

**FIELD EVALUATION OF THIN ASPHALT
APPLICATION IN LOW VOLUME ROADS IN
SRI LANKA**

M. Dishan

208096M

Degree of Master of Science

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

November 2022

**FIELD EVALUATION OF THIN ASPHALT
APPLICATION IN LOW VOLUME ROADS IN
SRI LANKA**

MARKANDU DISHAN

208096 M

Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Master
of Science in Civil Engineering

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa
Sri Lanka

November 2022

DECLARATION OF THE CANDIDATE AND SUPERVISOR

I declare that this is my own work and the thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and believe it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my thesis, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

Signature: *UOM Verified Signature*

Date: 21.03.2023

The above candidate has carried out research for the Master/MPhil/PhD thesis/ Dissertation under my supervision.

Signature of the supervisor: *UOM Verified Signature*

Date: 23/03/2023

ABSTRACT

Field evaluation of thin asphalt application in rural roads

Thin Asphalt surfacing (TAS) is an innovative idea that has the potential to lower construction and maintenance costs of road construction in Sri Lanka. Laboratory based studies conducted in Sri Lanka have shown that the local materials can be used to produce TAS, and this study focuses on the usability of TAS in the field. Few concerns were found when applying this new technology in the field was taken into consideration before field trial. Such as: (1) The possibility that variations in the gradation of aggregates within the specification limit could negatively affect the characteristics of asphalt mix. Investigations were conducted to look at the impact of coarser and finer gradations on Marshall Properties: these grades represent the upper and lower limits of the proposed specification range, where both mixtures fulfilled the requirements. (2) The impact of the top level of longitudinal profile tolerance on the actual thickness of the asphalt mat. Increase in the proposed layer thickness from 25 mm to 30 mm, and adding additional surface top level correction of the base layer to meet the top level of longitudinal profile tolerance of ± 10 mm are the options that have been suggested to meet the specified thickness conditions for TAS, where it was found that both approaches can be advantageous. (3) The rate at which heat is released by an asphalt layer that is thinner than traditional asphalt layer. The results of the laboratory-based testing show that paving around 8 a.m. leaves the least amount of time for compaction. This occurred because the temperature of the ground and the surrounding air was low. Findings on the time for compaction in the morning and evening are quite comparable. This similarity shows that base temperature is more significantly impacted by solar flux than the mix temperature. After the conclusion of surveys and laboratory experiments, it was decided to trial TAS in a road in the Gampaha district with low volume traffic. Construction was done in two sections. Section 1 was constructed with a 30 mm thickness and Section 2 with a 25 mm thickness. Under the test section's field conditions, the results for 30 mm layer thickness were significantly better. The temperature reduction of the asphalt layer surface over time was observed during the trial and the time available for compaction was less than 20 minutes. Through this study it was verified that TAS mixtures within the proposed aggregate gradation limit, satisfy specification requirement, top level surface tolerance of the base layer should be adjusted to ± 10 mm, it is advantageous to have 30 mm thick TAS layer rather than 25 mm TAS layer considering the fluctuations of surface level of base layer and sunny noon time is more favourable to lay TAS considering the time available for compaction. It was identified that 30 mm thick TAS sections is more attainable than 25 mm thick TAS section during field applications.

Key words: Thin Asphalt surfacing, low volume road, gradation variation, topographical survey, heat reduction rate

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

It gives me great pleasure to take use of this opportunity to complete my responsibilities and express my appreciation to all the important individuals who helped to complete the research. As a postgraduate, the importance of this research project is immeasurable to me.

For most all, I express my sincere thanks to Prof. W. K. Mampearachchi, my research supervisor in Department of Civil Engineering for providing necessary guidance to success this research. He always provided his guidance during the difficult times and spend his valuable time discuss the problems encountered during data collection and analysis.

In addition, I would not hesitate to thank all the academic staff and non-academic staff Transportation Engineering Division of Civil Engineering Department of University of Moratuwa and thanks to the staffs of Advanced bitumen testing & Accelerated pavement testing laboratory for supporting my research experiments. It was very helpful me to continue the research works effectively.

It is essential to thank all the staffs of Research and Development Division of Road Development Authority, Ratmalana with supporting with materials and guidance and staffs of Access Construction Company, Rural Road project of Gampaha who made arrangement to provide the relevant data without any hesitation for my study.

Finally, I offer my thanks to my colleagues Nivojan, Dilakshan, Nalaka, Hirushi, Thushanthan, Pabetha, Havishant and Sajinthan for wonderful corporation, and the technical staff of the Department of Civil Engineering for helping in many ways.

