EVALUATION OF WATER QUALITY OF COMMUNITY MANAGED WATER SUPPLY SCHEMES IN SOUTHERN PROVINCE

Dewpura Acharige Madumalie Nimal Shanthi

(168889B)

Degree of Master of Science

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

May 2022

EVALUATION OF WATER QUALITY OF COMMUNITY MANAGED WATER SUPPLY SCHEMES IN SOUTHERN PROVINCE

Dewpura Acharige Madumalie Nimal Shanthi

(168889B)

Dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Degree of Master of Science in Environmental Management

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

May 2022

Declaration

"I declare that this is my own work and this dissertation does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my dissertation, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books)."

D.A.M.N. Shanthi

Date:

The above candidate has carried out research for the Degree of Master of Science in Environmental Management under my supervision.

Name of the supervisor: Prof. G.G. Tushara Chaminda

Prof. G. G. Tushara Chaminda

Date:

Name of the supervisor: Prof. J.M.A. Manatunge

Prof. J.M.A. Manatunge

Date:

Abstract

Water is a crucial element that covers two-thirds of the surface area of the earth, and it is one of the main substances in the body of every living being on the earth. Thus clean, safe, and adequate freshwater is important for secure good health. The National Water Supply and Drainage Board (NWS&DB) is the main responsible institution for providing safe drinking water within Sri Lanka. However, NWS&DB is unable to provide safe water to the country's entire population. Therefore, Community Managed Water Supply Schemes (CMWSS) were implemented as a solution for the existing water scarcity issues in rural areas of Sri Lanka. It was revealed that most of the CMWSS in Southern Sri Lanka are supplying raw water without proper treatment. So continuous monitoring, management, and evaluation of water quality in these schemes are essential to supply safe potable water. There are 172 CMWSS in the Galle district, and 10,814 families benefit from those schemes. On the other hand, there are 333 schemes in the Matara district, and 26482 families benefit from these CMWSS. In Hambanthota district, there are 182 CMWSS to provide drinking water for 38157 families. In this study, the water quality of selected CMWSS (71% out of total schemes) in the southern province of Sri Lanka was assessed by applying the Canadian Council Minister of Environment Water Quality Index (CCMEWQI). Altogether, water quality (WQ) of 488 (Galle 172, Matara 172, Hambanthota 144) CMWSS was evaluated under the 14 parameters such as temperature, turbidity, pH, electrical conductivity, total hardness, nitrate, iron, fluoride, E. coli, total coliforms, Mn, Pb, As and Cd, using Standards method of American Public Health Association and compared with the Sri Lankan Standards for drinking water (SLS 614 2013). Water Quality Index (WQI) was also developed for each source and rated as Excellent, Good, Medium, Bad, and Very Bad. Developed WQI revealed that none of the CMWSS in the southern province categorized as "Very Bad" quality (0-25). Among 488 schemes in the province, only (2.3%) 11 schemes belonged to the "Excellent" category (91-100) based on this WQI. Majority of the schemes (39.1%) had the WQI between 26-50 and rated as "Bad" and need to have secondary treatment. There were 33.8% of CMWSS that belonged to the "Medium" category (51-74) of WOI and 24.8% in the "Good" category (75-90) which were not suitable for drinking without preliminary treatment. More than half (56.3%) of CMWSS in Hambanthota district were in the 'Bad' category. Therefore, Hambanthota district CMWSS were highly contaminated than those in Galle and Matara districts. It was noted that raw water of (97.7%) 477 CMWSS in the southern province need to be further treated for *E. coli*, total coliforms, conductivity, hardness, iron, fluoride, pH, and turbidity. The questionnaire survey revealed, that 62.6% of consumers used water without any treatment. It is recommended to educate consumers of CMWSS on basic household treatment systems so that they can treat the water before consumption. It is also required to introduce more cost-effective treatment procedures for the consumers as the majority of the household in the southern province monthly income is less than Rs.25,000.

Keywords

Water Quality, CMWSS, Southern Province, WQI, Drinking Water

Acknowledgement

First of all my special gratitude is conveyed to Prof. J.M.A.Manatunge the internal supervisor of this research, for giving his fullest help, comments, advice, suggestion, and outstanding guidance in research activities. I deeply appreciate his patience, motivation, enthusiasm, and sharing his immense knowledge throughout the research.

I am very grateful to Prof. G.G. Tushara Chaminda, external supervisor, Faculty of Engineering, University of Ruhuna for giving necessary guidance, valuable ideas, technical information and arranging the facilities to carry out research in a more meaningful way. I deeply appreciate his contribution to the initiation of the research ideas and follow-up through the project. This study would not have been possible without his guidance.

