



MODELLING OF DAMAGES IN RC STRUCTURES

This thesis was submitted to the Department of Civil Engineering of the
University of Moratuwa in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the
Degree of Master of Science

Submitted by
Kasim.G.L

Department of Civil Engineering
University of Moratuwa
Sri Lanka

2010

94859



Abstract

Reinforced concrete is a very versatile and durable building material. Reinforced concrete efficiently combines the best properties of concrete and reinforcing steel into a strong structural element. As a result of this, nowadays, we have vast number of reinforced concrete structures all over the world. At the same time, the deterioration of reinforced concrete structures has become a problem. Deterioration of concrete can take the form of corrosion of the internal reinforcing or degradation of the exposed surface of the material. Even though, the high alkalinity of concrete helps to protect the embedded steel from corrosion initially, this will occur with the degradation of the exposed surface of the material which causes excessive cracking. Despite of these deteriorations which reduce the structural performances, reinforced concrete structures are subjected to an abrupt usage during service lives. Quite often, this includes changing floor usage (Often increasing loads) and reusing abandoned structures. Also, both monumental as well as water retaining concrete structures which have long history of surviving should be assessed regularly to check safety against progressive weakening. Therefore, both cracking of exposed concrete surface and steel corrosion result to unpredictable behaviours of reinforced concrete members under loading and this may cause significantly for both capacity reduction of reinforced concrete members as well as loss of serviceability requirements.

The use of finite element technique to look at the effect of concrete cracking and steel corrosion to ultimate load carrying capacities and serviceability requirements of reinforced concrete members has been well accepted because of capability of simulating concrete cracking and concrete-steel bond deterioration due to steel corrosion with other non linearities. Nowadays, there are advanced finite element packages that can be used for this type of modelling. But, unfortunately, the use of those in developing countries like Sri Lanka has been avoided since they are quite expensive. Therefore, this study aims to develop a finite element procedure in which concrete cracking and steel corrosion are simulated using available and relatively cheap computer package named "ANSYS".



The study deals with the non linear finite element analysis of reinforced concrete members. Concrete and reinforcing steel are represented by separate material models and, steel reinforcements are introduced in to the models by both discrete as well as smeared approaches. Both concrete and steel are treated as inelastic materials in which stress states continue beyond the initial elastic limit up to the final failure point and the modelling are done in the commercial package called "ANSYS". The behaviour of cracked concrete is described by a system of orthogonal cracks, which follow the principal strain directions and are thus rotating during the load history. Crushing or cracking of concrete takes place when the strains lie outside the ultimate surface in the biaxial strain space. The finite element simulations are done for both damaged and undamaged reinforced concrete members. Damages include concrete flexural cracking and corrosion of steel reinforcements.

Finally, correlation studies between analytical and experimental results and several parameter studies are conducted with the objective to investigate both crack initiations and propagations in reinforced concrete members under applied loads as well as effect of damages to the load carrying capacities and serviceability conditions of reinforced concrete members. Experiments on non corroded members are done while the experimental results on corroded reinforced concrete members are collected from the literature.

These studies show that the finite element models created in ANSYS could produce good agreements to the experimental results in terms of stiffness, initial cracking load, yield load, failure load, strain in reinforcement and yield line pattern etc. The normalized values of deflections determined using experimental data show that actual reinforced concrete members are undergoing expected behaviours. The deflections in both actual and finite element model slabs are increased by more than 50 % after introducing the damage due to flexural cracking by an initial loading. Also, developed ,finite element model was able to simulate high localized corrosion in reinforced concrete members and produced a good agreement to the experimental results. The finite element results prove that the corrosion of reinforcement below approximately 2 % of area loss doesn't affect seriously on the load carrying



capacities of reinforced concrete members whereas the corrosion beyond that reduces the interface bonding which results to a sudden capacity loss.

DECLARATION

I hereby, declare, that the work included in this thesis in part or whole,
has not been submitted for any other academic qualification at any
institution.

Kasim. G.L
(Author)



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.
Electronic Theses & Dissertations
Certified by
www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

UOM Verified Signature

Dr. Baskaran. K
Supervisor/ Senior Lecturer
Division of Building & Structural Engineering
Department of Civil Engineering
University of Moratuwa
Sri Lanka

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost I would like to express my gratitude and deep appreciation to my supervisor Dr. Baskaran. K, Senior Lecturer, Department of Civil Engineering, University of Moratuwa for his invaluable assistance, advice and guidance throughout this project. This association has been interesting and rewarding.

