SUMMARIZATION OF LARGE-SCALE VIDEOS TO TEXT FORMAT USING SUPERVISED BASED SIMPLE RULE-BASED MACHINE LEARNING MODELS

U.K.H.A. Sugathadasa

199488F

Department of Computational Mathematics,
Faculty of Information Technology

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

March 2022

SUMMARIZATION OF LARGE-SCALE VIDEOS TO TEXT FORMAT USING SUPERVISED BASED SIMPLE RULE-BASED MACHINE LEARNING MODELS

Udage Kankanange Harindu Ashan Sugathadasa

199488F

Thesis/Dissertation submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree

Master of Science in Artificial Intelligence

Department of Computational Mathematics

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

March 2022

Declaration

I declare that this is my own work, and this thesis/dissertation does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my thesis/dissertation, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

Name of the Student:	Signature:	Date:
Sugathadasa U.K.H.A.		
The above candidate has carried dissertation under my supervision.	out research for the Masters,	/MPhil/PhD thesis/
Name of the Supervisor:	Signature of the supervisor:	Date:
Dr. Subha Fernando		
Name of the Co-Supervisor:	Signature of the co-supervisor	or: Date:
Dr. Varuna De Silva		

Abstract

Video Summarization has been one of the most interested research and development field since the late 2000s, thanks to the evolution of social media and the internet, due to the influence to provide a concise and meaningful summary of large-scale video. Even though the video summarization has been elongated through several non-ML and traditional based techniques and ML-based techniques, generation of correct and required summaries from the video is yet a limitation. To overcome this concern, different techniques have been attempted including vision-based approaches and NLP related approaches. With the inspiration of NLP related Transformer networks, researchers are looking to integrate such sequence-based learning algorithm into the video dimension as to apply spatiotemporal extractions. Despite the VS implementations, another extension of VS has been exponentially emphasized, namely TVS which generates the summaries of the video via a text format.

Simply the evolution of VS towards TVS is not a straightforward journey since a lot of blockers have been eliminated using UL, RL, and SL based frameworks. When it comes to the STOA methods in TVS, Transformer based methods are eventually highlighted along the T5 based NLP frameworks. Since this area is still at the ground level, a lot of unknow facts and issues can be explored. Especially the attention-based sequence modelling of the learning algorithm should be carefully imitated to achieve the best accuracy improvements. All the improvements are subjected to apply into a real-time application ulteriorly. To tackle such improvements, a novel standalone method should be introduced with the simplest network layout which can be applicable to the embedded devices. This is where the **Si**mple **Ru**le-based **M**achine **L**earning Network to **T**ext-based **V**ideo **S**ummarization (SiRuML-TVS) has been unveiled.

Though the network contains a single input of large-scale video and a single output of meaningful description for the given video, the high-level network layout compromises three ML modules for Video Recognition, Object Detection, and finally Text Generation. Each module is subjected to different evaluation criterions however, the end-to-end full network is evaluated on a single metric. Different combination of each module can be affected to the performance of the entire pipeline however, the

combination of Transformers and CNNs provide the better tradeoff between accuracy and the computational inferencing. This makes a hope to deploy the proposed method in an edged device thus, the gap between theoretical explanation to practical implementation will be filled.

Dedication

I dedicate this thesis to my parents, my sister, my wife, the university lecturers from undergraduate level who are always withstand in my successes and failures.

Acknowledgements

I would like to pay my greatest appreciation to Dr. Subha Fernando, who motivated my enthusiasm on research and provided guidance and advises through the research journey till the end. Her experience on research was tremendously big support to this work, and I be indebted a great deal of its success for herself.

Every person has its own shadow when at be any place. My second appreciation is to Dr. Varuna De Silva, who is from University of Loughborough, for supporting both me and supervisor when we had a doubtful circumstances and unsolvable stakes.

Next, I would like to elapse my thanks and appreciation to the staff members of the Department of Computation Mathematics, for their supportiveness and consideration for my research work.

Lastly, I would also like to express appreciation through my bottom of heart for all my Lecturers from the Undergraduate program, my fellow colleagues from the University, and all my family members, who supported me through the journey of research program in both verbally and mentally. Even though they might not know about this, the impact from them is substantial, and I might not make it to where I am now at without themselves.

Table of Contents

Declar	ation		i
Abstra	.ct		ii
Dedica	ation .		iv
Ackno	wledg	gements	v
List of	figur	es	X
List of	table	s	xi
Abbrev	viatio	ns	xii
Introd	uction	n	1
1.1	Pro	olegomena	1
1.2	Ba	ckground and Motivation	1
1.3	Aiı	ms and Objectives	2
1.	.3.1	Aim	2
1.	.3.2	Objectives	2
1.4	Pro	oblem Definition	3
1.5	Pro	posed Solution	3
1.6	Re	source Requirements	4
1.7	Ou	tline of the Thesis	4
1.8	Su	mmary	5
Summ	nariza	tion of Large-Scale Videos to Text format – Developments and Issue	s 6
2.1	Int	roduction	6
2.2		rly Developments (Gestation) in Video Summarization	
2.3	Bre	eakthrough and Trends in Video Summarization – Latest	
2.	.3.1	Unsupervised Learning based Video Summarization	9
2.	.3.2	Reinforcement Learning based Video Summarization	11
2.	.3.3	Supervised Learning based Video Summarization	13
2.	.3.4	Latest Trend in Video Summarization	15
2.4	Su	mmary of Past related research	17
2.5	Tax	xonomy of the Video Summarization Algorithms	18
2.6	Ch	allenges in Video Summarization in Text Format	
2.	.6.1	Selection of Dataset	19
2.	.6.2	Unsuccessful Multimodal approaches	19
2.	.6.3	Lack of facilitation to change the model	19
2	64	Lack of explanation canability	19

