MODELLING THE FACTORS AFFECTING WOMEN TO UPTAKE PAP TEST

Piumi Lankeshwara

(179061 X)

Dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Degree Master of Science in Business Statistics

Department of Mathematics

Faculty of Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

September 2020

DECLARATION

I declare that this is my own work and this dissertation does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my thesis/dissertation, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works.

Signature: 3. CooxIchob

The above candidate has carried out research for the Masters/Mphil/PhD Dissertation under my supervision.

Name of the supervisor: Som Roy TS & Penis

Signature of the supervisor:

Date: 22 September 2020

Abstract

Cervical cancer is a leading cause of deaths among women worldwide. But the condition is preventable and can be detected prior to the symptoms appear through regular screening of pap test. Although screening facilities are available freely, the non-uptake of pap test by the women in vulnerable ages remains very high. Purpose of the study is to determine the level of knowledge and practice on cancer of cervix and screening and the influential factors for uptake of pap test amongst women (> 35 years) in Jaffna. A random sample of size 225 from Tamil community was selected and a structural questionnaire was used to obtain the necessary data. Irrespective of different variables only 64% were aware of the pap test and 87% of women in Jaffna district have never uptaken the pap test. Furthermore, 47% were unaware of any one of the signs and symptoms while 29% were unaware of the risk factors. The χ^2 analysis found that age category, income category, educational level, the knowledge on signs and symptoms, heard of cervical cancer screening, knowledge on signs and symptoms, heard of cervical cancer screening, knowing the possibility of detection, awareness of health facilities for screening services, and knowledge of cervical cancer screening, status of use of contraceptives, and family engagement are significant on uptake of pap test. When all factors are considered simultaneously, it was found that, education level, total monthly income, knowledge of detection before symptoms, participation of awareness program, knowledge of cancer screening, use of contraceptives are significantly positively influence on the odd ratio of not being up taking the pap test. The predictive power of the fitted model is 88%. The odds of not up taking the pap test by women is 254 times higher for those who have primary education compared to those who have tertiary education and above. The corresponding figure for those have secondary education is 76. The odds of not up taking the pap test is 6 times higher for women whose total family income lesser 25, 000/= compared to the women whose family income is higher than 50, 000/=. The odds of not up taking the pap test by those who are not using contraceptives is 5.7 times higher than that of who are taking oral contraceptives. The inferences derived in this study need to be used effectively for the benefit of women in Jaffna district.

Keywords: Binary Logistic Model, Cervical cancer, Knowledge of Cancer Screening, Odd Ratio, Pap Test, Uptake, Women

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First I wish to express my sincere gratitude to my supervisor, Prof. T.S.G. Peiris, Senior Professor in Applied Statistics, University of Moratuwa and the Course Coordinator of the M. Sc./Post Graduate Diploma in Business Statistics, for his valuable supervision, support and encouragement and for his comments.

Also, my honorable mention goes to the lecturer panel of MSc in Business Statistics for providing the knowledge and guidance throughout the course.

I am also grateful for all the levels of employees of General Sir John Kotelawala Defence University who helped me and tolerated me during the period of completion of this study successfully.

My gratitude to my alma maters, Ferguson High School; the foundation of this thesis lies in the education I received during the 13 year at this prestigious institution and Sabaragamuwa University of Sri Lanka.

The support of my all university colleagues is highly recognized.

Last but not least, I wish to take this opportunity to express my sincere gratitude to my parents and my husband, for their unconditional support and encouragement throughout the study.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Declar	ation
Abstra	ctII
Ackno	wledgementsIII
Table	of ContentsIV
List of	FiguresIX
List of	TablesX
List of	`AnnexuresXII
List of	Abbeviations XII
СНАР	PTER 01 - INTRODUCTION
1.1	Background of the Study
1.2	Cervical Cancer
1.3	Types of Cervical Cancer
1.4	Impact of Cervical Cancer
1.5	Situation of Cervical Cancer in Sri Lanka
1.6	Annual Trend in Cervix Cancer4
1.7	Screening of Cancer Persons6
1.8	Distribution of Awareness about Pap Test in Sri Lanka
1.9	Research Problem9
1. 10	Research Objectives10
1.11	Significance of the Study
1.12	Outline of Dissertation10
СНАН	PTER 02 - LITERATURE REVIEW
2.1	Cervical Cancer
2.2	Importance of Early Detection and Prevention of Cervical Cancer