I also want to thank Prof. W. P. S. Dias, Head, Department of Civil Engineering, University of Moratuwa, for making available all resources and facilities for this research work. The Senate Research Committee of University of Moratuwa should also be thanked for supporting and financing my research.

I appreciate very much invaluable support, encouragement and understanding shown by my mother.

Finally I would like to acknowledge with fraternal love, my colleagues and others who have assisted me in various ways whose contribution have led to the successful completion of this thesis.



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.
Electronic Theses & Dissertations
www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

Thank you

Kasim G. L

CONTENT

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1	BACKGROUND.....	1
1.2	OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY.....	4
1.1	METHODOLOGY.....	6
1.2	OUTLINE OF THE THESIS.....	7

CHAPTER 2

LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1	INTRODUCTION.....	9
2.2	HISTORICAL DEVELOPMENT OF NLFE ANALYSIS ON RC STRUCTURES.....	10
2.3	THE REVIEW ON AVAILABLE MATERIAL'S FAILURE CRITERIONS.....	17
2.3.1	GENERAL.....	17
2.3.2	AVAILABLE FAILURE CRITERIONS FOR CONCRETE.....	18
2.3.2.1	MOHR-COULOMB YIELD CRITERION.....	18
2.3.2.2	DRUCKER PRAGER YIELD CRITERION.....	20
2.3.2.3	WILLAM AND WARNKE FAILURE CRITERIA.....	22
2.3.3	AVAILABLE FAILURE CRITERIONS FOR DUCTILE MATERIALS	31
2.3.3.1	TRESCA FAILURE CRITERIA.....	31
2.3.3.2	VON MISES YIELD CRITERIA.....	32

2.3.3.3 BRESLER-PISTER YIELD SURFACE.....	33
2.4 FIELD ASSESSMENT OF REINFORCED CONCRETE STRUCTURES.....	35
2.4.1 IMPORTANCE AND NEED OF NON DESTRUCTIVE TESTS.....	35
2.4.2 BASIC METHODS FOR NDT OF CONCRETE STRUCTURE.....	36
2.4.2.1 VISUAL INSPECTION.....	37
2.4.2.2 SCHMIDT REBOUND HAMMER TEST.....	38
2.4.2.3 CARBONATION DEPTH MEASUREMENT TEST.....	39
2.4.2.4 ULTRASONIC PULSE VELOCITY TEST.....	40
2.4.2.5 IMPACT-ECHO/RESONANCE WAVE TEST.....	41

CHAPTER 3

EXPERIMENTAL INVESTIGATION
 University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.
 Electronic Theses & Dissertations
www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

3.1 INTRODUCTION.....	43
3.2 TESTS ON SLABS AND BEAMS.....	45
3.2.1 MATERIAL PROPERTIES.....	45
3.2.1.1 THE CONCRETE.....	45
3.2.1.2 STEEL.....	49
3.2.2 SPECIMEN DETAILS.....	50
3.2.2.1 BEAMS.....	50
3.2.2.2 SLABS	52

3.2.3	MODEL PREPARATION.....	52
3.2.4	TESTING.....	54
3.2.4.1	BEAMS.....	54
3.2.4.2	SLAB 1.....	54
3.2.4.3	SLABS 2 & 3.....	56
3.2.5	RESULTS.....	59
3.2.5.1	RESULTS OF BEAM TESTS.....	59
3.2.5.2	SLAB 1.....	61
3.2.5.3	SLAB 2.....	62
3.2.5.4	SLAB 3.....	66

CHAPTER 4

 University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.
NLFE ANALYSIS Electronic Theses & Dissertations
www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

4.1.	INTRODUCTION.....	71
4.2.	ELEMENT TYPES.....	72
4.2.1.	REINFORCED CONCRETE.....	72
4.2.2.	STEEL PLATES.....	74
4.3.	MATERIAL PROPERTIES FOR NLFE ANALYSIS.....	74
4.3.1.	CONCRETE.....	74
4.3.2.	STEEL.....	77
4.4.	NLFE ANALYSIS OF UNDAMAGED MEMBERS.....	78
4.4.1.	BEAMS.....	78

