

**INNOVATIVE APPROACH TO PRODUCE  
SOIL-BASED BUILDING PRODUCTS**

Samarappuli Nirosha Malkanthi

(178067M)

Degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Civil Engineering

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

April 2021

# **INNOVATIVE APPROACH TO PRODUCE SOIL-BASED BUILDING PRODUCTS**

Samarappuli Nirosha Malkanthi

(178067M)

Dissertation submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the Degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy in Civil Engineering

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

April 2021

## **Declaration of Candidate and Supervisor**

“I declare that this is my own work and this thesis/dissertation does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my thesis/dissertation, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).”

Signature: ***UOM Verified Signature*** Date: 27.04.2020

The above candidate has carried out research for the PhD Dissertation under my supervision.

Name of the supervisor: A.A.D.A.J. Perera

Signature of the supervisor:

Date:

## **Abstract**

### **Innovative Approach to Produce Soil-Based Building Products**

Keywords: Reduced Clay & Silt, Soil Washing, Soil Blocks, Roof Tiles, Burnt-Bricks

Soil as a building material has been used in different forms which include mud, adobe, rammed earth and bricks. Also, Compressed Stabilized Earth Blocks (CSEBs), a form of soil blocks with different additives, including cement, fly ash, and lime, have been investigated by many researchers for their advantageous properties. A literature review established that compressive strength significantly depends on the clay and silt (finer) content and 25% finer content produces the optimum results. However, investigators have not considered the amounts of other large particles. Furthermore, most of the researchers have adopted the method of adding different materials to soil in order to reduce the finer content in the soil. This study focused on an innovative approach to reduce finer content by washing and to utilize finer part of soil for different soil-based products simultaneously. Washing of soil is introduced as a method to reduce the finer content and soil with reduced finer content was investigated for production of compressed stabilized earth blocks. Further, the water recycling and its optimization for the washing process too was investigated. The separated finer content together with fly ash was investigated for the production of other soil-based productions namely roof tiles and burnt-bricks.

This study also investigated the use of theories of particle packing optimization which changed the soil grading while enhancing the properties of CSEBs. It established the changing of soil particles in different ranges to fit the soil grading to an optimization curve. Moreover, the use of fly ash as a finer replacement to the washed soil produced significant improvements in CSEB properties made with washed soil compared to that of CSEB made with un-washed soil. Laboratory testing clearly showed that the use of washed soil with 5%-10% finer content improved the compressive strength of the CSEB by 50% with different percentages of cement stabilization. In addition, significant improvements in dry densities and water absorption ratios of blocks were also observed with this particle size distribution modification. Industrial scaled soil blocks made with the selected optimum finer content of 7.5% and 7% cement stabilization verified that the blocks properties meet the requirements given in the standards SLS 1382 and SLS 855.

The soil washing process reduced the finer content in the soil producing a considerable amount of finer that could be used to produce building materials like roof tiles and burnt bricks. This extracted finer alone was difficult to be used, however adding fly ash gave significant enhancements to properties of roof tiles and burnt bricks. In fact, the study showed that 25% addition of fly ash to the extracted finer was more desirable. Roof tiles made with extracted finer and 25% of fly ash reported that the breaking strength, water absorption and permeability of tiles meet the requirements given in SLS 2. Burnt bricks made with extracted finer and 25% of fly ash also reported that the compressive strength, water absorption, and efflorescence meet the requirements given in SLS 39. Moreover, the properties of wire-cut bricks made with extracted finer and 25% fly ash also satisfied the requirements in SLS 39. The process optimization established that up to 60% water can be re-cycled. Cost analysis for the building materials considered in this study showed that the unit price of each product was significantly low compared to the current market price. Therefore, further research could be done to streamline all the processes and products considered in this study.

## **Acknowledgement**

Without the guidance and encouragement of many well-meaning people, this thesis might not be a successful one. First and foremost, I would like to express my heartfelt gratitude to my supervisor, Professor A.A.D.A.J. Perera, for his great teaching, suggestions and comments throughout my research. Professor Perera is a great mentor who guided while giving me all the freedom and encouragement to accompany with my ideas. Next, my deepest gratitude goes to Professor Anura Nanayakkara, and Professor Kumari Gamage for their continuous encouragement, comments and guidance as my examiners of bi-annual review panels.