Thank You.

M. Dishan

TABLE OF CONTENT

DECLARATION OF THE CANDIDATE AND SUPERVISOR.....	i
ABSTRACT.....	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
TABLE OF CONTENT	iv
LIST OF FIGURES	vii
LIST OF TABLES	viii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS.....	ix
1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Problem Statement	4
1.3 Objectives.....	4
1.4 Research Scope	5
2 LITERATURE REVIEW	6
2.1 Thin Asphalt surface (TAS)	6
2.2 Current practices in Sri Lanka.....	6
2.3 Previous researches in Sri Lanka related to TAS	7
2.4 Effects of gradation of aggregates on the Marshall properties of TAS.....	7
2.5 Effect of Variation in Longitudinal profile of Base layer in TAS.....	9
2.6 Effect of mat thickness in compaction of TAS	11
3 METHODOLOGY	14
3.1 Experimental study on gradation difference in TAS asphalt mixture.....	14
3.2 Effect of Variation in Longitudinal profile of Base layer	14
3.3 Determination of effect of layer thickness in compaction of TAS under laboratory conditions.....	15
3.4 Field Trial for TAS.....	15
4 EXPERIMENTAL STUDY ON GRADATION DIFFERENCE IN TAS ASPHALT MIXTURE	17

4.1	Description	17
4.2	Objective and test plan	17
4.3	Assumptions and Limitations.....	17
4.4	Specifications	18
4.5	Experimentations and Results	20
4.5.1	Bitumen.....	20
4.5.1.1	Test on Bitumen and Results	20
4.6	Aggregates and fillers.....	22
4.6.1	Test of Aggregates	23
4.6.1.1	Tests on Aggregates.....	23
4.6.2	Marshall Test	28
4.6.2.1	Preparation of Marshall Specimens	28
4.6.2.2	Determination of Marshall Properties	30
4.7	Results and Discussion.....	35
4.7.1	Change of Stability with Bitumen Content.....	35
4.7.2	Change of Flow values with Bitumen Content.....	36
4.7.3	Change of density with Bitumen Content.....	37
4.7.4	Change of Air Voids with Bitumen Content.....	38
4.7.5	Change of Voids in Mineral Aggregates (VMA) with Bitumen Content	39
4.7.6	Summary of the experimental study for determining the effect of gradation variation	40
5	Effect of Variation in Longitudinal profile of Base layer.....	42
5.1	Description	42
5.2	Statistical Analysis of exiting road condition.	43
5.2.1	Level Survey Data.....	43
5.3	Statistical Analysis and Discussion.....	45
5.4	Proposed options to meet the specified thickness condition required for TAS	49
5.4.1	Increase the proposed layer thickness from 25mm to 30 mm.....	50
5.4.2	Additional surface top level correction of base layer to meet the top level of longitudinal profile tolerance of ± 10 mm	51
5.5	Summary	53

6	DETERMINATION OF EFFECT OF LAYER THICKNESS IN COMPACTION OF TAS UNDER LABORATORY CONDITIONS	54
6.1	Description	54
6.2	Results and Discussion	56
6.2.1	Case study 1	57
6.2.2	Case study 2	58
6.2.3	Case study 3	59
6.3	Summary on laboratory based study on heat reduction rate of TAS	60
7	FIELD TRIAL	62
7.1	Site Selection	62
7.2	Paving trial – Mix design	63
7.3	Construction Procedure	64
7.4	Thickness variations of laid asphalt surface	66
7.5	Core details and Marshal properties of the trial mix	68
7.6	Temperature reading of asphalt layer	69
7.7	Summary on Field Trial	71
8	CONCLUSIONS	72
	REFERENCE LIST	75
	ANNEXURE A	1
	ANNEXURE 2	1
	Level Survey Data sheet and projected asphalt concrete layer thickness	1
	ANNEXURE 3	42

