

EFFECTIVE FAULT ISOLATION METHODS TO IMPROVE 33kV NETWORK RELIABILITY

A dissertation submitted to the
Department of Electrical Engineering, University of Moratuwa
in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the
Degree of Master of Science

by

G

S.M.M.S. WEERATUNA

A

LIBRARY
UNIVERSITY OF MORATUWA, SRI LANKA
MORATUWA

Supervised by: Prof. H.Y.R. Perera

621.3 "09"
621.3(043)

Department of Electrical Engineering
University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka

TH

January 2009

ADMISSION
STAMP

University of Moratuwa



92963

92963

92963

DECLARATION

The work submitted in this dissertation is the result of my own investigation, except where otherwise stated.

It has not already been accepted for any degree, and is also not being concurrently submitted for any other degree.

UOM Verified Signature

S.M.M.S. Weeratunga

I endorse the declaration by the candidate.

UOM Verified Signature

Prof. H.Y.R. Perera

CONTENTS

| | |
|---|---|
| Declaration Abstract Acknowledgement List of Tables List of Figures | Page No. i iv-v vi vii viii - ix |
| 1. Introduction | 1 |
| 1.1 Background | 1 |
| 1.2 Reliability of 33kV network of C.E.B | 1 |
| 1.3 Motivation | 2 |
| 2. Problem statement | 3 |
| 2.1 Identification of the Problem | 3 |
| 2.2 Objectives of the Study | 3 |
| 2.3 Importance of the Study | 3 |
| 3. Reliability analysis of 33kV network | 4 |
| 3.1 Recloser event analysis | 5 |
| 3.1.1 Review of events | 11 |
| 3.2 Type of faults | 11 |
| 3.2.1 Transient fault | 11 |
| 3.2.2 Permanent fault | |
| 3.3 Outage reduction method | 13 |
| 3.3.1 Reduce faults in the network | 13 |
| 3.3.2 Installation of fault indicators for remote indication | 13 |
| 3.3.3 Remote operation of reclosers | 14 |
| 3.3.4 Using line sectionalizing devices | 14 |
| 3.4 Development model for reliability evaluation | 15 |
| 4. An effective protection scheme reduce outage rate | 19 |
| 4.1 Protection scheme of C.E.B 33kV network | 19 |
| 4.1.1 Downstream protection scheme | 21 |
| 4.2 Design new protection scheme | 26 |
| 4.2.1 Design algorithm | 26 |
| 4.2.2 Further mprovement | 34 |

| | |
|--|-----------|
| 4.3 Sample design | 37 |
| 4.3.1 Calculation of shortcircuit current | 37 |
| 4.3.2 Calculation of eartfault current | 39 |
| 4.3.3 Selection of recloser attempts to lockout | 42 |
| 4.3.4 Selection of protection setting | 43 |
| 4.3.5 Selection fuses for spurs at Kalumale feeder | 51 |
| 4.4 Computer based model for new protection scheme | 54 |
| 4.5 Advantages using new protection scheme | 55 |
| 5. Conclusion and Recommendation | 57 |
| 5.1 Improvement of reliability | 57 |
| 5.2 Recommendation | 58 |
| 5.2.1 Using event log of recloser | 58 |
| 5.2.2 Reduce outage in the network | 58 |
| 5.2.3 Isolating faulty line from the feeder | 59 |
| References | 60 |

Appendix I, II & 111

ABSTRACT

Improving reliability in power system is very important to the utilities as well as to the country as it is an important attribute of the supply quality and increases the end user satisfaction.

The aim of this study is to investigate the fault identification and isolation techniques to improve reliability. This study focuses on the following;

1. Reliability status of 33kV network of C.E.B.
2. Type of faults in 33kV network and outage reduction methods.
3. Develop a mathematical model for reliability evaluation.
4. Analyze protection scheme of C.E.B 33kV network.
5. Design new protection scheme to reduce outages.

The analyzed reliability indices of C.E.B are far below compared to internationally accepted levels.

In this study reliability status of 33kV network is analyzed using event log data of reclosers and breakdown reports of Consumer Service Centers. The author is working at Region -04, C.E.B and two switching gantries of Region-04 are selected to analyze events one in wet zone and other in coastal zone.

It can be seen that outage time and fault frequency are high during both monsoon periods, 86% of faults are line to earth, 67% of faults are of transient nature and the repair time in case of overcurrent fault is high due to poor workmanship in line connections. With this study predominant causes of faults in the network can be identified by using event log of recloser.

The several methods for outage reduction are identified, installation of fault indicators for remote indication and remote operation of reclosers, using line sectionalize devices.

The protection scheme of 33kV network is analyzed to find techniques to reduce outages. The downstream protective devices (fuse on Spurs) beyond the recloser do not distinguish permanent and transient faults. It can be seen nuisance fuse blowing in spurs as well as nuisance recloser lockout affecting all customers in feeder.

A new protection scheme is designed to overcome the above problems. The developed method isolates unhealthy spurs from healthy sections, improves reliability and reduces maintenance cost and extent of unserved energy.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First, I pay my sincere gratitude to Professor Ranjit Perera who encouraged and guided me to conduct this investigation and on perpetration of final dissertation.

