FACILITATING CLAIM ESTABLISHMENT AT THE DEFECT LIABILITY PERIOD OF MEP PROJECTS: A GUIDELINE FOR RECORD-KEEPING

Kattandige Vajira Prageeth Kumara

179168 G

Degree of Master of Science

Department of Building Economics

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

December 2020

FACILITATING CLAIM ESTABLISHMENT AT THE DEFECT LIABILITY PERIOD OF MEP PROJECTS: A GUIDELINE FOR RECORD-KEEPING

Kattandige Vajira Prageeth Kumara

179168 G

Thesis/Dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree Master of Science in Construction Law and Dispute Resolution

Department of Building Economics

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

December 2020

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that this submission is my own work and	this dissertation does not
incorporate without acknowledgement any materials pre-	eviously submitted for a
Degree or Diploma in any other University or institution of	higher learning and to the
best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any mat	erial previously published
or written by another person except where the acknowledge	ement is made in the text.
Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-ex-	clusive right to reproduce
and distribute my dissertation, in whole or in part in print, el	ectronic or other medium.
I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in futu	re works (such as articles
or books)	
K.V.P. Kumara	Date
Department of Building Economics	
University of Moratuwa,	
Statement of the Supervisor	
The above candidate has carried out research for the Master supervision,	r's Dissertation under my
Name of the supervisor: Dr. Chandanie Hadiwattege	
Signature of the Supervisor	Date
Digitation of the Dupervisor	Date

DEDICATION

To my beloved Wife and Daughter-----

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Many people contributed several ways to achieve the success of this research study. I would like to express my deepest gratitude to every person for encouraging me by providing valuable ideas, assistance, and in particular for their commitment to make this research a success.

First and foremost, I pay my deepest gratitude to Dr. (Mrs.) Chandanie Hadiwattege, my dissertation supervisor, for her valuable guidance, advice, and encouragement to make this research a successful one.

I must express my appreciation to the Department of Building Economics, University of Moratuwa, and all the academic as well as the non-academic staff of the Department for the tremendous service rendered. I extend my gratitude to Prof. (Mrs.) Yasangika Sandanayake, Head of the Department of Building Economics and Coordinator of the Master of Science in Construction Law and Dispute Resolution degree programme for her valuable guidance and encouragement in making this dissertation a real success.

Further, I would like to acknowledge the support received from all the respondents to conduct a successful survey with their busy schedules.

Finally, I express my sincere thanks to my wife and daughter for giving me an excellent corporation to make this dissertation possible.

If any party raised a claim, the main objective is to achieve success. Researchers have found that Contractors' claims mostly fail due to the inadequate proof documents to substantiate the claims.

This study investigates the record-keeping related to claim management, with the aim of developing a guideline for record-keeping for successful claims in the MEP trade. Existing record-keeping practices were identified through a literature survey. Said existing record types were tested with industry trade experts via a questionnaire survey for the importance and applicability related to claims establishing in MEP projects during the defect liability period.

Partly organised interviews and online question feedback assessments were selected as appropriate research methodologies as suitable methods are required to collect a high degree of information from the construction professionals. A total of 36 satisfactory responses were received in the questionnaire survey, representing seven (07) from employers, eleven (11) from consultants, 18 from contractors, and one (01) representing other parties. Two (02) experts from the contractor's side and one (01) expert consultant and one (01) expert representing Engineer's side, participated in semi-structured interviews.

The questionnaire survey outcome for the ranking for applicability of the records types for managing MEP claims at the defect liability period was used as the key in developing the guideline. The expert ratings on record types were treated as a high priority and incorporated to the top of the applicability ratings. The outcome was further developed by cross-checking the similar record types verified by literature review findings and confirmed by industry experts during the interview. Additional record types that were identified by the industry experts through interviews and open-ended questionnaire survey responses were compiled to the similarities and added further to the guideline covering the total record-keeping requirement for the claim success. As per the views of interview responders, the common record types of original contract document and progress photograph records on milestone completion (indicating milestone name, date, and time of completion), were rated as high priority requirement for establishing MEP related claims in DLP. The O&M manuals record was ranked third priority type. However, the record types; testing and commissioning reports, proper completion records, proper handing over records, material approvals, maintain a log for attendance for maintenance, and complaint register were newly identified as high priorities.

As the scarcity of the studies available to MEP trade produced guidelines from the present study would enhance the contracted parties to schedule their record-keeping mechanism from the pretender stage for achieving the claim success.

Keywords: Records, Recordkeeping, MEP Claims, Defect Liability Period,

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
DECLARATION	i
DEDICATION	ii
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	iii
ABSTRACT	iv
TABLE OF CONTENTS	v
LIST OF FIGURES	ix
LIST OF TABLES	X
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xi
LIST OF APPENDICES	xiii
CHAPTER ONE	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Problem statement	5
1.3 Aim	6
1.4 Objectives	6
1.5 Research method in outline	6
1.5.1 Literature survey	7
1.5.2 Questionnaire survey	7
1.5.3 Expert interviews	7
1.6 Scope and limitations	7
CHAPTER TWO-LITERATURE REVIEW	8
2.1 Introduction	8
2.2 Record-keeping in the construction industry	8
2.2.1 Record-keeping: Definition and requirement in the context of	
construction claims management	8

