TIME OVERRUN EVENTS ACCOUNTABLE TO THE CLIENT IN BRIDGE CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS, SRI LANKA

MASTER OF SCIENCE

IN

CONSTRUCTION PROJECT MANAGEMENT

N. W. H. Lakshamana

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa

May 2022

TIME OVERRUN EVENTS ACCOUNTABLE TO THE CLIENT IN BRIDGE CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS, SRI LANKA

BY

N. W. H. Lakshamana (168572x)

Supervised by

Dr. Chandana Siriwardana

"This dissertation was submitted to the Department of Civil Engineering of the University of Moratuwa in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the Master of Science in Construction Project Management"

> Department of Civil Engineering University of Moratuwa May 2022

DECLARATION

I certify that this thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a degree or diploma in any university to the best of my knowledge and believe it does not contain any material previously published, written or orally communicated by another person or myself except where due reference is made in the text. I also hereby give consent for my dissertation, if accepted, to be made available for photocopying and for inter library loans, and for the title and summary to be available to outside organizations.

Signature of Candidate N. W. H. Lakshamana (168572x)

Date

Date

The above particulars are correct, to the best of my knowledge.

Signature of Supervisor Dr. Chandana Siriwardana Department of Civil Engineering University of Moratuwa

ABSTRACT

The bridge construction industry in Sri Lanka has been severely affected by the time overrun events in the past. The trend is continuing and the requirement of taking mitigation measures in the industry is a high priority. Once a time overrun event occurs, it will be analysed by the consultant of the contract. If the accountability of it is on the client, the contractor will be granted an Extension of Time and the relevant compensations. Therefore, identifying the causes of the time overrun events and the accountable party of those is a high necessity for forecasting and taking mitigation measures.

The research is on identifying the key time overrun events accountable to the client in bridge construction projects, Sri Lanka. Seventy (70) time overrun events in bridge construction projects have been found by literature review and case studies of 18 projects. These time overrun events are analysed from the responses by the professionals through a questionnaire survey and found out nineteen (19) time overrun events accountable to the client using the Relative Importance Index. The analyses have been continued through interviews with the key experts of the field as well to understand the probability and impact of the events. Accordingly, by using the Frequency Index and Severity Index, the events were prioritized and the use of Probability-Impact Matrix, it has been identified the five (5) most critical events accountable to the client in the bridge construction projects which are Possession of site, Unclear scope (Variation Orders), Land acquisition matters, Utility shifting matters and Design changes.

Further, it has been observed that around 70% of time overrun events accountable to the client can be forecasted in the planning phase (before awarding the contract) of the project. Therefore, the research recommends several methods for conducting a proper planning phase of a project by highlighting the current drawbacks and hence avoiding or mitigating the risk of time overrun events that would be generated during the construction phase of the project.

Key Words: Time overrun, Accountability, The client, Bridge construction, Sri Lanka, Mitigation measures, Relative Importance Index

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

My sincere appreciation is offered to all the people who support me in various ways to succeed in the objectives of the research.

Mainly, I would like to give a very special thanks to my research supervisor Dr. Chandana Siriwardana for his guidance, assistance and encouragement to complete this task.

I also extend my kind appreciation to the Department of Civil Engineering, the University of Moratuwa for conducting an M.Sc. program that enhanced my knowledge on the field immensely. The staff of the Department of Civil Engineering has permitted me a lot of support to finish the study successfully.

Further, I am grateful to all the professionals who contributed to the work by dedicating their valuable time.

Finally, I would like to appreciate the support provided in various ways by my family members to make this research project an ultimate success.

N. W. H. Lakshamana May 2022

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| LIST (| OF FIGURES | xi |
|--------|---|---------|
| LIST (| OF TABLES | xii |
| LIST (| OF ABBREVIATION | xiii |
| 1 II | NTRODUCTION | 1 |
| 1.1 | Background | 1 |
| 1.2 | Problem Identification | 3 |
| 1.3 | Research Objectives | 4 |
| 1.4 | Significance of the Study | 4 |
| 1.5 | Method of Study | 5 |
| 1.6 | Literature and the Identified Gap for the Study | 6 |
| 1.7 | Expected Outcomes of the Research | 7 |
| 1.8 | Summary of the Report Arrangement | 7 |
| 2 L | LITERATURE REVIEW | 9 |
| 2.1 | General | 9 |
| 2.2 | Infrastructure Development Projects | 9 |
| 2.3 | Time Overruns in Construction Projects | 10 |
| 2.4 | Bridge Construction Projects in Sri Lanka | 11 |
| 2.5 | Time Overrun in Bridge Construction Projects | 12 |
| 2 | 2.5.1 Activity Level Analysis of Time Overrun | 12 |
| | 2.5.1.1 Master Program and Revised Programs | 12 |
| | 2.5.1.2 Critical Path of a Program and Analyse the Time Overrun by Cr | ritical |
| | Path Method | 15 |
| | 2.5.1.3 Critical Activity Delays and Non-Critical Activity Delays | 15 |

