

**A NOVEL FRAMEWORK TO ASSESS THE LEVEL OF
ENERGY CULTURE MATURITY: THE CASE OF
TEXTILE AND APPAREL INDUSTRIAL
ORGANISATIONS**

Soorige Dumindu Asela Soorige

188092T

Thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree
Doctor of Philosophy

Department of Building Economics, University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka
and
School of Architecture and Built Environment, Deakin University,
Australia

September 2022

DECLARATION

I declare that this is my own work and this thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or Institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

Signature: ***UOM Verified Signature***

Date:12/09/2022

The supervisor/s should certify the thesis with the following declaration. The above candidate has carried out research for the PhD thesis under my supervision. I confirm that the declaration made above by the student is true and correct.

Name of the supervisor: Prof. Udayangani Kulatunga

Signature of the supervisor: ***UOM Verified Signature*** Date: 12/09//2022

DEDICATION

I dedicate this piece of work to my loving wife Mahesha and son Vinuth in return for the departed time and unconditional love.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

There were many people who were of great importance and influence on me during my period of pursuing a PhD, whom I would like and most gratefully acknowledge.

First and foremost, I would like to acknowledge my gratitude to Professor Udayangani Kulatunga, Dr Gayani Karunasena, Professor Lalith de Silva, and Dr Nateque Mahmood for their enormous support and continuous guidance given as my supervisors, especially for their patience and efforts in encouraging me throughout the study. Further, I highly appreciate the invaluable feedback received from Associate Professor Thayaparan Gajendran from the University of Newcastle, Australia as the external expert in the progress review panel, which shaped the study's direction from the beginning.

I must express my gratitude to renowned scholar Professor David L. Morgan at Portland State University in the United States for his generous guidance provided for various matters relating to development of the research methodology of the study. Further, I would like to acknowledge the guidance received from Professor Janet Stephenson, Managing Director of the Centre for Sustainability, University of Otago, New Zealand as the leading scholar who developed the energy cultures framework that is the foundation for this study.

My sincere thanks also go to staff from Deakin University and the University of Moratuwa. I would like to thank the staff of the School of Architecture and Built Environment at Deakin University, including Head of the School Professor Sarah McGann, former Head of the School, Professor Anthony Mills; Professor Chunlu Liu; Associate Head of School (Research), Dr M. Reza Hosseini; HDR Coordinators, Dr Dominic Ahiaga-Dagbui and Dr Akari Nakai Kidd; and School Administrative Officer, Ms Bronwyn Burrell. Further, I convey my gratitude to the staff of the University of Moratuwa, including the Dean of the Faculty of Graduate Studies, Professor Ajith De Alwis; Former Dean of the Faculty of Graduate Studies, Professor Dileeka Dias; Dean of the Faculty of Architecture, Dr D.P. Chandrasekara; Head of the Department of Building Economics, Ch Qs Suranga Jayasena; former Head of the Department, Professor Yasangika Sandanayake; and Director Post Graduate Studies of the Faculty of Architecture, Dr Sachie Gunathilake.

My special thanks go to Dr Chandanie Hadiwattage, Dr Aparna Samaraweera, Ms Indrani Wimalaratne and Mr Nandun Madushanka for their invaluable support and motivation that had a highly positive influence on my journey. Further, I want to acknowledge the members of the Deakin University Thesis Writing Group, led by Dr Terrie Fraser, for their support and constructive feedback. Moreover, I would like to acknowledge the invaluable knowledge gained through "Thesis Whisperer" and "Patter" blogs about various aspects of the PhD journey. Therefore, I would like to thank Professor Inger Mewburn and Professor Pat Thomson for maintaining such resourceful blogs for the betterment of the academic community. Further, I wish to thank Dr Blue Mahy for editing services, including correcting errors in grammar,

punctuation, and spelling; improving the clarity of expression; and ensuring consistency of this thesis.

Last but not the least, I would like to thank my family members for their unconditional love, emotional sacrifices, constant help, and encouragement throughout my challenging journey of completing a PhD.

ABSTRACT

Global progress of energy-related improvements is considerably behind the curve due to the slow progress of existing initiatives. Hence, there is a pressing need for alternative approaches. Emerging energy culture research area has been identified as a promising approach to look at the lower progress of energy-related improvements. However, energy culture maturity frameworks have not been established yet despite the ability for performance improvement proved by other culture maturity frameworks, like safety culture maturity. Hence, this study aimed to develop a mechanism to assess the level of energy culture maturity focused on the textile and apparel industrial organisations in Sri Lanka, which is the major energy-consuming industry in the country with considerable energy issues. This study was conducted in two (02) phases to develop and evaluate an energy culture maturity assessment framework. Phase I developed the energy culture maturity framework using three (03) steps. Accordingly, Steps 1 and 2 developed a draft energy culture maturity framework using 10 and 15 semi-structured interviews respectively. Then, Step 3 refined the draft framework using three (03) focus groups with four (04) participants each. Phase II of the study evaluated the applicability of Phase I's framework using three (03) case studies of large-scale textile and apparel industrial organisations in Sri Lanka. The findings of the study revealed a novel energy culture maturity assessment framework and its applicability for assessing the energy culture maturity of large-scale textile and apparel industrial organisations in Sri Lanka. This study not only took the innovative step of developing the first energy culture maturity conceptual framework and energy culture maturity framework for textile and apparel industrial organisations but also provides a robust mechanism for policymakers and practitioners to assess the level of energy culture maturity in textile and apparel industrial organisations.