Next, I would like to express my special thanks to the Minister, secretary, and all the staff members of the Ministry of Agriculture, Agrarian Development of Southern Provincial Council for granting necessary financial support to conduct this research as a successful one. At the same time all the staff officers of the Department of National Community Water Supply located at Galle, Matara, and Hambanthota for giving help to find details of these schemes and collecting the water samples from the schemes.

Further, I would like to extend my heartiest gratitude to my sister Ms.D.A.B.N.Gunarathna Senior Lecturer, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Ruhuna for the excellent support given to me for analyzing the data. I would also like to acknowledge the Head, all academic and non-academic staff members of the Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Ruhuna. Further, I extend my acknowledgement to the Head, all academic and non-academic staff members of the Department of Civil Engineering, University of Moratuwa for giving opportunity and support to conduct the research successfully.

I would also like to thank Eng..Shayan and Eng.Asarudeen who have given me a great support for analyzing water quality. I want to express my sincere gratitude to Eng.M.M.Mahesh Samanpriya for his assistance during research activities. I extend my warmest gratitude to my family who always encourage and support me in completing the research successfully.

Table of contents

Dec	claration	i
Ab	stract	ii
Acl	knowledgement	iii
Tab	ble of contents	iv
Lis	t of figures	vii
Lis	t of tables	x
Lis	t of abbreviations	xiii
1.	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Background	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	7
	1.3 Objectives of the research study	10
2.	LITERATURE REVIEW	11
	2.1 Southern province	11
	2.2 Safe water scarcity (physical and economical) and related problems	13
	2.2.1 World	13
	2.2.2 Sri Lanka	14
	2.3 Water supply and human health	15
	2.4 Problems related with water supply in Sri Lanka	16
	2.5 Importance of commencing CMWSS in Sri Lanka	16
	2.6 Limitations in CMWSS	17
	2.7 Advantages and disadvantages of CMWSS	18
	2.8 Water Sources for CMWSS	20
	2.9 Factors affecting water quality	20
	2.10 Reasons for Poor Consumer Satisfaction	21
	2.11 Contaminants in drinking water and related diseases	21
	2.12 Water quality parameters	24
	2.12.1 Physical characteristics of water	24
	2.12.2 Chemical characteristics of water	24
	2.12.3 Biological characteristics of water	25

	2.13 Monitoring of the water quality	28
	2.14 Water quality index	28
	2.14.1 Universal Water Quality Index (UWQI)	29
	2.14.2 National Sanitation Foundation Water Quality Index (NSFWQI)	. 30
	2.14.3 Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment Water Quality	
	Index (CCME WQI)	30
	2.14.4 Weight Arithmetic Water Quality Index (WAWQI)	31
	2.14.5 Oregon Water Quality Index (OWQI)	32
3.	METHODOLOGY	36
	3.1 Study area	37
	3.2 Questionnaire survey	38
	3.3 Selecting water quality parameters	38
	3.4 Collecting samples from selected locations	39
	3.5 Analysis methods for conducting experiment	. 41
	3.5.1 Analysis of water quality parameters	42
	3.5.1.1 Determination of Temperature, pH, EC, Turbidity	42
	3.5.1.2 Determination of Fluoride	43
	3.5.1.3 Analysis of Total Hardness	44
	3.5.1.4 Determination of Nitrate (NO _{3⁻})	45
	3.5.1.5 Determination of Iron (Fe)	47
	3.5.1.6 Analysis of Heavy Metals	49
	3.5.1.7 Determination of E-coli and Total Coliform bacteria	. 50
	3.6 Analyzing the data	52
	3.7 Developing WQI	52
	3.8 Limitation on the research	54
4.	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	. 55
	4.1 Water Sources managed by the Community Managed Water Supply Sche	mes
	in Southern Province	. 57
	4.2 Water Quality Parameters	. 58
	4.2.1 Physical parameters	. 58
	4.2.1.1 Temperature 58	
	4.2.1.2 Turbidity	. 58

4.2.2 Chemical parameters		
4.2.2.1 pH value 62		
4.2.2.2 Electrical Conductivity (EC)		
4.2.2.3 Total hardness (as CaCO ₃)		
4.2.2.4 Nitrate level (as NO ₃)71		
4.2.2.5 Iron (as Fe) content		
4.2.2.6 Fluoride (as F) content		
4.2.2.7 Heavy Metals		
4.2.3 Biological parameters		
4.2.3.1 <i>E. coli</i> bacteria count		
4.2.3.2 Total coliform bacteria count		
4.3 Seasonal Impact		
4.4 WQI value		
4.4.1 Descriptive statistics of WQI values of the province and its' districts91		
4.4.2 Classification of water sources in relation to WQI value		
4.4.3 Classification of water sources within districts using their WQI values		
4.4.4 WQI values with regards to water sources		
5. CONCLUSION		
6. RECOMMENDATIONS		
REFERENCES		
APPENDICES 109		
Appendix- A: The Questionnaire		
Appendix- B: Analyzed Questionnaire Survey 112		
Appendix- C: Collection of Water Samples 123		