I graciously acknowledge the support of Senior Professor Sujeewa Amarasena, the Vice-Chancellor of the University of Ruhuna, Senior Professor Gamini Senanayake former Vice-Chancellor of the University of Ruhuna and Dr. Nayana Alagiyawanna, former Deputy Vice-Chancellor of the University of Ruhuna. Further, my sincere gratitude goes to Dr. Chandana Perera, former Dean, Faculty of Engineering and Professor Sudeera De Silva, former Head of the Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering for giving me all the necessary instruction and support. Thanks, are also to Dr. H.P. Sooriyaarachichi, Dean, Faculty of Engineering and Dr. Neetha Dayanthi, Head, Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering for their valuable support.

I wish to extend my sincere thanks for the support I received from all the Technical officers and Lab-Attendant of Department of Civil Engineering, University of Moratuwa. Very special and heartfelt thanks are for the colleagues in the Management Division including Ms. Priyantha, Rukma, Harsha, Sachindra, Rizna, Chameera and Himahamshi, Malsha for their gracious associations throughout the last three years.

Also, I thank all the academic and non-academic staff of the Faculty of Engineering, the University of Ruhuna for their kind-hearted help to fulfil my research work.

I acknowledge the sacrificial dedication of my loving husband Hasantha and our daughter Adithi for their encouragement and corporate by managing all the works while I was busy with my works on this research.

## Table of Contents

Declaration of Candidate and Supervisor .....	i
Abstract .....	ii
Acknowledgement .....	iii
Table of Contents .....	iv
List of Figures .....	viii
List of Tables .....	xi
CHAPTER 1 : INTRODUCTION .....	1
1.1. General Introduction .....	1
1.2. Research Aim and Objectives .....	4
1.3. Problem Definition .....	5
1.4. Expected Advantages of the Research Project .....	7
1.5. Organization of the Thesis .....	7
1.6. Summary .....	8
CHAPTER 2 : LITERATURE REVIEW .....	9
2.1. General Introduction .....	9
2.2. Overall View of the Literature Review .....	9
2.3. Use of Earth as a Building Material .....	11
2.4. Compressed Stabilised Earth Blocks .....	12
2.5. Advantages of Compressed Stabilized Earth Blocks .....	13
2.6. Raw Materials for CSEB Production .....	15
2.6.1. Clay and Silt .....	16
2.6.2. Gravel and Sand .....	18
2.6.3. Water Content .....	18
2.6.4. Soil Stabilization .....	19

2.7. Characteristics of CSEB .....	21
2.7.1. Compressive Strength .....	22
2.7.2. Durability .....	24
2.7.3. Dry Density .....	26
2.8. Compaction Methods and Production Alternatives .....	28
2.9. Curing Methods .....	28
2.10. Durability of Walls with CSEB .....	29
2.10.1. Durability Problems .....	30
2.11. Application of Particle Packing Technology for CSEB .....	32
2.11.1. Concept of Particle Packing Density .....	32
2.12. Use of Fly Ash for CSEB Production .....	37
2.12.1. Use of Fly Ash for Building Materials Production .....	38
2.13. Lime Stabilization for Compressed Stabilized Earth Blocks .....	40
2.14. Soil Washing Procedure .....	42
2.15. Clay Roof Tiles and Bricks Production .....	44
2.15.1. Properties of Roof Tiles and its Raw Materials .....	44
2.15.2. Properties of Burnt bricks and its raw Materials .....	46
2.16. Findings from Literature Review .....	47
2.17. Summary .....	48
<b>CHAPTER 3 : RESEARCH METHODOLOGY .....</b>	<b>49</b>
3.1. General Introduction .....	49
3.2. Overall Study Programme .....	49
3.3. Soil Washing .....	51
3.4. Required Materials for CSEB .....	54
3.5. Mix Proportion for CSEB .....	54