Keywords: energy culture; energy culture maturity; textile and apparel industrial organisations

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Declaration	i
Dedication	ii
Acknowledgement	iii
Abstract	v
Table of Contents	vi
List of Figures	ix
List of Tables	xi
Abbreviations	xiii
List of Appendices	xiv
Chapter 1	1
Introduction	1
1.1 Research background	1
1.2 Justification of the research problem	2
1.3 Aim and objectives	4
1.4 Research methodology	4
1.5 Scope and limitations of the research	5
1.6 Contributions of the research	5
1.7 Chapter breakdown	6
1.8 Chapter summary	7
Chapter 2	8
Literature Review	8
2.1 Introduction	8
2.2 Energy culture in textile and apparel industrial organisations	8
2.2.1 Energy culture	8
2.2.2 Energy culture in textile and apparel industrial organisations	11
2.3 Development of energy culture maturity conceptual framework and its components	12
2.3.1 Energy culture maturity frameworks	13
2.3.2 Implementation of systematic literature review procedure	16
2.3.3 Review attributes, stages, and descriptors of energy culture maturity	19
2.3.4 Designing an energy culture maturity conceptual framework	25
2.4 Chapter summary	31
Chapter 3	32
Research Methodology	32
3.1 Introduction	32
3.2 Framework to outline research methodology design	32

3.3 Research philosophy _____	33
3.4 Research approach _____	36
3.5 Methodological choice _____	36
3.6 Research strategy _____	37
3.6.1 Applicability of qualitative survey strategy _____	39
3.6.2 Applicability of the case study strategy _____	39
3.7 Time horizon of research _____	40
3.8 Techniques and procedures of research _____	40
3.8.1 Phase I data collection and analysis _____	43
3.8.2 Phase II data collection and analysis _____	56
3.9 Research methodology map _____	67
3.10 Validity and reliability of the research _____	68
3.10.1 Validity of the research _____	68
3.10.2 Reliability _____	70
3.11 Chapter summary _____	70
Chapter 4 _____	71
Phase I - Analysis and Findings _____	71
4.1 Introduction _____	71
4.2 Phase 1: Development of an energy culture maturity framework _____	71
4.2.1 Step 1: Verified attributes of energy culture maturity framework _____	71
4.2.2 Steps 2 and 3: Developed maturity descriptors and energy culture maturity framework _____	85
4.3 Chapter summary _____	163
Chapter 5 _____	164
Phase II Analysis and Findings, and Study's Discussion _____	164
5.1 Introduction _____	164
5.2 Within-case analysis _____	164
5.2.1 Within-case analysis of Case Study A _____	165
5.2.2 Within-case analysis of case Study B _____	176
5.2.3 Within-case analysis of case study C _____	189
5.3 Cross-case synthesis _____	201
5.3.1 Applicability of the proposed energy culture maturity framework _____	202
5.3.2 Self-reinforcing norms, practices, and material culture _____	203
5.3.3 Low maturity of attribute 7: changing core business practices for energy-related improvements _____	204
5.3.4 Organisations fitting to the maturing area of the framework _____	204
5.3.5 Absence of the computerised maintenance management for significant energy-consuming equipment _____	204
5.3.6 Impact of green values of senior leadership on overall energy culture maturity _____	205
5.3.7 Impact of the external influences on the energy culture of organisations _____	205
5.3.8 Relating the organisation's context and energy culture maturity results. _____	206
5.4 Discussion of the study _____	206
5.4.1 Self-reinforced natures of norms, practices, and material culture _____	206
5.4.2 Influence of green values of owners and senior management _____	207
5.4.3 Attributes with low maturity _____	208
5.4.4 Development of an energy culture maturity framework _____	208
5.4.5 Expanding three maturity stages to five maturity levels _____	209
5.4.6 Facilitates energy culture maturity levels identification and continual improvement _____	210
5.4.7 Exploring textile and apparel industry-specific energy cultures _____	211

