollution by anthropogenic activities, over-pumping of groundwater for irrigation purposes, limited water availability due to climate changes, regional conflicts over common water resources, etc. Wastewaters that contain various heavy metals, such as arsenic, chromium, manganese, nickel, lead, cadmium, zinc, and copper are being discharged into natural water bodies annually by many industries. Industrial processes that generate wastewater with significantly high levels of heavy metals use various techniques, such as chemical coagulation, chemical precipitation, membrane separation, extraction, electrodeposition, ion-exchange, and electrochemical techniques in order to remove the heavy metal contents. Nevertheless, most of these techniques use expensive chemicals and require considerable time, and some of them are proven to be less effective and less efficient, especially in removing trace amounts of metals. Besides above methods, adsorption technique is one of the most widely used technique to remove heavy metals from water and studies have revealed that it is much effective in removing heavy metals with high solute loading and even at minute concentrations. In the past decade, significant number of studies have been conducted worldwide on the removal of heavy metals from aqueous solutions by non-living and biologically inactive biomass. This approach of wastewater treatment is known as biosorption, and the non-living biomass used there is defined as bio sorbent. Use of bio sorbents to remove heavy metals from wastewater is a novel and developing technology in the water treatment field. Coir pith is a waste-derived material that can be utilized as a biosorbent for heavy metals removal from wastewater. In this study, directly obtained raw coir dust from coconut husks and processed coir pith were tested for their removal efficiencies of 8 heavy metals, i.e., As, Cd, Cu, Cr, Mn, Ni, Pb, and Zn. Standard heavy metal solutions were prepared for each metal and heavy metal content of standard solutions, coir pith, and coir dust were first measured using the Inductively Coupled Plasma - Optical Emission Spectrometry (ICP-OES) method. A multicomponent batch adsorption experimental procedure was conducted to determine the removal efficiencies of each metal by both coir dust and coir pith. In experimental procedures, respectively, 1g, 2g, 3g, 4g, and 5g of coir pith and coir dust were added to equal volumes of each metal solution and allow adsorption for 2 hours. Then filtered samples were tested for the heavy metal concentrations using

the ICP-OES method. Multicomponent heavy metal removal efficiencies of coir pith were tested by varying the adsorption temperatures and the contact time between the heavy metal solution and the coir pith sample. Analytical results show that both raw coir dust and coir pith act as suitable bio sorbents for removal of As, Cd, Cr, Ni, and Pb, and the optimum solid/liquid ratio is 0.1 g/ml at room temperature for 2 hours if contact time for all these five heavy metals. According to the results comparison between the of raw coir dust and processed coir pith, raw coir dust shows higher heavy metals removal capacities Coir pith is the most suitable biosorbent for Cu removal while coir dust is most suitable biosorbent for Mn removal. For raw coir dust metals and bio sorbents 0.08g/ml at room temperature for 2 hours contact period is the optimum solid/liquid ratio. For processed coir pith metals and bio sorbents 0.1g/ml at room temperature for 2 hours contact period is the optimum solid/liquid ratio Anyway both coir pith and coir dust are not suitable for Zn removal from aqueous solutions. For all metals except Zn, contact period of 30 minutes and temperature of 30 °C are the optimum operating conditions.

In this experimental we have used multi component heavy metal sample as a result of it both materials adsorption and desorption are happening in the same sample. When we consider heavy metal adsorption with the temperature from 30-70 °C heavy metals adsorption capacity has decreased the reason for this with the increasing of temperature kinetic energy of the metal has increased then desorption is happened inside the sample. As a result of it with increasing of temperature heavy metals adsorption capacity will decrease.

When we consider contact time of the heavy metals sample with the absorbent, For Cr, Cu and Pb show similar results. From 30 min to 2 hr material adsorption efficiency has decreased but 2 hr to 4 hr adsorption efficiency has increased the reason is for this, from 30 min to 2 hr desorption appeared in the sample but with the increasing of the contact time from 2 hr to 4 hr again heavy metals adsorption is happened in the sample. But all other heavy metals are showing decreasing trend of heavy metals adsorption capacity with the increasing of contact time.

Keywords: Coir pith, coir dust, heavy metals, adsorption, biosorption, biosorbent

DEDICATION

Dedicated with gratitude to everybody who supported me to make this a success.

Acknowledgment

With deep sense of gratitude, I wish to express my sincere thanks to my supervisor, Dr. Mahinsasa Rathnayake, for his enormous encouragement, guidance, support, dedication, and patience throughout this research work to make it successful.

My sincere thanks also go to Prof. Mahinsasa Narayana and Dr. (Mrs.) R.M.D.S. Gunarathne for their excellent support and encouragement given to me while acting as course coordinators of the MSc in Sustainable Process Engineering.

I would like to thank all the lecturers in the program of MSc in Sustainable Process Engineering, for equipping me with the theoretical knowledge to carry out this research.

I must express my deep appreciation and gratitude to all the staff members of Postgraduate Institute of Engineering for their constant support at every stage of my research work. Without their support and contributions, this research would have been difficult to accomplish.

I am thankful to my batchmates for their cooperation as colleagues during the academic period of this MSc program.

More importantly, I would like to express my immense gratitude to my parents, for giving me life in the first place and for educating me with all arts of life.

