



USE OF PROBABILISTIC CONCEPTS IN THE ANALYSIS OF CUT SLOPES FOR HIGHWAYS IN RESIDUAL SOILS

Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the
requirements for the Degree of Master of Engineering in
Highway and Traffic Engineering

C. G. Senarathne

SUPERVISOR

Prof. S.A.S. Kulathilaka

Department of Civil Engineering
University of Moratuwa
Sri Lanka

93927



Abstract

Slope instability is a major engineering and environmental hazard, which is widely researched by geotechnical engineers in the world. Instabilities in manmade cut slopes for different development needs such as Hydro-Power Projects, Highways etc. have become more important in addition to the natural slopes.

Most of these slopes are made of residual soils and vast ranges of different failure mechanisms are possible depending on the weathering profiles and presence of relict discontinuities. The infiltration of water in to the usually unsaturated soils, make the behaviour further complex.

This thesis concentrates on the assessment of the stability of cut slopes and taking decisions regarding the appropriate stabilization measures conducting the analyses in a probabilistic frame work.

Analyses are carried-out for both saturated and unsaturated soil conditions. For this aspect 3 selected cut sections in Southern Transport Development Project (STDP-ADB section) are used for the analyses. Different scenarios are considered to take into account the effects of rain water infiltration, loss of matric suction and increase of pore water pressures. Details from available bore hole investigations and piezometric data monitored under STDP-ADB section are used to obtain the necessary parameters for the analyses.

Considering the complex nature of the possible failure mechanisms in residual soils analyses were carried out for both Circular and Non-Circular modes of failures.

Analyses based on the Monte Carlo probabilistic approach were conducted using the Slope-W- 2003 software.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

It is with deep sense of gratitude that I express my sincere thanks to my Supervisor Professor S.A.S.Kulathilaka of the University of Moratuwa. Prof. Kulathilaka gave me the opportunity to do the research under his excellent guidance and patient supervision. Under his patronage the completion of this thesis which was stagnant for some time owing to the various difficulties becomes a reality.

I would pay my gratitude to Professor Kapila Dahnayaka, Senior professor in Geology, University of Peradeniya who gave me immense knowledge in Geology during his field inspections in the Southern Transport Development Project (STDP).

I would also grateful to Mr.D.B.Wanasinghe and Col. Nissanka N Wijerathne, the then Director, Construction Management Division of RDA and Project Director, STDP respectively for the sponsorships given and granting leave me in the stages of Post Graduate Diploma and during the preparation of this thesis.

Further I appreciate the assistance received from Mr. Peter Padmore, Senior Pavement and Material Engineer of M/S Roughtons International and my colleague Mr.Saman Piyasena, Engineer seconded by RDA to the Roughtons International for his assistance in various respects.

I would also like to thank Mr. I.C.R Fernando, the Geotechnical Specialist attached to Halcrow, at the Southern Transport Development Project for his valuable technical advises in studying the Slope W computer package.

Many thanks are due to University of Moratuwa for services provided during the research.

C.G.Senarathne

30-01-2009

CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
ABSTRACT	i.
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	ii.
CONTENTS	iii.
List of Figures	v.
List of Tables	viii.
Chapter 1- Introduction.	
1.1 Background.	1
1.2 Weathering Profiles in Residual Soils.	1
1.3 Orientation of Relict Joints.	2
1.4 Unsaturated Conditions and Effects of Rain fall.	2
1.5 Computation of Factors of Safety.	2
1.6 Need for a Probabilistic Type of Analysis.	3
1.7 Computation of Risk.	3
1.8 Outline of the Thesis.	4
Chapter 2. Modes of Slope Failures in Residual Soils.	
2.1. Modes of Slope Failures.	5
2.2. Modes of Slope Failures In Residual Soils.	8
2.3. Shear Strength Theories for Both Saturated and Unsaturated Soils.	13
2.4. Methods of Stability Evaluation Considered in this Research.	14
Chapter 3. Assessment of Cut Slope Stability in a Probabilistic Frame Work	
3.1 Introduction.	16
3.2 Different Methods of Quantifying the Variability of Factor of Safety.	16

3.2.1 First Order Second Moment Method.	16
3.2.2 Monte Carlo Approach.	17
3.3 Methods Adopted in this Research.	18
Chapter 4: Analysis of Some Typical Cut Slope Sections.	
4.1 Sub-Soil Profiles and Engineering Characteristics.	19
4.2 Different Design Scenarios.	19
4.2.1 Low Ground Water Table with soils above GWT in an Unsaturated State. (Scenario 1).	20
4.2.2 Low Ground Water Table with Soils Above GWT in a Fully Saturated State. (Scenario 2).	24
4.2.3 Saturated High Ground Water Table (Scenario 3).	28
4.2.4 Saturated With Low Ground Water Table by Sub Surface Drains. (Scenario 4).	33
4.2.5 Stabilized by Soil Nailing With Saturated Lowered Ground Water Table. (Scenario 5)	33
Chapter 5 Use of the Probabilistic Computation in Decision making on Stabilizing Measures	
5.1 Computation of Annual Probability.	39
5.2 Possible Stabilization Measures.	39
5.3 Low Ground Water Table With Unsaturated Soils Above Ground Water Table.	42
5.4 Low Ground Water Table With Soil Above GWT in a Saturated State.	42
5.5 High Ground Water Table With Soil Above GWT in a Saturated State.	42
5.6 Soil Nailing Option.	44
5.7 Cost of Remediation.	44
5.8 Concluding Comments.	46
Chapter 6: Conclusions.	47
References.	48

