EUROCODE LOADS AND ITS IMPLICATIONS TO DESIGN OF BOX CULVERTS IN SRI LANKA



A.K.Y.B.Sumanasinghe

168931A

Degree of Master of Science in Structural Engineering

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

January 2022

EUROCODE LOADS AND ITS IMPLICATIONS TO DESIGN OF BOX CULVERTS IN SRI LANKA

A.K.Y.B.Sumanasinghe

168931A

Thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science in Structural Engineering

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

January 2022

Declaration

I declare that this is my own work, and this thesis does not incorporate without

acknowledgement any material previously submitted for Degree or Diploma in any other

University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not

contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the

acknowledgement is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and

distribute my thesis, in whole or in part print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to

use this content in whole or in part in future works (such as articles or book)

Signature

Date

The above candidate has carried out research for the Masters Dissertation under my

supervision

Name of Supervisor

: Professor M.T.R.Jayasinghe

Signature of the Supervisor

Date

i

Abstract

The Box Culverts are drainage structures that allow to cross, small to medium scale water paths. They generally founded in soil where scouring is not an issue. The advantage of the box culvert is that it can be rested on the soil where low bearing pressures exists. Box culverts are also often used in expressway construction when underpasses are needed for the traffic of by-roads that crosses the expressway embankment. The present highway structure design practice in Sri Lanka is based on the British Standards of BS 5400 that was published by British Standard Institution (BSI) in 1978 and then amended a number of times subsequently and along with the Bridge Design Manual (1991), Published by Road Development Authority, the apex body of managing A & B class of road in Sri Lanka. Since the BS codes have been superseded by BS EN (the English version of Euro Codes) in March, 2010, it is now opportune to adopt the recommendations of BS EN for the structural design of highway structures and hence box culvert design will also need updating. In the research presented, a detailed study has been carried out as a comparative study by considering number of possible arrangements of Box Culverts that are typically used in Sri Lanka. The reason is that BS EN allows a loading regime from which different values can be selected in contrast to the current BS based practice. The detailed analysis, with finite element method (FEM), have been carried out for different types of loading specified in the BS EN; the results have been compared with the resulting forces due to the currently adopted standards to find suitable loading levels that can be recommended for the adoption of Sri Lanka. The results are presented in graphical form to allow the selection of different levels of loading based on the effects on the main design parameters.

Acknowledgement

I would like to acknowledge the assistance given to me by many personal, in numerous ways, to enable the completion of this dissertation. I am extremely pleased to record my heartfelt gratitude to them, for their kindness, patience, support, and generosity, and for their specific roles in facilitating this endeavour.

Professor M.T.R. Jayasinghe, Senior Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka for his leadership, invaluable insights, inspiration, and guidance during this study.

Dr H.R Pasindu, Senior Lecturer, Department of Civil Engineering, University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka, for his invaluable support by reviewing the thesis and providing guidance on this study.

Dr H.G.H Damruwan. Senior Lecturer, Department of Civil Engineering, University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka, for his invaluable support and guidance in successful presentation of a paper on this study in the International Conference on Civil Engineering and Applications ICCEA2019.

Dr.K.Baskaran, Senior Lecturer, Department of Civil Engineering, University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka, for his invaluable support and guidance in this study.

My sincere appreciation goes to my parents, family, and my beloved friends around the world, for their encouragement, support, and faith in me.

Table of Content

Declaration	i
Abstract	ii
Acknowledgement	iii
Table of Content	iv
Table of Figures	vii
Tables	x
1.0 Introduction	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 The Objectives	2
1.3 The Methodology	2
1.4 Significance of the Study	3
1.5 Outline of the Research	7
2.0 Literature Review	9
2.1 Box Culvert	9
2.1.1 Material uses.	9
2.1.2 Construction practice	10
2.1.3 Design Practice	11
2.2 Eurocode Loading	12
2.2.1 background to Eurocode	12
2.2.2. Major concepts in Eurocode loadings	14
2.2.3 The significant differences between code of practices	18
2.3 The Design Method	26
2.3.1 Construction stages to be analysed	26
2.3.2 Fatigue	26

2.3.3 Dynamic Effects	
2.3.4 Temperature Effects	
2.3.5 Accidental Load Cases	
2.3.6 Shrinkage	
2.3.7 Partial Safety factors	
2.4 UK practice for National Annex	
2.5 Chapter Summary	
3.0 Analysis for Eurocode Load	
3.1 Box Structure Dimensions	
3.2 The Loading from Eurocode	
3.2.1 Load Model 1 (LM 1)	
3.2.2 Load Model 2 (LM 2)	
3.2.3 Load Model 3 (LM 3)36	
3.3 The Load Combination Eurocode	
3.4 The Loading from BS40	
3.5 The Load Combinations of BS	
3.6 Notional Lane	
3.7 The Structural Idealization	
3.8. The Soil Parameters55	
3.9 Temperature Effects	
3.10 Case Study – 3x3 Box Structure	
4.0 Results of the Analysis72	
4.1 Results from Eurocode Traffic Model	
4.1.1 Critical Load Models of Eurocode72	
4.1.2. Critical Load Combinations of the Eurocode74	
4.2 Results from BS traffic Loads75	
4.3 Comparison of the results of different code of practice	

