

**STUDY ON CONCRETE INFILLED TUBES AS  
COMPRESSION MEMBERS IN TRUSS TYPE LIGHT  
VEHICULAR BRIDGES**

P. B. M. R. Bogahawaththa

198023U

Degree of Master of Science

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

April 2021

**STUDY ON CONCRETE INFILLED TUBES AS  
COMPRESSION MEMBERS IN TRUSS TYPE LIGHT  
VEHICULAR BRIDGES**

P. B. M. R. Bogahawaththa

198023U

Thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree Master of  
Science in Civil Engineering

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

April 2021

## DECLARATION

I declare that this is my own work and this thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my thesis, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books)

.....

Date:

P. B. M. R. Bogahawaththa

The above candidate has carried out research for the Masters under my supervision.

.....

Date:

Dr. H. D. Hidallana-Gamage

.....

Date:

Dr. K. Baskaran

## **ABSTRACT**

Applicability of concrete filled steel tubes (CFST) for compression members in truss type steel light vehicular bridges is studied in this research as an economical solution for the lack of light vehicular bridges in Sri Lanka. There are many places in Sri Lanka where people have to travel long distance to cross rivers or access the nearby city for their day to day needs. CFST is a composite material which is getting more popular in the civil engineering industry. So, it is important to examine the properties of CFST as a composite material. The use of CFST in truss bridges has several advantages such as deflection reduction of the bridge, improved seismic performance, improved load carrying capacity, dynamic performance, and cost reduction. To achieve an economical structural performance, CFST section has to be designed properly. Optimum positions to use CFST have to be identified depending on the truss type. Replacing larger steel I sections with CFST will reduce the cost since the same amount of force can be carried out with less steel amount in CFST. Also, the tendency to local buckling is reduced when thinner sections are filled with concrete. Therefore, to achieve a higher span with a lesser cost (steel tonnage), usage of CFST sections for compression members have been accessed in this study. Also, the tendency to local buckling was examined with experimental and numerical simulations. Hence first, a desk study was carried out focusing Gin Ganga area to identify the problems in the area due to lack of bridges. Two experimental model bridges were tested in this study as one with only hollow aluminium tubes and other with cement grout filled in selected top chord members. Using a real scale numerical simulation of a CFST bridge model, practical applicability and cost figures were compared with a conventional steel truss bridge.

**Keywords:** truss type steel light vehicular bridges, concrete filled steel tubes, composite material, axial compression, finite element modelling

## **DEDICATION**

To my loving parents, brothers and sisters for encouraging me throughout the milestones of my life and my supervisor, Dr. Hidallana-Gamage H. D. and co-supervisor Dr. Baskaran K. for the unwavering motivation and mentorship they provided.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

First and foremost, I would like to express my sincere gratitude towards my supervisor, Dr. Hidallana-Gamage H. D. for motivating me to explore the bridge engineering arena and supporting me to build up my research. His invaluable guidance to help me keep up with the timeline and produce results, while overcoming the hurdles is greatly acknowledged. I would also like to express my thanks to my co-supervisor, Dr. Baskaran K., for the enthusiasm and motivation he planted in me to pursue my research.

Next, I extend my sincere gratitude to Prof. M. T. R. Jayasinghe and Dr. (Mrs.) J. C. P. H. Gamage for their valuable comments, recommendations and suggestions given during the progress reviews which helped me to further improve my work. Then I would like to thank Dr. (Mrs.) M. T. P. Hettiarachchi and Dr. G. Tharmarajah for their valuable comments and recommendations given as members of the examination panel. I would also like to take this opportunity to express my sincere gratitude to all the academic staff of the Department of Civil Engineering, University of Moratuwa, for laying a strong technical foundation in my undergraduate studies.

I thank my fellow research assistants for the constructive discussions we had that supported me in using numerous approaches to overcome research questions, and I appreciate the support they have given for conducting the laboratory experiments. I would also like to thank the technical staff members in the Department of Civil Engineering, who supported me in building the experimental models. I am also grateful to all other academic and non-academic staff of the Department of Civil Engineering and my colleagues for their support during the research.

Finally, I would like to thank the Senate Research Grants Committee (SRC) for the financial assistance provided throughout this project.

