

FACTORS THAT INFLUENCE THE USE OF WEB BASED SOCIAL NETWORKS: A STUDY ON FACEBOOK

By K.W. Atukorala

This Dissertation was submitted to the Department of Computer Science and Engineering of the University of Moratuwa in partial fulfillment of the requirement for the Degree of Master of Business Administration.

University of Moratuwa
95693

Department of Computer Science and Engineering
University of Moratuwa
December 2007

Abstract

Today's world is a place where innovation is the norm and technology transforms everything. Today's society like everything else is transformed by technology in a way previously unthought-of. Old methods of communicating in social circles have been redefined over the web as web based social networks.

Although work has been done on many areas of social networking on the web, as yet no research has attempted to find out what actually influences people to socialize over the internet on these vastly popular new genres of web sites.

Using data collected from 357 randomly selected participants from Sri Lankan regional network on Facebook, this research attempts to find what factors influence the use of these social networking sites, whilst trying to define and model the relationships so that site builders and society alike can benefit from the results. For the purposes of this research we define use of social network as peer to peer interaction on these websites.

Some of the factors uncovered during the initial pilot studies and literature review are time spent by users on Facebook average level of trust in the network, the completeness of a users profile, the level of ethics as expected by the user, the number of friends of the user, the user's emotional bond with Facebook, prior offline contacts of the user, new online contacts that user acquires through Facebook, the user's perception of critical mass of friends and the usefulness of information tools. The results of the research have shown that these factors in isolation of one another influences the usage of Facebook. Furthermore the results of the research indicate these factors can be reduced to just five factors that influence use of social networks when their collective effect is considered rather taking each factor in isolation. These five factors in order of importance are completeness of a person's profile, the user's perception of critical mass of friends, the number of friends in a person's network, the time spent by the user on Facebook and the prior offline contacts of the user.

As a result of this research, a model has been developed that takes into consideration the above five factors. This model can be used forecast use of Facebook based on the five factors identified above.

DECLERATION

"I certify that this thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a degree or diploma in any university to the best of my knowledge and believe it does not contain any material previously published, written or orally communicated by another person or myself except where due reference is made in the text. I also hereby give consent for my dissertation, if accepted to be made available for photocopying and for inter library loans and for the title and summary to be made available for out side organizations"



Candidate

To the best of my knowledge above particulars are correct

UOM Verified Signature

Mr. Chandana Weerasinghe Supervisor



Acknowledgement

I would like to take this opportunity to thank all the people who helped make this research a reality. First I would like to thank my supervisor Mr. Chandana Weerasinghe for all the support and advice given to me during the course of the thesis without which this thesis would not have been possible.

I would also like to thank all the lecturers at the department of computer science and engineering of the University of Moratuwa, for all of the feed back and advice provided. I would also like to take this opportunity to thank Isuru for all the assistance given through out the entire MBA program.

Also special mention is due to few of my colleagues at Roomsnet namely Narmadha, Shanika, Chamindee, Shawn, Anenthan and all the other many colleagues at Roomsnet who helped me out immensely by contributing their ideas and their time towards making this research a success.

Finally I would also like to thank all of the 357 Facebook participants who each gave up about 20 minutes of their time in order to fill out my questionnaire.





Table of Contents

AB:	STRACT	ł
AC	KNOWLEDGEMENT	11
1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Background and Motivation	1
1.2	Project Title	1
1.3	The Problem Statement	2
1.4	The Objectives of the study	2
1.5	Importance and benefits of the study	2
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	3
3	THE RESEARCH DESIGN	8
3.1	Scope	8
3.2	Sample Cri Lords	8
3.3	University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.	9
3.4	The same of the	10
	Operationalizing Variables 3.5.1 Frequency of per person use of the web based social network (The dependant variable) 3.5.2 The time spent on Facebook 3.5.3 Trust in a person's network 3.5.4 Age 3.5.5 Completeness of the profile 3.5.6 Privacy 3.5.7 The level of ethics for the network as expected by the user 3.5.8 Prior offline contacts 3.5.9 New online contacts 3.5.10 Perceived Critical Mass 3.5.11 Number of friends 3.5.12 Emotional bond with Facebook 3.5.13 Usefulness of information tools 3.5.14 Satisfaction with life 3.5.15 Self-esteem	13 13 14 14 15 15 16 16 17 17 17 18 18 18 19
3.6	6 Pilot Study 2 3.6.1 Question 6 3.6.2 Question 7 3.6.3 Question 8 3.6.4 Question 9 3.6.5 Question 10 3.6.6 Question 11 3.6.7 Question 12 3.6.8 Question 16	19 19 20 20 20 20 20 20 20

