

# PROBABILISTIC APPROACH TO FIX THE OVERHEAD LINE POWER TRANFER LIMITS, WITH EFFECTIVE WIND COOLING

A dissertation submitted to the

Department of Electrical Engineering, University of Moratuwa
in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the

Degree of Master of Science

by D.M.J. ROSHAN KUMARA

Supervised by: Eng. W.D.A.S. Wijayapala Eng. D.G. Rienzie Fernando

Department of Electrical Engineering
University of Moratuwa
Sri Lanka

2010

94545

#### Abstract

Open distribution line access and economic uncertainties are the reasons why many utilities are operating their lines at much higher loads than they were initially designed for. Because of this, the effects of higher operating temperatures on the safety and reliability of overheads lines were studied in this dissertation.

It is observed that overloading of conductor usually occur during peak hours in according to the average daily load pattern of Sri Lanka. During this time conductor temperature reaches to its maximum. However, conductor temperature may not increase due to the cooling effect on availability of wind. The over temperature causes reduction of the tensile strength of the conductor.

The work has been identified in significant areas where improved analytical methods are relevant. Several such methods have been created and their impact is discussed in this report.

As such, present conductor ratings are studied in accordance to IEC standard [7] and IEEE standard [5, 6]. Wind data at four different sites have been collected and are used for the analysis. Current variations of three different sites are taken for the study.

The probability of over temperature could be determined by applying Rayleigh distribution and cumulative frequency distribution respectively when the wind speed is below 1mls and the current rating is more than 202A. At the second stage, sag at maximum temperature has been calculated for each span. Finally,. Economical optimizations of losses are analyzed.

In the first part, allowable loss of strength of Aluminium is analyzed through a probabilistic approach. Accordingly, it is observed that strength of Aluminium is not

ever reduced below 90% of its original strength during the conductor lifetime of 50 years. This reduction of strength is negligible. Therefore, effective wind speed can be taken as 1m/s.

In the second part, sag variations were analyzed for each span which is presently used in CEB against maximum allowable temperature (90°C) in the absence of wind speed. It is observed that ground clearance is not violated when it is operated at maximum allowable temperature (90°C).

Finally, under this method costs and benefits are evaluated with increase of losses against investment incurred in strengthening of the , CEB network. Net present value is analyzed considering present value of expenses (increase of losses of existing system) and present value of savings (investment incurred in strengthening of the CEB network). Net present value is positive for load patterns of Omara, Ratmalwala and Kudagammana. Therefore those projects are financially viable.

#### DECLARATION

The work submitted in this dissertation is the result of my own investigation, except where otherwise stated.

It has not already been accepted for any degree, and is also not being concurrently submitted for any other degree.

### **UOM Verified Signature**

D.M.J. Roshan Kumara

Date: 28<sup>th</sup> January 2010



We endorse the declaration by the candidate.

#### **UOM Verified Signature**

Eng. W.D.A.S. Wijayapala Senior Lecturer University of Moratuwa

#### **UOM Verified Signature**

Eng. D.G. Rienzie Fernando

Managing Director

Amithi Power Consultants (Pvt) Ltd

D. G. RIENZIE FERNANDO B Sc Eng., (Hops) C. Eng. ME (St.) Macagony a later Accombised Construction Discrete

## Acknowledgement

This work has been carried out at the Department of Electrical Engineering. University of Moratuwa.

I would like to thank my project supervisor. Eng. W.D.A.S. Wijayapala, senior lecturer, university of Moratuwa, for his guidance, support and encouragement given to me beyond his role of project for completion of this final dissertation successfully.

I also take this opportunity to extend my sincere thanks to project supervisor. Eng. D.G. Rienzie Fernando, Managing Director, Amithi Power Consultants (Pvt) Ltd who always directed me to the correct path, giving thoughtful comments and encouragement, whenever I discussed technical issues to carry out this project successfully.

Lalso thank Mr. B.A.N. Fernando. Deputy General Manager, Energy Purchase Branch. Transmission. CEB and Mr. S. Bogahawatte. Project Director, LSHP Project. Region 4. CEB for extending their support by providing data to carry out this work.

I would also like to express my appreciation to all my colleagues and particularly to Buddhika, Shantha, Chamil, Samanthi and Rajiv for their encouragement.

Also I like to remind my brothers. Kumudu, Prabath and Udayanga. In particular, I am grateful to my wife Needu for her love and continuous encouragement.