## CONTENTS

Declaration .....	i
Abstract .....	ii
Dedication .....	iii
Acknowledgement .....	iv
List of Figures .....	x
List of Tables .....	xiv
1. Background .....	1
1.1. Introduction .....	1
1.2. Importance and Identification of Knowledge Gap .....	3
1.3. Aim and Objectives of the Research .....	4
1.4. Methodology .....	4
1.5. Significance of the research .....	5
1.6. Outline of the Thesis .....	6
2. Review of previous literature .....	7
2.1. Background .....	7
2.2. Concrete filled steel tubes .....	8
2.2.1. Behaviour of CFST under different stress conditions .....	9
2.2.2. Effect of corrosion on CFST .....	13
2.2.3. Constructability .....	15
2.3. Truss Bridges .....	16
2.3.1. Introduction .....	16
2.3.2. Truss bridges in Sri Lanka .....	18
2.3.3. Force distribution in truss bridges .....	19
2.4. CFST in truss bridges .....	19
2.4.1. World practice .....	21

2.4.2. Sri Lankan practice .....	22
2.5. Theoretical analysis of steel tubes for compression .....	22
2.5.1. Hollow steel tubes .....	22
2.5.2. CFST sections .....	23
2.6. Finite element modelling .....	24
2.6.1. Introduction .....	24
2.6.2. Mander, Priesley and Park (1988) .....	25
2.6.3. Hu et al. (2007). .....	26
2.6.4. Moon et al. (2012).....	31
2.7. Cost benefit analysis .....	33
2.8. Chapter Summary .....	35
3. Desk Study .....	37
3.1. Existing Pedestrian bridge types and condition in Sri Lanka .....	37
3.2. Reasons for the scarcity of pedestrian bridges in necessary areas .....	40
3.3. Preliminary truss analysis using numerical software.....	41
3.3.1. Finite element (FE) modelling .....	47
3.3.2. Span Vs. Tonnage graphs .....	47
3.3.3. Loads on bridges .....	48
3.3.4. Discussion of results .....	52
3.4. Identification of the problems in the existing pedestrian bridges and propose strengthening measures .....	53
3.5. Chapter Summary .....	55
4. EXPERIMENTAL PROGRAM PHASE 1 - Compression testing of square hollow GI sections and CFST .....	57
4.1. Introduction.....	57
4.2. Theoretical prediction of the failure loads and modes.....	59



4.3. Failure loads and modes obtained from the experiment .....	62
4.4. Comparison of the experimental results with the theoretical predictions .....	67
4.5. Strength enhancement of CFST compared to hollow GI tubes .....	69
4.6. Chapter Summary .....	72
5. Experimental Program Phase 2 – Testing model bridges .....	74
5.1. Introduction.....	74
5.2. Details of the model bridges .....	74
5.3. Tensile test on Aluminium sections.....	76
5.4. Compression testing of Aluminium hollow and Aluminium grout filled tubes (GFAT).....	77
5.4.1. Theoretical prediction of the failure loads and modes.....	77
5.4.2. Experimental failure loads and modes.....	78
5.4.3. Comparison of the experimental failure loads and modes with theoretical predictions.....	80
5.4.4. Strength enhancement of GFAT compared to hollow Aluminium tubes .....	81
5.5. Laboratory testing of model bridges.....	82
5.5.1. Predicting the theoretical failure loads of the bridge models .....	84
5.5.2. Experimental model loading.....	85
5.5.3. Experimental test results.....	87
5.5.4. Comparison of the experimental results with the theoretical predictions .....	93
5.5.5. Strength enhancement in the truss with GFAT (Truss B) compared to that with Aluminium hollow sections (Truss A).....	95
5.6. Chapter Summary .....	96

6. Numerical analysis .....	98
6.1. Introduction .....	98
6.2. FEM Software .....	98
6.3. FEM Details .....	98
6.3.1. Concrete .....	98
6.3.2. Steel.....	103
6.3.3. Parts.....	103
6.3.4. Interaction .....	104
6.3.5. Loading and Boundary Conditions .....	104
6.4. Results of FEM .....	104
6.5. Chapter Summary .....	110
7. Application of cfst in truss type light vehicular bridges .....	111
7.1. Introduction .....	111
7.2. Methodology .....	111
7.3. FE Analysis of the selected bridge types .....	112
7.3.1. Bridge loading.....	112
7.3.2. Material properties .....	113
7.4. Design of CFST truss bridge.....	113
7.5. Results and comparison .....	116
7.6. Feasibility study .....	117
7.6.1. Economic feasibility .....	117
7.7. Constructability .....	120
7.8. Chapter summary .....	120
8. Conclusion .....	122
Recommendation for future work .....	126
REFERENCE.....	127

