RABAN - A SOFTWARE IMPLEMENTATION PROCESS FOR ROBOTIC PROCESS AUTOMATION (RPA) PROJECTS

K.V. Jeeva Padmini

(168013L)

Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

Department of Computer Science and Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

May 2022

RABAN - A SOFTWARE IMPLEMENTATION PROCESS FOR ROBOTIC PROCESS AUTOMATION (RPA) PROJECTS

Kumara Vidanalage Jeeva Padmini

(168013L)

The thesis was submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirement for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy in the Department of Computer Science and Engineering.

Department of Computer Science and Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

May 2022

DECLARATION

I declare that this is my work, and this thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning, and to the best of my knowledge and belief, it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant to the University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my thesis/dissertation, in whole or in part in print, electronic, or another medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

UOM Verified Signature

<u>k</u>

K.V. Jeeva Padmini

(Signature of the candidate)

The above candidate has carried out research for the Ph.D. thesis under my supervision.

i

UOM Verified Signature

(Dr. G.I.U.S Perera) Signature of the Supervisor

UOM Verified Signature

(Dr. H.M.N. Dilum Bandara)

Signature of the Supervisor

25-5-2022 Date

25/05/2022

Date

25-5-2022

Date:

COPYRIGHT STATEMENT

I hereby grant the University of Moratuwa the right to archive and to make available my thesis or dissertation in whole or part in the University Libraries in all forms of media, subject to the provisions of the current copyright act of Sri Lanka. I retain all proprietary rights, such as patent rights. I also retain the right to use in future works (such as articles or books) all or part of this thesis or dissertation.

UOM Verified Signature

.

K.V. Jeeva Padmini (168013L)

ABSTRACT

Robotic Process Automation (RPA), the next level of business process automation, provides adaptive and transformative solutions to replace timeconsuming, non-value-adding, and repetitive human tasks in a Business Process (BP). RPA based BP transformation projects differ from typical software development projects because RPA bots are developed on stable code. It is counterproductive to use existing software processes in RPA projects. A process template (i.e., software implementation process and metrics to track the project) is yet to be derived for RPA projects. The estimated initial RPA project failure rates are 30-50%, and the lack of a fitting implementation process is attributed as one of the key contributors to failure. We addressed this gap and derived a novel process for RPA projects named Raban and metrics to track RPA projects.

Scrum was used to formulate the Raban. Focus group discussions were conducted with scrum teams and identified 80 challenges. Those analyzed in Straussian grounded theory are grouped into six categories (i.e., lack of agile mindset, inconsistency in story estimation, client management issues, lack of adherence to agile practices, scope change in requirement freeze, and lack of quantitative measurement). Prioritized 15 burning challenges were classified based on significance, and taxonomy was developed. Derived steps to estimate RPA use-cases and a framework to achieve customer satisfaction adopting design thinking practices in agile projects. Moreover, 17 software metrics and three artifacts were derived and validated in five scrum projects. Raban was derived based on the solutions identified and further fine-tuned based on the feedback from follow-up interviews with the stakeholders and two workshops conducted with the other RPA project teams. After that, 14 metrics and two artifacts were derived for Raban and validated in a RPA project. Moreover, to select the right candidate BP for RPA transformation, predictive machine learning model was developed, where the decision made as yes/no on RPA suitability. We used 16 factors and a two-class decision forest classification model to develop the model.

Keywords: agile framework, agile metrics, design thinking, decision support tool, robotic process automation

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Completing this research work would not have been possible without the support of many people who have always wished for my success. I would like to use this space to express my deepest gratitude to those with me in my dark times and for their encouragement and continuous support.

I am eternally grateful to my supervisors Prof. G. I. U. S. Perera, and Dr H. M. N. Dilum Bandara of the Department of Computer Science and Engineering department at the University of Moratuwa. First of all, I would like to thank Dr. Dilum Bandara for his encouraging words to start a Ph.D. journey. His insight was helpful for me to view the research from a different angle. There would not be an appearance of this thesis without whose support. It is a pleasure to study under his guidance, immense patience, and encouragement during the tough times in my Ph.D. journey. I am grateful to Prof. G. I. U. S. Perera, who opened my eyes to see the opportunities to liaise behind in the world as a researcher. His scholarly advice colored and shaped my Ph.D. journey. I owe Dr. Chandana Gamage, who offered relentless cheer and support to survive in the research environment. He never gives a second thought to give his hand when in need. Further, I would like to thank the academic and non-academic staff in the Department of Computer Science and Engineering at the University of Moratuwa. Thanks also to Mr. Dinesh Bandara and Ms. Nisansala Samarasuriya, for the administrative support given within the University.

