

IDENTIFYING KEY RISKS IN SOFTWARE PROJECT MANAGEMENT IN SRI LANKA

**MASTER OF BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION
IN
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY**

The logo of the University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka, is positioned to the left of the text. It features a circular emblem with a sunburst in the center, surrounded by a wreath and a crown on top. The text 'University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.' is written in a light blue font above the emblem. Below the emblem, the text 'Electronic Theses & Dissertations' and the website 'www.lib.mrt.ac.lk' are also visible in a light blue font.

P. N. S. Jayathilake

Department of Computer Science & Engineering

University of Moratuwa

December 2007

IDENTIFYING KEY RISKS IN SOFTWARE PROJECT MANAGEMENT IN SRI LANKA

By

P. N. S. Jayathilake



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.
Electronic Theses & Dissertations
www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

This Dissertation was submitted to the Department of Computer Science & Engineering of the University of Moratuwa in partial fulfilment of the requirement for the Degree of Master of Business Administration.

Department of Computer Science & Engineering

University of Moratuwa

December 2007

Declaration

I certify that this dissertation does not incorporate, without acknowledgement, any material previously submitted for a degree in any university and to the best of my knowledge and belief, it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where due reference is made in the text.

.....

Date:

Pinchadevage Nilantha Saman Jayathilake



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.
Electronic Theses & Dissertations
www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

.....

Dr. Sanath Jayasena – Project Supervisor

Abstract

Software development projects still fail to be delivered on time, within budget, and with desired quality. One area of concentration in software project management that has developed to solve these problems is risk management, which attempts to assess and then control the risks that precipitate them.

The objectives of this research are to identify key risks in software project management and to identify the most widely used risk identification methods. The probability of occurrence of risk events and their impact on project deliverables and success are considered for this.

The research methodology is to arrive at a conceptual model, operationalize the model and to collect data for quantitative analysis. Data analysis is used to determine key risks in software project management. Percentage comparison analysis method is used to determine most widely used risk identification methods in Sri Lanka. A survey was carried out to collect data from large and medium scale software development companies in Sri Lanka.

Based on the analysis, it appears that requirement/scope and client/stakeholders are the main internal risk sources in software project management. “Software project’s scope is not firm and keeps expanding” is identified as the key risk item. “Use the past experience” is the most widely used risk identification method in Sri Lanka. The scope of this research is limited to only selective internal risk sources in software projects.

Acknowledgement

I take this opportunity to thank all those who helped me to reach this level. Conducting a master's research requires a considerable amount of effort that includes a variety of tasks which can never be accomplished alone. Many contributions were made by different persons to make this dissertation a success.

First I wish to express my sincere thanks to my project supervisor Dr. Sanath Jayasena for his advices, suggestions, support and generously sharing his time and knowledge. I always remain grateful to him.

Secondly I greatly appreciate Prof. Malik Ransinghe, Vice Chancellor, University of Moratuwa and Prof. Niranjana Gunawardena for their knowledge and expertise shared in fruitful discussions that guided me to accomplish this task.

I also want to express my gratitude to Mrs. Vishaka Nanayakkara, Head of the Department of Computer Science and Engineering, University of Moratuwa and the academic and non academic staff members for their support in various ways.

I also want to mention Virtusa (Pvt.) Ltd, where I have been working for last seven years, for inspiring me to explore the world of knowledge. Special thanks are also sent to all my colleagues including Gihan, Hilmi, Kushan and Thusith for their assistance and support

Lastly, I wish to express my sincere thanks to my father, late mother, my loving wife Shanika and the two children Kanishka and Sithumi for providing me a nice environment at home. Without their support I would never have completed this research.

Finally, I would like to thank all those who supported me directly and indirectly to accomplish this task.

Table of Contents

Declaration.....	i
Abstract.....	ii
Acknowledgement.....	iii
Table of Contents.....	iv
List of Tables.....	vi
List of Figures.....	vii
1 Introduction.....	8
1.1 Background.....	8
1.2 Justification of the Research.....	9
1.3 Research Aims and Objectives.....	10
1.4 Limitation and Scope of the Research.....	11
2 Literature Review.....	12
2.1 Introduction.....	12
2.2 Project Management.....	12
2.3 Risk Management.....	13
2.3.1 Steps in the Risk Management Process.....	14
2.3.2 Risk Management Capability.....	22
2.3.3 Does Risk Management Work?.....	27
2.4 Software Industry in Sri Lanka.....	28
2.4.1 Application Product Companies/ Organizations.....	30
2.4.2 Software Services Companies.....	30
2.4.3 Off-shore Development Centres.....	31
3 Research Design and Methodology.....	33
3.1 Introduction.....	33
3.2 Problem Definition and Research Concept.....	33
3.3 Research Approach.....	34
3.4 Conceptual Model.....	36
3.5 Research Methodology.....	37
3.5.1 Operationalization of Concepts.....	39
3.5.2 Determining Sample.....	40
3.5.3 Collecting Primary Data Using Questionnaires.....	43
4 Analysis.....	49
4.1 Analysis of Data.....	49
4.1.1 Data Preparation.....	49
4.2 Survey Results.....	52
4.2.1 Key Risks in Software Project Management.....	52
4.2.2 Most Widely Used Risk Identification Methods.....	67
5 Conclusion and Recommendations.....	73
5.1 Conclusion.....	73

