

LB/DOA/18/10



COMPARATIVE LIFE CYCLE ASSESSMENT OF INCANDESCENT LAMPS AND COMPACT FLUORESCENT LAMPS AND ITS USE IN MANAGERIAL DECISION ANALYSIS

LIBRARY
UNIVERSITY OF MORATUWA, SRI LANKA
MORATUWA

A thesis submitted in partial
fulfilment of the requirements for
the degree of
Electronic Theses & Dissertations
www.lib.mrt.ac.lk



MASTER OF SCIENCE
IN
OPERATIONAL RESEARCH

University of Moratuwa



93890

L P L Chithrage
Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Engineering
University of Moratuwa
Sri Lanka

31/08/08
SIR...

93890

December, 2008

93890

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that this project report titled “COMPARATIVE LIFE CYCLE ASSESSMENT OF INCANDESCENT LAMPS AND COMPACT FLUORESCENT LAMPS AND ITS USE IN MANAGERIAL DECISION ANALYSIS” is absolutely my own work and has never been produced earlier so far.

Signature : ***UOM Verified Signature***

Name of the candidate : L P L Chithrage

Registration no. : PG/OR/23/2000



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.
Electronic Theses & Dissertations
www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

I assure that out of the best of my knowledge, that the information given is true and correct.

UOM Verified Signature

Mr. V. R. Sena Peiris
(Project Supervisor)
Director,
National Cleaner Production Centre, Sri Lanka.

Acknowledgements

First of all I would like to thank my project supervisor Mr. V R Sena Peiris, Director of National Cleaner Production Centre who provided me the necessary guidance and support in completing this work successful.

This research first started under the co-supervision of Dr. M Indralingum and after his departure from the university it was Mr. T M J A Cooray, the Head of the Department and Dr. T S G Peiris, Senior Lecturer from the Department of Mathematics who helped me to complete and submit this report successfully. Therefore I pay my gratitude and offer my sincere thanks to them.

Since there was no past history in Sri Lanka for this type of Life cycle analysis it was really difficult to gather relevant information and under such situation three official from leading bulb manufacturers in Sri Lanka Mr. Malagal from SSS Best bulbs, Mr. Kumar Wickramasinghe from Ceyenergy, and Mr. Anuradha Dissanayaka from Leadlight were kind enough to provide me some of the required information. I take this opportunity to offer them my sincere thanks.

I shall give my thanks to the former and present Director Generals and the management of the Sri Lanka Standards Institution who provided me funds to enable me to carry out the course and the project successfully.

Finally I would like to thank all the Lecturers of the MSc course and the staff of the Department of Mathematics for their support delivered to me during my course and the project work.



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.

Electronic Theses & Dissertations

www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

UNIVERSITY OF MORATUWA

ABSTRACT

COMPARATIVE LIFE CYCLE ASSESSMENT OF INCANDESCENT LAMPS AND COMPACT FLUORESCENT LAMPS AND ITS USE IN MANAGERIAL DECISION ANALYSIS

Comparative life cycle assessment of incandescent bulbs and compact fluorescent lamps (CFL) was made in Sri Lankan perspective to assess the environmental performance of the two product systems throughout life cycle stages from raw material processing; through manufacturing and assembly, distribution, use and to disposal. Impact categories of global warming, acidification, eutrofication, human toxicity, and ecotoxicity were taken into consideration in this assessment. Most of the emissions occur during the usage of both product systems due to the emissions from electrical power generation. The study shows that incandescent lamps causes for most of the emissions compared to CFLs. Life cycle assessment scores finally figured out to be $1.38E-05$ for the incandescent lamps and $3.42E-06$ for CFLs, which shows that CFLs are 4 times environmental friendly than incandescent lamps.



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.

Electronic Theses & Dissertations

Subsequently, life cycle scores were used in managerial decision making to come to a logical conclusion of choice between two alternative product systems balancing with social and economic considerations such as investment cost, operating cost, replacement due to early failure and maintenance cost, accidents due to disposal, heating effect, and health impact due to Mercury. Final conclusion arrived after having being introduced different values of choice for each criterion was that still CFLs are preferred by approximately 30% over the incandescent lamps.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Declaration	ii
Acknowledgement.....	iii
Abstract	iv
Table of Content	v
List of Tables.....	vii
List of Figures	viii
List of Appendices.....	ix
Glossary.....	x
Abbreviated terms	xi
1. INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Focus of the research	2
1.3 Outline of the thesis.....	2
1.4 Scope of the study, assumptions, and exemptions	3
2. PROBLEM.....	5
2.1 Formulation of the problem	5
2.2 Definition of the product concerned	5
3. LITERATURE REVIEW	8
3.1 General	8
3.2 Overview of life cycle assessment.....	8
3.3 Global warming	10
3.4 Acidification model	11
3.5 Eutrophication	12
3.6 Human toxicity	14
3.7 Mercury	14
4. METHODOLOGICAL FRAME WORK.....	16
4.1 Goal.....	16
4.2 Scope.....	16
5. LIFE CYCLE INVENTORY ANALYSIS	23
5.1 Simplified procedure	23
5.2 Determining the product system's unit processes and their boundaries.....	23
5.3 Initial estimation of material flow	25
5.4 Applying decision rules	26
5.5 Input, output, and system boundaries established	26
5.6 The inventories and uncertainties	28
5.7 Compilation of inventories separately for incandescent lamps and CF lamps	31
6. LIFE CYCLE IMPACT ASSESSMENT (LCIA).....	32
6.1 Overview	32
6.2 The elements of LCIA phase	32
6.3 Selection of impact categories	32
6.4 Concept of category indicators	34
6.5 Selection of category indicators.....	35