ANNEX..... 131

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1: CFSTs with different shapes (Han et al., 2014).....	8
Figure 2.2: Comparison of compression capacity among materials (Han et al., 2014) .....	8
Figure 2.3: Comparison of results (Han et al.,2011).....	11
Figure 2.4: (a) & (b) - Typical $N_{t-\varepsilon}$ curves (stress strain curve) .....	12
Figure 2.5: Relative strength of corroded specimen Han et al. (2011) .....	15
Figure 2.6: Casting of a column on site and a cross-section of CFST.....	16
Figure 2.7: Different truss types used for the bridges (Miss, n.d.) .....	17
Figure 2.8: Truss types according to deck location. (A) Deck truss. (B) Half-through truss. (C) Through truss (Weiwei L., 2017) .....	17
Figure 2.9: Different truss bridges in Sri Lanka .....	18
Figure 2.10: Force distribution of a truss bridge.....	19
Figure 2.11: Side view and end condition details of the truss (Fong et. al, 2011) .....	20
.....	
Figure 2.12: Load vs. deflection curve (Fong et. al, 2011).....	20
Figure 2.13: Arch bridges constructed in China .....	22
Figure 2.14: Rectangular and square sections design details (EN 1994-1-1) .....	23
Figure 2.15 Stress-strain curve .....	26
Figure 2.16 Drucker-Prager yield criterion.....	27
Figure 2.17 Finite element model and mesh details (Hu et al., 2011) .....	29
Figure 2.18: Axial strain vs.axial force (Hibbitt et. al., 2000).....	31
Figure 2.19: Finite element details and P (FEM)/P(test) vs D/t graph.....	32
Figure 2.20: Stress-strain curves of concrete and steel (Hu et al., 2011).....	33
Figure 3.1: School children crossing over a river .....	37
Figure 3.2: Carrying a dead body across a river .....	37
Figure 3.3: Crossing the river using a boat .....	37
Figure 3.4: Old pedestrian bridge in poor condition in Kothmale.....	39
Figure 3.5: Variable height Gangasiriya Bridge .....	40

Figure 3.6: Broken old bridge parts used by villagers to cross the river in Lankagama .....	40
Figure 3.7 Different truss types (Baskaran <i>et.al.</i> , 2011).....	43
Figure 3.8: Span vs. tonnage graphs for different truss types (Baskaran <i>et.al.</i> ,2011) .....	44
Figure 3.9: Minimum headroom requirement (Keil, 2013) .....	46
Figure 3.10: FE model of a Pratt truss (Baskaran et al., 2011).....	47
Figure 3.11: Span vs.tonnage graphs for Modified Warren truss type .....	50
Figure 3.12: Span vs.tonnage graphs for Pratt truss type .....	50
Figure 3.13: Span vs.tonnage graphs for Parker truss type.....	51
Figure 3.14: Span vs.tonnage graphs for three trusses.....	51
Figure 3.15: Parts of the old bridge under existing Ehalakanda Bridge .....	53
Figure 3.16: Failed Ehalakanda Bridge when launching .....	54
Figure 4.1: Compressive load test of hollow GI section (1.5 m high, 1.2 mm thick) .....	58
Figure 4.2: Compressive load test of CFST grade 40 section (1.5 m high, 1.2 mm thick) .....	58
Figure 4.3: Failure modes of the 1 m height hollow specimens .....	63
Figure 4.4: Failure modes of the 1.5 m height hollow specimens .....	64
Figure 4.5: Failure modes of the 1 m height CFST .....	65
Figure 4.6: Failure modes of the 1.5 m height CFST .....	66
Figure 4.7: Comparison of experimental and theoretical failure loads of the 1 m height specimens.....	70
Figure 4.8: Comparison of experimental and theoretical failure loads of the 1.5 m height specimens.....	70
Figure 5.1: Dimensions of the selected truss bridge for testing .....	74
Figure 5.2: Aluminum cross-section details .....	75

Figure 5.3: Cross-section dimensions .....	75
Figure 5.4: Compression members which are prone to fail in buckling .....	76
Figure 5.5: Dimensions of tested specimens (in mm).....	76
Figure 5.6: Hounsfield Tensiometer .....	76
Figure 5.7: Testing of individual members of model trusses.....	77
Figure 5.8: Samples of Aluminium specimens after testing .....	79
Figure 5.9: Compressive strength of individual Aluminum members.....	81
Figure 5.10: Side view of the bridge model.....	82
Figure 5.11: Sectional view of the bridge model .....	83
Figure 5.12: Concrete grout infilled members in truss B.....	83
Figure 5.13: Axial force diagram of Truss A at the theoretical failure load of 2.98 kN.....	84
Figure 5.14: Axial force diagram of Truss B at the theoretical failure load of 3.81 kN.....	85
Figure 5.15: Support conditions of tested trusses .....	86
Figure 5.16: Loading sequence.....	86
Figure 5.17: Loading of truss bridge in the laborotary .....	87
Figure 5.18: Dial gauge setup .....	87
Figure 5.19: Failure mode of truss A .....	88
Figure 5.20: Failure mode of truss B .....	88
Figure 5.21: Initiating point of the crack of truss A .....	89
Figure 5.22: Initiating point of failure for the truss B.....	89
Figure 5.23: Comparison of failure modes of truss A and B .....	89
Figure 5.24: Load vs. Deflection curves obtained for different dial gauges for truss A .....	91
Figure 5.25: Load vs. Deflection curves obtained from different dial gauges for truss B .....	92
Figure 5.26: Axial force diagram of Truss A at experimental failure load of 4.25 kN.....	93
Figure 5.27: Axial force diagram of Truss B at experimental failure load of 5.04 kN.....	93

