MODELLING STREAMFLOW VARIABILITY IN DRY AND WET ZONE RIVER BASINS IN SRI LANKA USING SATELLITE SOIL MOISTURE DATA

Utsab Phuyal

(208360T)

Degree of Master of Science

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

February 2022

MODELLING STREAMFLOW VARIABILITY IN DRY AND WET ZONE RIVER BASINS IN SRI LANKA USING SATELLITE SOIL MOISTURE DATA

Utsab Phuyal

(208360T)

Supervised by

Prof. R. L. H. L. Rajapakse

Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree

Master of Science in Civil Engineering

UNESCO Madanjeet Singh Centre for
South Asia Water Management (UMCSAWM)
Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

February 2022

DECLARATION OF THE CANDIDATE AND SUPERVISOR

"I declare that this is my own work and this thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text".

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my thesis/dissertation, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

UOM Verified Signature	2022-02-06	
Utsab Phuyal	Date	

The above candidate has carried out research for the Masters Dissertation under my supervision.

UOM Verified Signature	2022-02-06	
Porf. R. L. H. L. Rajapakse	Date	

ABSTRACT

Modelling Streamflow Variability in Dry and Wet Zone River Basins in Sri Lanka using Satellite Soil Moisture Data

Streamflow variability is important in basin water resources management to analyze and plan for the present and future hazards and vulnerabilities affecting effective water management. The unique feature of soil to hold the moisture regulates the precipitation falling on its surface generating the variability in streamflow. The lack of extensive data for distributed hydrological models restrains modelers to accurately simulate temporal and spatial variability of streamflow associated with the soil moisture (SM) in basin-scale. The present study is focused on the use of a simple hydrologic model to assess the impact of SM on the generation of streamflow variability in selected dry and wet zone river basins in Sri Lanka and enhance the model accuracy through the use of satellite soil moisture (SSM) data. The wet and dry zone river basins, Kalu Ganga and Kirindi Oya basins, respectively with a diverse streamflow variability were selected for this study. A semi-distributed hydrologic model was developed to model various events using Hydrologic Engineering Centre's Hydrologic Modelling System (HEC-HMS) with soil moisture accounting (SMA) as the loss method. The results obtained from the model are compared with model results forced with soil moisture active passive (SMAP) SM data to assess the impact of antecedent moisture on watershed hydrology.

Events of varying magnitude in terms of discharge and precipitation from both Maha and Yala seasons were selected considering different return period discharge to calibrate and validate the model performance. Both models developed for Kirindi Oya and Kalu Ganga performed well with an average Nash-Sutcliffe Efficiency (NSE) of above 0.73 for calibration and above 0.75 for validation along with average root mean square error (RMSE) and observed standard deviation ratio (RMSE std dev) below 0.55 for calibration and below 0.48 for validation. The average coefficient of determination (R²) was obtained above 0.80 indicating a strong correlation. Initial use of SSM improved the model performance of the Kalu Ganga basin whereas deteriorated the performance of the Kirindi Oya basin. The performance was further enhanced by optimizing the soil storage and groundwater parameters yielding an average NSE higher than 0.80, an average R² of above 0.90 along with an average RMSE std dev below 0.35 in both basins. Further, the average variation in peak discharge and runoff volume was reduced to 6 % and 2 %, respectively for Kirindi Oya and 15 % and 10 %, respectively for Kalu Ganga basins. The overestimated peak discharge and runoff volume were reduced by 28 % and 18%, respectively upon increasing the soil storage parameters whereas the underestimated peak discharge and runoff volume were increased by 37 % and 43%, respectively by decreasing the soil storage parameters.

A minor adjustment in soil storage allowed to manipulate and fine-tune the peak discharge and runoff volume in the basin which substantiates that the runoff is directly associated with the basin SM. The findings of this study can be useful in basins with similar hydrological characteristics to understand the role of SM in runoff generation and for sustainable water management in the basin.

Keywords: Antecedent moisture condition (AMC), Event-based modelling, Soil moisture accounting (SMA), Soil moisture active passive (SMAP)

DEDICATION

I would like to dedicate this work to my

Father and Mother

The biggest treasure of my life who always taught me to do good and work hard. No words can explain their patience and sacrifices beyond everything just to shape my life.

To my amazing two

Sisters

For having faith in me and cheering me every time to work harder.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

It is a genuine pleasure to express my deep sense of gratitude and warm appreciation to my supervisor Prof. Lalith Rajapakse for his persistent support in this study through his immense knowledge, motivation, and guidance. In every step of the study, he continually and convincingly conveyed a spirit of motivation to shape and reshape this valuable piece of work. Without his guidance, captivating words, and consistent help, this work would not have been possible.

I take this opportunity to convey my heartiest thanks to Prof. Sohan Wijesekera for conveying a deeper sense of knowledge through his teachings which were valuable in this study and steered me in the right direction of completing this work.

My gratitude is extended to Dr. Janaka Bamunawala for his continuous effort in helping out in any circumstances. Respected panel members are highly acknowledged for their constructive and valuable comments during every presentation that helped me shape this document.

A debt of profound gratitude is owed to my mentor, Mr. Wajira Kumarasinghe for his kind assistance throughout this course. It was his selfless support and encouragement that helped me to push myself further and make this dream a reality.

