# USER INTERACTIVE AND SMART ADAPTIVE AUTHENTIFICATION FOR WEB-BASED APPLICATIONS

Mohamed Riyazath Ali

209304K

Degree of Master of Science

Department of Computer Science and Engineering

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

2020

# USER INTERACTIVE AND SMART ADAPTIVE AUTHENTIFICATION FOR WEB-BASED APPLICATIONS

Mohamed Riyazath Ali

209304K

Degree of Master of Science

Department of Computer Science and Engineering

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

2020

#### The declaration of the author

I declare that this is my own work, and this dissertation does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief, it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant to the University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my dissertation, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other media. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

Signature: .....

Date: .....

Name: I.L.M. Riyazath Ali.

The supervisor/s should certify the thesis/dissertation with the following declaration.

I certify that the declaration above by the candidate is true to the best of my knowledge and that the above candidate has carried out research for the Masters Dissertation(CS6997 MSc Research Project) under my supervision.

Signature of the supervisor: .....

Date: .....

Name: Dr. Indika Perera

Head - Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering, Faculty of Engineering University of Moratuwa, Moratuwa 10400, Sri Lanka.

#### The abstract

Authentication is a way to verify identification of users. Authentication plays a crucial part in safeguarding the data. Currently, nearly any form of data is saved on the Internet, which makes security concerns of the private data extremely vital. Initially, single factor authentication was employed to safeguard data and identify identity. Because of the rising security vulnerabilities in single factor authentication, two/multi factor authentication was created. The multi-factor authentication has an unfavorable influence on user experience. The additional authentication layer impacts the user friendliness/user experience of a given application, and the user must spend more time in the extra authentication step to confirm the identity. Adaptive authentication was built to overcome this problem. daptive Authentication determines the optimal authentication method for a user dependent on context parameters such as behavioral traits, location, network, and certain other user features. This technology has the capacity of modifying the standard authentication (i.e. username/password) technique and directing it in a more secure and user-friendly direction. Existing work will be analyzed in this research, and a better adaptive authentication mechanism will be created and deployed. Adaptable Auth is a novel adaptive authentication design. This research offers a revolutionary adaptive authentication technique which seeks to erase the bad user experience of the existing multi factor authentication systems. Adaptive authentication accumulates information about each user and prevents fraudulent attempts by checking them against the generated profiles. This technique will boost the usability, user-friendliness by adding multi-factor authentication only when its essential utilizing a risk based adaptive approach. Furthermore, the solution maintains security by authenticating the genuine user via jointly assessing the attributes, behavior, device and network relevant information. Separate machine learning models will be deployed to identify the user based on user behavior and circumstance. This research presents a novel technique to enhancing the overall performance of the authentication process. The authentication mechanisms will be selected depending on the user's risk profile. This enhances the authentication process's user experience.

Key words: Adaptive authentication, Machine learning Mouse and keystroke dynamics

#### Acknowledgements

I like to communicate sincere thanks and gratefulness to my MSc Research Project supervisor, Dr. Indika Perera, guiding me through all semesters and unconditionally aiding me in acquiring all needed resources to achieve my MSc Research Project Thesis.

I am particularly thankful for his major help in the research effort, which included giving the required skills, resources, guidance, supervision, and beneficial suggestions. With his expertise and constant help, I was able to finish my study properly. I would also like to thank all my colleagues for their aid and support in discovering pertinent study material. I am extremely thankful to my parents, brothers, sister, nephew, niece, and close friends for their support. Finally, I would like to convey my appreciation to all my fellow members for their aid in managing my MSc studies. Moreover, I want to offer thankfulness to the personalities since they have supported me during this effort.

### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	The declaration of the author	ii
	The abstract	iii
	Acknowledgements	iv
	LIST OF FIGURES	viii
	LIST OF TABLES	ix
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	х
1.	Chapter	1
1	. Introduction	1
	1.1. Overview of the chapter	1
	1.2. Introduction	1
	1.3. Background	2
	1.4. Related Work	5
	1.4.1. Existing work on behaviour based adaptive authentication	6
	1.4.2. Existing work on user context based adaptive authentication	8
	1.5. Problem in brief	11
	1.6. Research Motivation	11
	1.7. Aim and Objectives	13
	1.7.1 Research Aim	15
	1.7.2. Research Objectives	15
•	1.8. Chapter Synopsis	17
2.	Chapter	18
2	. Critical Literature Review Survey	18
	2.1. Overview of the chapter	18
	2.2. Description of the Area of Expertise	18
	2.2.1. An Overview of Authentication Mechanism	18
	2.2.2 Type of authentication methods based on the category.	19
	2.2.3. An Overview of Adaptive Authentication	20
	2.2.4. Adaptive Authentication System Architecture 2.3. Technological Review	24 25
	2.3.1 User attribute based adaptive authentication.	25
	2.3.2 Methods used in Adaptive Authentication.	27
	2.3.3 Model Evaluation Techniques.	28
	2.3.4. Review of the technologies used in the behavioural authentication	29
	2.4. Existing work	31
	2.4.1. Existing system	31
	2.4.2. Behaviour based adaptive authentication	32
	2.4.3. User attributes based adaptive authentication	34
	2.5. Comparisons on Adaptive Authentication system.	37
	2.6. Chapter Synopsis	38
3.	Chapter	39
3	. The Methodology	39
	3.1. Overview of the Chapter	39
	3.2. Identification of Effective Methodologies	39
	3.2.1. Identified methodology for the study	39
	3.2.2. Technique adopted for management of the research study	40
	3.3. Chapter Synopsis	41
4.	Chapter	42

4.	<ul> <li>The Elicitation and Analysis</li> <li>4.1. Overview of the chapter</li> <li>4.2. Identifying the stakeholders</li> <li>4.3. Practices for eliciting requirements</li> <li>4.3.1. A research questionnaire</li> <li>4.4. Analysis on the required Non-Functional factors</li> <li>4.5. Synopsis of the chapter</li> </ul>	<b>42</b> 42 42 42 42 42 43 44
5.	Chapter	45
5.	<ul> <li>Professional Practices, Legal Considerations, Social and ethical related Issues</li> <li>5.1. Overview of the chapter</li> <li>5.2. Professional Practices, Legal Considerations, Social and ethical related Issues</li> <li>5.3. Synopsis of the chapter</li> </ul>	<b>45</b> 45 45 46
6.	Chapter	47
6.	<ul> <li>Overall Design of the project and the Design Diagrams</li> <li>6.1. Overview of the chapter</li> <li>6.2. Motivation on the project design</li> <li>6.3. A High Level of System Architecture</li> <li>6.3.1. Layer of Presentation</li> <li>6.3.2. Layer of Application</li> <li>6.4. Component Diagram with the dataflow</li> <li>6.5. Class Diagram</li> <li>6.6. Sequence Diagrams for machine learning model process.</li> <li>6.6.1. Retrieving the risk profile from machine Learning models</li> <li>6.6.2. Diagram of the Sequence of Choosing the Second Factor Authentication.</li> <li>6.7. The High-Level Architecture Flowchart Diagram for The Proposed Adaptive Authentication</li> <li>5.8. User Interface Wireframes</li> <li>6.9. Chapter Synopsis</li> </ul>	<b>47</b> 47 48 51 52 53 53 53 53 53 53 54 54 56 57
7.	Chapter	58
7.	<ul> <li>The Implementation Methodology</li> <li>7.1. Overview of the chapter</li> <li>7.2. Effective tool and technology adoption</li> <li>7.2.1. Stack of Tools and Technology</li> <li>7.2.2. Choosing Suitable Programming Languages for implementation</li> <li>7.2.3. Choosing appropriate libraries for Machine Learning Models</li> <li>7.2.4. Selection of Integrated Development Environment (IDE)</li> <li>7.3. Feature Selection for the implementation</li> <li>7.4. Implementation of Core Functionality</li> <li>7.4.1. Implementation of a Machine Learning Component</li> <li>7.4.2. Adaptive Authentication Core Engine</li> <li>7.4.3. Adaptive Authentication System Deployment</li> <li>7.5. Difficulties encountered in the Implementation Methodology and the Solutions adopte</li> <li>7.5. Chapter Synopsis</li> </ul>	58 58 58 59 60 60 60 62 62 63 66 ed 66 67
8.	Chapter	68
8.	<ul> <li>The Overall Testing of the project</li> <li>8.1. Overview of the chapter</li> <li>8.2. System testing objectives</li> <li>8.2.1. Testing on Functional requirements</li> </ul>	<b>68</b> 68 68 69