4.4 Comparison of the Reduced Eurocode traffic Loads against BS Traffic Load	ls81
5.0 The Design for Eurocode & BS	86
5.1 Steel Reinforcement	86
5.2 Concrete Grade	87
5.3 Cover to Reinforcement	87
5.4 Flexural Design	89
5.4.1 Eurocode of practice in Flexural Design	89
5.4.2 BS code of practice in flexural design	90
5.5 Shear Design	91
5.6 Crack Control	94
5.7 Comparison of Reinforcement Between Eurocode and BS	100
6.0 Conclusion	101
7.0 Recommendations	102
7.0 References	103

Table of Figures

Figure 1: Material Selection for FEM, Midas Civil	10
Figure 2 : Superseded BS standards by Eurocodes (BSI, 2004)	13
Figure 3: Four representative values of the variable actions (Bouassida, et al., 2012)	15
Figure 4 : Combination of Actions in Eurocode (Bouassida, et al., 2012)	17
Figure 5 : Comparison of Lane Width and Number of Lanes between BS & EC (Atkins,	
2004)	19
Figure 6: UDL of the HA is rapidly drop up to 40m (BSI, 2006)	20
Figure 7: Lane distribution factors for HA UDL given in part 2: BS 5400 (2006) (BSI,	2006,
p. 48)	21
Figure 8: In-built DAF for Load Models in EC (Atkins, 2004)	27
Figure 9 . Additional Dynamic Amplification Factor (BSI, 2004)	28
Figure 10 :Temperature Range specified by DMRB	29
Figure 11: Temperature Range specified by DMRB for Box culverts	29
Figure 12: Load Model 1 defined by Eurocode (BSI, 2004)	35
Figure 13 : Graphical depiction of LM 2 (Calgaro, 2008)	36
Figure 14: Graphical depiction of LM3, , MIDAS CIVIL	37
Figure 15: Detail of SV 80 used in Load Model 3 (BSI, 2004)	37
Figure 16: BS 5400 HA UDL (BSI, 2006)	40
Figure 17: BS 5400, HB Load (BSI, 2006)	41
Figure 18 : Carriage way definition	43
Figure 19: Notional Lane guideline given in Euro Code (BSI, 2004)	44
Figure 20: Notional Lane guideline given in BS (BSI, 2006)	44
Figure 21 : Define of Road Width MIADS CIVIL	45
Figure 22: Lane Numbering in Eurocode (BSI, 2004)	46
Figure 23 : 2D Frame Analysis	47
Figure 24 : 3D Model of the 3x3 Box Culvert	48
Figure 25 : Sign Convention used in MIADS CIVL	49
Figure 26 : Axis definition for Plate elements in MIDAS CIVIL	49
Figure 27: Mesh Density with 0.125x0.125 m	51
Figure 28: Mesh Density with 0.25x0.25 m	51

Figure 29: Mesh Density with 0.5x0.5 m	52
Figure 30 : Mesh Density with 1x1 m	52
Figure 31: Mesh Density with 1.6x1.5 m	53
Figure 32: Critical Bending Moments vs Mesh Density	53
Figure 33 : Frame Analysis with Springs	55
Figure 34 : Recommended Load Distribution for BS	56
Figure 35 : Recommended Load Distribution for EC	57
Figure 36: Lateral Earth Pressure on Box Structure causing Hogging in Top Slab	58
Figure 37: Zero Effects on Roof Slab BM owing to Positive Mean Temperature Variati	
Figure 38 :Zero Effect on Roof Slab BM Owing to Negative Mean Temperature Variatio	ns 59
Figure 39 : The Critical Effects in Span Moment (Roof Slab) owing to Positive Tempera	iture
Gradient Inducing Sagging Moment at Mid Span	60
Figure 40 . The Reliving Effects on Top Slab Span Moment Owing to Negative Gradient	of
the Differential Temperature Inducing Hogging Moment at Centre.	60
Figure 41 : Deformation of Structure Owing to the Negative Gradient of the Structure	61
Figure 42: Deformation of the Structure Owing to the Positive Gradient of the Structure	61
Figure 43: Wire Mesh image of Finite Element Model for 3x3 Box Structure using MI	DAS
Civil	62
Figure 44: 3D Model	63
Figure 45 : Boundary Conditions applied to the Box Structure	64
Figure 46: Lateral Earth Pressure applied 2D	64
Figure 47: Lateral Earth Pressure in 3D	65
Figure 48: HA UDL Applied in top Slab to provide critical effects	65
Figure 49 : Lane Definition for Eurocode.	66
Figure 50 : Lane Definition plan View	67
Figure 51 : Load Model 1 defined in MIDAS Civil	68
Figure 52 : Load Model 2 defined in MIDAS Civil	69
Figure 53 : Load Model 3 defined in Midas Civil	70
Figure 54 : Load Combination defined in MIDAS Civil	71
Figure 55 : Lateral earth Pressure Application	71
Figre 0-ss: Lane Defined for traffic load application	71
Figure 0.tt : Load Model 1 defined n MIDAS CIVII	71

