



ANALYSIS OF SURFACE FLASH OVER OF 33 kV INSULATOR DUE TO SALINE POLLUTION

A Dissertation submitted to the
Department of Electrical Engineering, University of Moratuwa
in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the
Degree of Master of Science

by
N.H.C.JANAKA

Supervised by: Professor J.R Lucas
.Eng.Rienzie Fernando

Department of Electrical Engineering
University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka

2010

94849



Abstract

Ceylon Electricity Board (CEB) has the responsibility of Transmission, most of the Generation and Distribution of electric power in Sri Lanka. Contamination-driven insulator failure is a problem that incessantly plagues distribution systems. It erodes power quality and diminishes system reliability. Contamination levels can continue to grow unless abated by natural cleaning or if not taken measures to wash insulators at the distribution level in a preventative maintenance mode. When contamination is combined with moisture, a pollution layer forms and provides a path for leakage current to flow. Increases in contamination severity result in heightened levels of leakage current activity.

As a result of rapid development and growth of populated areas, several high voltage power transmission systems operating at various voltages up to 132 kV have been put into service and flashover difficulties with insulators of these systems caused by pollution of different types have been experienced. Not only the transmission network but also the distribution network of 33kV, running in coastal belt, has experienced frequent insulator flashover making a burden to the maintenance Engineers.

In order to assess the pollution behavior of insulators in the distribution network, 33kV pin type insulator was selected as a sample insulator and been subjected to natural pollution at three selected localities for considerable period. The naturally polluted , insulators have been subjected for conductivity test and by which the equivalent salt deposit density (ESDD) which points out the pollution severity is calculated. Subsequently, artificially contaminated insulators of different pollution severities were tested for power frequency and impulse test in the High Voltage Laboratory of University of Moratuwa.

To better understand the progression of insulators from a healthy state to failure, the flashover voltage (FOV) of insulator must be studied. This study was focused on the following key areas.



- Experiment of 33kV Pin Insulator contamination severity based on Zone categorization (Zone-I, 2 and 3)
- Prediction of surface flashover voltage of Insulators over ESDD and tabulate the figures for reasonable ESDD values.
- Streamline the process of Insulators treatment under preventive maintenance.
- Review the levels of insulator's specific creepage distances placed at different pollution severity in Sri Lanka co-relating with IEC regulation.

Experimented results state that insulator contamination level improves over the duration in ad hoc basis and could be utilized to build up a trend curve to predict a relationship against the insulator exposure duration. It is recommended that insulators in Zone 1 have to be treated after 8 months from the date of last treatment and those in Zone 2 & 3 to be treated after 18 months under preventive maintenance to get away from flashover.

It is also recommended to review the required specific creepage distance of insulator installed in non-polluted areas due to the fact that the current practice of insulators placement in all over is with specific creepage distance of 25mm/kV which is recommended for high polluted zones as per IEC regulation.

DECLARATION

The work submitted in this dissertation is the result of my own investigation, except where otherwise stated.

It has not already been accepted for any degree, and is also not being concurrently submitted for any other degree.

UOM Verified Signature

N.H.C. Janaka

Date: 25th January 2010

We endorse the declaration by the candidate.

UOM Verified Signature University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.

Prof. J.R Lucas



Electronic Theses & Dissertations
www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

Senior Professor

University of Moratuwa

UOM Verified Signature

Eng.Rienzie Fernando

Managing Director

Amithi Power Consultants (Pvt) Ltd

D. G. RIENZIE FERNANDO

B.Sc. Engineering Technology

1982

University of Moratuwa



ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work has been carried out at the Department of Electrical Engineering, University of Moratuwa.

Thanks are due first to my supervisor, Prof. J.R Lucas, Senior Professor, University of Moratuwa for the continuous support extended throughout the research programme, for his valuable comments and immense knowledge. His guidance helped me in all the time of research and writing of this dissertation.

I take this opportunity to extend my earnest thanks to Eng. Rienzie Fernando, Managing Director, Amithi Power Consultants (Pvt) Ltd, who enlightened me the first glance of research and motivated me, whenever I discussed technical issues, encouraging me to try out this research successfully.

I also thank to Eng. S Bogahawatte, Project Director, Lighting Sri Lanka Hambantota Project, Ceylon Electricity Board for his encouragement throughout the research programme and it helped me a lot to carry out the project works successfully.

My sincere thanks to also go to Dr.Thilak Siyambalapitiya, Consultant, RMA Pvt Ltd, Mr. K.G.S Perera, Deputy General Manager (Asset Management) of Ceylon Electricity Board and Mr.Chandan Banerjee, Sales Engineer/Aditya Birla Insulators, India for facilitation me with the necessary data and information.