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1 Level survey prior laying asphalt layer	10
Figure 2.2 Time to layer to cool to 80 C vs layer thickness for the lines of constant mix and bases temperature - 121 C behind the paver [25].....	12
Figure 3.1 Adopted methodology for Experimental study	14
Figure 4.1 Viscosity vs temperature graph of bitumen.....	22
Figure 4.2 pycnometer with fine aggregates.....	26
Figure 4.3 Identification of SSD condition of fine aggregates	26
Figure 4.4 Preparation of Marshal samples	29
Figure 4.5 Prepared Marshal Sample.....	29
Figure 4.6 Testing of Marshal Samples	30
Figure 4.7 Theoretical Maximum Density test	32
Figure 4.8 Stability vs binder content curve for both gradations.....	36
Figure 4.9 Flow vs Binder content diagram for gradation 1 & 2 mixtures.....	37
Figure 4.10 Bulk specific gravity vs bitumen content diagram for gradation 1&2 mixes	38
Figure 4.11 Air void vs binder content diagram for gradation 1 & 2 mixes.....	39
Figure 4.12 Binder content vs VMA graphs for gradation 1 & 2	40
Figure 5.1 Longitudinal profile of base layer before and after correction (Left).....	44
Figure 5.2 Longitudinal profile of base layer before and after correction (Center)...	45
Figure 5.3 Longitudinal profile of base layer before and after correction (Right)	45
Figure 5.4 Longitudinal profile of base layer with 25 mm asphalt thickness.....	46
Figure 5.5 Projected layer thickness for 25mm thick asphalt layer	47
Figure 5.6 Normal distribution curve for projected asphalt thickness of 25 mm thick layer.....	47
Figure 5.7 Mean+SD – Mean-SD diagram	49
Figure 5.8 Longitudinal profile of base layer with 30 mm asphalt thickness.....	50
Figure 5.9 Percentage reduction of number of points vary from level tolerance.....	50
Figure 5.10 Percentage variation for different level tolerance.....	52
Figure 6.1 Preparation of sample on slab mould	56
Figure 6.2 Measurement of temperature of sample using IR thermometer	56
Figure 6.3 Cooling curve of TAS Asphalt concrete samples (Morning).....	58
Figure 6.4 Cooling curve of TAS Asphalt concrete samples (Noon)	59
Figure 6.5 Cooling curve of TAS Asphalt concrete samples (Evening).....	60
Figure 7.1 Kotadeniyawa asphalt plant.....	62
Figure 7.2 Selected site for TAS trial section (WGA 199).....	62
Figure 7.3 Gradation of blended aggregate for TAS mixture	63
Figure 7.4 Profile of trial sections.....	64
Figure 7.5 level reading at 1 m interval before asphalt laying.....	66

LIST OF TABLES

Table 4.1 Required Marshal Properties - New Zealand specification	18
Table 4.2 Asphalt volumetric property requirements - New Zealand Specification .	19
Table 4.3 Gradation ranges for dense graded asphalt concrete mixtures - New Zealand Specification.....	19
Table 4.4 Bitumen Viscosity reading from viscometer	21
Table 4.5 Gradations of selected two cases	23
Table 4.6 Stability values for both gradations	35
Table 4.7 Flow values of the tested samples.....	36
Table 4.8 Bulk specific gravity values with binder content for both gradation 1&2 mixture	37
Table 4.9 Air void values for both gradation 1 & 2 mixes	38
Table 4.10 VMA values for different bitumen contents for both gradation 1 & 2	39
Table 5.1 Level Survey details.....	44
Table 5.2 Difference in mean and standard deviation values of base surface top correction prior and after the surface top level correction	48
Table 5.3 Difference in the projected percentage of locations where surface top level correction is needed when level tolerance is +15 / -10mm and ± 10 mm	51
Table 6.1 Morning time environmental condition	57
Table 6.2 Noon time environmental condition	58
Table 6.3 Evening time environmental condition.....	60
Table 6.4 Summary of Time available for compaction	61
Table 7.1 Marshal properties of Asphalt mixture used for TAS field trial	63
Table 7.2 Number of roller passes selected for the trial	64
Table 7.3 summary of layer thicknesses in 30 mm layer section (1+260 - 1+360)...	66
Table 7.4 summary of layer thicknesses in 25 mm layer section (1+370 - 1+450)...	67
Table 7.5 Marshal density -TAS field trial	68
Table 7.6 Core sample test detail - TAS field trial	68
Table 7.7 Site temperature readings.....	69
Table 7.8 TAS surface temperature reading at location A (1+272 LHS)	70
Table 7.9 TAS Surface temperature reading at location B (1+272 RHS)	70

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

TAS - Thin asphalt surfacing

LVR - Low Volume Road

CNESA - Cumulative Number of Standard Axle

HMA - Hot Mix Asphalt

NMSA – Nominal Maximum Size of Aggregates

ICTAD - Institute for Training and Development

SSCM - Standard specifications for construction and maintenance

TA – Traditional Asphalt

VMA - Voids in Mineral Aggregates

VIM - Air Voids in Total Mix

DGAB – Dense graded aggregate base