Figure 6.1: Response of concrete to uniaxial loading in compression and tension.....	112
Figure 6.2: Modified Compressive stress-strain model for ABAQUS .....	114
Figure 6.3: Modified Tension stiffening model for ABAQUS.....	103
Figure 6.4: Failure modes of the Class 4 hollow GI sections (local buckling) as seen from numerical analysis.....	105
Figure 6.5: Comparison of failure modes of hollow GI sections (1.2 mm thick) between experimental and numerical results .....	106
Figure 6.6: Comparison of failure modes of hollow GI sections between experimental and numerical results .....	106
Figure 6.7: Comparison of failure modes of CFST specimens between experimental and numerical results .....	107
Figure 7.1: Developed FE Models of the selected truss bridges.....	112
Figure 7.2: Members replaced with CFST in different truss types.....	114

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1: Dimensions of the specimens .....	34
Table 2.2 Capacity of specimens for different steel types and thicknesses ...	34
Table 3.1: Pedestrian bridge design details according to different standards (Keil, 2013) .....	46
Table 3.2: Steel tonnage reduction for each truss type .....	52
Table 3.3: Average steel tonnage reduction.....	52
Table 4.1: Theoretical failure loads and modes of the hollow GI specimens	60
Table 4.2: Theoretical failure loads and modes of the CFST .....	61
Table 4.3: Experimental failure loads and modes of the hollow GI specimens. .....	62
Table 4.4: Experimental failure loads and modes of the CFST.....	63
Table 4.5: Comparison of the failure loads obtained for the hollow GI specimens.....	66
Table 4.6: Comparison of the failure loads obtained for the CFST.....	68
Table 4.7: Comparison of the improvement in the theoretical failure loads of the CFST compared to hollow GI tubes.....	70
Table 4.8: Comparison of the improvement in the experimental failure loads of the CFST compared to hollow GI tubes.....	71
Table 5.1: Theoretical failure loads and modes of the Aluminium hollow and GFAT .....	78
Table 5.2: A summary of the failure loads and modes of Aluminium hollow and GFAT .....	99
Table 5.3: Comparison of experimental failure loads with those obtained from the Theoretical calculations .....	80
Table 5.4: Comparison of strength enhancement GFAT compared to Aluminium hollow sections .....	81



Table 5.5: Comparison of theoretical and experimental failure loads of the trusses.....	94
Table 5.6: Comparison of failure loads between truss A and B .....	95
Table 6.1: Propertise of self compacting grade 40 concrete .....	98
Table 6.2: Mechanical properties of grade 40 concrete (Sümer & Aktaş, 2015) .....	98
.....	
Table 6.3: Theoretically obtained Compressive parameters using Wahalathantri’s model (Wahalathathri et.al, 2011).....	102
Table 6.4: Theoretically obtained Tensile parameters using Wahalathantri’s model (Wahalathanthri et.al, 2011).....	103
Table 6.5: Failure loads and failure modes of the specimens obtained from numerical analysis using the ABAQUS CAE software .....	108
Table 6.6: Comparision of failure loads obtained from numerical analysis with those obtained from experiment and Theoretical values .....	109
Table 7.1: Details of selected truss truss models .....	111
Table 7.2: Details of the truss members.....	115
Table 7.3: Deflection in each truss.....	116
Table 7.4: Steel and concrete tonnage for 8 cases of truss analysis.....	119

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation	Description
CFST	Concrete Filled Steel Tubes
GI	Galvanised Iron
LB	Local Buckling
GB	Global Buckling
CF	Compression Failure
FEM	Finite Element Model
$K_t$	Tensile strength factor
$N_{tu}$	Tensile strength of tested CFST specimens
$f_y$	Yield strength of steel
$A_s$	Cross-sectional area of the steel tube
$E_s$	Elastic modulus of steel
$E_c$	Elastic modulus of concrete
$A_c$	Cross-sectional area of concrete
$N_1$	Applied axial force during corrosion stage
$\rho_s$	Ratio of reinforcement area to concrete area
$\delta$	Steel contribution ratio
$N_{pl,Rd}$	Design plastic resistance
$f'_{cc}$	Maximum concrete stress
J	Second stress invariant of the stress deviator tensor
GFAT	Grout filled Aluminium Tubes