5.4.8 Expansion to the energy cultures framework as a benchmarking and baselining tool	211
5.4.9 Feedback on overall applicability of the proposed framework	212
5.5 Chapter summary	213
Chapter 6	214
Conclusions	214
6.1 Introduction	214
6.2 Research summary	214
6.3 Major contributions	214
6.3.1 Objective 1 - Review attributes, descriptors, and stages of energy culture maturity	215
6.3.2 Objective 2 - Design an energy culture maturity conceptual framework	215
6.3.3 Objective 3 - Develop an energy culture maturity assessment framework for textile and apparel industrial organisations in Sri Lanka	216
6.3.4 Objective 4 - Evaluate the applicability of the developed energy culture maturity assessment framework in textile and apparel industrial organisations in Sri Lanka	217
6.4 Contribution to knowledge – Theoretical implications	218
6.5 Contributions to research methods	218
6.6 Contributions to knowledge - practical implications	219
6.7 Limitations of the research	220
6.8 Recommendations for future research	220
6.9 Chapter summary	221
Details of Publications	222
References	223
Appendices	235
Appendix 1: Step 1- Semi-structured interview guideline	235
Appendix 2: Step 2 Semi-structured interview guideline	237
Appendix 3: Step 3 Interview guideline for focus groups	243
Appendix 4: Phase II - Interview guideline for focus groups	245
Appendix 5: Phase II - Case study focus groups (overall energy culture maturity summary statement)	247
Appendix 6: Step 1 Semi-structured interview transcript - sample	249
Appendix 7: Step 2 Semi-structured interview transcript – sample	255
Appendix 8: Step 3 Focus group transcript - sample	257
Appendix 9: Case study Focus group transcript – sample (Case B)	260
Appendix 10: Step 1 findings - Attributes and PDCA aspects	264
Appendix 11: Ethics Approval	266

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Description	Page
Figure 1. 1:	Chapter Breakdown	6
Figure 2. 1:	Energy Cultures Framework	9
Figure 2. 2:	Energy Maturity Model	15
Figure 2. 3:	PDCA Structure	16
Figure 2. 4:	Adapted Procedure for Systematic Literature Review	17
Figure 2. 5:	Development of Maturity Stages	24
Figure 2. 6:	Grouping Contexts under Energy Culture Maturity Stages	25
Figure 2. 7:	Energy Culture Maturity Conceptual Framework	26
Figure 3. 1:	Research Onion	33
Figure 3. 2:	Phases I and II Process and Outcome	42
Figure 3. 3:	Three Steps' Contribution to Framework Development	43
Figure 3. 4:	Composition of the Focus Group Participants	48
Figure 3. 5:	Extract of the Predetermined Codes from Step 1	53
Figure 3. 6:	Finnerty et al.'s (2017a) Maturity Levels and Related PDCA Structure	53
Figure 3. 7:	Integration of Hybrid Content Analysis in Three Steps of Phase I	54
Figure 3. 8:	Sample Coding Structure	56
Figure 3. 9:	Case and Unit of Analysis	57
Figure 3. 10:	Determination of the Maturity Using Multiple Sources of Evidence	62
Figure 3. 11:	Use of Pattern Matching and Cross-Case Synthesis	64
Figure 3. 12:	Research Methodology Map	67
Figure 4. 1:	Coding Structure for Attributes of the Energy Culture Maturity Framework	73
Figure 4. 2:	Coding Structure for Green Values of Owners and Senior Management	89
Figure 4. 3:	Coding Structure for Energy Vision, Mission, and Policy Statements	92
Figure 4. 4:	Coding Structure for Organisational Public Commitments	95
Figure 4. 5:	Coding Structure for Employee Interest for Energy-Related Improvements	98
Figure 4. 6:	Coding Structure for the Energy Sustainable Products and Customers	102
Figure 4. 7:	Coding Structure for Approach to Energy in Investment Analysis	105
Figure 4. 8:	Coding Structure for Changing Core Business Practices	108
Figure 4. 9:	Coding Structure for Energy Financing	111
Figure 4. 10:	Coding Structure for Assigning Human Resources and Responsibilities	114
Figure 4. 11:	Coding Structure for Priority to Energy-Efficiency in Procurement Practice	118
Figure 4. 12:	Coding Structure for Internal and External Communications	122
Figure 4. 13:	Coding Structure for Rewarding and Recognition	124
Figure 4. 14:	Coding Structure for Knowledge and Training	127
Figure 4. 15:	Coding Structure for Deployment of Energy Goals and Strategies	130
Figure 4. 16:	Coding Structure for Energy Performance Monitoring and Management	133
Figure 4. 17:	Coding Structure for Energy-Efficient Technologies	138

Figure 4. 18: Coding Structure for Renewable Energy Sources	141
Figure 4. 19: Coding Structure for Low Emission Building Design and Construction	144
Figure 4. 20: Energy Culture Maturity Framework for Textile and Apparel Industrial Organisations	147
Figure 5. 1: Expansion of the Maturity Levels	210