Table of Contents

3.7	Data collection and survey	21
4	OBSERVATIONS AND RESULTS	23
4.1	Time spent on Facebook	23
4.2	Average level of trust	24
4.3	Age	25
4.4	Completeness of the profile	26
4.5	The level of ethics	27
4.6	The level of lack of privacy	28
4.7	Number of friends	29
4.8	Emotional bond with Facebook	30
4.9	Prior offline contacts	31
4.10	New online contacts	32
4.11	Perceived critical mass of friends	33
4.12		34
4.13	Self-esteem University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka. Electronic Theses & Dissertations	35
4.14		36
4.15		37
5	DATA ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION	40
5.1	Data Encoding	40
5	.1.1 Gender .1.2 Completeness of profile	40
5.2	.1.3 Self-esteem Method of analysis	40 41
5 5 5 5 5	Testing of hypothesizes 3.3.1 The time spent on Facebook is related to that person's number of interactions 3.3.2 The average trust in a person's network is related to that person's number of interactions 3.3.3 The age of a person is not related to that person's number of interactions 3.4.4 The completeness of a person's profile is related to that person's number of interactions 3.5.5 The level of ethics expected by a user is related to that person's number of interactions 3.6.6 The average level of lack of privacy (openness) as expected by the person is related to that person's number of interactions 3.7 The number of friends on a person's network is related to that person's number of interactions	44 ions
i: 5	5.3.8 A person's emotional attachment to Facebook is related to that person's number of interactions 5.3.9 A person's prior offline contacts is related to that person's number of interactions 5.3.10 A person's new online contacts is related to that person's number of interactions	45 45 46

Table of Contents

 5.3.11 A person's perceived critical mass of friends of a person is related to that person's number interactions 5.3.12 The usefulness of information tools as seen by a person is related to that person's number dinteractions 5.3.13 The self esteem of a person has no relationship to that person's number of interactions 5.3.14 A person's satisfaction with life has no relationship to that person's number of interactions 5.3.15 Model Building 	46 of 46 47	
6 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	48	
6.1 Recommendations for the major factors	49	
6.1.1 Completeness of a person's profile	49	
6.1.2 Perceived critical mass of friends and prior offline contacts	50	
6.1.3 Number of friends on a person's network	50	
6.1.4 Time spent on Facebook	50	
6.2 Recommendations for the minor factors	50	
6.2.1 Usefulness of information tools as seen by a person	50	
6.2.2 Average trust in a person's network	50	
6.2.3 Emotional attachment to Facebook	51	
6.2.4 Level of ethics expected by a user	51	
6.2.5 New online contacts	51	
6.2.6 Average level of lack of privacy (openness) as expected by the person	51	
7 REFERENCES	53	
APPENDIX 1 - PILOT STUDY 1y of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.		
APPENDIX 2 – PILOT STUDY 2 mrt. ac.1k	Ш	
APPENDIX 3 – FINAL QUESTIONNAIRE	ΧI	
APPENDIX 4 - STATISTICAL TESTING OF HYPOTHESIZES AND MODEL BUILDING		