4.4.1.1. GEOMETRY.....	78
4.4.1.2. FINITE ELEMENT DISCRETIZATION.....	79
4.4.1.3. LOADING AND BOUNDARY CONDITIONS.....	81
4.4.1.4. NON LINEAR SOLUTION.....	82
4.4.1.5. LOAD STEPS AND FAILURE DEFINITION FOR FE MODLES.....	84
4.4.1.6. RESULTS OF FE ANALYSIS OF FULL SIZE BEAMS.....	86
4.4.2. SLABS	104
4.5. NLFE ANALYSIS OF DAMAGED (CORRODED) MEMBERS.....	132
4.5.1. INTRODUCTION.....	132
4.5.2. MATERIAL PROPERTIES.....	133
4.5.3. INVESTIGATIONS ON BEAMS.....	134
4.5.4. INVESTIGATIONS ON SLABS.....	145



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka
 Electronic Theses & Dissertations
www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

CHAPTER 5

CASE STUDY

5.1. INTRODUCTION TO CASE STUDY.....	153
5.2. VISUAL INSPECTIONS.....	154
5.3. CRACK WIDTH MEASUREMENTS.....	156
5.4. COVER METER TEST.....	157
5.5. CARBONATION DEPTH MEASUREMENTS.....	157
5.6. IN SITU CUBE STRENGTH.....	158
5.7. PROPERTIES OF REINFORCEMENT.....	159

5.8. DISPLACEMENT PLOTTING.....	160
---------------------------------	-----

CHAPTER 6

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

6.1. CONCLUSIONS.....	164
-----------------------	-----

6.2. RECOMMENDATIONS.....	167
---------------------------	-----

<i>REFERENCES.....</i>	170
------------------------	-----



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.
Electronic Theses & Dissertations
www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

LIST OF FIGURES

Fig. 1.1: The corrosion of steel reinforcements subsequent to the concrete deterioration....	2
Fig. 2.1: View of Mohr-Coulomb failure surface in 3D space of principal stresses.....	20
Fig. 2.2: View of Drucker–Prager yield surface in 3D space of principal stresses.....	22
Fig. 2.3: Willam and Warnke 3-D failure surface in principal stress space.....	26
Fig. 2.4: Willam and Warnke failure surface in principal stress space with nearly biaxial stress.....	30
Fig. 2.5: The Tresca-Guest and von Mises yield surface in 2D space.....	31
Fig. 2.6: The Tresca-Guest and von Mises yield surfaces in 3D space.....	33
Fig. 2.7: a) The Bresler–Pister yield surface in 3D space; b) The Bresler–Pister yield surface in 2D space.....	34
Fig. 2.8: The Schmidt rebound hammer.....	38
Fig. 2.9: A concrete cube tested for carbonation depth test.....	40
Fig. 3.1: The experimental set up used to measure the deformation against compressive loading (data was used to produce stress vs. strain curve for concrete).....	47
Fig. 3.2: The stress vs. strain variation for concrete produced using load vs. deflection data.....	47
Fig. 3.3: Splitting test for a cylinder.....	49
Fig. 3.4: Stress - strain characteristics of 12 mm diameter reinforcement bars in tension....	49
Fig. 3.5: Stress - strain characteristics of a 6 mm diameter mild steel bar in tension.....	50
Fig. 3.6: The longitudinal section of a tested beam.....	51
Fig. 3.7: Re-bar detailing of a beam.....	51
Fig. 3.8: (a) 12mm tor steel bars @ 200mm c/c in Slab 1, (b) 6mm mild steel bars @ 200mm c/c in Slab 2 and Slab 3.....	52
Fig. 3.9: Strain gauges attached to reinforcement bars.....	53
Fig. 3.10: The flexural cracks and crushing of concrete at middle top area of beam.....	54

