# ATTRIBUTES OF URBAN FORM FOSTERING SUSTAINABILITY: AN ANALYSIS OF RESIDENTIAL NEIGHBOURHOODS IN COLOMBO, SRI LANKA

G.W.J.K.K.Wijesundara

178126U

Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

Department of Architecture

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

November 2021

DECLARATION OF THE CANDIDATE & SUPERVISOR

I declare that this is my own work, and this thesis/dissertation does not incorporate

without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in

any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and

belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person

except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and

distribute my thesis/dissertation, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium.

I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or

books).

Signature:	Date:
Signature.	Date.

The supervisor/s should certify the thesis/dissertation with the following declaration.

The above candidate has carried out research for the Masters/MPhil/PhD thesis/

Dissertation under my supervision.

Name of the supervisor: Dr. U.G.D. Weerasinghe

Signature of the supervisor:

Date:

i

### **ABSTRACT**

Despite increasing number of studies on evaluation of sustainable neighbourhoods and urban forms, only a few have paid attention to the identification of the components that influence the degree of inhabitants' gratification. A neighbourhood is a built entity that lays the experiences of daily lives of a group of inhabitants in an identifiable geographical space. The neighbourhood sustainability is the process of nurturing its environment to support and meet both economic and social needs of its inhabitants. A sustainable neighbourhood should ensure the desired quality of life and satisfaction of the inhabitants by intertwining the local; social, cultural, environmental, and economic facets enabling its sustenance as an appealing place to live. However, observations show that most neighbourhoods in the context of Southeast Asia poorly addressing this need.

'Urban Form' of such neighbourhoods is the physical manifestation, expressing respective sustainability appeal sensed by the inhabitants. This is the intangible, significant finding, demonstrated in the physical design. This study attempts to explore the determinants of Urban Form that contribute to the Neighbourhood Sustainability for the inhabitants' appeal.

Specific intent of the research is to conduct a detailed investigation on the urban form of three urban residential neighbourhoods of Colombo, selected from the city core, intermediate city, and outer city. While it examines the degree of inhabitants' appeal based on their evaluations, it assesses the neighbourhood sustainability under the three main sustainability parameters: environmental, social, and economic facets. Physical and non-physical attributes of the neighbourhood form, such as density, layout, land-use, connectivity, transport infrastructure, building typology and architectural character, were investigated by using secondary data. Structured interviews were carried out with thirty-five inhabitants; household representations, in each neighbourhood to ascertain inhabitants' perception while physical observations were made to identify the deterministic attributes.

This research develops an integrated approach recognizing the fact that environmental, social, and economic goals in appealing neighbourhoods are often mutually reinforced with the urban form of a neighbourhood. It recognizes the physical and non-physical attributes of the urban form of a neighbourhood and each of their contribution in fostering sustainability to create an appealing environment for its inhabitants. Consequently, it establishes an overall sustainability rating on the inhabitants' perception and validates through case studies with reference to the attributes of urban form. This leads to formulate the requisites of an exemplary urban form; verbalizing its attributes that are determining the sustainability of neighbourhoods.

**Key Words**: Environmental Social and Economic facets, Urban Form, Attributes, Sustainability, Urban Neighbourhoods, Inhabitant, Colombo, Sri Lanka.

### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

I wish to express my deep appreciations and sincere gratitude to my supervisor Archt. Dr. Gamini Weerasinghe and specialist advisor Prof. Ranjith Perera. Their experience, knowledge, and interest in the field of my research offered invaluable advisory directions and guidance to bring this thesis to fruition. My sincere gratitude to the academics in the Faculty and in the Department of Architecture of the University of Moratuwa; the Dean, Archt. Dr. D.P. Chandrasekara, the former Dean, Archt. Prof. Lalith De Silva, the former Head of the Department, Archt. Dr. Upendra Rajapakse, and the Senior. Prof. S. Manawadu for providing direction in the instigation and facilitating me scholastically to carry out this research study.