Moreover, a huge thank goes to Mr. Madu Rathnayaka and Mr. Sirinival Alluri for the enormous support by sharing their insight into research work and using Virtusa Pvt Ltd as the base for my research. I take this moment to thank especially my colleagues in the process team, project managers and development, testing teams, and all the staff at Virtusa Pvt Ltd for their tremendous support and patient show during data gathering and analysis. I'm grateful to the professionals in the industry and friends who supported me by participating in the online surveys, face-to-face interviews, and focus group discussions conducted within the research. Moreover, hats off to the academic staff, colleagues, and friends in the Department of Computer Science and Engineering at the University for their assistance and advice contributed to this research. Thank you very much, Prof. Uditha Rathnayaka, who always encouraged and took time to check on me and made me shine inside throughout my Ph.D. journey.

I am forever grateful to my dearest parents, who have encouraged me every time in my academic achievements. My beloved husband, daughter, and son are always there to relieve the stress and improve the self-belief to succeed in challenges faced.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

COPYRIGHT STA	TEMENTII
ABSTRACT	
ACKNOWLEDGE	MENT IV
TABLE OF CONTI	ENTSVI
LIST OF FIGURES	X
LIST OF TABLES.	XI
LIST OF ABBREV	IATIONSXII
LIST OF APPEND	ICES I
1 INTRODUCT	TON1
1.1 Motiv	vation1
1.2 Probl	lem statement
1.3 Obje	ctives
1.4 Resea	arch contribution
1.5 Outli	ne of the research
2 LITERATURI	E REVIEW11
2.1 Robo	otic Process Automation (RPA)11
2.2 Iterat	ive and incremental process vs. Plan driven Process 12
2.3 Agile	e framework
2.4 Agile	e project management model 16
2.4.1	Envision17
2.4.2	Speculate

2.4	4.3 Explore	18
2.4	4.4 Adapt	18
2.4	4.5 Close	18
2.5	Agile practices	18
2.5	5.1 Scrum	19
2.5	5.2 Kanban	20
2.5	5.3 Scrumban	21
2.5	5.4 Extreme Programming (XP)	22
2.6	Software Metrics	22
2.6	5.1 Software development product quality	25
2.6	5.2 Software development team productivity	26
2.6	5.3 Software development project predictability	27
2.7	Design Thinking	28
2.8	Process Templates	29
2.9	Discussion	30
RESEA	ARCH METHODOLOGY	31
3.1	Overview of the research approach	31
3.2	Step 1 – Background study	33
3.3	Step 2 – Execute RPA projects in existing process models	33
3.4	Step 3–Formulate a process for RPA projects	36
3.5	Step 4 - Execute RPA projects in the novel process	38
3.6	Step 5 - Derive metrics to manage RPA projects run on the novel 39	process
3.7	Summary	40

3

4 FORMUL	4 FORMULATING SOFTWARE IMPLEMENTATION PROCESS FOR RPA .42		
4.1 I	Background study		
4.2 H	Executing the RPA process using the plan-driven process		
	Execute the RPA process using the in-house development process model 45		
4.4 I	dentify suitable agile practices to adopt the RPA process		
4.5 H	Formulate the software implementation process for RPA projects 50		
4.5.1	Taxonomy for Agile Practitioners51		
4.5.2	Design thinking to accelerate customer satisfaction		
4.5.3	Use-case estimation model55		
4.6 I	Execute RPA projects in Raban framework55		
4.7 I	RABAN: A software implementation process for RPA projects 60		
4.7.1	Selection phase		
4.7.2	Ceremony phase		
4.7.3	Explore phase		
4.7.4	Inspect phase		
4.7.5	Deployment phase		
4.8 I	Results and Analysis		
4.9 5	Summary 85		
5 DECISION SUPPORT TOOL TO SELECT CANDIDATE BUS PROCESS (CBP)			
5.1 H	Factor identification		
5.2 I	Predictive model		
5.2.1	Data pre-process step		

	5	.2.2	Factor selection step	
	5	.2.3	Classification step	96
	5	.2.4	Evaluation step	
	5	.2.5	Model evaluation	
	5.3	Re	sults and analysis	
	5.4	Su	mmary	
	6 SOFT	WAR	E METRICS FOR RABAN FRAMEWORK	105
	6.1	Me	etrics for scrum projects	
	6.2	Me	etrics for Raban framework	
	6.3	Re	sults and analysis	
	6.4	Su	mmary	
	7 CONC	CLUS	IONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	122
	7.1	Re	search implications	
	7.2	Re	search limitations	
	7.3	Fu	ture work	
	REFEREN	CES		
APPENDIX I				
APPENDIX II				
	APPENDIX	X III		
	APPENDIX	K IV		

