# FINITE ELEMENT MODEL APPROACH TO DETERMINE AN EFFECTIVE LAYOUT AND SUPPORT CONDITIONS FOR CONCRETE BLOCK PAVING

BY W. P. H. GUNARATHNA

THIS THESIS WAS SUBMITTED TO THE DEJ?ARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING OF THE UNIVERSITY OF MORATUWA IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF MASTER OF SCIENCE

SUPERVISED BY DR. M. A. W. KUMARA

DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING
UNIVERSITY OF MORATUWA
SRI LANKA

2009

92973

#### **Abstract**

There has been an intensive process of urbanization which has brought about need for rapid construction of roads and related infrastructure during the end of the last century. Therefore development of economical road construction methods is a high priority for a developing country like Sri Lanka. Concrete block paving is one of the predominant road construction method used in most of the developing counties due to economic adaptability. This method has also been emerged as a cost effective road construction method suitable for certain local conditions. But in Sri Lanka this technique is yet to be developed to a fully fledge road construction method. This can be attributed to a dearth of the technical expertise and knowledge. As a result, there is a great need to develop knowledge and establish proper methods of block paving suitable for local conditions. The aim of this research is to evaluate the state of support conditions and effective block laying patterns which can be used to improve concrete block paving technology.

Prototype concrete block paving model development, support conditions and their improvements were mainly considered in the development stage of this study. Laboratory scale prototype concrete block pavement model was developed and their surface deflection basin was measured with four different arrangements. A three dimensional (3-D) finite element model was developed to measure elastic deflection behavior of concrete block pavement ,with SAP2000 structural analysis software. This Finite Element Model (FEM) was used to simulate field conditions of a concrete block pavement and it was verified with the deflection values observed in the prototype model. The verified software model was used to perform a parametric study in order to determine necessary improvements for weaker support conditions and find effective laying arrangement in the concrete block paving.

.

Developed design charts and field observations are used to propose subgrade improvement methods for weaker support conditions. Therefore it's recommended to



introduce concrete block laying work for low volume roads which are having subgrade strength higher than 10% (CBR).

FEMs were developed to evaluate performance of the laying patterns. Four different block laying patterns were tested against breaking action and vertical loading. Finally it was possible to conclude that herring bond pattern is more suitable for road construction work with better performance.

### DECLARATION

I, W.P.H. Gunaratha hereby declare that the content of this thesis is the output of original research work earried out over a period of 15 months at the Department of Civil Engineering, University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka. Whenever others' work is included in this thesis, it is appropriately acknowledged as a reference.

" (1) for the

W. P. H. Gunarathna

Department of Civil Engineering University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka.

UOM Verified Signature liversity of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka. ectronic Theses & Dissertations

Dr. M. A. W. Kumara

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

I gratefully acknowledge my sincere gratitude to my supervisor, Dr. M.A. Wasantha Kumara, University of Moratuwa for giving me the opportunity to undertake this research study and providing valuable advice and support throughout the research study. I would like to acknowledge and appreciate the advice given by professor J.M.S.J Bandara, University of Moratuwa, coordinator of my research and Dr. H.L.D.M. A. Judith, Road Development Authority, Sri Lanka.

The support given by Prof.W.P.S. Dias (Head, Department of Civil Engineering), and Prof. S.A.S. Kulathilake (Research Coordinator, Department of Civil Engineering) is acknowledged gratefully. I thank all the other lecturers for the positive attitude they adopted in promoting research at Civil Engineering Department.

I owe a very special gratitude to research students at the Transportation Engineering Division of the Department of Civil Engineering University of Moratuwa for giving me the support throughout the research and Mr. A.A. Senadeera of Road Development Authority for providing material and equipments, which was nessary for the prototype model development.

I would like to take this opportunity to extend my heartfelt appreciation to all the academic and non academic staff of the University of Moratuwa, who has assisted me in numerous occasions. Furthermore I would like to put on record the staff attached to Road Development Authority for their contributions in numerous ways.

W. P. H. Gunarathna

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLAR	ATION	1
DEDICA	TION	II
ABSTRA	ACT	III
ACKNO <sup>V</sup>	WLEDGEMENT	IV
TABLE C	OF CONTENTS	V
LIST OF	FIGURES	VIII
LIST OF	TABLES	XI
	TD 1	
CHAPT		
	DUCTION  General	1
1.1	Objectives	3
1.2	Significance of the research	3
1.3	Significance of the research	4
1.4	Scope of the report	
СНАРТ	Electronic Theses & Dissertations www.lib.mrt.ac.lk	
C	ATURE REVIEW ON CONCRETE BLOKC PAVING	
2.1	General	5
2.1	Advantages of CBP over rigid concrete paving and asphalt paving	6
2.3	Main structural components in concrete block paving	8
	Interlocking mechanism of CBP	8
2.4	4.1. Rotational mechanisms	9
		10
	4.3. Principle of interlocking	11
2.5		11
		23
		27
	.5.4. Edge restrain  Construction Practices	28
2.6		28
		29
2	.6.1 Construction process	
	<b>◆</b>	