I am indebted to several institutions and professionals, specially to the former Chairman; Archt. Dr. Jagath Munasinghe, Directors; Archt. Ananda Samaranayake, and Mr. M.M.Anura Prasanna of Urban Development Authority, Senior Manager Archt. Damayanthi Jagoda of National Housing Development Authority, former Chief Valuer; Mr. P.W. Senaratne of the Valuation Department, Director General Ms. Indu Bandara of the Department of Census and Statistics, and Head of the Department of Planning of the University of Moratuwa; Prof. Rangajeewa Ratnayake, in providing essential supports in the process of accumulating data. A special appreciation to Prof. R G Ariyawansa, former Head of the Department of Estate Management and Valuation of the University of Sri Jayewardenepura for essential comments and reviews. I also wish to thank the residents of case-neighbourhoods for sharing their experience, Ms. A. Kumaraguruparan, and Ms.V. Ravichandran for supporting on Tamil translations during the survey. Special thanks to Ms. Kishanthi Jayawardene, statistician, for aiding me in numerous ways during the processing of research information and statistical analysis of data.

I am blessed to be surrounded by my family personages who set a reassuring atmosphere during this weighty task. I thank my beloved husband Janaka for his patience, allowing family time more than enough, encouraging making it my best. My wonderful sons, Rivi and Mihi were always with me, assisting in proof reading throughout nights amongst their busy academic schedules. Their love and care made on me ignoring my time for them, kept me strong till the end of this journey. Finally, heartiest gratitude to my late mother, and for the most part, my father whose final ambition on my academic endeavors is fulfilled with the completion of this PhD.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Declaration of	of the Candidate & Supervisor	i
Abstract		ii
Acknowledg	ments	iii
Table of Cor	ntent	iv
List of Figur	es	xi
List of Table	s	XV
List of Abbro	eviations	xvii
List of Appe	ndices	xviii
Chapter 1:	Introduction	
1.1	Background to the research	1
1.2	Research problem	3
1.3	Research Aims and Objectives	6
1.4	Brief Research Methodology	7
1.5	Research Outline	9
1.6	Content of the thesis	11
1.7	Delimitations of scope and key assumptions	13
1.8	Research outcomes and Remarks	14
Chapter 2:	Literature Review	
2.1	Framework of Literature Review	15
2.2	Urban form and Sustainability	16
	2.2.1 Defining 'Urban'	16
	2.2.2 Introduction to Urban Form and its components	18
	2.2.3 Definitions of Sustainability and Potential Parameters	23
	2.2.4 Concepts and Principles of Sustainable Urban Form	26
	2.2.5 Urban Form as the physical statement of Sustainability	36
2.3	Sustainability indicators of Urban Form	39
	2.3.1 Environmental Sustainability	41

	2.3.2 Social Sustainability	41
	2.3.3 Economic Sustainability	43
2.4	Sustainable Neighbourhoods	45
	2.4.1 Concept of Neighbourhood in Urban context	45
	2.4.2 Definition and Characteristics of Neighbourhood Form	51
	2.4.3 Residential Neighbourhood Developments and land use Zoning	54
	2.4.4 Understanding Sustainable Neighbourhood	63
	2.4.5 Rating on Neighbourhood Sustainability	67
	2.4.6 LEED-ND Rating System	68
	2.4.7 TND Design Rating Standards	73
2.5	Neighbourhood Sustainability manifested in urban form	76
2.6	Resident-Participation as a Research Approach	78
2.7	Case-based intervention; Case study Approach	82
2.8	Key Findings from the Literature Review and Research Gap	83
2.9	Concluding Remarks	86
Chapter 3: I	Research Method	
3.1	Consolidation of Objectives	90
3.2	Research Strategy	91
3.3	Research Design	92
	3.3.1 Structure of Inquiry	94
	3.3.2 Framework for analysis of Urban Form	95
	3.3.3 Conceptual framework for the Assessment of Neighbourhood Sustainability	98
	3.3.4 Design framework of Questionnaire	100
3.4	Justification for the paradigm	103
	3.4.1 Selection criteria for Case study	103
	3.4.2 Case Study Profiles	107
3.5	Collection of data and implementation of the statistical evaluations	110
	3.5.1 Procedure for collection of Data/Information	111