Last but not the least my gratitude goes to my dear parents; Gilbert and Suvinither their love, moral support and understanding from start to end of this course

## **CONTENTS**

| Declar  | ration  | i        |
|---------|---|----------|
| Abstra  | et  | ii       |
| Ackno   | wledgement  | iv       |
| Conte   | nts   | V        |
| List of | f Figures   | viii     |
| List of | f Tables  | ix       |
| Abbre   | viations  | X        |
| 1       | Introduction  | 1        |
| 1       | 1.1 Background  | 1        |
|         | 1.2 Objective   | 1        |
|         | 1.3 Scope of work   | າ<br>າ   |
|         | ·   | 2        |
|         | 1.4 Determination of maximum permissible conductor temperature      | <u> </u> |
| 2       | Problem Statement   | 3        |
|         | University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.                                  |          |
| 3       | Maximum admissible loss of tensile strength                         | 5        |
|         | 3.1 Units and identification of letters symbols                     | 5        |
|         | 3.2 Current carrying capacity of ACSR Racoon conductors             | 7        |
|         | 3.2.1 Steady state heat balance equation                            | 7        |
|         | 3.2.2 Forced convection heat loss                                   | 7        |
|         | 3.2.3 Natural convection  | 8        |
|         | 3.2.4 Radiated heat loss rate                                       | 8        |
|         | 3.2.5 Rate of solar heat gain                                       | 9        |
|         | Problem Statement   | 9        |
|         | 3.2 Equations for air properties, solar angles, and solar heat flux | 10       |
|         | 3.3.1 Dynamic viscosity of air                                      | 10       |
|         |   | 10       |
|         | 3.3.3 Thermal conductivity of air                                   | 10       |
|         | 3.3.4 Altitude of the sun   | 11       |
|         | 3.3.5 Azimuth of the sun  | 12       |
|         | 3.3.6 Total heat flux received by a surface at sea level            | 13       |
|         | 3.3.7 Total heat flux elevation correction factor                   | 15       |

|   | 3.4 Sample calculations   | 15 |
|---|---|----|
|   | 3.4.1 Steady state thermal rating   | 15 |
|   | 3.4.2 Problem statement   | 15 |
|   | 3.4.3 Convection heat loss  | 16 |
|   | 3.4.4 Radiated heat loss  | 17 |
|   | 3.4.5 Solar heat gain   | 18 |
|   | 3.4.6 Steady state thermal rating   | 18 |
|   | 3.5 Input data  | 19 |
|   | 3.5.1 Wind and ambient temperature  | 19 |
|   | 3.5.2 Air density, viscosity and conductivity                                   | 20 |
|   | 3.5.3 Emissivity and absorptivity   | 20 |
|   | 3.5.4 Solar heat gain   | 20 |
| 4 | Probabilistic Approach to Allow for Maximum Admissible Loss of Tensile Strength | 21 |
|   |   | 21 |
|   | 4.1.1 Rayleigh probability distribution   | 21 |
|   | 4.1.2 Cumulative frequency  | 21 |
|   | 4.2 Calculation of probability of wind speed by applying Rayleigh               |    |
|   | distribution  | 22 |
|   | 4.3 Calculation of probability of over current                                  | 24 |
|   | 4.4 Probability of over temperature up to 87°C                                  | 27 |
|   | 4.5 Method of line tension calculation  | 28 |
| 5 | Appropriate Ground Clearance  | 30 |
|   | 5.1 Introduction  | 30 |
|   | 5.2 Sag and tension   | 30 |
|   | 5.2.1 Equivalent span   | 30 |
|   | 5.2.2 Selection of tension  | 31 |
|   | 5.2.3 Sag and tension formula   | 31 |
|   | 5.2.4 Design criteria   | 32 |
|   | 5.3 Sample calculations   | 33 |
|   | 5.4 Clearance from the ground   | 37 |
|   | 5.5 Maximum sag for ACSR Racoon conductor                                       | 37 |

| 6    | Economical Optimization of Losses        | 39 |
|------|--|----|
|      | 6.1 Energy losses due to increase of CCC | 39 |
|      | 6.2 Present value of losses              | 41 |
|      | 6.3 Estimated cost of reconstruction     | 41 |
|      | 6.3.1 Present value of savings           | 42 |
|      | 6.4 Economic viability of the project    | 42 |
| 8    | Conclusions                              | 44 |
| List | of references                            | 46 |
| Ann  | nex A                                    | 48 |
| Ann  | nex B                                    | 49 |
| Anr  | nov C                                    | 52 |