3.6. Casting of CSEB .....	56
3.7. Tests on CSEB .....	58
3.8. Manufacturing of Industrial Scaled CSEB .....	62
3.9. Required Materials for Roof Tiles .....	64
3.10. Production of Roof Tiles .....	64
3.11. Tests on Roof Tiles .....	65
3.12. Required Materials for Bricks .....	67
3.13. Production of Bricks.....	68
3.14. Tests on Bricks .....	71
3.15. Summary .....	76
CHAPTER 4 : RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....	77
4.1. General Introduction .....	77
4.2. Soil Washing Procedure .....	77
4.3. Properties of Materials .....	83
4.4. Applicability of Particle Packing Concept.....	84
4.5. Performance of CSEB with Reduced Clay and Silt .....	85
4.5.1. Dry Compressive Strength of CSEB with Reduced Clay and Silt .....	87
4.5.2. Wet Compressive Strength of CSEB with Reduced Clay and Silt.....	93
4.5.3. Dry Densities and Water Absorption of CSEB with Reduced Clay and Silt .....	95
4.5.4. Durability Characteristics of CSEB with Reduced Clay and Silt.....	100
4.6. Performance of Industrial Scaled Blocks .....	102
4.7. Performance of CSEB with Fly Ash Replacement as Finer.....	103
4.7.1. Properties of Used Fly ash (Particle size distribution, Specific gravity) .....	104
4.7.2. Mix of Soil and Fly Ash .....	104
4.7.3. Compressive Strength of CSEB with Fly Ash Replacement.....	105



4.7.4. Comparison with Soil Blocks .....	107
4.7.5. Comparison with Standards .....	110
4.8. Performance of Roof Tiles .....	112
4.8.1. Test Results for Roof Tiles .....	116
4.9. Performance of Clay Bricks .....	117
4.10. Summary .....	126
<b>CHAPTER 5 : COST ANALYSIS OF PRODUCTS .....</b>	<b>127</b>
5.1. General Introduction .....	127
5.2. Cost Calculation for Soil Washing.....	127
5.3. Cost Calculation for CSEB Production.....	129
5.4. Cost Calculation for CSEB with fly ash.....	131
5.5. Cost Calculation for Burnt Bricks .....	134
5.6. Cost Calculation for Roof tiles.....	134
5.7. Summary .....	135
<b>CHAPTER 6 : CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORKS.....</b>	<b>136</b>
6.1. General Introduction .....	136
6.2. Conclusions .....	136
6.3. Recommendation for further studies .....	139
<b>REFERENCES .....</b>	<b>141</b>

## List of Figures

Figure 1-1: Overall Study Programme.....	6
Figure 2-1: Overall Picture of the Literature Review .....	10
Figure 2-2: Relationship of Dry Compressive Strength and Clay Content as per Walker [7] .....	20
Figure 2-3: Compressive Strength Variation with Clay Content as per Heathcote [17] .....	23
Figure 2-4: Effect of Cement Content on Compressive Strength .....	23
Figure 2-5: Relationship between Average Drying Shrinkage and Clay Content: Walker[7] .....	25
Figure 2-6: Optimum Densities of Different Types of Soils .....	27
Figure 2-7: Illustration of the Particle Packing Concept [80] .....	33
Figure 2-8: Graphical Representation of Theoretical Optimization Curves of Particle Packing.....	35
Figure 2-9: Compressive Strength Variation with Fly Ash [90].....	39
Figure 3-1: Overall View of the Experimental Study .....	50
Figure 3-2: Use of Concrete Mixer for Soil Washing.....	52
Figure 3-3: Used soil (a), Separated Finer (b) and Gravel (c) .....	52
Figure 3-4: Sedimentation of Clay.....	53
Figure 3-5: Filtering of Clay for Drying .....	53
Figure 3-6: (a) Gravel (6-12) mm (b) Gravel (2-6) mm (c) Separated Fines .....	56
Figure 3-7: (a) Mix of Soil, (b) Soil Mixing .....	56
Figure 3-8: Use of Block Making Machine for CSEB Production .....	57
Figure 3-9: Cast Blocks and Compressive Test Procedure .....	58
Figure 3-10: Immersing of Blocks in Water .....	59
Figure 3-11: Accelerated Erosion Test Setup .....	60
Figure 3-12: Wetting Expansion and Drying Shrinkage Measurements .....	62
Figure 3-13: Industrial Scaled Block .....	63
Figure 3-14: Use of Amsler Testing Machine for Compressive Strength Testing ....	63
Figure 3-15: Preparation of Clay Mix for Roof Tiles Production.....	65
Figure 3-16: Tiles Immersed in Water .....	66