Table of Tables

1 MARKET SHARE OF US INTERNET VISITS FEBRUARY 2007	5
2 MARKET SHARE OF US INTERNET VISITS APRIL 2007	5
3 TIME SPENT ON FACEBOOK DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS	
4 LEVEL OF TRUST DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS	
5 AGE DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS	
6 TOTAL PROFILE INDEX DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS	
7 LEVEL OF ETHICS DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS	27
8 LEVEL OF LACK OF PRIVACY DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS	28
9 NUMBER OF FRIENDS DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS	29
10 EMOTIONAL ATTACHMENT TO FACEBOOK DESCRIPIVE STATISTICS	
11 PRIOR OFFLINE CONTACTS DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS	
12 NEW ONLINE CONTACTS DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS	32
13 PERCEIVED CRITICAL MASS OF FRIENDS DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS	
14 PERCEIVED USEFULNESS OF INFORMATION TOOLS DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS	
15 SELF ESTEEM DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS	35
16 SATISFACTION WITH LIFE DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS	
17 SUM OF ACTIVITY DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS	
18 NATURAL LOG TRANSFORMED SUM OF ACTIVITY DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS	
19 INDIVIDUAL FACTOR STRENGTHS AND EXPLANATION POWER	41
20 Major factors by importance	
21 TIME SPENT ON FACEBOOK MODEL SUMMARY	
22 TIME SPENT ON FACEBOOK ANOVA TABLE	
23 TIME SPENT ON FACEBOOK COEFFICIENTS	
24 TIME SPENT ON FACEBOOK RESIDUAL STATISTICS	
25 TIME SPENT ON FACEBOOK TEST FOR NORMALITY OF ERROR	
26 LEVEL OF TRUST MODEL SUMMARY	
27 LEVEL OF TRUST ANOVA TABLE	XXVIII
28 LEVEL OF TRUST COEFFICIENTS	XXVIII
29 LEVEL OF TRUST RESIDUAL STATISTICS	XXVIII
30 LEVEL OF TRUST TEST FORM NOMALITY OF ERROR	XXX
31 AGE MODEL SUMMARY	XXXIV
32 AGE ANOVA TABLE	XXXIV
33 AGE COEFFICIENTS	
34 AGE RESIDUAL STATISTICS	
35 AGE TEST FOR NORMALITY OF ERROR	
36 TOTAL PROFILE INDEX MODEL SUMMARY	
37 TOTAL PROFILE INDEX ANOVA TABLE	
38 TOTAL PROFILE INDEX COEFFICIENTS	
39 TOTAL PROFILE INDEX RESIDUALS	ALA
41 LEVEL OF ETHICS MODEL SUMMARY	
43 LEVEL O ETHICS COEFFICIENTS	
44 Level of Ethics residual statistics	
45 LEVEL OF ETHICS TEST FOR NORMALITY OF ERROR	
46 LEVEL OF LACK OF PRIVACY MODEL SUMMARY	
47 LEVEL OF LACK OF PRIVACY ANOVA TABLE	
48 LEVEL OF LACK OF PRIVACY COEFFICIENTS	
48 LEVEL OF LACK OF PRIVACY COEFFICIENTS	
50 LEVEL OF LACK OF PRIVACY TEST FOR NORMALITY OF ERROR	
51 No of Friends model summary	
51 NO OF FRIENDS MODEL SUMMARY	
53 NO OF FRIENDS COEFFICIENTS	
53 NO OF FRIENDS COEFFICIENTS	
55 No of friends residual statistics	
55 NO OF FRIENDS TEST FOR NORMALITY OF ERROK	
57 EMOTIONAL ATTACHMENT TO FACEBOOK MODEL SUMMARY	
58 EMOTIONAL ATTACHMENT TO FACEBOOK COEFFICIENTS	
59 EMOTIONAL ATTACHMENT TO FACEBOOK COEFFICIENTS	
- 27 LINGTRABAL AT FACTIONE BY LACEDONA KESHAUAUS	

