23/200/37/09

BENCHMARKING PERFORMANCE OF PIPE BORNE DRINKING WATER SUPPLY INDUSTRY IN SRI LANKA

HAMVERSHY OF MICHARDIA, MICHARDA MORATUWA

MASTER OF BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION



O.V.T.S.P. Ovitigala

Department of Civil Engineering

624 "08" 351 (043)

University of Moratuwa

TH

August 2008

University of Moratuwa

92938

92938

BENCHMARKING PERFORMANCE OF PIPE BORNE DRINKING WATER SUPPLY INDUSTRY IN SRI LANKA

By O.V.T.S.P. Ovitigala

Supervised by

Prof. Rohan Samarajiva



Prof. Niranjan Gunawardane

Department of Civil Engineering
University of Moratuwa
August 2008

BENCHMARKING PERFORMANCE OF PIPE BORNE DRINKING WATER SUPPLY INDUSTRY IN SRI LANKA

By O.V.T.S.P. Ovitigala



Co-Supervised by

Prof. Niranjan Gunawardane

This dissertation was submitted to the Department of Civil Engineering of the University of Moratuwa in partial fulfillment of the requirement for the Degree of Master of Business Administration.

Department of Civil Engineering
University of Moratuwa
August 2008

Declaration

I certify that this thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgement of any material previously submitted for a degree or diploma in any University to the best of my knowledge and belief, it does not contain any material previously published, written or orally communicated by another person or myself except where due reference is made in the text. I also hereby give consent for my dissertation, if accepted, to be made available for photocopying and for interlibrary loans, and for the title and summary to be made available to outside organizations.

Signature of the Candidate

12/08/2008

Date

(O.V.T.S.P.Ovitigala) University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.

Electronic Theses & Dissertations

www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

To the best of my knowledge, the above particulars are correct.

\ \ UOM Verified Signature	22/09/2008
Supervisor	Date
(Professor Rohan Samarajiva)	
UOM Verified Signature	23/09/08
Co-Supervisor	Date
(Professor Niranian Gunawardane)	

Abstract

Most of the economic and social infrastructure services in Sri Lanka provided by the government are in poor condition due to lack of financing for development. This is evident from the statement "Investment is expected to increase significantly utilizing improved savings by the private sector, external resources and funds saved through the gradually reducing budget deficit" (Central Bank of Sri Lanka, 2006). However, the government policy is to keep infrastructure services government owned and people also accept it as they have had bad experiences with the privatization of infrastructure services, mainly because of corruption. Due to this people do not appreciate better practices prevalent in developed countries (competition wherever possible, regulation where necessary is applied by the most of the developed countries. ex: European countries). The previous government took actions to improve the infrastructure provisions through establishing multi sector regulator Public Utilities Commission of Sri Lanka (PUCSL) in 2002; however it was not implemented due to government change.

Electronic Theses & Dissertations

The National Water Supply and Drainage Board (NWSDB) has failed to achieve most of the goals and objectives (Coverage, NRW, Supply continuity, Human resource etc.) setout in the corporate plan for the period 2003-2007, thus indicating that the targets were not realistic and/or that effective action had not been taken by the board to review and set goals to match with the present situation as per the NWSDB Annual Report 2005. However, it is difficult to understand whether performance of the monopoly supplier is good or bad without comparing similar companies in other countries. If decision makers do not identify where they have been or where they are, it seems to be unfeasible to set targets for future performance. Information on operations, investments and outputs is essential for good management and oversight. Hence, this study was undertaken to identify and benchmark the performance and make recommendations to fill the gaps in performance of the NWSDB. The performance of Sri Lanka's Pipe Borne Drinking Water Supply Service is studied by using NWSDB's Regional Support Centers' (RSCs) data for selected Performance Indicators (PIs). Then external benchmarking and internal benchmarking are carried out by using international data and RSCs' own data respectively. This study utilizes

the performance benchmarking or metric benchmarking method. Many factors such as population density, ability to pay (income levels), topography, and distance from bulk water sources affect specific indices or performance indicators. In this benchmarking study, all the above factors are considered except distance from bulk water supply as these data are not available.