2.6	.5 Deployment	20
2.7	Problem Definition	20
2.8	Summary	20
Techno	logies used for Video Summarization	21
3.1	Introduction	21
3.2	Convolutional Neural Networks – for Object Detection	22
3.3	TimeSformer – A new trend	23
3.3	.1 Mathematical Description – TimeSformer	23
3.3	.2 Sub variants of TimeSformer	26
3.4	Text-To-Text Transfer Transformer – for Text output	27
3.4	.1 Input and Output of T5	27
3.4	.2 T5 Model Architecture	28
3.4	.3 T5 Model Variants	28
3.5	Summary	29
Approa	ch	30
4.1	Introduction	30
4.2	Hypothesis	30
4.3	Input	30
4.4	Output	31
4.5	Process	31
4.6	Users	31
4.7	Features	31
4.8	Summary	32
Design		33
5.1	Introduction	33
5.2	High-level Architecture of the Design	33
5.3	Small-clip Generator	34
5.4	Action Recognition	34
5.5	Object Detection	34
5.6	NLP Text Creator	35
5.6	.1 Word Ordering	35
5.6	.2 NLP Sentence	36
5.6	.3 Combiner	36
5.7	Summary	36
Implem	entation	37

6.	1	Intr	oduction	. 37
6.	2	Sys	tem Requirements	. 37
6.	3	Frai	mework for Action Recognition	. 37
6.	4	Frai	mework for Object Detection	. 38
6.	5	Dat	aset Preparation	. 39
	6.5.	1	Action Recognition and Object Detection	. 39
	6.5.	.2	NLP Text Creator	. 40
6.	6	Setu	p Training Process	. 41
	6.6.	.1	Training Process for Action Recognition	. 41
	6.6.	.2	Training Process for Object Detection	. 43
	6.6.	.3	Training Process for NLP Text Creator	. 44
6.	7	Rul	e-based Algorithm	. 46
6.	8	Sun	nmary	. 47
Eva	luati	ion .		. 48
7.	1	Intr	oduction	. 48
7.	2	Eva	luation Strategy	. 48
	7.2.	1	Evaluation at Training Phase	. 48
	7.2.	.2	Overall Evaluation to the System	. 49
	7.2.	.3	Model Evaluation	. 50
7.	3	Exp	eriment Setup for SiRuML-TVS	. 51
7.	4	TV	S Models Comparison	. 52
7.	5	Use	Case of the SiRuML-TVS System	. 55
7.	6	Sun	nmary	. 56
Con	clus	sion	and Further Work	. 57
8.	1	Intr	oduction	. 57
8.	2	Cor	nclusion	. 57
	8.2.	.1	Achievements of Project Objectives	. 57
	8.2.	.2	Overall Conclusion	. 58
8.	3	Lim	nitations and Further Works	. 59
8.	4	Sun	nmary	. 59
Refe	erenc	es		. 60
App	endi	X		. 64
A	pper	ndix	I: Video Recognition module for Inferencing	. 64
A	pper	ndix	II: Change of DetectM2 class in Object Detection Module, YoloV5	. 65
A	pper	ndix	III: Training Script for T5 Module	. 66

Annendix IV: Full System of SiRuMI.	-TVS68	~
Appendix IV. Full System of Sikulvil	-1 v3 0d	0

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1 - High-level diagram of Reinforcement Learning Framework	12
Figure 2.2- Taxonomy of Video Summarization	18
Figure 3.1- Technology Stack of Text based Video Summarization	21
Figure 3.2- CNN Architecture in Image Classification task	22
Figure 3.3- Different model architectures for TimeSformer [20]	26
Figure 3.4- Visualization of Divide Space-Time Attention Module	27
Figure 3.5 - Model Architectures of T5	28
Figure 4.1- Input-Process-Output for Text-based Video Summarization	30
Figure 5.1 - Top-level Architecture of SSML-TVS	33
Figure 5.2 - Sub modules in the NLP Text Creator	35
Figure 6.1- Folder Structure of METEOR Dataset	39
Figure 6.2 - Original classes provided from METEOR dataset	40
Figure 6.3 - Extended classes from the original classes	40
Figure 6.4 - Shape of Input Text and Target Text for NLP Text Creator Model	41
Figure 6.5 - Sample configuration file of Training in MMAction2	42
Figure 6.6 - Comparison of YoloV5-Small original model (left) with the Mod	ified
YoloV5-Small (right)	44
Figure 6.7- Training loss against steps in WebNLG based training	45
Figure 6.8 - Training results with custom created dataset	46
Figure 7.1- Structure of Videos and Text files of custom validation dataset	49
Figure 7.2 - Sample frames of a video and corresponding outcome as a sentence	49
Figure 7.3 - Experiment Setup for TVS evaluation	52
Figure 7.4 - Quantitative Results of the TVS system. In part (a), original video cl	ip is
shown. Black color car (highlighted by red box) is overtaking the white car. In	part
(b), objects of the video has been shown. In part (c), the final result is provided	55

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1- Summary of benefits and issues in the past related researches	17
Table 7.1 - Quantitative Comparison of Object Detection modules	52
Table 7.2 - Quantitative Comparison of Video Recognition modules	52
Table 7.3 - Qualitative Results for NLP Model	53
Table 7.4 - Quantitative Evaluation on different models	54

ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation Definition

VS Video Summarization

TVS Text-based Video Summarization

SL Supervised Learning

UL Unsupervised Learning

RL Reinforcement Learning

WSL Weakly-Supervised Learning

GAN Generative Adversarial Network

LSTM Long-Short Term Memory

NLP Natural Language Processing

BiLSTM Bi-Directional Long-Short Term Memory

CNN Convolutional Neural Network

CSN Channel Separated Network