2.3	Causes of Cervical Cancer					
2.4	Influence of Knowledge and Awareness					
2.5	Influer	Influence of Attitude and Practices				
2.6	Influer	nce of Socioeconomic & Demographic Factors	20			
2.7	Studie	s in Sri Lanka	22			
2.8	Summ	ary of Chapter 02	23			
CHAF	PTER 0	3 – MATERIALS AND METHODS				
3.1	Study	Design	24			
3.2	Popula	ation and Sample Size	. 24			
3.3	Sampl	ing Procedure	. 25			
3.4	Data C	Collection	. 25			
3.5	Conce	ptual Frame Work	. 27			
3.6	Statist	ical Analysis	. 29			
	3.6.1	Independent Samples T Test	. 29			
	3.6.2	Chi Square Test Statistic	. 30			
	3.6.3	Binary Logistic Regression Model	. 30			
		3.6.3.1 Log - Likelihood Statistic	31			
		3.6.3.2 Cox & Snell Pseudo R^2 and Nagelkerke Pseudo R^2	. 32			
		3.6.3.3 Hosmer Lemeshow Test	32			
		3.6.3.4 Wald Statistic	. 32			
		3.6.3.5 Odds Ratio (OR)	. 33			
CHAF	PTER 0	4 – EXPLORATORY DATA ANALYSIS				
4.1	Distrib	oution of Social and Demographic Characteristics of the Respondents	3.34			
	4.1.1	Age Category	.35			
	4.1.2	Religion	35			
	4.1.3	Level of Education	. 36			
	4.1.4	Employment Status	. 36			
	4.1.5	Marital Status	36			
	4.1.6	4.1.6 Total Monthly Income				

	4.1.7	Number of Deliveries	36
4.2	Know	ledge in Cervical Cancer	37
	4.2.1	Source of Information	37
	4.2.2	Knowledge on Signs and Symptoms of Cervical Cancer	38
4.3	Know	ledge on Causes of Cervical Cancer	39
4.4	Know	ledge on Curability of Cervical Cancer	40
4.5	Know	ledge on Cervical Cancer Screening	41
	4.5.1	Information Sources	41
4.6	Know	ledge on Screening Services and Health Facility	42
	4.6.1	Sources of Health Facilities	43
4.7	Know	ledge on the Pap Smear Test	44
4.8	Assoc	iation between Knowledge Level on the Cancer of Cervix and Scree	ning
	(CCS)	with Other Common Factors	45
	4.8.1	Association between CCS and Age	45
	4.8.2	Association between CCS and Education Level	45
	4.8.3	Association between CCS and Employment Status	46
4.9	Wome	en's Attitude and Practice on Cervical Cancer Screening	47
	4.9.1	Willingness to Consult for Cervical Cancer Screening	47
	4.9.2	Who Should Be Screened for Cervical Cancer	47
	4.9.3	Level of CCS Uptake and Underlying Reasons	48
	4.9.4	Knowledge on Cancer of Cervix and Screening among Women	ı Up
		taken the Pap Test and Not.	49
	4.9.5	Hindrances to Uptake the Screening Services	50
	4.9.6	Knowledge on the Transmission of Cervical Cancer	51
4.10	Summ	nary of Chapter 04	52
СНА	PTER 0	05 - ASSOCIATION BETWEEN FACTORS AND PAP TEST	
5.1	Descri	iption of the Explanatory Variables	53
5.2	Assoc	iation between Socio and demographic characteristics and Scree	ening
		e	
		Influence of Age	

	5.2.2	Influen	nce of Religion	55
	5.2.3	Influen	ce of Education Level	55
	5.2.4	Influen	ce of Employment Status	56
	5.2.5	Influen	nce of Marital Status	56
	5.2.6	Influen	ice of Total Monthly Family Income	57
	5.2.7	Influen	ice of Number of Deliveries	57
5.3	Associ	ation be	etween Knowledge Characteristics and Screening Up	take58
	5.3.1	Influe	nce of Knowledge on Signs and Symptoms	58
	5.3.2	Influe	nce of Knowledge on Causes	59
	5.3.3	Influe	nce of Heard of Cervical Cancer Screening	59
	5.3.4	Influen	nce of Thought on Possibility of Detection Before the	Symptoms
	Appea	r		60
	5.3.5	Screen	ning Uptake by Thought on Treatability if detected e	early60
	5.3.6	Influe	nce of Awareness on Health Facilities Offering	Screening
		Service	ces	61
	5.3.7	Scree	ning Uptake by Knowledge Level on Cervical	Cancer and
		Screen	ning	61
5.4	Assoc	iation be	etween Other Characteristics and Screening Uptake.	62
	5.4.1	Influe	nce of Breast Feeding	62
	5.4.2	Influe	nce of Use of Contraceptives	62
	5.4.3	Influe	nce of Having a Health Insurance	63
	5.4.4	Influe	nce of Family encouragement	63
	5.4.5	Influe	nce of Family History of Cervical Cancer	64
	5.4.6	Influe	nce on Attendance of Awareness Programs	64
5.5	Mode	lling the	Uptake of Pap Test via Binary Logistics	65
		5.5.1	Identification of Variables Simultaneously	65
		5.5.2	Final Model	67
		5.5.3	Interpretation Based on Odds Ratios	68
5.6	Summ	ary of C	Chapter 06	