List of figures

Figure 1.1: Distribution of the world's water	1
Figure 1.2: Access to safe water coverage	5
Figure 1.3: Distribution of drinking water among total population	6
Figure 1.4: Community participation for construction work of water scheme	9
Figure 2.1: Location of Southern Province	. 11
Figure 2.2: Population - Southern province 2018	. 12
Figure 3.1: Summarized overall procedure of the study	. 36
Figure 3.2: Study area Galle district	. 37
Figure 3.3: Study area Matara district	. 37
Figure 3.4: Study area Hambanthota district	. 38
Figure 3.5: pH/ Conductivity (HQ 40d Multi) meter	. 42
Figure 3.6: Turbidity meter (2100P)	. 43
Figure 3.7: SPANDS Reagent and Fluoride meter (DR1900)	. 43
Figure 3.8: Titrating the sample with 0.01M EDTA	. 44
Figure 3.9: Spectrophotometer (UVmini-1240)	. 45
Figure 3.10: Calibration curve of corrected absorbance vs NO ₃ ⁻ concentration	. 47
Figure 3.11: Fe2+ stock solution and standard solutions	. 48
Figure 3.12: Standard calibration curve for Fe	. 49
Figure 3.13: Atomic absorption spectrophotometer (TRACE AI1200)	. 50
Figure 3.14: Membrane filter set up	. 51
Figure 3.15: E-coli and other coliforms	. 51
Figure 4.1: Water sources managed by CMWSS (according to survey)	. 55
Figure 4.2: Status of Consumer satisfaction	. 56
Figure 4.3: Need volume per day	. 56
Figure 4.4: Water sources managed by CMWSS	. 57
Figure 4.5: Detailed classification of water sources managed by CMWSS	. 58
Figure 4.6: Detailed classification of water sources managed by CMWSS	. 60
Figure 4.7: Status of water sources of each district based on turbidity	. 61
Figure 4.8: Status of water sources under different types based on turbidity	. 61
Figure 4.9: Distribution of conductivity values of different water sources	. 65

Figure 4.10: Status water sources in the province based on conductivity
Figure 4.11: Status of water sources in districts based on conductivity
Figure 4.12: Status of water sources of different types based on conductivity
Figure 4.13: Status of water sources in the province based on total hardness
Figure 4.14: Status of water sources in different districts, based on total hardness 70
Figure 4.15: Status of water sources of different types, based on Total hardness 71
Figure 4.16: Status of water sources in the province, based on NO_3 level
Figure 4.17: Status of water sources in each district, based on NO ₃ level74
Figure 4.18: Status of water sources of different types, based on NO ₃ level74
Figure 4.19: Status of water sources in the province based on Fe content
Figure 4.20: Status of water sources in each district based on Fe content77
Figure 4.21: Status of water sources of different types, based on Fe content78
Figure 4.22: Status of water sources in the province based on fluoride content
Figure 4.23: Status of water sources in each district, based on Fluoride content 81
Figure 4.24: Status of water sources of different types, based on Fluoride content 82
Figure 4.25: Status of water sources in the province based on <i>E. coli</i> count
Figure 4.26: Status of water sources in districts based on E. coli count
Figure 4.27: Status of water sources belong to different types, based on E. coli count.
Figure 4.28: Status of water sources in the province based on total coliform count . 88
Figure 4.29: Status of water sources in each district based on total coliform count 88
Figure 4.30: Status of different types of water sources in relation to total coliform
count/100 ml of water
Figure 4.31: Classification of water sources in Southern province considering their
WQI value
Figure 4.32: Classification of water sources within districts using their WQI values93
Figure B-1: Gender distribution of heads of households in the province112
Figure B-2: Age distribution of the household heads of the study sample112
Figure B-3: Education levels of the household heads of the study sample113
Figure B-4: Status of living from the birth of heads of households in the study113

Figure B-5: Status of Employment of heads of households in the study sample	.114
Figure B-6: Number of children and adults per family	115
Figure B-7: Water sources managed by CMWSS	.116
Figure B-8: Status of using piped water only for drinking	.116
Figure B-9: Need volume per day	.117
Figure B-10: Status of Consumer satisfaction	.117
Figure B-11: Hardness of the water	.118
Figure B-12: Smell of the water	118
Figure B-13: Colour of water	.119
Figure B-14: Suitability of water quality for drinking	.119
Figure B-15: Consumer response for adding Cl to treat water	.120
Figure B-16: Whether water is purified at home before using	.120
Figure B-17: Whether CMWSS made some efforts to test water quality	121
Figure B-18: Status of getting allergic conditions after using water	.121