Table of Tables

60 PRIOR OFFLINE CONTACTS MODEL SUMMARY	LXXI
61 PRIOR OFFLINE CONTACTS ANOVA TABLE	LXXI
62 PRIOR OFFLINE CONTACTS COEFFICIENTS	
63 PRIOR OFFLINE CONTACTS RESIDUAL STATISTICS	LXXII
64 PRIOR OFFLINE CONTACTS TEST FOR NORMALITY OF ERRORS	LXXIII
65 NEW ONLINE CONTACTS MODEL SUMMARY	1XXVII
66 NEW ONLINE CONTACTS ANOVA TABLE	LXXVII
67 NEW ONLINE CONTACTS COEFFICIENTS	1XXVII
68 NEW ONLINE CONTACTS RESIDUAL STATISTICS	LXXVIII
69 NEW ONLINE CONTACTS TEST FOR NORMALITY OF ERROR	XIXX 1
70 PERCEIVED CRITICAL MASS OF FRIENDS MODEL SUMMARY	LXXXIII
71 PERCEIVED CRITICAL MASS OF FRIENDS ANOVA TABLE	1.XXXIII
72 PERCEIVED CRITICAL MASS OF FRIENDS COEFFICIENTS	LXXXII
73 PERCEIVED CRITICAL MASS OF FRIENDS RESIDUAL STATISTICS	LXXXIV
74 PERCEIVED CRITICAL MASS OF FRIENDS TEST FOR THE NORMALITY OF ERROR	LXXXV
75 PERCEIVED USEFULNESS OF INFORMATION TOOLS MODEL SUMMARY	LXXXIX
76 PERCEIVED USEFULNESS OF INFORMATION TOOLS ANOVA TABLE	LXXXIX
77 PERCEIVED USEFULNESS OF INFORMATION TOOLS COEFFICIENTS	LXXXIX
78 PERCEIVED USEFULNESS OF INFORMATION TOOLS RESIDUAL STATISTICS	XC
79 PERCEIVED USEFULNESS OF INFORMATION TOOLS TEST FOR NORMALITY OF ERROR	XC1
80 SELF ESTEEM MODEL SUMMARY	XCIV
81 SELF ESTEEM ANOVA TABLE	XCV
82 SELF ESTEEM COEFFICIENTS	XCV
83 SELF ESTEEM RESIDUAL STATISTICS	XCVI
84 SELF ESTEEM TEST FOR NORMALITY FOR ERROR	XCVII
85 SATISFACTION WITH LIFE MODEL SUMARY	XCIX
86 SATISFACTION WITH LIFE ANOVA TABLE	XCIX
87 SATISFACTION WITH LIFE COEFFICIENTS	XCIX
OO COMMUNICATION INTERLEMENTAL C	C
89 SATISFACTION WITH LIFE TEST FOR NORMALITY OF ERROR	CI
90 MULTIPLE REGRESSION MODEL SUMMARY	CHI
91 MULTIPLE REGRESSION ANOVA TABLE	C1V
92 MULTIPLE REGRESSION COEFFICIENTS	CV
93 MULTIPLE REGRESSION COLINEARITY DIAGNOSTICS	CVI
94 MULTIPLE REGRESSION RESIDUAL STATISTICS	CVII