Fig. 3.11: (a) Dial gauges to measure deformations, (b) The proving ring to measure applied loads and (c) The hydraulic jack on steel plates.....	55
Fig.3.12: Half bridge common dummy method.....	56
Fig. 3.13: (a) Displacement transducers and dial gauges, (b) Reading given from the Data Logger, (c) Active gauge connections to switches, (d) Observed crack pattern at the failure and (e) The dummy gauge connection to the switch.....	57
Fig. 3.14: Load vs. Central deflection characteristics for beams.....	59
Fig.3.15: Load vs. deflection characteristics at various locations on Slab 1.....	61
Fig. 3.16: Gauge locations for Slab 2.....	63
Fig. 3.17: Load deflection characteristics for Slab 2.....	64
Fig. 3.18: Load vs. steel strain given by strain gauges in Slab 2.....	65
Fig. 3.19: Cracking after the failure of Slab 2.....	65
Fig.3.20: Load deflection curves for Slab 3.....	68
Fig.3.21: Load vs. strain (in steel at centre of the slab) for Slab 3.....	69
Fig. 4.1: Solid65 – 3-D Reinforced concrete solid (ANSYS 1998).....	73
Fig. 4.2: Link8 – 3-D Spar (or truss), (ANSYS 1998).....	73
Fig. 4.3: SHELL43 – 4 Nodes plastic large strain shell (ANSYS 1998).....	74
Fig. 4.4: A simplified compressive uniaxial stress-strain curve for concrete.....	75
Fig. 4.5: Typical beam dimensions (not to scale).....	78
Fig. 4.6: The finite elements' mesh for a beam model.....	79
Fig.4.7: Results from convergence study: (a) deflections at midspan; (b) compressive stress in concrete; (c) tensile stress in concrete.....	80
Fig.4.8: Loadings and support locations (Not to scale).....	81
Fig.4.9: Supporting of models: (a) without rotation of steel plate; (b) with rotation of steel plate.....	82
Fig.4.10: Newton-Raphson iterative solution (2 load increments) (ANSYS 1998).....	83
Fig.4.11: Reinforced concrete behaviour for Beam 1.....	85
Fig.4.12: Load-deflection plot for Beam 1.....	87



Fig.4.13: Load-deflection plot for Beam 2.....	88
Fig.4.14: Load-deflection plot for Beam 3.....	89
Fig.4.15: Load-deflection plot for Beam 4.....	90
Fig.4.16: Load-deflection plot for Beam 5.....	91
Fig.4.17: Load-deflection plot for Beam 6.....	92
Fig.4.18: Integration points in concrete solid element (ANSYS 1998).....	94
Fig.4.19: Cracking sign (ANSYS 1998).....	94
Fig.4.20: Coordinate system for finite element models.....	95
Fig.4.21: Typical cracking signs occurring in finite element models: (a) flexural cracks; (b) compressive cracks; (c) diagonal tensile cracks.....	96
Fig.4.22: Evolution of crack patterns: (a) Flexure Beam 1; (b) Flexure Beam 2.....	98
Fig.4.23: Evolution of crack patterns: (c) Flexure Beam 3; (d) Flexure Beam 4.....	99
Fig.4.24: Evolution of crack patterns: (e) Flexure Beam 5; (f) Flexure Beam 6.....	100
Fig.4.25: Toughening mechanisms: (a) aggregate bridging; (b) crack-face friction (Shah, et al. 1995).....	102
Fig.4.26: Crack patterns at failure for Beam 1: (a) Experimental result; (b) Finite element model result.....	103
Fig.4.27: The slab geometries; (a) the Slab 1; (b) the Slabs 2 and 3.....	104
Fig.4.28: A view of the finite element model for Slab 1.....	105
Fig.4.29: Supports for slabs' finite element models.....	106
Fig.4.30: Dial gauge locations on Slab 1.....	107
Fig.4.31: Load deflection plots at dial gauge 1 in Slab 1.....	108
Fig.4.32: Load deflection plots at dial gauge 2 in Slab 1.....	109
Fig.4.33: Load deflection plots at dial gauge 5 in Slab 1.....	110
Fig.4.34: Load deflection plots at dial gauge 6 in Slab 1.....	111
Fig.4.35: Load deflection plots for both EXP: DG 5 and EXP: DG 6 in Slab 1.....	112
Fig.4.36: The plan view of the meshed FE model for Slab 1.....	114
Fig.4.37: Crack propagation in Slab 1 as described in the figure.....	115