	3.5.2	Research unit, Interviewee profile and sampling technique	112
	3.5.3	Evaluation criteria	113
	3.5.4	Analysis of research Data in SPSS	113
	3.5.5	Reliability Analysis of Data	114
	3.5.6	Analysis of Descriptive Statistics	114
	3.5.7	Evaluation Procedure	114
3.6	Ethica	l considerations	115
Chapter 4:	Present	ation and Preliminary Analysis of Research data	
4.1	Case S North	Study-1; Newham Square Neighbourhood, Ward: Kochchikad	le 116
	4.1.1	Introduction to Case Neighbourhood	117
	4.1.2	Investigation and analysis of Urban Form	118
		4.1.2.1 Density	118
		4.1.2.2 Land-use	119
		4.1.2.3 Layout	121
		4.1.2.4 Connectivity and transport infrastructure	122
		4.1.2.5 Housing and Building type	123
		4.1.2.6 Architectural character	124
	4.1.3	Background of Sustainability and level of achievement	125
		4.1.3.1 Environmental Responsiveness	126
		4.1.3.2 Social Attentiveness	129
		4.1.3.3 Economic Viability	131
4.2	Case S	Study-2; Chitra Lane Housing Neighbourhood, Ward: Kirula	134
	4.2.1	Introduction to Case Neighbourhood	134
	4.2.2	Investigation and analysis of Urban Form	135
		4.2.2.1 Density	135
		4.2.2.2 Land-use	136
		4.2.2.3 Layout	137
		4.2.2.4 Connectivity and transport infrastructure	138

			4.2.2.5 Housing, building type	139
			4.2.2.6 Architectural character	140
		4.2.3	Background of Sustainability and level of achievement	141
			4.2.3.1 Environmental Responsiveness	141
			4.2.3.2 Social Attentiveness	144
			4.2.3.3 Economic Viability	147
	4.3	Case S Pamar	Study-3; Veluwanarama Road Neighburhood, Ward: nkada	149
		4.3.1	Introduction to Case Neighbourhood	149
		4.3.2	Investigation and analysis of Urban Form	150
			4.3.2.1 Density	150
			4.3.2.2 Land-use	151
			4.3.2.3 Layout	152
			4.3.2.4 Connectivity and transport infrastructure	153
			4.3.2.5 Housing, building type	153
			4.3.2.6 Architectural character	155
		4.3.3	Background of Sustainability and level of achievement	156
			4.3.3.1 Environmental Responsiveness	156
			4.3.3.2 Social Attentiveness	158
			4.3.3.3 Economic Viability	161
	4.4	Synth	esis on Preliminary Analysis	163
Chapt	ter 5: (	Compai	rative Analysis of Urban Form for Sustainability	
	5.1 Co	omparat	ive Analysis on key attributes of Urban Form	164
		5.1.1	Density	165
		5.1.2	Land-use	167
		5.1.3	Layout	168
		5.1.4	Connectivity and Transport Infrastructure	171
		5.1.5	Housing, building typology and Architectural character	172
		5.1.6	Remarks on Comparative Assessment of Urban Form	175