6.6	Selection of characterization models	36
6.7	Identification of characterization factors.....	38
6.8	Assignment of LCI results (Classification).....	39
6.9	Calculation of category indicator results.....	42
6.10	Calculating the magnitude of category indicator results relative to reference information (Normalization).....	42
6.11	Weighting.....	46
7.	DECISION ANALYSIS.....	50
7.1	General.....	50
7.2	Decision support framework.....	50
7.3	Analysis and outcome.....	58
8.	DISCUSSION	63
8.1	General.....	63
8.2	Effect of assumptions on results	64
8.3	Further development.....	64
9.	CONCLUSION	66
	REFERENCES.....	78



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.
 Electronic Theses & Dissertations
www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

LIST OF TABLES

<i>Number</i>		<i>Page</i>
5.1	Weight analysis	27
6.1	Global warming potential	36
6.2	Matrix relationship of impact categories and types of emissions	38
6.3	Characterization factors	39
6.4	Calculation of category indicator results – Incandescent lamps	40
6.5	Calculation of category indicator results – CFL	41
6.6	Normalization	44
6.7	Weighting	47
7.1	Product failure probability of incandescent lamps	52
7.2	Product failure probability of CF lamps	53
7.3	Different group replacement policies for incandescent lamps	55
7.4	Different group replacement policies for CF lamps	57
7.5	Quantities assigned to the decision making parameters for Incandescent and CF lamps	60
7.6	Decision variables given in table 7.5 are represented in comparative scale for incandescent lamps and CF lamps together with proposed weighting	61
7.7	Weighted results for the two product system	62
8.1	Mercury emission from EU fuel mix for electricity generation	64



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.
Electronic Theses & Dissertations
www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

LIST OF FIGURES

<i>Number</i>		<i>Page</i>
3.1	Phases of LCA	10
3.2	Simplified environmental mechanism for acidification	12
4.1	Life cycle stages in the system	17
4.2	Overview of the steps in comparative study	18
4.3	Reference flow – incandescent lamps	20
4.4	Reference flow – CFL	21
5.1	Simplified procedure for inventory analysis	23
5.2	A simplified process flow chart combined for both products	24
5.3	A typical unit process model	25
5.4	Unit process description for glass production	25
6.1	Elements of the LCIA phase	33
6.2	Concept of category indicators	35
6.3	Indicator results (LCIA profile)	43
6.4	Normalized values	45
6.5	Weighted results	48
6.6	Scores	49
9.1	Selection decision	67



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.
Electronic Theses & Dissertations
www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

APPENDICES

<i>Number</i>		<i>Page</i>
A	Incandescent bulb production process and material and energy flow	68
B	CFL bulb constituent components and assembly data	70
C	Unit process description of white hollow glass production	71
D	Unit process description of production of Argon gas	72
E	Unit process description of production of Printed circuit board	73
F	Energy demand and emissions of running a delivery Van	74
G	Emissions in electricity power generation	75
H	Energy utilization and environmental emissions for 100 watt incandescent lamps per functional unit during its total life cycle	76
I	Energy utilization and environmental emissions for 20 watt CF lamps per functional unit during its total life cycle	77



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.
Electronic Theses & Dissertations
www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

GLOSSARY

Category endpoint: Attribute or aspect of natural environment, human health or resources, identifying an environmental issue of concern.


Characterization factor: Factor derived from a characterization model which is applied to convert the assigned LCI results to the common unit of the category indicator.

Environmental mechanism: System of physical, chemical and biological processes for a given impact category, linking the LCI results to category indicators and to category endpoint.

Functional unit: Quantified performance of a product system for use as a reference unit in a life cycle assessment study

Impact category: Class representing environmental issues of concern to which LCI results may be assigned.

Life cycle: Consecutive and interlinked stages of a product or service system, from the extraction of natural resources to the final disposal

 **Life cycle Assessment:** A systematic set of procedures for compiling and examining the inputs and outputs of materials and energy and the associated environmental impacts directly attributable to the functioning of a product or service system throughout its life cycle.

Life cycle impact category indicator (category indicator): Quantifiable representation of an impact category.

Life cycle inventory analysis results (LCI results): Outcome of a life cycle inventory analysis that includes the flows crossing the system boundary and provides the starting point for life cycle impact assessment.

Unit process: Smallest portion of a product system for which data are collected when performing a life cycle assessment.

*Sources: ISO 14040:1997, International standard on Environmental management – Life cycle assessment – Principles and framework.
ISO 14042:2000, International standard on Environmental management – Life cycle assessment – Life cycle impact assessment.*



ABBREVIATED TERMS

ADI	-	Allowable dose intake
E	-	Exponential
EL	-	Environmental load
ETP	-	Eco toxicity potential
FU	-	Functional unit
GWP	-	Global warming potential
IIASA	-	International institute for applied systems analysis
IPPC	-	Intergovernmental panel on climate change
ISO	-	International organization for standards
LCA	-	Life cycle impact assessment
LCI	-	Life cycle inventory analysis
LCIA	-	Life cycle impact assessment
NP	-	Nitrification potential
PEC	-	Predicted environmental concentration
PNEC	-	Predicted no-effect concentration
RIVIM	-	National institute of public health and environment
SE	-	Sensitive eco system category indicator
USES	-	Uniform system for the evaluation of substances
VOC	-	Volatic organic compound
YLL	-	Years of life loss



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.
Electronic Theses & Dissertations
www.lib.mru.ac.lk