	8.2.2. Non-Functional Testing Results	71
	8.2.3. Integration Testing	77
	8.2.4. Model Validation	79
	8.3. Comparisons with the industry standards	80
	8.3.1. Comparisons with the traditional methods	81
	8.3.2. Internal Comparisons	81
	8.4. Chapter Synopsis	83
9.	Chapter	84
9	. Evaluation	84
	9.1. Chapter Overview	84
	9.2. Approach adopted to engage with evaluation process	84
	9.3. Criteria for Evaluation	85
	9.4. Evaluation evaluators	86
	9.5. Evaluation Findings	88
	9.5.1. What is the overall opinion about the project concept?	88
	9.5.2. Comment on the depth of the project scope	90
	9.5.3. What are your thoughts on the design, architecture, and implementation	of the entire
	system?	93
	9.5.4. Feedback on the demo prototype and the project solution	95
	9.5.5. Critical analysis of the solution from the standpoint of its limits	97
	9.6. Evaluation on Quantitative Approach	99
	9.6.1. Complexity of the demo prototype and the solution implemented	99
	9.6.2. Evaluation outcome on the UI/UX Experience	99
	9.6.3. Evaluation on the comparison with the existing system	100
	9.7. Functional Requirements Evaluation	100
	9.8. Non-Functional Requirements Evaluation	104
	9.9. Evaluating the entire project from the author's point of view	106
	9.9.1. Novel techniques and their benefits throughout the project	108
	9.9.2 Comparison with Existing System	109
	9.10. Chapter Synopsis	109
10.	Chapter	111
1	0. Project Conclusions and Future Recommendations	111
	10.1. Overview of the Chapter	111
	10.2. The importance of the aim and the emphasis on objectives	111
	10.2.1. Achievement of the Study's aim	111
	10.3 Making use of pre-existing skills and identity domain knowledge	111
	10.4.1 Learning New Skills	113
	10.5. Challenges and Obstacles	113
	10.6 Future Improvements	114
	10.7 Closing Remarks	115
	References	117
	Appendix	121
	Appendix-1: The questionnaire for the evaluation process	121

# LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1 - Overall High-Level Architecture	50			
Figure 2 - Component Diagram with the dataflow				
Figure 3 - Class Diagram flow				
Figure 4 - Sequence Diagram for the retrieval of the user risk profile				
Figure 5 - Sequence diagram for choosing second authentication methods				
Figure 6 - High-Level process with flowchart diagram				
Figure 7 - Sign-Up UI wire frame	56			
Figure 8 - Login UI wire frame	57			
Figure 9 - JMeter Thread Group Configs for Load Test	72			
Figure 10 - JMeter HTTP request Configs for Load Test	72			
Figure 11- JMeter Performance Load Test	73			
Figure 12 - CPU usage, memory, total thread count, total classes loaded during the				
load test	74			
Figure 13 - CPU usage, memory, total thread count, total classes loaded during the				
load test for python engine	75			
Figure 14 - CPU usage, memory, total thread count, total classes loaded during the				
load test for login application	76			
Figure 15 - Web Application Performance 77				
Figure 16 - Complexity of the demo prototype and the solution implemented				
Figure 17 - Evaluation outcome on the UI/UX Experience				
Figure 18 - Evaluation on the comparison with the existing system	100			

# LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1 - Comparison between existing work on behaviour based adaptive	
authentication	8
Table 1.2 - Comparison between existing work on user context based adaptive	
authentication	10
Table 8.1 - Sign-Up Process Functional Testing	69
Table 8.2 - Login Process Functional Testing	70
Table 8.3 - Generic Flows Functional Testing	70
Table 8.4 – I&T Results	78
Table 8.5 - Machine learning model for user behavioral data	79
Table 8.6 - Machine learning model for user contextual data	80
Table 8.7 - Internal flow Comparisons	83
Table 9.1 - Criteria for Evaluation	86
Table 9.2 - Evaluator Affiliations	88
Table 9.3 - Overall opinion about the project concept	90
Table 9.4 - The depth of the project scope's critical evaluation	92
Table 9.5 – Opinion on the design, architecture, the system's implementation	95
Table 9.6 – Evaluation of the Implemented prototype and the solution provided	97
Table 9.7 - Critical analysis of the solution from the standpoint of its limits	98
Table 9.8 – Critical evaluation of Functional factors required	104
Table 9.9 – Critical Evaluation of Non-Functional factors required	106
Table 9.10 - Author's Evaluation on the whole project Evaluation Criteria	108

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation	Description
KNN	K-Nearest Neighbor
SVM	Support Vector Machine