5.2	Comparative Assessment of Sustainability	177
	5.2.1 Environmental Responsiveness	178
	5.2.2 Social Attentiveness	180
	5.2.3 Economic Viability	182
	5.2.4 Remarks on Comparative Assessment of Sustainability	183
5.3	Analysis on attributes of Urban Form determining Sustainability	y 184
	5.3.1 Comparison of sustainability assessment as against urban Form	184
	5.3.2 Sustainability rating	186
	5.3.3 Implication of attributes on relative placement of Neighbourhood	192
	5.3 4 Major Findings of the Research and Inferences	196
	5.3.4.1 Density	196
	5.3.4.2 Layout	198
	5.3.4.3 Land use	200
	5.3.4.4 Connectivity/Transport Infrastructure	202
	5.3.4.5 Building Types and Architectural Character	204
	5.3.5 Final Remarks; Transformation of Urban Form into Neighbourhood Sustainability	206
Chapter 6:	Conclusions and implications	
6.1	Summary of overall research study	210
6.2	Implications of Research; connotations and application in practice	e 213
	6.2.1 Density	214
	6.2.2 Land Use	215
	6.2.3 Layout	215
	6.2.4 Connectivity and Transport Infrastructure	216
	6.2.5 Housing, Building type and Architectural character	217
6.3	Reflection on current Planning Strategies and Recommendations	220
6.4	Epilogue	222
6.5	Recommendations for further research	223

List of Refere	nces	224
Appendices		239
Appendix A:	Formatted questionnaire on three sustainability parameters	239
Appendix B:	HOD's letter recommending the public interviews	242
Appendix C:	Letter from The Chairman, University Ethics Review Committee granting Ethics Approval	243
Appendix D:	Information Sheet; Ethics Process	245
Appendix E:	Consent Form of Participants; Ethics Process	246
Appendix F:	Land Use Distribution in 1KM radius of Newham Square neighbourhood	247
Appendix G:	Record of data on User perception; Case study-1, Newham Square	248
Appendix H	Descriptive Statistics; Case Study-1; Newham Square: Reliability analysis	249
Appendix I:	Case Study-1; Newham Square; Descriptive statistics: Average mean value and overall sustainability aspect	251
Appendix J:	Land use Distribution in 1KM radius of Chitra Lane neighbourhood	252
Appendix K:	Record of data on User perception; Case Study-2, Chitra Lane	253
Appendix L:	Descriptive Statistics; Case Study-2; Chitra Lane: Reliability analysis	255
Appendix M	Case Study-2; Chitra Lane; Descriptive statistics: Average mean value and overall sustainability aspect	256
Appendix N:	Land use Distribution in 1KM radius of Veluwanarama Road neighbourhood	257
Appendix P:	Record of data on User perception; Case Study-3, Veluwanarama Road	258
Appendix Q:	Descriptive Statistics; Case Study-3; Veluwanarama Road: Reliability analysis	259

Appendix R:	Case Study-3; Veluwanarama Road; Descriptive statistics: Average mean value and overall sustainability aspect	261
Appendix S:	Comparative Analysis of Sustainability Score	262
Appendix T:	Descriptive analysis of frequencies: Comparative analysis of Sustainability Assessment against the attributes identified in respective urban form	264