2.2.2 Significant records to be maintained for Claims management	10
2.3 Claim management in the construction industry	15
2.3.1 Claim process	15
2.3.2 Common Causes of Claims	17
2.3.3 Claims management	19
2.3.4 Factors for successful claims	21
2.3.5 Claim assessment and resolving methods	22
2.3.6 Claim documentation	23
2.4 Importance of continuous record-keeping for MEP related claim	
management in DLP	25
2.4.1 MEP as a major sub-section in contemporary building construct	ion
projects	25
2.4.2 MEP related claims in DLP	25
2.4.3 Establishing MEP related Claims.	29
2.4.4 The necessity of Contemporary record-keeping for claim established	ishment
	33
2.5 Chapter summary	36
CHAPTER THREE-RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	37
3.1 Introduction	37
3.2 Research design	37
3.3 Data Collection	38
3.3.1 Questionnaire survey	38
3.3.2 Expert Interviews	39
3.4 Data analysis	40
3.4.1 Quantitative data analysis: Statistical Analysis	40
3.4.2 Qualitative data analysis	41

	3.5	Summary	41
C]	HAP	PTER FOUR-DATA ANALYSIS, FINDINGS, AND DISCUSSIONS	42
	4.1	Introduction	42
	4.2	Results obtained from Expert Interviews	42
	4	4.2.1 Relationship with record keeping and claim management	43
	4	4.2.2 Most important record types	43
	4	4.2.3 Common MEP claims in the Defect Liability Period (DLP)	43
	4	4.2.4 Requirements of claim success	44
	4	4.2.5 MEP Claim submission procedure and substantiation	44
	4	4.2.6 MEP Claim Evaluation procedure	45
	4	4.2.7 Records as evidence in certifying MEP claims	45
	4	4.2.8 Essential records for claim success	46
	4.3	Findings obtained from the Questionnaire survey	50
	4	4.3.1 Sample Demographics	50
	4	4.3.2 Core data analysis	54
	4	4.3.2.1 Key factors for successful claims	54
	4	4.3.2.2 Applicability of different records types particularly to manage	the.
		MEP claims in the Defect Liability Period	57
	4	4.3.3 Important record types for evaluating/proving claims	59
	4.4	Discussions on Findings	61
	4.5	Development of guideline	64
	4.6	Proposed Guideline:	72
	4.7	Applicability of Guideline for contract types	78
C]	HAP	TER FIVE-CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	80
	5.1	Introduction	80
	5.2	Conclusion	80

5.3 Recommendations	83
REFERENCES LIST	84
Appendix A: Details of Interview responders	96
Appendix B: Questionnaire Template	116
Appendix C: Interview guideline	124

LIST OF FIGURES

rage
Figure 2.1: Key principles of SCL protocol for claim management
Figure 2.2: Claim management process flow chart
Figure 4.1: Nature of professionals contributed to the questionnaire survey
Figure 4.2: Nature of business of questionnaire survey responders
Figure 4.3: construction industry experience of questionnaire survey responders 52
Figure 4.4: MEP project experience of survey responders
Figure 4.5: Survey respondents' involvement in claim management in DLP
Figure 4.6: Claim experience of Survey responders
Figure 4.7: Applicability of different record types to manage the MEP claims in the Defect
Liability Period
Figure 4.8: Importance of Keeping following record types for evaluating /proving claims . 60

LIST OF TABLES

Pa	age
Table 2.1: Existing record types in the construction industry	. 12
Table 2.2: MEP Claim causes and fault liabilities	. 27
Table 2.3: MEP systems claim types and claim components	. 31
Table 4.1: Details of interviewed experts	. 42
Table 4.2: Summary of record types identified by the interviewed trade experts with	
literature citation reference	. 47
Table 4.3: Key factors for a successful claim	. 55
Table 4.4: Data mapping of the summaries of record type collected from expert interview	'S
and questionnaire survey applicability ratings outcome arranged in priority order	. 65
Table 4.5: Proposed Guideline for proper record-keeping for establishing MEP related	
claims at the defect liability period.	. 72

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AIQSSL - Associate Member of the Institute of Quantity Surveyors Sri

Lanka

APAWE - Advanced Professional Award in Expert Witness Evidence

BMS - Building Management System

BOI - Board of Investment

BSc - Bachelor of Science

CCTV - Closed-circuit television

CIDA - Construction Industry Development Authority

CL&DR - Construction Law and Dispute Resolution

CPM - Construction Project Management

CUSDEC - Customs Declaration

D&B - Design & Build

D.L.P - Defect Liability Period

EPC - Engineering, Procurement, and Construction

FIDIC - Federation of Consulting Engineers

FIR - First Inspection Request

HVAC - Heating, ventilation, and air conditioning

ICIOB - Incorporated Member of the Chartered Institute of Building

ICTAD - Institute for Construction Training and Development

IFC - Issued for Construction

IIESL - The Institution of Incorporated Engineers, Sri Lanka

IR - Inspection Request

LC - Letter of Credit

M&E - Mechanical and Electrical

MAIQS - Member of the Australian Institute of Quantity Surveyors

MAS - Material Approval Sheet

MECF - Metropolitan Engineering, Consulting & Forensics

MEP - Mechanical Electrical Plumbing

MICCP - Member of the Institute of Construction Claims Practitioners

MRICS - Member of the Royal Institute of Chartered Surveyors

MSc - Master of Science

O&M - Operation and Maintenance

OM - Operation and Maintenance

PMP - Project Management Professional

RFI - Request For Information

SBD - Standard Bidding Document.

TKMEC - Thangal Kunju Musallar College of Engineering

LIST OF APPENDICES

	Page
Appendix A: Details of Interview responders	96
Appendix B: Questionnaire Template	116
Appendix C: Interview guideline	124