| 2.5.1.4 Float and Slack in Activities | 15 |
|--|----|
| 2.5.1.5 Concurrent delays | 16 |
| 2.5.1.6 Crashing and Fast Tracking of Activities | 16 |
| 2.6 Contractual Background of Time Overrun | 16 |
| 2.6.1 Time Overrun in FIDIC Condition of Contracts | 18 |
| 2.7 Causes of Time Overruns in Construction Projects | 19 |
| 2.8 Identifying causes of time overruns in bridge construction projects | 21 |
| 2.9 Financial Effect on the Bridge Construction Projects in an Event of Time Overrun | 23 |
| 2.10 Accountability of the Time Overrun Event | 23 |
| 2.11 Determination by the Consultant/Engineer in a Time Overrun Event/DelayClaim 24 | 7 |
| 2.11.1 The Process of Time Overrun Analysis | 25 |
| 2.12 Accountability Assessment of a Time Overrun Event Sri Lanka, Bridge | |
| Construction Projects | 28 |
| 2.12.1 Low Scale Bridge Construction Projects in Sri Lanka | 28 |
| 2.12.2 High Scale Bridge Construction Projects in Sri Lanka | 29 |
| 3 METHODOLOGY | 30 |
| 3.1 General | 30 |
| 3.2 Data Collection | 30 |
| 3.2.1 Through Literature Review | 30 |
| 3.2.2 Through Case Studies | 30 |
| 3.3 Delphi Method | 32 |
| 3.4 Quantitative Method | 32 |
| 3.4.1 Multiple Indicator Measure | 33 |
| 3.4.2 Relative Importance Index | 33 |

| | 3.4. | .3 N | Mode and Mean Values | 34 |
|---|------|--------|---|----|
| | 3.5 | App | lication of Quantitative Method | 34 |
| | 3.5. | .1 (| Content in the Questionnaire Survey - 01 | 35 |
| | 3.6 | Qual | litative method | 36 |
| | 3.6. | .1 7 | Thematic Analysis | 36 |
| | 3.6. | .2 F | Probability-Impact matrix | 36 |
| | 3.6. | .3 I | Frequency, Severity and Importance Index | 37 |
| | 3.7 | App | lication of Qualitative Method | 38 |
| | 3.7. | .1 (| Content of Questionnaire Survey 02 | 39 |
| 4 | DA | TAA | NALYSIS AND DISCUSSION OF RESULTS | 41 |
| | 4.1 | Gene | eral | 41 |
| | 4.2 | Case | Study | 41 |
| | 4.3 | Anal | lysis of the Questionnaire Survey | 42 |
| | 4.3. | .1 I | Demography of the Respondents | 42 |
| | 4 | .3.1.1 | Representing Party of the Respondents | 43 |
| | 4 | .3.1.2 | Qualification of the Respondents | 43 |
| | 4 | .3.1.3 | Current Carrer Position of the Respondents | 44 |
| | 4 | .3.1.4 | Overall Experience of the Respondents | 45 |
| | 4 | .3.1.5 | Bridge Construction Experience of the Respondents | 46 |
| | 4 | .3.1.6 | Value of the projects involved by the respondents | 47 |
| | 4.3. | .2 I | dentifying the Accountability of a Time Overrun Event | 47 |
| | 4 | .3.2.1 | Recognizing the key Project Party With the Highest Overall | |
| | A | Accour | ntability of Time Overrun Events in a Bridge Construction Project | 48 |
| | | .3.2.2 | | |
| | S | ole Ac | ccountability and Joined Accountability | 49 |