Figure 3-17: Transverse Strength Testing of Tiles .....	67
Figure 3-18: Clay Brick Making Process.....	68
Figure 3-19: Wooden Mould for Brick Making .....	69
Figure 3-20: Prepared Bricks with Wooden Mould.....	69
Figure 3-21: Burning of Bricks in the Furnace .....	70
Figure 3-22: Burnt Brick.....	70
Figure 3-23: Wire-cut bricks with fly ash.....	71
Figure 3-24: Summary of Tests for Clay Bricks.....	72
Figure 3-25: Preparation of Bricks for Compressive Test .....	73
Figure 3-26: Testing of Bricks for Compression Strength.....	73
Figure 3-27: Testing of Bricks for Flexural Strength .....	74
Figure 3-28: Schematic Diagram for the Testing of Strength Properties of bricks ...	74
Figure 3-29: Testing of Efflorescence of Bricks.....	76
Figure 4-1: Jar Test Procedure .....	78
Figure 4-2: Change of Sedimentation with Time and Number of Washing Cycle....	80
Figure 4-3: Amount of Sedimentation after 30 Minutes for Different Washing .....	81
Figure 4-4: Gravel Part of Soil after Three Consecutive Washings .....	82
Figure 4-5: Comparison of Particle Distribution of Used soil with Theoretical Distribution .....	85
Figure 4-6: Compressive Strength Behaviour of CSEB with Varying Cement Content for S1 Soil.....	86
Figure 4-7: Particle Size Distribution of the Modified Soil in Comparison to Particle Packing Models.....	89
Figure 4-8: 7 days Dry Compressive Strengths of CSEB with Modified Soil .....	90
Figure 4-9: 28 days Dry Compressive Strengths of CSEB with Modified Soil .....	91
Figure 4-10: 28-day Wet Compressive Strengths of CSEB with Modified Soil .....	93
Figure 4-11: Relationship between Dry Density and 28 days Dry Compressive Strength.....	97
Figure 4-12: Relationship between Water Absorption and 28 days Dry Compressive Strength.....	99
Figure 4-13: Erosion Test Results (a): Before testing, (b): After 15 minutes spray (c): After 30 minutes spray, (d): After 45 minutes spray, (e): After 1 hour spray .....	101

Figure 4-14: Particle Size Distribution of Fly Ash .....	104
Figure 4-15: Comparison of Particle Size Distribution .....	105
Figure 4-16: 7 Days Compressive Strength Results of Soil Blocks with Fly Ash ..	106
Figure 4-17: 28 Days Dry Compressive Strength Results of Soil Blocks with Fly Ash .....	106
Figure 4-18: 28 Days Wet Compressive Strength Results of Soil Blocks with Fly Ash .....	107
Figure 4-19: 28 Days Dry Compressive Strength of Blocks with Finer Replacement .....	108
Figure 4-20: (a) Sample Casting and (b) after 24 hours of Casting .....	112
Figure 4-21: Crack Control Test with Fly Ash Addition .....	113
Figure 4-22: XRD Pattern on Fly Ash .....	114
Figure 4-23: Variation of Linear Shrinkage with Fly Ash Content .....	115
Figure 4-24: Comparison of Particle Size Distribution of Market Clay and Extracted Clay with 25% Fly Ash Addition .....	116
Figure 4-25: SEM images of clay (a), fly ash (b) and clay mix with fly ash 20% (c), 25% (d), 30% (e), 40% (f), 50% (g) .....	118
Figure 4-26: Burnt Bricks with Different Fly Ash Contents .....	120
Figure 4-27: Dimension Variation of Burnt Bricks with Fly Ash .....	120
Figure 4-28: Compressive Strengths Variation of Burnt Bricks with Fly Ash % .....	122
Figure 4-29: Flexural Strengths Variation of Burnt Bricks with Fly Ash % .....	122
Figure 4-30: Density Variation of Burnt Bricks with Different Fly Ash % .....	124
Figure 4-31: Water Absorption Variation of Burnt Bricks with Different Fly Ash % .....	124
Figure 4-32: Wire-Cut Bricks made with Extracted Clay and Fly ash .....	125