Table of Figures

	O
FIGURE 1 PILOT STUDY 1 RESULTS	10
FIGURE 2 DIAGRAM OF CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK	10
FIGURE 3 TIME SPENT ON FACEBOOK DISTRIBUTION	
FIGURE 4 LEVEL OF TRUST DISTRIBUTION	24
FIGURE 5 AGE DISTRIBUTION	
FIGURE 6 TOTAL PROFILE INDEX DISTRIBUTION	
FIGURE 7 LEVEL OF ETHICS DISTRIBUTION	70
FIGURE 8 DEVIL OF EACK OF PRIVACY DISTRIBUTION	30
FIGURE 10 EMOTIONAL ATTACHMENT TO FACEBOOK DISTRIBUTION	31
FIGURE 11 PRIOR OFFLINE CONTACTS DISTRIBUTION	
FIGURE 12 NEW ONLINE CONTACTS DISTRIBUTION	33
Figure 13 Perceived critical mass of friends distribution	3.4
Figure 14 Perceived extricat mass of preends distribution	35
FIGURE 15 SELF ESTEEM DISTRIBUTION	
FIGURE 15 SELF ESTEEM DISTRIBUTION	37
FIGURE 17 SUM OF ACTIVITY DISTRIBUTION	38
FIGURE 18 NATURAL LOG TRANSFORMED SUM OF ACTIVITY DISTRIBUTION	
FIGURE 19 TIME SPENT ON FACEBOOK RESIDUAL DISTRIBUTION	
FIGURE 20 TIME SPENT ON FACEBOOK RESIDUAL DISTRIBUTION	
FIGURE 21 TIME SPENT ON FACEBOOK SCATTER PLOT RESIDUAL VS PRIDICTED VALUE	HXXX
FIGURE 22 SCATTER PLOT RESIDUAL VS. TOTAL PROFILE INDEX	XXIV
FIGURE 23 SCATTER PLOT TIME SPENT ON FACEBOOK VS. NATURAL LOG SUM OF ACTIVITY	
FIGURE 24 TIME SPENT ON FACEBOOK COOK'S DISTANCE VS. CENTERED LEVERAGE	XXVII
FIGURE 25 LEVEL OF TRUST ERROR DISTRIBUTION	
FIGURE 26 LEVEL OF TRUST P-P PLOT	XXX
FIGURE 27 LEVEL OF TRUST SCATTER PLOT RESIDUALS VS. PREDICTED VALUE	
FIGURE 28 LEVEL OF TRUST VS. NATURAL LOG SUM OF ACTIVITY	XXXII
FIGURE 29 LEVEL OF TRUST COOK'S DISTANCE VS. CENTERED LEVERAGE VALUE	XXXIII
FIGURE 30 AGE ERROR DISTRIBUTION TO THE SES & DISSEMBLIONS	XXXVI
FIGURE 31 AGE P-P PLOT	XXXVII
FIGURE 32 AGE SCATTER PLOT RESIDUAL VS. PREDICTED VALUE	XXXVIII
FIGURE 33 AGE VS. NATURAL LOG SUM OF ACTIVITY	
FIGURE 34 TOTAL PROFILE INDEX ERROR DISTRIBUTION	
FIGURE 35 TOTAL PROFILE INDEX P-P PLOT OF ERROR	XLII
FIGURE 36 TOTAL PROFILE INDEX SCATTER PLOT RESIDUALS VS. PRIDICTED VALUES	XLIII
FIGURE 37 TOTAL PROFILE INDEX VS. NATURAL LOG TRANSFORMED SUM OF ACTIVITY	
FIGURE 38 TOTAL PROFILE INDEX COOK'S DISTANCE VS. CENTERED LEVERAGE	
FIGURE 39 LEVEL OF ETHICS ERROR DISTRIBUTION	XL.VIII
FIGURE 40 LEVEL OF ETHICS P-P PLOT	XLIX
FIGURE 40 LEVEL OF ETHICS P-P PLOT	L
FIGURE 40 LEVEL OF ETHICS P-P PLOT	L
FIGURE 41 LEVEL OF ETHICS SCATTER PLOT RESIDUALS VS. PREDICTED VALUE	L
FIGURE 41 LEVEL OF ETHICS SCATTER PLOT RESIDUALS VS. PREDICTED VALUE	LILIV
FIGURE 41 LEVEL OF ETHICS SCATTER PLOT RESIDUALS VS. PREDICTED VALUE	L.L.L.I.II
FIGURE 41 LEVEL OF ETHICS SCATTER PLOT RESIDUALS VS. PREDICTED VALUE	L.L.L.I.II
FIGURE 41 LEVEL OF ETHICS SCATTER PLOT RESIDUALS VS. PREDICTED VALUE	L. LIILIVLV
FIGURE 41 LEVEL OF ETHICS SCATTER PLOT RESIDUALS VS. PREDICTED VALUE	LV LXI