LIST OF FIGURES

	<u>Page</u>
Figure 2.1- Failure Modes in Rocks.	6
Figure 2.2- Sliding Modes of Failure in Soils.	6
Figure 2.3- Flows in Soils.	7
Figure 2.4-The slide has ended as a Flow. (Ratnapura District May 2003)	7
Figure 2.5- A Fall Toppling Mode of Failure.	8
Figure 2.6- Rapid Variation in the Degree of Weathering (Km.13+000 STDP-ADB Section.)	9
Figure 2.7- Weathering Profiles in Igneous and Metamorphic Soils.	10
Figure 2.8- Classification System for Weathering of Residual Soils. (Geological Society of London 1990)	11
Figure 2.9-Charnokitic Boudings Surrounded by Completely Weathered Soil. (Km. 4+300 STDP-ADB Section)	12
Figure 2.10- Typical Changes in Water Table, Degree of Saturation (s) and Pore Water Pressure (u) due to rainfall- Geotechnical Manual for Slopes, Hong Kong.	14
Figure 3.1-Typical Probabbility Density Function Illustrated in Slope W.	18
Figure 4.1- Critical Failure Surface- Circular Mode of Failure-Section 4+380 (RHS) Under Low Ground Water Table With Soils Above GWT in an Un-saturated State.	21
Figure 4.2- Critical Failure Surface- Non-Circular mode of Failure-Section 4+380 (RHS) Under Low Ground Water Table With Soils above GWT in an Un-saturated State.	21
Figure 4.3- Critical Failure Surface- Circular mode of Failure-Section 38+940 (LHS) Under Low Ground Water Table with Soils Above GWT in an Un-saturated State.	22
Figure 4.4- Critical Failure Surface- Non-Circular mode of Failure-Section 38+940 (LHS) Under Low Ground Water Table with Soils Above GWT in an Un-saturated State.	22
Figure 4.5- Critical Failure Surface- Circular mode of Failure-Section 41+680 (RHS) Under Low Ground Water Table with Soils Above GWT in an Un-saturated State.	23
Figure 4.6- Critical Failure Surface- Non-Circular mode of Failure-Section 41+680 (RHS) Under Low Ground Water Table with Soils Above GWT in an Un-saturated State.	23
Figure 4.7- Typical Variation of Suction with Infiltration. (After Rahardjo et al (2000))	24

Figure 4.8- Critical Failure Surface- Circular Mode of Failure-Section 4+380 (RHS) Under Low Ground Water Table With Soils Above GWT is in Fully Saturated State.	25
Figure 4.9- Critical Failure Surface- Non-Circular Mode of Failure-Section 4+380 (RHS) Under Low Ground Water Table With Soils Above GWT is in Fully Saturated State.	25
Figure 4.10- Critical Failure Surface- Circular Mode of Failure-Section 38+940 (LHS) Under Low Ground Water Table With Soils Above GWT is in Fully Saturated State.	26
Figure 4.11- Critical Failure Surface- Non-Circular Mode of Failure-Section 38+940 (LHS) Under Low Ground Water Table With Soils Above GWT is in Fully Saturated State.	26
Figure 4.12- Critical Failure Surface- Circular Mode of Failure-Section 41+680 (RHS) Under Low Ground Water Table With Soils Above GWT is in Fully Saturated State.	27
Figure 4.13- Critical Failure Surface- Non-Circular Mode of Failure-Section 41+680 (RHS) Under Low Ground Water Table With Soils Above GWT is in Fully Saturated State.	27
Figure 4.14- Critical Failure Surface- Circular Mode of Failure-Section 4+380 (RHS) Under High Ground Water Table With Soils is in Saturated State.	29
Figure 4.15- Critical Failure Surface- Non-Circular Mode of Failure-Section 4+380 (RHS) Under High Ground Water Table With Soils is in Saturated State.	29
Figure 4.16- Critical Failure Surface- Circular Mode of Failure-Section 38+940 (LHS) Under High Ground Water Table With Soils is in Saturated State.	30
Figure 4.17- Critical Failure Surface- Non-Circular Mode of Failure-Section 38+940 (LHS) Under High Ground Water Table With Soils is in Saturated State.	30
Figure 4.18- Critical Failure Surface- Circular Mode of Failure-Section 41+680 (RHS) Under High Ground Water Table With Soils is in Saturated State.	31
Figure 4.19- Critical Failure Surface- Non Circular Mode of Failure-Section 41+680 (RHS) Under High Ground Water Table With Soils is in Saturated State.	31
Figure 4.20- Critical Failure Surface- Circular Mode of Failure-Section 41+680 (RHS) for Elevated WT	32
Figure 4.21- Critical Failure Surface- Non Circular Mode of Failure-Section 41+680 (RHS) for Elevated WT	32
Figure 4.22- Critical Failure Surface- Circular Mode of Failure-Section 4+380 (RHS) Under Lowered Ground Water Table With Soils is in Saturated State: Stabilized by Soil Nailing.	34