List of tables

Table 2. 1: Diseases and problems caused by contaminants in drinking water 23
Table 2. 2: Sri Lankan standards for potable water first revision SLS 614:2013 26
Table 2. 3: Merits and demerits of selected water quality indices 33
Table 2. 4: Marking scheme of each recommended indices in the world
Table 3. 1: Number of samples collected from different DS in Galle district withsource
Table 3. 2:Number of samples collected from different DS in Matara district with source 40
Table 3. 3: Number of samples collected from different DS in Hambanthota district with source 40
Table 3. 4: Time duration, preservative and test method for conducting experiment 41
Table 3. 5: Calibration standards 45
Table 3. 6: Corrected absorbance and standard concentration of nitrate 46
Table 3. 7: Absorbance and Standard concentration of Fe 49
Table 3. 8: water quality rating for different WQI
Table 4. 1: Descriptive statistics of the turbidity (NTU) in Southern province and its' districts 59
Table 4. 2: Descriptive statistics of turbidity of different types of water sources 59
Table 4. 3: Descriptive statistics of the pH in Southern province and its' districts 62
Table 4. 4: Descriptive statistics of pH of different types of water sources in the province
Table 4. 5: Percentage of water sources in each pH category under different districts
Table 4. 6: Descriptive statistics of conductivity of water in the province and its districts

Table 4. 7: Descriptive statistics of conductivity of different types of water sources inthe province
Table 4. 8: Descriptive statistics of Total hardness (mg/l) of water sources in theprovince and its districts
Table 4. 9: Descriptive statistics of Total hardness (mg/l) of different types of water sources in the province
Table 4. 10: Descriptive statistics of NO3 level (mg/l) of water sources in the province and its districts
Table 4. 11: Descriptive statistics of NO3 level (mg/l) of different types of watersources in the province
Table 4. 12: Descriptive statistics of iron content of water sources in the province and its districts
Table 4. 13: Descriptive statistics of iron content of water sources of different types
Table 4. 14: Descriptive statistics of Fluoride content (mg/l) of water sources in the province and its districts
Table 4. 15: Descriptive statistics of Fluoride content of water sources of different types 80
Table 4. 16: Descriptive statistics of <i>E. coli</i> bacteria level of water in the province and its' districts 83
Table 4. 17: Descriptive statistics of <i>E. coli</i> bacteria count of different water sources in the province
Table 4. 18: Descriptive statistics of total coliform bacteria level of water in theprovince and its' districts87
Table 4. 19: Descriptive statistics of total coliform bacteria count of different watersources in the province
Table 4.20: Descriptive statistics of water quality parameters with the season in the province

Table 4. 21: Water quality rating for different WQI with treatment technique
Table 4. 22: Descriptive statistics of WQI values of the province and its' districts 92
Table 4. 23: Descriptive statistics of WQI with regards to water sources 94
Table 6. 1: Treatment recommendations for drinking water quality
Table: B-1: Income distributions among heads of households
Table: B-2: Percentage of households with respect to number of members /family
Table- D.1: Treatment Recommendations for Galle District
Table -D.2: Treatment Recommendation for Matara District
TableD.3: Treatment Recommendation for Hambanthota District

List of abbreviations

Abs	Absorbance
APHA	American Public Health Association
BOD	Biological Oxygen Demand
СВО	Community Based Organization
CCME	Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment
CKDU	Chronic Kidney Disease Unknown
CMWSS	Community Managed Water Supply Schemes
COD	Chemical Oxygen Demand
DO	Dissolved Oxygen
DS	Divisional Secretariats
EC	Electrical Conductivity
EDTA	Ethylene Diamine Tetra Acetic acid
GN	Grama Niladari
GPS	Global Positioning System
IQ	Intelligence Quotient
MDG	Millennium Development Goals
МОН	Medical Officer of Health
NGO	Non-Governmental Organization
NSFWQI	National Sanitation Foundation Water Quality Index
NTU	Nephelometric Turbidity Units
NWS&DB	National Water Supply and Drainage Board
OWQI	Oregon Water Quality Index
RWSS	Rural Water Supply and Sanitation

- SLS Sri Lanka Standards
- SLSI Sri Lanka Standards Institute
- UNICEF United Nation International Children's Emergency Fund
- US EPA United States Environmental Protection Agency
- UV Ultra Violet
- UWQI Universal Water Quality Index
- WAWQI Weight Arithmetic Water Quality Index
- WBBS Water Board Bulk Supply
- WHO World Health Organization
- WQI Water Quality Index