LIST OF FI	LIST OF FIGURES	
Figure 1.1	Urban Form of a neighbourhood as the physical manifesto of sustainability	2
Figure 1.2	Sustainable neighbourhood as the physical intervention of its'	
	urban form	3
Figure 1.3	Research Outline; diagrammatic structure of the method	10
Figure 2.1	Analytical Framework for Literature Review	15
Figure 2.2	Inter-related elements that structure the urban form	19
Figure 2.3	Major Inter-connected Sustainability Parameters and potentialities	25
Figure 2.4	Long- wide avenues providing adequate space for pedestrians & mixed functions	30
Figure 2.5	Pedestrian precinct in the mid of city center Aachen, Germany	30
Figure 2.6	High dense neighbourhoods in Hong Kong city	31
Figure 2.7	Mixed Land-use in Amsterdam city	31
Figure 2.8	Combined residential, commercial & Institutional uses in Downtown Toronto	32
Figure 2.9	Social mix in Multi-cultural neighbouhood, China Town-East, Toronto	32
Figure 2.10	Residential apartments, Public & recreational spaces, Toronto	33
Figure 2.11	Residential apartments, Public & recreational spaces, Toronto	33
Figure 2.12	Best sustainable neighborhoods: Malmö city in Sweden	34
Figure 2.13	Best sustainable neighborhoods: Malmö city in Sweden	34
Figure 2.14	Three overlapping circles; Concerns related to Sustainability	40
Figure 2.15:	Concept of Radburn enclave by Stein and Wright	46
Figure 2.16:	Radburn, Typical Neighborhood; Overlapping neighbourhoods forming the city	47
Figure 2.17:	Neighborhood Unit of Clarence Perry	49
Figure 2.18:	The Clarence Perry "neighborhood unit" diagram of 1929	49
Figure-2.19:	Spatial Models of Urban Land use; Concentric Ring Model, Sector Mode and Multiple Nuclei Model	el, 56
Figure 2.20:	Zoning Plan; 2020-Colombo Municipal Council area	62
Figure 2.21:	Sustainable residential nieghbourhood designs	66
Figure 2.22:	Key strategies of the LEED-ND Rating System	69
Figure 2.23:	Practical Solutions to Common Challenges in stainable Neighbourhoods	76

Figure 2.24:	Conceptualization of the key findings of Literature Review	88
Figure 3.1	Approach to Research focus	91
Figure 3.2	Structure of Research Process	94
Figure 3.3	Analytical Framework for assessing Urban Form	96
Figure 3.4	Conceptual Framework outlining neighbourhood sustainability	98
Figure 3.5	Identification of Parameters and Variables for examination on sustainability assessment	99
Figure 3.6	Population Distribution in Colombo Municipal council Area	104
Figure 3.7	Colombo Planning Divisions: Population Density 1981- 2010	105
Figure 3.8	Colombo Planning Divisions: Net Residential Density 1981- 2010	105
Figure 3.9	Colombo Planning Divisions; Floor space distribution	106
Figure 3.10	Wards of Colombo Municipality	108
Figure 3.11	Case Neighbourhood Locations on Zoning Map for Colombo Municipality	109
Figure 3.12	Sources of data and Procedure	110
Figure 4.1	Physical location of Newham Square Neighbourhood	117
Figure 4.2	Population by Age (years) in Kochchikade North GN Division	118
Figure 4.3	Land use within neighbourhood	120
Figure 4.4	Layout, connectivity	121
Figure 4.5	Narrow entryways from main arterial roads to Alleyways	122
Figure 4.6	Entrance stairways from road; alleyway, and passages to upper level houses	s123
Figure 4.7	Concept of providing entrance from road to lower-level houses	123
Figure 4.8	Typical elevations of original and renovated facades	124
Figure 4.9	Pattern of Building heights	124
Figure 4.10	Unique architectural character as an urban neighbourhood	125
Figure 4.11	Road fronts use as communal spaces	126
Figure 4.12	Some green features maintained by residents within the premises	127
Figure 4.13	Differently able people, women and children relish equal convenience	130
Figure 4.14	Physical location of Chitra Lane Neighbourhood	134
Figure 4.15	Population by Age (years) in Kirula GN Division	135
Figure 4.16	Physical Layout and links to context	137
Figure 4.17	Internal roads and extended open areas create interactive communal spaces	138