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1 : The linear workflows of the Waterfall methodology.	13
Figure 2.2 : Iterative and incremental process in agile practice.	16
Figure 2.3 : Agile project management model.	17
Figure 2.4 : Scrum framework.	21
Figure 2.5 : Agile metric mind map.	25
Figure 3.1 : High-level view of the research methodology.	34
Figure 3.2 : Detailed view of the research methodology.	35
Figure 4.1 : In-house process model proposed by the global IT services and	delivery
company.	46
Figure 4.2 : Agile suitability estimation using the global IT services and	delivery
company developed tool.	48
Figure 4.3 : Agile suitability estimation using Jalila's tool.	50
Figure 4.4 : Steps followed to sample selection (10 companies) out of 77 IT	service-
based companies.	54
Figure 4.5 : High-level overview of the Raban framework.	60
Figure 4.6 : Detailed vide of the Raban framework.	61
Figure 4.7: Framework to satisfy customer expectations using design	thinking
practices in agile practices.	73
Figure 4.8 : Change requests identification status throughout the project life c	ycle. 74
Figure 4.9 : Defect identification status throughout the project life cycle.	77
Figure 5.1 : A Proposed predictive model.	92
Figure 5.2 : Model development and testing workflow.	97
Figure 5.3 : Results from the prediction model.	100
Figure 5.4 : Survey respondents played role in RPA projects.	102
Figure 5.5 : Respondents' experience in the RPA industry.	102
Figure 5.6 : Survey respondents' bot development status.	103
Figure 6.1 : Probability of conducting backlog grooming ceremony(Every we	ek). 108
Figure 6.2 : Probability of accepting changes during the sprint.	110

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1: Deviation from Traditional to Agile method.	14		
Table 2.2: Agile development methods.			
Table 2.3: Team productivity factors from empirical studies.	26		
Table 4.1: Project execution schedule.	44		
Table 4.2: Challenges faced by Agile Practitioners in scrum projects.	52		
Table 4.3: Taxonomy developed for the challenges.	57		
Table 4.4: Pain points in customer satisfaction and ways to improve.	59		
Table 4.5: Defects captured by the client during the UAT of all three phases.	67		
Table 4.6: Best practices identified to satisfy customer experiences.	70		
Table 4.7: Challenges faced by Agile teams while practicing design thinking.	71		
Table 4.8: Comparison of Raban with Scaum, Kanban, and Extreame	(XP)		
Programming.	82		
Table 5.1: Factors impact on CBP selection in RPA.	90		
Table 5.2: The CBPS and Spearman's correlation among 16 factors.	93		
Table 5.3: Predictive model accuracy.	98		
Table 6.1: Description of Scrum Projects.	106		
Table 6.2: Metrics captured in face-to-face interviews.	107		
Table 6.3: Metrics captured in literature review	114		
Table 6.4: Metric Analysis.	115		
Table 6.5: Agile SME role and experience.	117		
Table 6.6: Best-fit metrics and artifacts in scrum.	118		
Table 6.7 : Best-fit metrics and artifacts for Raban.	120		

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ASD	Agile Software Development
BPC	Business Process Complexity
CBPS	Candidate Business Process Status
CCM	Cyclomatic Complexity Metric
CF	Cognitive Features
CMMI	Capability Maturity Model Integration
CSM	Certified Scrum Masters
DD	Data-Driven
DMI	Delivery Maturity Index
DST	Decision Support Tool
EOL	End Of Life
HCM	Halstead Complexity Metric
HE	Human Error
IC	Integrated Circuit
IOF	Impact Of Failure
IT	Information Technology
IEC	International Electrotechnical Commission
ISO	International Organization for Standardization
KLOC	Thousands of Lines Of Code
LOC	Lines of Codes
MMI	Multi-Model Input
NOSA	No of Systems to Access
OC	Operational Cost
OTD	Ontime Delivery
PO	Product Owner
PQ	Product Quality
PP	Project Predictability

РТ	Process Templates
PVT	Production Verification Testing
QA	Quality Assurance
ROC	Rate of Change
RBBP	Rule-Based Business Process
RC	Regulatory Compliance
RPA	Robotic Process Automation
SIT	System Integration Testing
SLA	Service Level Agreement
SLOC	Source Line Of Code
SME	Subject Matter Expert
SOE	Stability Of Environment
SPSS	Statistical Package for the Social Sciences
TDD	Test Driven Development
VOT	Volume of Transaction
SQuaRE	Software PQ Requirements and Evaluation
ТР	Team Productivity
TVI+	Target Value Increase
UAT	User Accepting Testing
VOT	Volume of transaction
WIP	Working In Progress
WLV	WorkLoad Variance
WV	Workload Variance
XP, XP2	Extreme Programming

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix I	Survey on challenges faced by agile team members	138
Appendix II	Design thinking practices to satisfy customer expectations	145
Appendix III	Decision support tool to select CBP for Robotic Process Automation (RPA)	148
Appendix IV	Metric Description	156