СНАР	TER		-	CONCLUSIONS, SUGGESTIONS	RECOMMENDATIONS	AND
6.1	Conclus	sions.				70
6.2	Recom	nenda	tions	3		71
6.3	Suggest	tions.				72
List of	Referen	ces				. XIV
Annex	ure 01 –	Quest	ionn	aire (English)		XXIII
Annex	ure 01 –	Quest	ionn	aire (Tamil)		.XXVII

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1:	Leading cancer sites of Females, 2018	4
Figure 1.2:	Distribution of cervix cancer, 1985 – 2015	5
Figure 1.3:	Age wise distribution of cervix cancer, 1985 – 2015	5
Figure 1.4:	Percentage of 35-year age cohort screened with pap, 2007 – 2016	.9
Figure 2.1:	Age-standardized incidence and mortality rates for cervical cancer different world regions	
Figure 3.1:	Conceptual Framework of the Study2	28
Figure 4.1:	Source of Information of Cervical Cancer	37
Figure 4.2:	Level of knowledge on Sign and Symptoms	38
Figure 4.3:	Awareness on Sign and Symptoms	39
Figure 4.4:	Knowledge on Causes of Cervical Cancer	39
Figure 4.5:	Knowledge on Access to Cancer Screening Services in the Study Ar	
Figure 4.6:	Awareness on Health Facilities Offering Cervical Cancer Screening	ng
	Services in the Study Area	43
Figure 4.7:	Women's Willingness to consult a health care provider	47
Figure 4.8:	Women's Knowledge of who should be screened	48

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1:	District wise distribution of women on knowledge of well-women
	clinical services and cervical cancer screening7
Table 4.1:	Background Characteristics
Table 4.2:	Distribution of Knowing About Cervical Cancer37
Table 4.3:	Recognition of Causes of Cervical Cancer40
Table 4.4:	Knowledge on Curability of Cervical Cancer40
Table 4.5:	Women's knowledge on availability of Cervical Cancer Screening 41
Table 4.6:	Sources of Information Regarding Cervical Cancer41
Table 4.7:	Knowledge on Cervical Cancer Screening Services42
Table 4.8:	Knowledge on the Pap Smear Test44
Table 4.9:	Knowledge on the CCS by Age45
Table 4.10:	Knowledge on the CCS by Education Level
Table 4.11:	Knowledge on the CCS by Employment Status
Table 4.12:	Level of Cervical Cancer Screening Uptake among Women 48
Table 4.13:	Results of the Independent Samples T test for Pap up taken and non- up taken
Table 4.14:	Hindrances to the Women's Uptake of the Screening Services50
Table 4.15:	Women's Knowledge on the Transmission of Cervical Cancer 51
Table 5.1:	Independent Variables53
Table 5.2:	Screening Uptake and Age54
Table 5.3:	Screening Uptake and Religion

Table 5.4:	Screening Uptake and Education Level55
Table 5.5:	Screening Uptake and Employment Status
Table 5.6:	Screening Uptake and Marital Status
Table 5.7:	Screening Uptake and Total Monthly Family Income57
Table 5.8:	Screening Uptake and Number of Deliveries58
Table 5.9:	Screening Uptake and Knowledge on Signs and Symptoms58
Table 5.10:	Screening Uptake and Knowledge on Causes59
Table 5.11:	Screening Uptake and Heard of Cervical Cancer Screening59
Table 5.12:	Screening Uptake and Thought on Possibility of Detection Before the Symptoms Appear
Table 5.13:	Screening Uptake and Thought on Treatability if detected early60
Table 5.14:	Screening Uptake and Awareness of Health Facilities Offering Screening Services
Table 5.15:	Screening Uptake and Knowledge Level on Cervical Cancer and Screening
Table 5.16:	Screening Uptake and Breast Feeding62
Table 5.17:	Screening Uptake and Use of Contraceptives
Table 5.18:	Screening Uptake and Health Insurance
Table 5.19:	Screening Uptake and Family Encouragement63
Table 5.20:	Screening Uptake and Family history of Cervical Cancer64
Table 5.21:	Screening Uptake and Attendance of Awareness Programs64
Table 5.22:	Variables in the Equation of the Best Fit Model66
Table 5.23:	Classification of the Model66
Table 5.24:	Model Summary67

LIST OF ANNEXURES

Annexure I – Questionnaire (English)	XXIII
Annexure II – Questionnaire (Tamil)	xxvii

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ACOG - American College of Obstetrics and Gynecology

ANOVA - Analysis of Variance

ASR - Age standardized rate

CCS - Cancer of Cervix and Screening

DES - Di Ethyl Stilbestrol

FHB - Family Health Bureau

HPV - Human Papilloma Virus

MOH - Medical officer of health

OR - Odds Ratio

STIs - Sexually Transmitted Infections

UK - United Kingdom

USA - United States of America

WHO - World Health Organization

W - WC - Well Women Clinic