FIGURE 41 LEVEL OF ETHICS SCATTER PLOT RESIDUALS VS. PREDICTED VALUE	L. LIVLVILXI
FIGURE 41 LEVEL OF ETHICS SCATTER PLOT RESIDUALS VS. PREDICTED VALUE	L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L
FIGURE 41 LEVEL OF ETHICS SCATTER PLOT RESIDUALS VS. PREDICTED VALUE	L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L
FIGURE 41 LEVEL OF ETHICS SCATTER PLOT RESIDUALS VS. PREDICTED VALUE	L LXI
FIGURE 41 LEVEL OF ETHICS SCATTER PLOT RESIDUALS VS. PREDICTED VALUE	L LXIV
FIGURE 41 LEVEL OF ETHICS SCATTER PLOT RESIDUALS VS. PREDICTED VALUE	L LXIV
FIGURE 41 LEVEL OF ETHICS SCATTER PLOT RESIDUALS VS. PREDICTED VALUE	L LXIV LXIV LXIV LXIV LXIV LXIV LXIV LXIV
FIGURE 41 LEVEL OF ETHICS SCATTER PLOT RESIDUALS VS. PREDICTED VALUE FIGURE 42 LEVEL OF ETHICS VS. NATURAL LOG TRANSFORMED SUM OF ACTIVITY FIGURE 43 LEVEL OF ETHICS COOK'S DISTANCE VS. CENTERD LEVERAGE FIGURE 44 LEVEL OF LACK OF PRIVACY DISTRIBUTION FIGURE 45 LEVEL OF LACK OF PRIVACY P-P PLOT FIGURE 46 LEVEL OF LACK OF PRIVACY RESIDUALS VS. PREDICTED VALUES FIGURE 47 LEVEL OF LACK OF PRIVACY VS NATURAL LOG TRANSFORMED ERROR FIGURE 48 NO OF FRIENDS ERROR DISTRIBUTION FIGURE 49 NO OF FRIENDS P-P PLOT FIGURE 50 NO OF FRIENDS RESIDUALS VS. PREDICTED VALUES FIGURE 51 NO OF FRIENDS VS NATURAL LOG TRANSFORMED SUM OF ACTIVITY FIGURE 52 NO OF FRIENDS COOK'S DISTANCE VS. CENTERED LEVERAGE VALUE FIGURE 53 EMOTIONAL ATTACHMENT TO FACEBOOK ERROR DISTRIBUTION FIGURE 54 EMOTIONAL ATTACHMENT TO FACEBOOK FEST FOR NORMALITY FIGURE 56 EMOTIONAL ATTACHMENT TO FACEBOOK RESIDUALS VS. PREDICTED VALUE FIGURE 56 EMOTIONAL ATTACHMENT TO FACEBOOK RESIDUALS VS. PREDICTED VALUE	L LXVI
FIGURE 41 LEVEL OF ETHICS SCATTER PLOT RESIDUALS VS. PREDICTED VALUE	LL.V.I.V.I.V.I.V.I.V.I.V.I.V.I.V
FIGURE 41 LEVEL OF ETHICS SCATTER PLOT RESIDUALS VS. PREDICTED VALUE FIGURE 42 LEVEL OF ETHICS VS. NATURAL LOG TRANSFORMED SUM OF ACTIVITY FIGURE 43 LEVEL OF ETHICS COOK'S DISTANCE VS. CENTERD LEVERAGE FIGURE 44 LEVEL OF LACK OF PRIVACY DISTRIBUTION FIGURE 45 LEVEL OF LACK OF PRIVACY P-P PLOT FIGURE 46 LEVEL OF LACK OF PRIVACY RESIDUALS VS. PREDICTED VALUES FIGURE 47 LEVEL OF LACK OF PRIVACY VS NATURAL LOG TRANSFORMED ERROR FIGURE 48 NO OF FRIENDS ERROR DISTRIBUTION FIGURE 49 NO OF FRIENDS P-P PLOT FIGURE 50 NO OF FRIENDS RESIDUALS VS. PREDICTED VALUES FIGURE 51 NO OF FRIENDS VS NATURAL LOG TRANSFORMED SUM OF ACTIVITY FIGURE 52 NO OF FRIENDS COOK'S DISTANCE VS. CENTERED LEVERAGE VALUE FIGURE 53 EMOTIONAL ATTACHMENT TO FACEBOOK ERROR DISTRIBUTION FIGURE 54 EMOTIONAL ATTACHMENT TO FACEBOOK FEST FOR NORMALITY FIGURE 56 EMOTIONAL ATTACHMENT TO FACEBOOK RESIDUALS VS. PREDICTED VALUE FIGURE 56 EMOTIONAL ATTACHMENT TO FACEBOOK RESIDUALS VS. PREDICTED VALUE	LL.V.I.V.I.V.I.V.I.V.I.V.I.V.I.