Lastly, I should thank my loving wife Dr. G.B.S Ranganee, my son N.H Amiru Pasandul, my parents, my wife's parents, many individuals, friends and colleagues who have not been mentioned here personally in making this educational process a success. May be I could not have made it without your support.

CONTENTS

Declaration	i
Abstract	ii
Acknowledgement	iv
List of Figures	vii
List of Tables	viii

Chapters

1. Introduction

1.1 Background	1
1.2 Motivation	5
1.3 Objective	5
1.4 Scope of work	6

2 Problem Statement

7

3 Theoretical Development

3.1 HV Insulators	9
3.1.1 Pin type Insulators	11
3.1.2 Cap and Pin Insulator	11
3.1.3 Glass Insulator	12
3.2 Comparison between Porcelain and Glass Insulators	12
3.3 Pollution severity	14
3.4 Measurement of Contamination Severity	15
3.5 Flashover mechanism-General Theory	15
3.6 HV Testing	16
3.6.1 Power Frequency Test	16
3.6.2 Lightning Impulse Test	17
3.6.3 Flash-over Test	18

4 Methodology

4.1 Assumptions	20
4.2 Selection of Test sites	20
4.3 Procedures & Data acquisition	20

4.4 Measurement of Conductivity	21
4.5 Artificial contamination of Insulators	23
4.6 Power Frequency & Impulse Test	24
5 Result and Analysis	
5.1 Calculation of ESDD	25
5.2 Power frequency test results	26
5.3 Impulse test results	27
5.4 Prediction of FOV over ESDD	28
5.5 Preventive maintenance	29
5.6 Rainfall and Thunder Day analysis	32
5.6.1 Rainfall Analysis	32
5.6.2 Thunder Day analysis	34
5.7 Calculation of Specific creepage distance	35
6 Conclusion and Recommendations	
6.1 Conclusion	36
6.2 Future Recommendations	37
References	39
Annexes	
Annex 1 Insulator flashover data at Hambantotata	40
Annex 2 Insulator flashover data at Tissamaharama	41
Annex 3 Specifications of digital conductivity meter	42
Annex 4 Rainfall Data in Hambantota	43
Annex 5 Thunder days Data in Hambantota	44



List of Figures

Figure		Page
Chapter 3		
Figure 3.1	Classification of power line sample insulators	9
Figure 3.2	Ceramic insulator types	10
Figure 3.3	Pin type Insulator	11
Figure 3.4	Cap and Pin Insulator	11
Figure 3.5	Glass Insulator	12
Figure 3.6	Impulse Wave 1.2/50 μ s	17
Chapter 4		
Figure 4.1	Sample insulator arrangement in three zones	21
Figure 4.2	Conductivity testing meter	21
Figure 4.3	Sample Insulators before testing	24
Figure 4.4	Power frequency and impulse test in Laboratory condition	24
Chapter 5		
Figure 5.1	ESDD under insulator exposure period	25
Figure 5.2	FOV Vs ESDD (for power frequency)	26
Figure 5.3	FOV Vs ESDD (for impulse test)	27
Figure 5.4	ESDD Vs Exposure duration for Zone-1	29
Figure 5.5	ESDD Vs Exposure duration for Zone-2	30
Figure 5.6	ESDD Vs Exposure duration for Zone-3	31
Figure 5.7	Monthly rainfall variations for year 2007, 2008 and 2009	32
Figure 5.8	Thunder Day analysis	34

List of Tables

Table		Page
Chapter 1		
Table 1.1	Contaminants and their sources	2
Chapter 2		
Table 2.1	Insulator flashover records in 2009 (April-Sep.)	7
Chapter 3		
Table 3.1	Comparison between Glass and Porcelain Insulators	13
Table 3.2	IEC recommended SCD over pollution	14
Chapter 4		
Table 4.1	Pollution zones with distance from coastal boundary	20
Table 4.2	Conductivity data for Zone-1	22
Table 4.3	Conductivity data for Zone-2	22
Table 4.4	Conductivity data for Zone-3	22
Table 4.5	Artificial contamination over sample insulators	23
Table 4.6	Conductivity of artificially polluted insulators	23
Chapter 5		
Table 5.1	ESDD values over insulator exposure period	25
Table 5.2	FOV under different pollutant levels (Power frequency test)	26
Table 5.3	FOV under different pollutant levels (Impulse test)	27
Table 5.4	FOV prediction under different ESDD values	28
Table 5.5	Recommended preventive maintenance	31
Table 5.6	Rainfall data analysis	32
Table 5.7	Rainfall categorization	33
Table 5.8	Thunder Day analysis for year 2007, 2008 and 2009	34
Table 5.9	Relationship between pollution levels and artificial pollution test	35