Figure 4.18	Housing/building types	139
Figure 4.19	Housing/building types	139
Figure 4.20	Housing/building types	139
Figure 4.21	Unique identity creates collectively, regardless with individual detailing	140
Figure 4.22	Glimpses of neighbourhood from Chitra Lane	142
Figure 4.23	Safe internal roads	144
Figure 4.24	Fine tunings on front facades engraving individual identity	145
Figure 4.25	Physical location of Veluwanarama Road neighbourhood	149
Figure 4.26	Population by Age (years) in Pamankada-west GN Division	150
Figure 4.27	Physical layout and links to context	152
Figure 4.28	Wide internal streets forming spacious pedestrian space	152
Figure 4.29	Two storied block type with two-bedroom housing type option	154
Figure 4.30	Five storied block type with single bedroom housing type option	154
Figure 4.31	Unique identity and a character	155
Figure 4.32	Spacious layout, making residents appeal onto the living	156
Figure 4.33	Entrance to the neighbourhood through the low-income settlement	159
Figure 4.34	Additions and alterations fulfilling the requirements of individual identity	160
Figure 5.1	Area comparisons of Wards	164
Figure 5.2	Land Uses of neighbourhood context, in a 1km radius	167
Figure 5.3	Lay out pattern and built masses in macro context, in a 1km radius	169
Figure 5.4	Human interaction created by lay out pattern and built masses in street context	170
Figure 5.5	Intimacy created by lay out pattern in neighbourhood context	170
Figure 5.6	Lay out pattern, street layout and transit stop in micro context	171
Figure 5.7	Pattern of Building height variation in macro context, in a 1km radius	173
Figure 5.8	Comparison on three neighbourhoods of own identifiable unique character	174
Figure 5.9	Own identifiable unique features, without harming the collective character	174
Figure 5.10	A brief visual comparison of neighbourhood contexts	177
Figure 5.11	Comparison on three neighbourhoods on sustainability assessment	185
Figure 5.12	Rating on three neighbourhoods on sustainability assessment	187
Figure 5.13	Indication of sustainability level in Newham square neighbourhood	187
Figure 5.14	Indication of sustainability level in Chitra Lane neighbourhood	188

Figure 5.15	Indication of sustainability level in Veluwanarama Rd neighbourhood	188
Figure 5.16	Effect of attributes based on relative placement in the city	194
Figure 5.17	Pattern of Attributes of urban form in three case neighbourhoods	195
Figure 5.18	Final Remarks on Transfiguration of Attributes of Urban Form into Neighbourhood Sustainability	208

LIST OF TABLES		Page
Table 2.1	Benchmarks for sustainability analysis	29
Table 2.2	List of prerequisites and credits covered by LEED ND version 4	70
Table 2.3	Sustainability Check list summarizing credits and Prerequisites in LEED-ND	71
Table 2.4	Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) List	72
Table 2.5	TND Rating Key	74
Table 2.6	Summarized work sheet, TND Rating Key	75
Table 3.1	Design Framework for Analysis of Urban Form with recommended benchmarks	97
Table 3.2	Framework for Questionnaire; Environmental Parameters	100
Table 3.3	Framework for Questionnaire; Social Parameters	101
Table 3.4	Framework for Questionnaire; Economic Parameters	102
Table 3.5	Distribution of Colombo District Population	103
Table 3.6	Zoning and planning profile of Case Neighbourhoods	106
Table 3.7	Format of a typical five-level Likert item	111
Table 4.1	Details on density of Newham Square neighbourhood	119
Table 4.2	Main Land use Distribution within 1KM radius of neighbourhood	120
Table 4.3	Details on density of Chitra Lane neighbourhood	136
Table 4.4	Main Land use Distribution within 1KM radius of neighbourhood	136
Table 4.5	Details on density of Veluwnarama Road neighbourhood	151
Table 4.6	Main Land use Distribution within 1KM radius of neighbourhood	151
Table 5.1	Analysis of density variation	166
Table 5.2	Pattern of Land use distribution within the macro context and the neighbourhood	168
Table 5.3	Comparison of street land use of neighbourhoods	170
Table 5.4	Level of convenience for public transit in distance	172
Table 5.5	Comparison of characteristic data	175
Table 5.6	Numerical comparison of environmental sustainability aspect; with reference to corresponding attributes of Urban Form derived in the descriptive analysis	178