Figure 58 : Comparison of Eurocode Traffic Load Model Effects
Figure 59: Typical Critical Span Moments Contour Diagram (top slab)- Shorter Span Box
Culvert (5x5)73
Figure 60 : Critical Load Arrangement for Critical Span Moment for top Slab of Shorter Span
Box Culvert LM 2- Load Model (5x5)
Figure 61 :Comparison of BS Traffic Loads77
Figure 62 :Comparison of BS & Eurocode Critical Load Combinations from the Bending
Moment Envelop78
Figure 63 : Critical Load Application for Load Model 279
Figure 64 : Critical Load application for Load Model 179
Figure 65: Comparison of BM with Reduced Parameters for LM1 and LM2 of EC along with
BS83
Figure 66: Table 6 of the BS5400(4): Strength of Reinforcement (BSI, 2006)86
Figure 67: Characteristic Steel Yield Strength as per Eurocode (Narayanan & Goodchild,
2006)86
Figure 68: Properties of Concrete (Narayanan & Goodchild, 2006)87
Figure 69 : The Structural Class defined by Eurocode
Figure 70 : Cover for Reinforcement by BS 540089
Figure 71 : ULS stress & strain (Narayanan & Goodchild, 2006)90
Figure 72 : Critical SF owing to HB axel in 1x1 box structure91
Figure 73 : Critical SF location owing to HA KEL in 2x2 box structure92
Figure 74 : Angle Struct method for Shear design in Eurocode 2 (Brooker, et al., 2009)93
Figure 75 :Shear Reinforcement design based on the angle strut methos specified by
Eurocode 2 and comparison of BS shear design (Narayanan & Goodchild, 2006)94
Figure 76 : Definition of Quasi Permeant combinations of actions
Figure 77 :Partial safety factors on loads (BSI, 2004)98
Figure 78 : SLS Bending Moment contours for Eurocode Quasi Permanent Combination in 8
x8 Box Culvert

Tables

Table 1 : Combinations of Actions in Eurocode	18
Table 2 : Summary of Major Differences Between BS and Eurocode	22
Table 3 : Summary of Partial Safety Factors in Eurocode & BS (Monteverde, 2017)	30
Table 4: National Parameters LM1 of UK to Eurocode (BSI, 2004)	31
Table 5 : Configurations of Box Culvert for FE Models	34
Table 6 : Group of Traffic Loads (BSI, 2004).	39
Table 7: HA UDL Intensities (BS 5400 part 2, 2006), for different Box Structures	40
Table 8: HA UDL Intensities (BS 5400 part 2, 1978), for different Box Structures	40
Table 9: HB units in UK	41
Table 10 : HA Traction intensities	42
Table 11: Difference of BM owing to Eurocode and BS 5400: 2006	80
Table 12: Difference of Bending Moments between Eurocode and BS (5400):1978	81
Table 13: Proposed parameters LM1 for Sri Lankan roads excluding expressways, A &	В
class roads	82
Table 14: Proposed parameters LM2 for Sri Lankan roads excluding expressways, A &	В
class roads	82
Table 15: Comparison of BM of Reduced EC Loads and BS 5400;2006	84
Table 16: Comparison of reduced EC loads with BS 5400:1978 loads	85
Table 17: Calculation of Cover to Reinforcement based on EN 1992-1-1	88
Table 18: Evaluated Reinforcement in conjunction with Eurocode	90
Table 19: Reinforcement evaluation report in conjunction with BS 5400	90
Table 20 . Shar Design in conjunction with BS 5400	92
Table 21: The summary of Reinforcement design of the SLS criteria of limiting crack w	vidth
	95
Table 22 . Summery of SLS Crack width design for Eurocode under quasi permeant	
combinations	99
Table 23; Comparison of Reinforcement requirement between Eurocode and BS	100