Table of Figures

FIGURE 59 PRIOR OFFLINE CONTACTS ERROR DISTRIBUTION	LXXII
FIGURE 60 PRIOR OFFLINE CONTACTS P-P PLOT\	
FIGURE 61 PRIOR OFFLINE CONTACTS RESIDUALS VS. PREDICTED VALUE	LXXIV
FIGURE 62 PRIOR OFFLINE CONTACTS VS. NATURAL LOG TRANSFORMED SUM OF ACTIVIT	Y ŁXXV
FIGURE 63 PRIOR OFFLINE CONTACTS COOK'S DISTANCE AND CENTER LEVERAGE VALUE	1.XXVI
FIGURE 64 NEW ONLINE CONTACTS ERROR DISTRIBUTION	1.XXVIII
FIGURE 65 NEW ONLINE CONTACTS P-P PLOT	LXXIX
FIGURE 66 NEW ONLINE CONTACTS RESIDUALS VS. PRIDICTED VALUE	LXXX
FIGURE 67 NEW ONLINE CONTACTS COOK'S DISTANCE VS. CENTRAL LEVERAGE	LXXXI
FIGURE 68 NEW ONLINE CONTACTS VS NATURAL LOG TRANSFORMED SUM OF ACTIVITY	LXXXII
FIGURE 69 PERCEIVED CRITICAL MASS OF FRIENDS ERROR DISTRIBUTION	LXXXIV
FIGURE 70 PERCEIVED CRITICAL MASS OF FRIENDS P-P PLOT	LXXXV
FIGURE 71 PERCEIVED CRITICAL MASS OF FRIENDS RESIDUAL VS. PREDICTED VALUE	LXXXVI
FIGURE 72 PERCEIVED CRETICAL MASS OF FRIENDS VS. NATURAL LOG TRANSFORMED SU	
FIGURE 73 PERCEIVED CRITICAL MASS OF FRIENDS COOK'S DISTANCE VS. CENTERED LEV	ERAGE LXXXVIII
FIGURE 74 PERCEIVED USEFULNESS OF INFORMATION TOOLS ERROR DISTRIBUTION	
FIGURE 75 PERCEIVED USEFULNESS OF INFORMATION TOOLS P-P PLOT	XCI
FIGURE 76 PERCEIVED USEFULNESS OF INFORMATION TOOLS RESIDUALS VS. PREDICTED	VALUEXCII
FIGURE 77 PERCEIVED USEFULNESS OF INFORMATION TOOLS VS LOG NATURAL TRANSFO	RMED SUM OF
ACTIVITY	XCIII
FIGURE 78 PERCEIVED USEFULNESS OF INFORMATION TOOLS COOK'S DISTANCE VS CENT	TERED
LEVERAGE VLUE	XCIV
FIGURE 79 SELF ESTEEM ERROR DISTRIBUTION	XCVI
FIGURE 80 SELF ESTEEM P-P PLOT	
FIGURE 81 SELF ESTEEM SCATTER PLOT RESIDDALS VS PREDICTED VALUES	
FIGURE 82 SATISFACTION WITH LIFE ERROR DISTRIBUTION	C
FIGURE 83 SATISFACTION WITH LIFE P-P PLOT.	CI
FIGURE 84 SATISFACTION WITH LIFE SCATTER PLOT RESIDUALS VS. PREDICTED VALUE	Cu
Provide B O C A 11 11 PRODUCE OF COLON CUED DATE DIDLUTTION	1.7/11
FIGURE 86 MULTIPLE REGRESSION P-P PLOT	CVIII
FIGURE 87 MULTIPLE REGRESSION SCATTER PLOT RESIDUALS VS PREDICTED VALUES	CIX
FIGURE 88 MULTIPLE REGRESSION COOK'S DISTANCE VS. CENTERED LEVERAGE VALUES.	CX