5.1.1	Key Risks in Software Project Management	73
5.1.2	Most Widely Used Risk Identification Methods	74
5.1.3	Other Findings	74
5.2	Recommendations.....	75
5.3	Future Research	76
6	References.....	77
Appendix A – Research Questionnaire.....		80
Appendix B – List of Software Development Companies		91
Appendix C – Analysis Results – Risk Factor.....		92
Appendix D – Analysis Results – Expected Value.....		95



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.
Electronic Theses & Dissertations
www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

List of Tables

Table 2.1: Sample Top 10 Risk Item Tracking Template..... 19

Table 3.1: Operationalization of Components..... 39

Table 3.2: Probability Levels..... 40

Table 3.3: Impact Levels 40

Table 3.4: Question Breakdown by Source 45

Table 3.5: Risk Identification Methods 46

Table 3.6: Assigned Weights..... 47

Table 4.1: Risk Factor Matrix..... 50

Table 4.2: Expected Value Matrix..... 50

Table 4.3: Ranked Internal Risk Sources – Risk Factor Analysis..... 52

Table 4.4: Ranked Internal Risk Sources – Expected Value Analysis..... 53

Table 4.5: Basic Statistics Risk Factor – Software project’s scope is not firm and keeps expanding..... 55

Table 4.6: Basic Statistics Expected Value – Software project’s scope is not firm and keeps expanding..... 56

Table 4.7: Basic Statistics Risk Factor - A significant number of unspoken project requirements exist..... 58

Table 4.8: : Basic Statistics Expected Value - A significant number of unspoken project requirements exist..... 59

Table 4.9: Basic Statistics Risk Factor - No adequate time is allocated to clearly define the project requirements 60

Table 4.10: Basic Statistics Expected Value - No adequate time is allocated to clearly define the project requirements 62

Table 4.11: Risk Sources and Internal Risk Source Categories 63

Table 4.12: Questions having higher Risk Factor (less than 1 year) 63

Table 4.13: Questions having higher Expected Value (less than 1 year) 64

Table 4.14: Questions having higher Risk Factor (1 to 2 years) 64

Table 4.15: Questions having higher Expected Value (1 to 2 years) 64

Table 4.16: Questions having higher Risk Factor (2 to 5 years) 65

Table 4.17: Questions having higher Expected Value (2 to 5 years) 65

Table 4.18: Questions having higher Risk Factor (5 to 10 years) 66

Table 4.19: Questions having higher Expected Values (5 to 10 years)..... 66

Table 4.20: Questions having higher Risk Factor (more than 10 years) 66

Table 4.21: Questions having higher Expected Value (more than 10 years) 67

Table 4.22: Categorized as Ranked One - Most Widely Used Risk Identification Methods 68

Table 4.23: Risk Identification Methods – As Percentages..... 68

Table 4.24: Importance of Project Management Functions in Percentages 70

Table 4.25: Risk Management Exposure..... 71

List of Figures

Figure 2.1: Project Management Framework 12

Figure 2.2: Risk Management Planning Process 14

Figure 2.3: CHAOS Ten - 1999..... 16

Figure 2.4: Probability / Impact - Risk Factor Equation..... 18

Figure 2.5: Probability / Impact Graph..... 18

Figure 3.1: The Research Process "Onion" 34

Figure 3.2: Sources of Internal Risks..... 35

Figure 3.3: Research Conceptual Model..... 36

Figure 3.4: Probability / Impact – Risk Factor Analysis 38

Figure 3.5: Expected Value Analysis 38

Figure 3.6: Types of Questionnaires..... 43

Figure 3.7: Stages that must occur to if a question is to be valid and reliable..... 45

Figure 3.8: Weight Identification Methodology 47

Figure 4.1: Box Plot Risk Factor - Software project’s scope is not firm and keeps expanding ... 56

Figure 4.2: Box Plot Expected Value - Software project’s scope is not firm and keeps expanding
..... 57

Figure 4.3: Box Plot Risk Factor - A significant number of unspoken project requirements exist
..... 58

Figure 4.4: Box Plot Expected Value - A significant number of unspoken project requirements
exist..... 60

Figure 4.5: Box Plot Risk Factor - A No adequate time is allocated to clearly define the project
requirements..... 61

Figure 4.6: Box Plot Expected Value - A No adequate time is allocated to clearly define the
project requirements 62

Figure 4.7: Ranked Risk Identification Methods and Percentages..... 69

Figure 4.8: Importance of Project Management Functions in Percentages 70