Fig.4.38: The dial gauge and strain gauge locations for Slab 2.....	116
Fig.4.39: Load-tensile strain plots at midspan of the main re-bar in Slab 2.....	117
Fig.4.40: Load-tensile strain plots at quarter spans of the main re-bars in Slab 2.....	117
Fig.4.41: Variation of tensile force in steel for reinforced concrete slab (Nilson 1997).....	119
Fig.4.42: Development of tensile force in the steel for finite element models.....	120
Fig.4.43: The load deflection comparison for dial gauge locations 1 and 2 for Slab 2.....	121
Fig.4.44: The load deflection comparison for dial gauge locations 3 and 4 for Slab 2.....	121
Fig.4.45: Introduced cracking for the model.....	123
Fig.4.46: comparison of displacement for the Slab 3.....	125
Fig. 4.47: Yield line pattern for Slab 3.....	129
Fig.4.48: Comparisons of failure loads for beams.....	131
Fig.4.49: Stress-strain curve for steel reinforcement.....	133
Fig.4.50: Finite element model for reinforced concrete beam.....	134
Fig.4.51: Load deflection curves for Beam 1.....	136
Fig.4.52: Connectivity of Solid65 and Link8 within both non-corroded as well as corroded regions.....	137
Fig.4.53: Load deflection curves for Beam 2.....	138
Fig.4.54: Load deflection curves for Beam 3.....	139
Fig.4.55: Load deflection curves for Beam 4.....	140
Fig.4.56: Loads at steel yielding for beams.....	140
Fig.4.57: Failure loads for beams.....	141
Fig.4.58: Percentage loss of both failure and yield loads in corroded beam 2-4.....	142
Fig.4.59: The relationship of percentage loss of both flexural capacity as well as failure capacity to maximum percentage of area loss.....	143
Fig.4.60: The relationship of percentage loss of both flexural capacity as well as failure capacity to average percentage of area loss.....	144
Fig.4.61: Details of a corroded slab specimen.....	145
Fig.4.62: Finite element model of a corroded slab specimen.....	147

Fig.4.63: Percentage difference of measured load to analysis load (%) Vs average area loss (%).	148
Fig.4.64: Percentage difference of predicted load to analysis load (%) Vs average area loss (%).	149
Fig.4.65: Flow chart for finite element analysis procedure.	152
Fig.5.1 : The arrangement of floors of the building.	153
Fig.5.2: Grid arrangement used to present data.	155
Fig.5.3: Lifting off of Tiles from the floor.	155
Fig.5.4: Shear cracks (0.3 mm in width) seen on a beam strengthened with steel plates on its sides.	156
Fig.5.5: Observed crack pattern in panel B. (Traced to emphasize).	156
Fig.5.6: A capped core sample after carbonation test.	157
Fig.5.7: A crushed core sample.	158
Fig.5.8: Stress Vs Strain relationship for reinforcement.	159
Fig.5.9: Displacement plotting for slab panel C.	161
Fig.5.10: A finite element model for a continuous beam without strengthening.	162
Fig.5.11: A finite element model for a continuous beam with strengthening.	162
Fig.5.12: Relative displacement comparisons of the beam along Grid I.	163

LIST OF TABLES

Table.3.1: Concrete material properties for beams and slabs.....	48
Table.3.2: Results of beam tests.....	60
Table.4.1: Summary of load step sizes for Beam 1 model.....	84
Table.4.2: ANSYS first cracking loads and observed cracking loads.....	93
Table.4.3: Comparisons between experimental ultimate loads and ANSYS final loads.....	101
Table.4.4: The finite element descretization for slabs.....	105
Table.4.5: The parametric comparisons of load deflection relationships under damaged and undamaged conditions for the slab specimen 3.....	127
Table.4.6: The normalized values of deflections of DG1 and DG3 with respect to DT1.....	128
Table.4.7: The normalized values of deflections of DG2 and DG4 with respect to DT2.....	128
Table.4.8: Failure loads comparison for Slabs 2 and 3.....	130
Table.4.9: Comparison of time consumed for analysis of Slabs 2 and 3.....	130
Table.4.10: Experimental data for localized corrosion (E. Kato et al 2008).....	135
Table.4.11: Details of corroded slab specimens.....	146
Table.4.12: Maximum loads on slab specimens.....	148
Table.5.1: Cube strength results for core A and B.....	158