Figure 4.23-Critical Failure Surface-Non Circular Mode of Failure-Section 4+380(RHS) Under Lowered Ground Water Table With Soils is in Saturated State: Stabilized by Soil Nailing.	34
Figure 4.24-Critical Failure Surface- Circular Mode of Failure-Section 38+940 (LHS) Under Lowered Ground Water Table With Soils is in Saturated State: Stabilized by Soil Nailing.	35
Figure 4.25-Critical Failure Surface- Non Circular Mode of Failure-Section 38+940 (LHS) Under Lowered Ground Water Table With Soils Is in Saturated State: Stabilized By Soil Nailing.	35
Figure 4.26- Critical Failure Surface- Circular Mode of Failure-Section 41+680 (RHS) Under Lowered Ground Water Table With Soils is in Saturated State: Stabilized by Soil Nailing. -	36
Figure 4.27- Critical Failure Surface- Non Circular Mode of Failure-Section 41+680 (RHS) Under Lowered Ground Water Table With Soils is in Saturated State: Stabilized by Soil Nailing.	36
Figure 4.28- Critical Failure Surface- Circular Mode of Failure-Section 4+380 (RHS) - Under High Ground Water Table With Soils is in Saturated State: Stabilized by Soil Nailing. (Scenario 6)	37
Figure 4.29- Critical Failure Surface- Non Circular Mode of Failure-Section 4+380 (RHS) - Under High Ground Water Table With Soils is in Saturated State: Stabilized by Soil Nailing. (Scenario 6)	37
Figure 4.30- Critical Failure Surface-Circular Mode of Failure-Section 38+940 (LHS) - Saturated High Ground Water Table Stabilized by Soil Nailing (Scenario 6)	38
Figure 4.31- Critical Failure Surface- Non Circular Mode of Failure-Section 38+940 (LHS) - Saturated High Ground Water Table Stabilized by Soil Nailing (Scenario 6)	38
Figure 5.1- Open Trapezoidal Drain and Cascade Drop at km.9+170(LHS) of STDP-ADB Section	40
Figure 5.2- U-Type Drain and Cascade Drop at km.1+060(RHS) of STDP -ADB Section	41
Figure 5.3- Erosion Protection System at km.35+270(LHS) of STDP -ADB Section	41
Figure 5.4- Comparison between HWT and LWT Cases in Section 4+380 (RHS)	45
Figure 5.5- Arrangement of Sub Horizontal Drains in Section 4+380 (RHS)	45

LIST OF TABLES

	<u>Page</u>
Table 4.1-FOS and Probability of Failure Values for Scenario 1-Low Ground Water Table With Soils Above GWT in an Un-saturated State.	20
Table 4.2-FOS and Probability of Failure Values for Scenario 2- Low Ground Water Table With Soils Above GWT is in Fully Saturated State.	24
Table 4.3-FOS and Probability of Failure Values for Scenario 3-High Ground Water Table With Soils is in Saturated State.	28
Table 4.4- FOS and Probability of Failure Values for Scenario 5- Lowered Ground Water Table With Soils is in Saturated State: Stabilized by Soil Nailing.	33
Table 4.5- FOS and Probability of Failure Values for Scenario 6- high Ground Water Table With Soils is in Saturated State: Stabilized by Soil Nailing.	33
Table 5.1-Values in Probability of Failures and Annual Probability of Failures Under Unsaturated low Ground Water State.	42
Table 5.2-Values of Probability of Failures and Annual Probability of Failures Under Saturated Low Ground Water State.	42
Table 5.3- Values of Probability of Failures and Annual Probability of Failures Under Saturated High Ground Water State. (For 10 year)	43
Table 5.4- Values of Probability of Failures and Annual Probability of Failures Under saturated High Ground Water state. (For 50 year)	43
Table 5.5 - Summary of Unit Cost of the Structures.	44
Table 5.6- Details of the Structures Proposed.	44
Table 5.7- Cost Involvements for all Types of Structures Proposed.	45
Table 5.8- Cost Involvements for the Installation of Sub Horizontal Drains.	46
Table 5.9- Tentative Cost Involvement for the Installation of Soil Nails.	46