	2.7	Maintenance and rehabilitation of CBP	. 33
	2.7.1	Maintenance	. 33
	2.7.2	Rehabilitation	. 34
	2.8	Limitation of existing design procedures.	. 35
_	CHAPTE	R 3	
	EVELO	PMENT AND VERIFICATION OF 3-D FEM MODEL OF CBP	
	3.1	General	. 36
	3.2	Development of 3-D FEM	. 38
	3.2.2.	Modeling of material	. 38
	3.2.3.	FEM dimensions	. 39
	3.2.4.	FEM loading procedure	. 39
	3.3	Comparisons of FEM and lab scale experimental results	. 40
	3.3.1	Effect of block thickness	. 40
	3.3.2	Effect of paved concrete block grade	. 40
	3.3.3	Effect of laying arrangement	. 41
	3.4	Development of lab scale model	. 42
	3.4.1	Material used for lab scale model development	
	3.4.2	Experimental procedure heses & Dissertations	
	3.5	Development of 3-D Finite Element Model	. 56
	3.5.1	Modeling of material	., 56
	3.6	Verification of the software model	58
4	СНАРТЕ	R 4	
Ì	PAVEMI	ENT DESIGN METHOD FOR CONCRETE BLOCK PAVEMENT	
	4.1	General	61
	4.2	Development of Design charts	61
	4.2.1	Design chart I (base improvement with 150mm ABC layer)	62
	4.2.2	. Design chart II (base improvements without sub base layer)	63
	4.3	Optimum support condition for low volume roads	64
	4.4	Proposed design for low volume roads	6 <sup>ç</sup>

## **CHAPTER 5**

EFFECTIVE LAYING PATTERN FOR CONCRETE BLOCK PAVI	lNG
5.1 General	72
5.2 Paving patterns used for the study	72
5.3 Development of 3-D software model	
5.3.1. Loading conditions	73
5.4 Effective laying pattern	77
CHAPTER 6	
CONCLUSION AND FUTURE STUDY	
6.1 General	78
6.2 Future study	80
REFERENCE	81
en de	
APPENDIX A	
APPENDIX B	99
APPENDIX C	101
APPENDIX DElectronic Theses & Dissertations	106
ALLENDIA D	100

# LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1:	The Roman Appian way early interlocking pavement	. 5
Figure 2.2:	Use of pavers worldwide (in millions of square meters per annum)	. 6
Figure 2.3:	Typical cross section of concrete block paving	. 8
Figure 2.4:	Deflection shape of block pavement	. 9
Figure 2.5:	Type of interlocking.	10
Figure 2.6:	Effect of block thickness on behavior of block pavement	12
Figure 2.7:	Effect of block sizes on behavior of block pavement	13
Figure 2.8:	Detail block shape used for study	14
Figure 2.9:	Effect of block Shapes on behavior of block pavement	14
Figure 2.10:	Commonly used block shapes in industry	15
Figure 2.11:	Effect of strength on behavior of block pavement	16
Figure 2.12:	Concrete block laying patterns.	17
Figure 2.13:	CBP deflections for different sand category with varying joint width	20
Figure 2.14:	CBP deflection for jointing sand with varying joint width	21
Figure 2.15:	CBP deflections for varying quality of bedding sand	22
Figure 2.16:	CBP deflections with and without edge restraint	27
Figure 2.17:	Edge restrain install before commence block laying work	30
Figure 2.18:	Screeding the bedding sand	31
Figure 2.19:	Block laying procedure	32
Figure 3.1:	Laboratory scale model used by Panda and Ghosh, 2002a	37
Figure 3.2:	Eight node solid element and globe notations	38
Figure 3.3:	Initially developed Finite Element Model	39
Figure 3.4:	Comparison of FEM and laboratory scale model developed by	
	Panda and Ghosh (2002b)	40
Figure 3.5:	Comparison of FEM and laboratory scale model developed by	
	Panda and Ghosh (2002b)	41
Figure 3.6:	Comparison of FEM and laboratory scale model developed by	
	Panda and Ghosh (2002b)	41
Figure 3.7:	Stress distribution in primarily developed Finite Element Model 1	43
Figure 3.8:	Developed Laboratory scale CBP model	43
Figure 3.9:	Grading curve for ABC sample	44