South East Asian Water Utilities Network (SEAWUN) benchmarking survey data are used for comparison, because it focuses only on Drinking Water Supply, the population density figures and questionnaires of the survey are available in their web site. The SEAWUN countries have socio economic conditions not dissimilar to those in Sri Lanka. In the SEAWUN study, the companies were ranked by calculating the Overall Performance Indicators (OPIs) without considering the population densities. Therefore, this research is not based on their performance calculation, but uses their data. Even though they have considered 12 Performance Indicators (PI), 8 PIs are considered in this study due to lack of data available in the NWSDB. However, 7 PIs are used to calculate OPI as PI of Average Cost of Employment/Staff (% of per Capita GND seems to be problematic when it takes high values as good performance. Electronic Theses & Dissertations

The performance data of SEAWUN study, which were available only for 2003, were compared with the 2003 data of NWSDB. The same questionnaire of the SEAWUN with some modifications has been used for collecting data from NWSDB.

The RSC of the North and East provinces are not considered for this benchmarking study, because developments have been hindered due to the civil war for more than two decades in this area. Multi Criteria Analysis (MCA) is used for calculating OPI giving identical weights for all the PIs. External benchmarks are set based on the averages of the PIs in companies while internal benchmarks differ according to the situation. Though external and internal benchmarking is done, external benchmarking is the more illuminating as it compares population density which is one of the most important influencing factors.

In this benchmarking of performance, it was found that service coverage is very poor in all the RSCs mainly due to lack of investments and inefficiencies. This should be addressed immediately by effectively utilizing the available funds. New investments are to be encouraged through greater accountability and improving efficiency. Only

the Greater Colombo (GC) region is recovering their operational and maintenance costs mainly due to high cross subsidization from commercial and industrial activities. However, this is not sustainable; hence cross subsidies should be minimized, subsidized quantity of water reduced and proper tariff policy to be established at least to recover the cost.

Non Revenue Water (NRW) is very high in GC and it is the worst in Colombo City. The main constraints are the investments and the practical difficulties. It is recommended to attend to Colombo city first due to high opportunity cost from high demand and high rates of commercial activities which are mainly present in this region.

It is clear that staff allocations are very high in all the RSCs except in GC. No actions have been taken by management to follow their own corporate plan of reducing staff; instead additional carder vacancies were kept. A clear policy should be established and implemented based on number of connections, population density etc.

University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka. Electronic Theses & Dissertations

The unit water cost is very satisfactory in GC because of economies of scale and lower pumping costs due to the topography in the region. However, it is unreasonably high in the Western RSC. The unit water cost increases when increasing the coverage because present coverage is mostly restricted to areas near the water source. It is recommended that studies and research be focused on finding appropriate technology and methods to minimize unit cost by NWSDB Research and Development section.

Comparing the latest situation from NWSDB corporate plans of 2003-2007 and 2007-2011, it was found that almost all of the targets set in 2003-2007 had not been met and some of the targets (hours of supply, NRW) were lowered compared to those of 2003. So, it is questionable if the existing organization structure helps to achieve even their forecasted new smaller targets. It is strongly recommended to regulate the sector to improve the performance even if it is without private participation. This can be done by empowering the existing multi sector regulator PUCSL which will be the most convenient and the best solution to achieve the performance targets.

Acknowledgement

First I would like to thank Professor Amal S. Kumarage, course Director- MBA in Infrastructure of the Department of Civil Engineering, for the encouragement given at different stages of course of studies in the MBA program.

I wish to express my sincere gratitude to my research supervisor, Professor Rohan Samarajiva for his extensive support and guidance me to complete this research project.

I also like to thank Co- supervisor Professor Niranjan Gunawardana for his valuable comments and guidance in achieving the research objectives.

Finally I wish to thank Mr. George, DGM in National Water Supply and Drainage Board (NWSDB) and NWSDB staff for providing data for research.

www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

Table of Contents

1.	Introd	Introduction			
	1.1	Background	1		
	1.2	Problem Statement	3		
	1.3	Purpose of the Study	4		
	1.4	Research Objectives	5		
	1.5	Importance of the Study	6		
	1.6	Research Design	6		
	1.7	Chapter Outline	8		
<i>2</i> .	Litera	ature Review			
	2.1	Introduction	9		
	2.2	Performance Indicators	9		
	2.3	Benchmarking	11		
	2.4	Competition and Regulation Sri Lanka.	17		
	2.15	Drinking Water Benchmarking Studies relations www.lib.mrt.ac.lk	18		
<i>3</i> .	Meth	odology			
	3.1	Introduction	23		
	3.2	Data collection	23		
	3.3	Calculation and Interpretation	25		
4.	Analy	vsis and Discussion			
	4.1	Introduction	31		
	4.2	Compare Performance Indicators	31		
	4.3	Overall Performance Indicators (OPI)	39		
	4.4	Summary of Comparisons	44		
	4.5	Internal Benchmarking	48		
	4.6	Comparison of Internal and External Benchmarking	49		
	4.7	Benchmarking Studies in other Infrastructures	50		
	4.8	How PIs and Benchmarking can be Improved	52		
	4 Q	Key Performance Indicators for the NWSDB	52		