Table 5.7	Numerical comparison of social sustainability aspect; with reference to corresponding attributes of Urban Form derived in the descriptive analysis	180
Table 5.8	Numerical comparison of economic sustainability aspect; with reference to corresponding attributes of Urban Form derived in the descriptive analysis	182
Table 5.9	Numerical comparison on assessment of sustainability aspects of three case neighbourhoods	189
Table 5.10	Overall Sustainability score of three neighbourhoods	189
Table 5.11	Sustainability identity as against the determinant attribute of urban form Within Environmental parameters	190
Table 5.12	Sustainability identity as against the determinant attribute of urban form Within Social parameters	191
Table 5.13	Sustainability identity as against the determinant attribute of urban form Within Economic parameters	192
Table 5.14	Analysis on implications of attributes on relative placement	193
Table 5.15	Effect of attributes on desirability, based on variance in city location	194
Table 5.16	Comparison on attributes of urban form; numerically analyzed	195

# LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation	Description	Page
AARP	American Association of Retired Persons	37
APA	American Planning Association	51
CCDP	City of Colombo Development Plan	59
CEC	Commission of European Communities	27
CNU	Congress for the New Urbanism	35
DTCP	Department of Town & Country Planning	42
FCM	Federation of Canadian Municipalities	76
GIS	Geographic information System	07
GND	Grama Niladhari Division	106
ICLEI	International Council for Local Environmental Initiatives	39
LEED-ND	Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design-Neighbourhood Design	12
MUD	Master of Urban Design Program	124
NRDC	National Resources Defense Council	71
RTPI	Royal Town Planning Institute	21
SGD	Sustainable Development Goals	72
SOC	State of California	23
SPSS	Statistics package for Social Sciences	8
TND	Traditional Neighbourhood Design	68
UDA	Urban Development Authority	59
UN	United Nations	2
UNCSD	United Nations Conference on Sustainable Development	72
UNGA	United Nations General Assembly	2
UOM	University of Moratuwa	124
US-EPA	United States Environment Protection Agency	73
USGBC	United States Green Building Council	44

## LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix	Description	Page
Appendix A:	Formatted questionnaire on three sustainability parameters	239
Appendix B:	HOD's letter recommending the public interviews	242
Appendix C:	Letter from The Chairman, University Ethics Review Committee granting Ethics Approval	243
Appendix D:	Information Sheet; Ethics Process	245
Appendix E:	Consent Form of Participants; Ethics Process	246
Appendix F:	Land Use Distribution in 1KM radius of Newham Square Neighbourhood	247
Appendix G:	Record of data on User perception; Case study-1, Newham Square	248
Appendix H:	Descriptive Statistics; Case Study-1; Newham Square: Reliability analysis	249
Appendix I:	Case Study-1; Newham Square; Descriptive statistics: Average mean value and overall sustainability aspect	251
Appendix J:	Land use Distribution in 1KM radius of Chitra Lane neighbourhood	252
Appendix K:	Record of data on User perception; Case study-2; Chitra Lane	253
Appendix L:	Descriptive Statistics; Case Study-2; Chitra Lane: Reliability analysis	254
Appendix M:	Case Study-2; Chitra Lane; Descriptive statistics: Average mean value and overall sustainability aspect	256
Appendix N:	Land use Distribution in 1KM radius of Veluwanarama Road neighbourhood	257
Appendix P:	Record of data on User perception; Case study-3; Veluwanarama Rd	258
Appendix Q:	Descriptive Statistics; Case Study-3; Veluwanarama Road Reliability analysis	259
Appendix R:	Case Study-3; Veluwanarama Road; Descriptive statistics: Average mean value and overall sustainability aspect	261
Appendix S:	Comparative Analysis of Sustainability Score	262
Appendix T:	Descriptive analysis of frequencies: Comparative analysis of Sustainability Assessment against the attributes identified in respective urban form	264