Table of Contents

Declaration of the candidate and supervisor	i
ABSTRACT.....	ii
Acknowledgment	v
Table of Contents.....	vi
List of Tables	viii
List of Figures	vix
1. Introduction.....	1
1.1 Overview	1
1.2 Heavy metals pollution in water and associated health risks	1
1.3 Removal of heavy metals from wastewater.....	2
1.4 Biosorption of heavy metals	2
1.5 Aims and objectives.....	4
1.6 Structure of the thesis	5
2. Literature Review.....	6
2.1 Background.....	6
2.2 Sources, toxicity, and environmental fates of heavy metals.....	9
2.2.1 Sources of heavy metals.....	9
2.2.2 Heavy metals in industrial effluents.....	9
2.2.3 Heavy metals in domestic wastewater	10
2.2.4 Toxicity of heavy metals.....	11
2.2.5 Heavy metal pollution scenario.....	12
2.2.6 Context of heavy metal pollution in Sri Lanka	13
2.3 Technologies for removal of heavy metals from wastewater.....	14
2.3.1 Physical methods and processes	14

2.3.2	Chemical methods and processes.....	17
2.3.3	Biological methods	20
2.4	Biosorption and biosorbents	22
2.4.1	Introduction.....	22
2.4.2	Bio sorbents	22
2.4.3	Significance of biosorbents in water treatment.....	23
2.4.4	Advantages and disadvantages of biosorption	24
2.4.5	Characteristics of biosorbents	25
2.4.6	Mechanism of metal biosorption.....	27
2.4.7	Factors affecting biosorption	30
2.4.8	Use of and pith fiber as biosorbents.....	34
3.	Methodology	36
3.1	Coir pith sample preparation	36
3.2	Aqueous heavy metal solutions preparation for ICP-OES experiments ...	36
3.3	Experimental procedure.....	37
4.	Results and Discussion	40
	Conclusion	64
	References.....	65

List of Tables

Table 2.1: Comparison of different heavy metal removal techniques from an aqueous.....	21
Table 2.2: Some commonly used biosorbents and their adsorption capabilities.....	33
Table 2.3: Characteristics of coir pith.....	34
Table 4.1: Heavy metal concentrations (in ppm) for coir pith samples at different dosages and their respective removal efficiency percentages.....	41
Table 4.2: Heavy metal concentrations (in ppm) for coir dust samples at different dosages and their respective removal efficiency percentage.....	42
Table 4.3: Heavy metal concentrations (in ppm) for raw coir pith samples at two different temperatures and their respective removal efficiency percentages.....	43
Table 4.4: Heavy metal concentrations (in ppm) for raw coir pith samples at two different contact times and their respective removal efficiency percentages.....	44
Table 4.5: Ratio between amount of adsorbed heavy metal (mg) and amount of the adsorbent (g) for each heavy metal for coir pith at room temperature for 2 hours contact time.....	45

List of Figures

Figure 4.1: Graph between removal efficiencies of As with the increasing dosage of raw coir dust and processed coir pith.....	46
Figure 4.2: Graph between removal efficiencies of Cd with the increasing dosage of raw coir dust and processed coir pith.....	47
Figure 4.3: Graph between removal efficiencies of Cr with the increasing dosage of raw coir dust and processed coir pith.....	48
Figure 4.4: Graph between removal efficiencies of Cu with the increasing dosage of raw coir dust and processed coir pith.....	49
Figure 4.5: Graph between removal efficiencies of Ni with the increasing dosage of raw coir dust and processed coir pith.....	50
Figure 4.6: Graph between removal efficiencies of Pb with the increasing dosage of raw coir dust and processed coir pith.....	51
Figure 4.7: Graph between removal efficiencies of Mn with the increasing dosage of raw coir dust and processed coir pith.....	52
Figure 4.8: Graph between removal efficiencies of coir pith for As and temperature.....	53
Figure 4.9: Graph between removal efficiencies of coir pith for Cd and temperature.....	53
Figure 4.10: Graph between removal efficiencies of coir pith for Cr and temperature.....	54
Figure 4.11: Graph between removal efficiencies of coir pith for Cu and temperature.....	54
Figure 4.12: Graph between removal efficiencies of coir pith for Ni and temperature.....	55

Figure 4.13: Graph between removal efficiencies of coir pith for Ni and temperature.....	55
Figure 4.14: Summary of heavy metal removal efficiencies of coir pith and temperature.....	56
Figure 4.15: Graph between removal efficiencies of coir pith for As and contact time.....	57
Figure 4.16: Graph between removal efficiencies of coir pith for Cd and contact time.....	58
Figure 4.17: Graph between removal efficiencies of coir pith for Cr and contact time.....	58
Figure 4.18: Graph between removal efficiencies of coir pith for Cu and contact time.....	59
Figure 4.19: Graph between removal efficiencies of coir pith for Ni and contact time.....	59
Figure 4.20: Graph between removal efficiencies of coir pith for Pb and contact time.....	60
Figure 4.21: Summary of heavy metal removal efficiencies of coir pith and contact time.....	60
Figure 4.22: Graph of heavy metal amount adsorbed by all the coir pith samples.....	61
Figure 4.23: Graph of heavy metal amount adsorbed by all the coir dust samples.....	62