| List of Figures Page Nu |  | mber |  |
|-------------------------|--|------|--|
| Chapter 4               |  |      |  |
| Figure 4.1              | Actual frequency vs. wind speed at Narakkalliya              | 22   |  |
| Figure 4.2              | Probability density vs. wind speed at Narakkalliya           | 23   |  |
| Figure 4.3              | Cumulative frequency vs. wind speed (m/s) at Narakkalliya 23 |      |  |
| Figure 4.4              | Average current vs. time (24 hrs)                            | 25   |  |
| Figure 4.5              | Actual frequency vs. average current                         | 25   |  |
| Figure 4.6              | Probability density vs. average current                      | 26   |  |
| Figure 4.7              | Cumulative frequency vs. average current                     | 26   |  |
| Figure 4.8              | Loss of strength of Aluminium type A1 as a function of       |      |  |
|                         | temperature  | 28   |  |



| List of Tables | Page Number |
|----------------|-------------|
|                |             |

| Chapter 3 |   |           |
|-----------|---|-----------|
| Table 3.1 | Units and identification of letter symbols  | 5         |
| Table 3.2 | Viscosity, density and thermal conductivity of air  | 11        |
| Table 3.3 | Solar azimuth constant, C (in degree), is function of the                                       |           |
|           | "hour angle", ω, and solar azimuth variable, X  | 12        |
| Table 3.4 | Solar altitude, H <sub>c</sub> , and azimuth, Z <sub>c</sub> at various latitudes for           |           |
|           | an annual peak solar heat input   | 13        |
| Table 3.5 | Coefficient for equation (3.19)   | 14        |
| Table 3.6 | Total heat flux received by a surface at sea level normal to                                    |           |
|           | the sun's rays  | 14        |
| Table 3.7 | Solar heat multiplying factors, $K_{solar}$ for high altitudes                                  | 15        |
| Table 3.8 | Equivalent combination of wind speed and direction for equal                                    |           |
|           | convective cooling  | 19        |
| Chapter 4 | Hairrangity of Manaturya Cai Lanka  |           |
| 1 5 00    | University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.  Rayleigh probability distribution for wind speed below 1m/s | 24        |
| Table 4.1 | Voltage level, avg. current and multiplication factor   |           |
| Table 4.2 |   | 24<br>27  |
| Table 4.3 | Probability distribution for load more than 202A  | <i>11</i> |
| Table 4.4 | Conductor tension for various temperatures as a percentage                                      | 20        |
|           | of available UTS  | 29        |
| Chapter 5 |   |           |
| Table 5.1 | Pole spacing chart  | 33        |
| Table 5.2 | Maximum allowable sag for each pole   | 37        |
| Table 5.3 | Conductor sag for each span vs. conductor temperature   | 37        |
| Chapter 6 |   |           |
| Table 6.1 | Loss difference vs. each distance at Hambantota Gonnoruwa line                                  | 40        |
| Table 6.2 | Present value of expenses (losses)  | 41        |
| Table 6.3 | Present value of savings  | 42        |
| Table 6.4 | Present value of extra cost of losses   | 42        |
| Table 6.5 | Present value of constructing a new line  | 43        |
| Table 6.6 | Net present value of each project   | 43        |

# **Abbreviations**

| ACSR  | Aluminium Conductor Steel Reinforced                 |
|-------|--|
| Avg.  | Average  |
| CCC   | Current Carrying Capacity                            |
| cdf   | cumulative density function                          |
| CEB   | Ceylon Electricity Board                             |
| CIGRE | International Council on Large Electrical Systems    |
| EDT   | Everyday Tension                                     |
| EDS   | Everyday Stress                                      |
| IEC   | International Electrotechnical Commission            |
| IEEE  | The Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers |
| HGSS  | Hambantota Grid Sub Station                          |
| LKR.  | Sri Lankan Rupees                                    |
| Max.  | Maximum  |
| Min.  | Minimum  Hectronic Theses & Dissertations            |
| pdf   | probability density function                         |
| PVF   | Present Value Factor                                 |
| UTS   | Ultimate Tensile Strength                            |