| 4.3.2.2.1 Time Overrun Events Concerning the Sole Accountability on the | |
|--|-----|
| Client. | |
| 4.3.2.2.2 Time Overrun Events Concerning the Joined Accountability with the Client and Any Other Party | |
| 4.3.3 Analysing the Mitigation Measures for the Time Overrun Events | |
| Accountable to the Client | 54 |
| 4.3.4 Discussion on Mitigation Measures Analyzed of the Time Overrun Ever | nts |
| Accountable to the Client | 58 |
| 4.4 Analysis of Interviews With the Expertise | 61 |
| 4.4.1 Analysing the Most Critical Time Overrun Events Accountable to the | |
| Client in Bridge Construction Projects | 61 |
| 4.4.1.1 Through Probability and Impact Indexes | 61 |
| 4.4.1.2 Through Probability-Impact Matrix | 66 |
| 4.5 Discussion on the Most Critical Time Overrun Events Accountable to the | |
| Client | .68 |
| 4.6 Discussion on Mitigation Measures of the Time Overrun Events in Bridge | |
| Construction Industry, Sri Lanka | 72 |
| 4.6.1 Forecasting Time Overrun Events Accountable to the Client Before | |
| Awarding the Contract | 72 |
| 4.6.2 Fitting Planning Phase of the Project Pre-Contract Awarding | 73 |
| 4.6.3 Recruiting Experts in the Field for the Planning Phase of a Bridge | |
| Project | 73 |
| 5 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS | 75 |
| 5.1 Overall Summary | 75 |
| 5.2 Conclusions | 76 |
| 5.2.1 Summary of Key Findings on Time Overrun Events Accountable to the | |
| Client | 78 |

| 5.3 | Recommendations | .80 |
|------------|-------------------------------------|-----|
| 5.4 | Recommendations for Future Research | .81 |
| REFERENCES | | |
| APPENDIXES | | |

LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure 2.1: Construction Program in MS Project - Gantt Chart View |
|---|
| Figure 2.2: Process of Analyzing a Time Overrun Event |
| Figure 4.1: Diversity of the Contractual Party that the Respondents Represent |
| Figure 4.2: Diversity of the Professional and Educational Qualifications of the |
| Respondents |
| Figure 4.3: Diversity of the Career Positions of the Respondents |
| Figure 4.4: Overall Experience of the Respondents |
| Figure 4.5: Bridge Construction Experience of the Respondents |
| Figure 4.6: Value of the Projects Involved by the Respondents |
| Figure 4.7: Ranking of the Accountability of Time Overrun Events in Bridge |
| Construction Projects, Sri Lanka |
| Figure 4.8: Probability-Impact Matrix of Time Overrun Events Accountable to the |
| Client |

LIST OF TABLES

| Table 2.1: Clauses in Conditions of Contract of FIDIC Related to a Time Overrun Event |
|--|
| |
| Table 3.1: Project Details of the Case Studies 31 |
| Table 3.2: Details of the Key Experts Interviewed |
| Table 4.1: Ranking of Time Overrun Events Concerning the Sole Accountability on the |
| Client |
| Table 4.2: Ranking of Time Overrun Events Concerning the Joined Accountability on |
| the Client and Any Other Party53 |
| Table 4.3: Overall Respondent's View on Mitigation Methods Proposed for Time |
| Overrun Events Accountable to the Client |
| Table 4.4: Respondent's Perspective Concerning the Contractual Party They Represent |
| on Mitigation Methods Proposed for Time Overrun Events Accountable to the Client57 |
| Table 4.5: Ranking List of Time Overrun Events Accountable to the Client Concerning |
| the Frequency Index |
| Table 4.6: Ranking List of Time Overrun Events Accountable to the Client Concerning |
| the Severity Index |
| Table 4.7: Ranking List of Time Overrun Events Accountable to the Client Concerning |
| the Priority Index64 |
| Table 4.8: Linear Scale Identification for Probability and Impact |
| Table 4.9: Probability and Impact Level of Each Event following the Linear Scale66 |

LIST OF ABBREVIATION

| Abbreviation | Description |
|--------------|--|
| SL | Sri Lanka |
| RDA | Road Development Authority |
| МОН | Ministry of Highways |
| ADB | Asian Development Bank |
| JICA | Japan International Cooperation Agency |
| GOSL | Government of Sri Lanka |
| PMU | Project Management Unit |
| CIDA | Construction Industry Development Authority |
| FIDIC | International Federation of Consulting Engineers |
| RII | Relative Importance Index |
| FI | Frequency Index |
| SI | Severity Index |
| PI | Priority Index |
| ЕОТ | Extension of Tim |