I also thank to Eng. J. Karunanayake who gave the valuable instructions during the study and valuable advice for perpetration of final dissertation.

I would like to take this opportunity to extend my sincere thanks to Mr.U.K.W. Silva, Deputy General Manager (PHM-R4), Mr.L.T.J. Fernando, Deputy General Manger (Planning & Devloolopment-R4), Mr.S. Bogahawatta, Project Manager (Lightning Hambantota Project) Mr.S.T.S. Shantha (System Planning Engineer-Southern Province), Mr.K. Perera (System Planning Engineer-R1), Mr.K. Wimalendra (Area Engineer-Kalutara), Mr.V.Ediriweera (Area Engineer-Matara), Mr.R. Thilakarathna (Electrical Superintendent – Agalawatta CSC), Mr.W.M. Premarathna (Electrical Superintendent–Dickella CSC) of Ceylon Electricity Board who gave their co-operation to conduct my investigation work successfully.

It is a great pleasure to remember the kind co-operation extended by the colleagues in the post graduate programme, friends and specially my wife who helped me to continue the studies from start to end.

List of tables

| Table number | Description |
|--------------|---|
| Table 1.1 | Reliability statistics of 33kV C.E.B network |
| Table 1.2 | Reliability indices of 33kV C.E.B network |
| Table 3.1 | Rainfall data at Kithulgoda area |
| Table 3.2 | Rainfall data at Dickwella area |
| Table 3.3 | The occurrences of faults between lines and lines to earth |
| Table 3.4 | Average repair time of feeders |
| Table 3.5 | Recloser attempts of feeders |
| Table 3.6 | Types of faults at Kithulgoda Gantry |
| Table 3.7 | Types of faults at Dickwella Gantry |
| Table 3.8 | Assumed failure rates of feeder |
| Table 3.9 | Assumed consumers of feeder |
| Table 3.10 | Annual outage time when spurs connected directly to sections |
| Table 3.11 | Annual outage time when spurs connected with fuses and manual isolation |
| Table 3.12 | Annual outage time when spurs connected with fuses and sectionalizers |
| Table 4.1 | Connected fuse links at Kalumale feeder |
| Table 4.2 | Multiplying factor (k) for recloser curves |
| Table 4.3 | Connected fuse links in Kalumale feeder with new protection scheme |
| Table 4.4 | Reliability indices of Kalumale feeder |
| Table 4.5 | Annual requirement of fuse types of C.E.B |

List of figures

| Figure number | Description |
|---------------|---|
| Figure 3.1 | Feeding arrangement of 33kV switching Gantry |
| Figure 3.2 | Outage period of feeders at Kithulgoda Gantry |
| Figure 3.3 | Reclosing of feeders at Kithulgoda Gantry |
| Figure 3.4 | Earthfault of feeder at Kithulgoda Gantry |
| Figure 3.5 | Overcurrent of feeders at Kithulgoda Gantry |
| Figure 3.6 | Outage period of feeders at Dickwella Gantry |
| Figure 3.7 | Reclosing of feeders at Dickwella Gantry |
| Figure 3.8 | Earthfault of feeder at Dickwella Gantry |
| Figure 3.9 | Overcurrent of feeders at Dickwella Gantry |
| Figure 3.10 | Spur lines connected directly to sections |
| Figure 3.11 | Spur lines connected across fuse with sections |
| Figure 4.1 | Protection scheme between GSS CB and Recloser |
| Figure 4.2 | Protection curves between GSS CB and Recloser |
| Figure 4.3 | Protection curves when spur line is protected by 10A fuse |
| Figure 4.4 | Protection curves when spur line is protected by 15A fuse |
| Figure 4.5 | Protection curves when spur line is protected by 20A fuse |
| Figure 4.6 | Protection curves when spur line is protected by 40A fuse |
| Figure 4.7 | Network diagram |
| Figure 4.8 | Algorithm for new protection scheme design |
| Figure 4.9 | Recloser to fuse miscoordination classification |
| Figure 4.10 | Recloser to fuse coordination curves |

| Figure number | Description |
|----------------------|--|
| Figure 4.11 | Relay to fuse coordination curves |
| Figure 4.12 | Fuse to fuse miscoordination classification |
| Figure 4.13 | Fuse to fuse coordination of curves |
| Figure 4.14 | Relay to relay miscoordination classification |
| Figure 4.15 | Relay to relay coordination curves |
| Figure 4.16 | Sectionalizer device |
| Figure 4.17 | Sectionalizers in 33kV network |
| Figure 4.18 | Single line diagram of Kaumale feeder |
| Figure 4.19 | Overcurrent settings of protective devices with 50A fuse |
| Figure 4.20 | Earthfault settings of protective devices with 50A fuse |
| Figure 4.21 | Coordination of 50A (T type) fuse and 20A (K type) fuse |
| Figure 4.22 | The model for 33kV feeder to analyze protection coordination |



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.
Electronic Theses & Dissertations
www.lib.mrt.ac.lk