	4.10 Discussion	53
5.	Conclusion and Recommendation	64
	References	72
	Appendix A: PI Calculation for RSCs	75
	Appendix B: Questionnaire	78





List of Tables

Table 3.1: Gampaha District's Divisional Secretariat Divisions in GC area	25
Table 3.2: Kalutara District's Divisional Secretariat Divisions in GC area	25
Table 3.3: Adjusted Population Density of Greater Colombo RSC	25
Table 3.4: Adjusted Population Density of Western RSC	25
Table 3.5: Population Densities in RSCs	26
Table 3.6: Participated Water Utilities in SEAWUN survey	27
Table 3.7: Criterion for Selected Companies	28
Table 3.8: Selection of Companies for each RSC	29
Table 4.1: PIs of Greater Colombo	32
Table 4.2: PIs of Western	33
Table 4.3: PIs of Central/ Sabaragamuwa	34
Table 4.4: PIs of North Central/North Western	35
Table 4.5: PIs of Southern/ Uva	36
Table 4.6: Internal Benchmarking among RSCswa, Sri Lanka.	38
Table 4.7: OPI of Greater Cotomboneses & Dissertations	40
Table 4.8: OPP of Western.lib.mrt.ac.lk	41
Table 4.9: OPI of Central/ Sabaragamuwa	42
Table 4.10: OPI of North Central/ North Western	42
Table 4.11: OPI of Southern / Uva	43
Table 4.12: PIs of RSCs Compared to Benchmark	48
Table 4.13: Expected Pipe Borne Water Supply Coverage in 2003-2007	53
Table 4.14: Expected Pipe Borne Water Supply Coverage for 2007-2011	54
Table 4.15: Funding Expected for Capital Investments in 2003-2007	54
Table 4.16: Funding Expected for Capital Investments for 2007-2011	54
Table 4.17: Expected NRW in 2003-2007	60
Table 4.18: Expected NRW for 2007-2011	60
Table 4.19: No. of Hours of Supply Expected in 2003-2007	62
Table 4.20: No. of Hours of Supply Expected for 2007-2011	62
Table 4.21: Comparison of Capital Funds Utilization in years 2005/2006	63

Table 5.1: Comparison of Service Coverage in RSCs with Benchmark	64
Table 5.2: Comparison of Operating Cost Coverage in RSCs with Benchmark	64
Table 5.3: Comparison of NRW in RSCs with Benchmark	66
Table 5.4: Comparison of Staff/ 1000 Connections in RSCs with Benchmark	66
Table 5.5: Comparison of Average Cost of Employment in RSCs with Benchma	rk 67
Table 5.6: Comparison of Customer Complaints in RSCs with Benchmark	68
Table 5.7: Comparison of Residual Chlorine Tests Passed in RSCs with	
Benchmark	69
Table 5.8: Comparison Unit Water Cost in RSCs with Benchmark	70

List of Figures

University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.	
Figure 1.1: Surplus Before Taxiof NWSDB & Dissertations	04
Figure 2.1. Five Steps of Benchmarking. 1k	14
Figure 2.2: Identify Objectives, Select Methodology, and Gather Data	15
Figure 2.3: Screen and Analyze Data	16
Figure 3.1: GDP in 2003	24
Figure 3.2: Per Capita Income in 2003	24
Figure 4.1: Domestic Water Tariff Structure – 2002	58
Figure 4.2: Domestic Water Tariff Structure – 2005	59

Abbreviations

CBO - Community Based Organization

DGM - Deputy General Manager

GC - Greater Colombo

GDP - Gross Domestic Product

GNI - Gross Net Income

ILI - Infrastructure Leakage Index

MCA - Multi Criterion Analysis

NC/NW - North Central/ North Western

NRW - Non Revenue Water

NWSDB - National Water Supply and Drainage Board

OECD - Organization for Economic Co-operation and

Development

OPI - Overall Performance Indicator

PI Universit Performance indicators i Lanka.

PP Electroni Private Partners Dips sertations

PPP www.lib. public Private Partnerships

PUCSL - Public Utilities Commission in Sri Lanka

RSC - Regional Support Center

SEAWUN - South East Asian Water Utilities Network

UK - United Kingdom

UFW - Unaccounted for Water

USA - United States America