## List of Tables

Table 2-1: Energy Emission Comparison between Different Types of Blocks.....	14
Table 2-2: Relationship of Compressive Strength and Clay Content as Presented by Walker[7] .....	17
Table 2-3: Physical Characteristics of CSEB (SLS 1382; Part 1) .....	22
Table 2-4: Summary of Literature Review on Durability of CSEB .....	31
Table 2-5: Experimental Results by Fennis and Walvaren (2012) .....	36
Table 2-6: Chemical Composition of Fly Ash.....	38
Table 2-7: Specific Requirements of Clay Roof Tiles [128] .....	45
Table 2-8: Specific Requirements of Burnt clay Bricks [133] .....	46
Table 3-1: Mix Proportion for Block Casting.....	55
Table 4-1: Turbidity Level with Alum and Polymer .....	78
Table 4-2: Change of Turbidity with Time.....	79
Table 4-3: Change of Sedimentation with Time and Number of Washing .....	79
Table 4-4: Cumulative Sedimentation for Different Washing Time and Number of Washing .....	81
Table 4-5: Finer Content of Soil with Consecutive Washing .....	82
Table 4-6: Atterberg limits and Specific Gravity of Soils .....	83
Table 4-7: Particle Size Distribution of Soils .....	83
Table 4-8: Blocks Properties with Different Soil Gradings.....	85
Table 4-9: Properties of CSEB with Varying Cement Content for S1 Soil.....	86
Table 4-10: Particle Size Distribution of S1 soil and Required Optimization Curve	87
Table 4-11: Amount of Particles of S1 Soil and Modified S1 Soil per 10 kg .....	88
Table 4-12: Relationship between 7 days and 28 days Compressive Strengths (%) .	91
Table 4-13: 28 days Dry Compressive Strengths Comparison with SLS 1382.....	92
Table 4-14: -28 days Wet Compressive Strengths Comparison with SLS 1382 .....	94
Table 4-15: Wet/Dry Compressive Strength Ratio .....	94
Table 4-16: Dry Densities and Water Absorption of CSEB with Modified Soil.....	96
Table 4-17: Correlation between Dry Density and Dry Compressive Strength .....	98
Table 4-18: Correlation between Water Absorption and Dry Compressive Strength .....	100

Table 4-19: Wetting Expansion and Drying Shrinkage of CSEB.....	102
Table 4-20: Properties of Industrial Scaled Blocks .....	103
Table 4-21: Comparison of Compressive Strengths when Fly Ash as a Finer and Silt & Clay as a Finer .....	109
Table 4-22: Comparison of Dry Density and Water Absorption when Fly Ash as a Finer and Silt & Clay as a Finer.....	110
Table 4-23: Summary of Compressive Strength Results .....	111
Table 4-24: Densities and Water Absorption Results .....	111
Table 4-25: Chemical Analysis of Fly Ash.....	113
Table 4-26: Properties of Clay mixed with Fly Ash .....	114
Table 4-27: Plasticity Types .....	115
Table 4-28: Comparison of Market Roof Tiles and Extracted Clay Roof Tiles .....	117
Table 4-29: Dimension Variation of Bricks.....	119
Table 4-30: Compressive Strengths and Flexural Strengths of Bricks .....	121
Table 4-31: Density and Water Absorption of Bricks .....	123
Table 4-32: Properties of Wire-cut Bricks .....	125
Table 5-1: Unit Cost of Resources Used for CSEB .....	129
Table 5-2: Cost Analysis of CSEB with 10% Cement.....	130
Table 5-3: Cost Analysis of CSEB with 8% Cement .....	130
Table 5-4: Cost Analysis of CSEB with 6% Cement .....	131
Table 5-5: Cost Analysis of CSEB with Fly Ash for 10% Cement.....	132
Table 5-6: Cost Analysis of CSEB with Fly Ash for 8% Cement.....	132
Table 5-7: Cost Analysis of CSEB with Fly Ash for 6% Cement.....	133
Table 5-8: Summary of Cost Calculation for Soil Blocks .....	133
Table 5-9: Cost of Burnt Bricks with Extracted Clay .....	134