arrangement I)	Figure 3.10: Grading curve for sand sample	. 45
Figure 3.13: Construction of CBP test section	Figure 3.11: Compressive strength test for concrete Blocks	. 46
Figure 3.14: Construction of CBP test section		
Figure 3.15: Concrete Block laying and final compaction	Figure 3.13: Construction of CBP test section	. 47
Figure 3.16: Prototype experiment setup	Figure 3.14: Construction of CBP test section	. 48
Figure 3.17: Dial gauge position in prototype experimental setup	Figure 3.15: Concrete Block laying and final compaction	. 48
Figure 3.18: Loading arrangement I	Figure 3.16: Prototype experiment setup	. 49
Figure 3.19: Observed deflection data on loaded area (model I loading arrangement I)	Figure 3.17: Dial gauge position in prototype experimental setup	. 50
arrangement I)	Figure 3.18: Loading arrangement I	. 51
Figure 3.20: Loading arrangement II	Figure 3.19: Observed deflection data on loaded area (model I loading	
Figure 3.21: Observed deflection data on loaded area (model I loading arrangement II)	arrangement I)	. 51
arrangement II)	Figure 3.20: Loading arrangement II	. 52
Figure 3.22: Comparisons between deflection values in test 1 and test 2	Figure 3.21: Observed deflection data on loaded area (model I loading	
Figure 3.23: Sectional view of model II	arrangement II)	. 52
Figure 3.24: Observed deflection data on loaded area (model II loading arrangement I)	Figure 3.22: Comparisons between deflection values in test 1 and test 2	. 53
Figure 3.25: Observed deflection data on loaded area (model II loading arrangement II)	Figure 3.23: Sectional view of model II	. 53
Figure 3.25: Observed deflection data on loaded area (model II loading arrangement II)	Figure 3.24: Observed deflection data on loaded area (model II loading	
arrangement II)	arrangement I)	. 55
Figure 3.26: Comparisons between deflection values in test 3 and test 4	Figure 3.25: Observed deflection data on loaded area (model II loading	
Figure 3.27: Finite Element software model 57 Figure 3.28: Measured deflection line in FEM 57 Figure 3.29: Verification of Test 1 58 Figure 3.30: Verification of Test 2 59 Figure 3.31: Verification of Test 3 59 Figure 3.32: Verification of Test 4 60 Figure 4.1: 3-D View and plan view of developed software model 62 Figure 4.2 Design chart I (different support Condition vs. deflections) 63 Figure 4.3 Design chart II (different support Condition vs. deflections) 64 Figure 4.4: Field survey 66	arrangement II)	. 55
Figure 3.28: Measured deflection line in FEM	Figure 3.26: Comparisons between deflection values in test 3 and test 4	. 55
Figure 3.29: Verification of Test 1	Figure 3.27: Finite Element software model	. 57
Figure 3.30: Verification of Test 2	Figure 3.28: Measured deflection line in FEM	. 57
Figure 3.31: Verification of Test 3	Figure 3.29: Verification of Test 1	. 58
Figure 3.32: Verification of Test 4	Figure 3.30: Verification of Test 2	59
Figure 4.1: 3-D View and plan view of developed software model	Figure 3.31: Verification of Test 3	59
Figure 4.2 Design chart I (different support Condition vs. deflections)	Figure 3.32: Verification of Test 4	60
Figure 4.3 Design chart II (different support Condition vs. deflections) 64 Figure 4.4: Field survey 66	Figure 4.1: 3-D View and plan view of developed software model	62
Figure 4.4: Field survey	Figure 4.2 Design chart I (different support Condition vs. deflections)	63
	Figure 4.3 Design chart II (different support Condition vs. deflections)	64
Figure 4.5: Comparison between field survey and existing design method	Figure 4.4: Field survey	66
	Figure 4.5: Comparison between field survey and existing design method	68
Figure 4.6: Proposed design chartl for block paving with improved subbase	Figure 4.6: Proposed design chartl for block paving with improved subbase	70
Figure 4.7: Proposed design chartII for block paving with improved ABC layer 70	Figure 4.7: Proposed design chartII for block paving with improved ABC layer	70

Figure 4.8:	Proposed design for CBP with improved subgraed	71
	Used laying patterns for the study	
Figure 5.2:	Block orientation in the loaded area	74
	Loading positions for different orientations and vertical deflection in	
	Herring bond pattern (effect of vertical load)	75
Figure 5.4:	Loading positions and vertical deflection in different laying patterns	
	(effect of vertical load).	75
Figure 5.5:	Loading positions Vs verticall deflection in different laying patterns	
	(effect of breaking action)	76
Figure 5.6:	Loading positions Vs horizontal deflection in different laying patterns	
_	(effect of breaking action)	77



# LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1: Used sand gradations for research	19
Table 2.2: Thickness of concrete block related to application	29
Table 2.3: Surface tolerances in CBP	33
Table 2.4: Surface regularity of the CBP	33
Table 3.1: Material properties	38
Table 3.2: Used material properties for sub base preparation	43
Table 3.3: Used material properties for sub base preparation	44
Table 3.4: Dimension and compressive strength of tested concrete blocks	46
Table 3.5: Material properties used for FEM development	56
Table 4.1: Observed data from concrete block paved roads	65
Table 4.2 Proposed designs for low volume roads.	71



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka Electronic Theses & Dissertations www.lib.mrt.ac.lk