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Description	Page
Table 2. 1:	Interpretations for the Components of Energy Culture	10
Table 2. 2:	Current Energy Culture Research in Organisations	12
Table 2. 3:	Review of Energy Maturity Frameworks	14
Table 2. 4:	Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria	18
Table 2. 5:	Systematic Literature Review Searching Summary	18
Table 2. 6:	Summary of the Selected Studies	19
Table 2. 7:	Descriptors and Attributes of Energy Culture Maturity	21
Table 2. 8:	Energy Culture Maturity Conceptual Framework	27
Table 3. 1:	Comparison of Research Philosophies	35
Table 3. 2:	Types of Participants in Step 3 Focus Groups	49
Table 3. 3:	Comparison of the Three Approaches for Qualitative Coding	51
Table 3. 4:	Scaling Organisations Based on Energy Consumption	59
Table 3. 5:	Comparison of Potential Case Studies with Large Scales' Criteria	59
Table 3. 6:	Maturity Scoring Against the Levels	66
Table 3. 7:	Validation Procedures Adopted	68
Table 4. 1:	Details of Step 1 Expert Interviewees	72
Table 4. 2:	Details of Energy Experts from Textile and Apparel Organisations	86
Table 4. 3:	Participants for the Focus Group Discussions	87
Table 4. 4:	Descriptors - Green Values of Owners and Senior Management	91
Table 4. 5:	Descriptors - Energy Vision, Mission, and Policy Statements	94
Table 4. 6:	Descriptors - Organisation's Public Commitments	97
Table 4. 7:	Descriptors - Employee Interest in Energy-Related Improvements	100
Table 4. 8:	Descriptors -Focus on Energy Sustainable Products and Customers	104
Table 4. 9:	Descriptors - Attention to Energy in Investment Analysis	107
Table 4. 10:	Descriptors- Changing Core Business Practices	110
Table 4. 11:	Descriptors - Energy Financing	113
Table 4. 12:	Descriptors - Assigning Human Resources and Responsibilities	117
Table 4. 13:	Descriptors - Priority to Energy-Efficiency in Procurement Practice	120
Table 4. 14:	Descriptors - Internal and External Communications	123
Table 4. 15:	Descriptors - Rewarding and Recognition for Energy Related Improvements	126
Table 4. 16:	Descriptors - Knowledge and Training	129
Table 4. 17:	Descriptors - Deployment of Energy Goals and Strategies	132
Table 4. 18:	Descriptors - Energy Performance Monitoring and Management	135
Table 4. 19:	Descriptors - Energy Efficient Technologies	140
Table 4. 20:	Descriptors - Renewable Energy Sources	143
Table 4. 21:	Descriptors - Low Emission Building Design and Construction	146

Table 4. 22: Energy Culture Maturity Framework for Textile and Apparel Industrial Organisations	148
Table 5. 1: Details of the Participants of Case A Focus Group	166
Table 5. 2: Summary of the Within-Case Analysis Results of Case A	176
Table 5. 3: Details of the Participants of the Case B Focus Group	177
Table 5. 4: Summary of the Within-Case Analysis Results of Case B	188
Table 5. 5: Details of the Participants of the Case C Focus Group	190
Table 5. 6: Summary of the Within-Case Analysis Results of Case C	200
Table 5. 7: Summary of the Energy Culture Maturity Results of Case Studies	201

ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation	Description
BMS	Building Management Systems
CAQDAS	Computer Assisted Qualitative Data Analysis Software
CMM	Capability Maturity Model
ETI	Ethical Trading Initiative
GOTS	Global Organic Textile Standard
GRS	Global Recycled Standard
IEA	International Energy Agency
ISO	International Organisation for Standardisation
JASTECA	Japan Sri Lanka Technical and Cultural Association
KPI	Key Performance Indicator
LED	Light Emitting Diode
LEED	Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design
PDCA	Plan, Do, Check, Act
SBTI	Science Based Targets Initiative
SLSEA	Sri Lanka Sustainable Energy Authority
WRAP	Worldwide Responsible Accredited Production

LIST OF APPENDICES

- Appendix 1: Phase I - Step 1 interview guideline
- Appendix 2: Phase I - Step 2 interview guideline
- Appendix 3: Phase I - Step 3 focus groups interview guideline
- Appendix 4: Phase II - Case study focus groups interview guideline
- Appendix 5: Phase II - Case study focus groups - summary statement
- Appendix 6: Phase I - Step 1 sample transcript
- Appendix 7: Phase I - Step 2 sample transcript
- Appendix 8: Phase I - Step 3 sample transcript of focus groups
- Appendix 9: Phase II - sample transcript case study focus groups
- Appendix 10: Step 1's Coding structure with attributes and PDCA aspects.
- Appendix 11: Ethics Approval