My sincere gratitude to the late Sri Madanjeet Singh for his vision and efforts to establish the UMCSAWM centre and I am highly indebted to South Asia Foundation (SAF) Nepal for bestowing me with this scholarship. My humble appreciation to Dr. Nishchal Nath Pandey, Chairperson, SAF Nepal Chapter for his kind help and support throughout this period.

I would like to convey my gratitude to all the staff of the centre for their kind assistance throughout this course. My special thanks to Irrigation Department for providing data useful for this study. My thanks and appreciation also goes to my colleagues who have willingly helped me out with their abilities and motivated me constantly.

Last but not the least, my grandparents, my father and mother, and my sisters owe loads of appreciation for providing me with continual support and encouragement throughout my life.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

De	eclaration (of the candidate and supervisor	V
Al	ostract		VII
De	edication		IX
Ac	cknowledge	ements	XI
		tents	
	Ü	es	
Li	st of tables	S	XXIII
Li	st of abbre	eviations	XXV
Cł	napter 1		1
1	Introdu	uction	1
		olem Identification	
	1.2 Prob	olem Statement	3
	1.3 Obje	Main Objective	
	1.3.1	Specific Objectives	
~			
Ci	•		
2		ure review	
	2.1 Gene	eral	5
	2.2 Soil	Moisture and Hydrology	6
	2.2.1	Estimation of Soil Moisture	7
	2.2.2	Application of Soil Moisture Data	7
	2.3 Hyd	rological Modelling	8
	2.3.1	Types of Models	9
	2.3.2	Model Selection	10
	2.4 Hyd	rologic Modeling System (HMS)	13
	2.4.1	Model Structure	13
	2.4.2	Precipitation Loss Model	14
	2.4.3	Transform Model	17
	2.4.4	Baseflow Model	18
	2.4.5	Routing Model	18

	2.5	Satel	lite Soil Moisture	20
	2	.5.1	Comparison of Satellite Soil Moisture Data	20
	2	.5.2	SMAP Soil Moisture Data	22
	2.6	Even	t Selection	22
	2	.6.1	Conceptualization	
	2	.6.2	Approaches for Identifying Events	23
	2	6.3	Minimum Inter-Event Time	23
	2.7	Obje	ctive Functions	24
		2.7.1	Nash Sutcliffe Efficiency (NSE)	
		.7.2	RMSE Observed Standard Deviation Ratio (RMSE std dev)	
		2.7.3	Coefficient of Determination (R ²)	
	2	.7.4	Percent Bias (PBIAS)	
	2	.7.5	Selection of Objective Functions	
	2.8	A nnl	ication of Study	20
	2.8	Appı	ication of Study	20
Cl	hapto	er 3		31
3	N	Aethod	ology	31
	3.1	Study	y Area	31
	3	.1.1	Dry Zone Basin: Kirindi Oya Basin	31
	3	.1.2	Wet Zone Basin: Kalu Ganga Basin	33
	3.2	Over	all Methodology	34
	3.3	Clim	atic Trends and Extremes	36
	3.4	Even	t Identification	39
	3.5	Hydr	ological Modeling	39
	3.6	Dorfo	ormance Rating	40
	3.0	renc	minance Rating	40
Cl	hapte	er 4		41
4	L)ata ch	ecking and analysis	41
	4.1	Kirin	di Oya River Basin	41
	4	.1.1	Data	
	4	.1.2	Data Checking	
	4	.1.3	Missing Data Filling	59
	4	.1.4	Climate Trends and Extremes	60
	4	.1.5	Precipitation Over an Area	66
	4	.1.6	Identification of Events	68

	4.1.7	Initial Soil Moisture for Validation of Events	75
	4.2 Kalu	ı Ganga River Basin	81
	4.2.1	Data	81
	4.2.2	Data Checking	84
	4.2.3	Data Filling	91
	4.2.4	Climate Trends and Extremes	91
	4.2.5	Precipitation Over an Area	96
	4.2.6	Identification of Events.	98
	4.2.7	Initial Soil Moisture for Validation of Events	102
C	Chapter 5		105
5	Model	development and applications	105
	5.1 HEC	C-HMS Model Development	105
	5.2 Kirii	ndi Oya Basin	106
	5.2.1	Canopy and Surface Storage	106
	5.2.2	Soil Moisture Accounting Loss Method	110
	5.2.3	SCS Unit Hydrograph Transform	113
	5.2.4	Development of Baseflow Model	114
	5.2.5	Development of Routing Model	116
	5.2.6	Model Warmup	116
	5.2.7	Sensitivity Analysis	116
	5.3 Kalu	ı Ganga Basin	119
	5.3.1	Canopy and Surface Storage	119
	5.3.2	Soil Moisture Accounting Loss Method	121
	5.3.3	SCS Unit Hydrograph Transform	123
	5.3.4	Development of Baseflow and Routing Model	123
	5.3.5	Model Warmup	
C	Chapter 6		125
6	Results	s and analysis	125
	6.1 Kirii	ndi Oya Basin	125
	6.1.1	Model Calibration	125
	6.1.2	Model Validation	131
	6.1.3	Validation of Satellite Soil Moisture Data	
	6.2 Kalu	ı Ganga Basin	141
	() 1	Model Calibration	1.41

6.2.2	Model Validation	143
6.2.3	Validation of Satellite Soil Moisture Data	145
Chapter 7.		149
7 Discu	assion	149
7.1 Cli	imate Extremes and Trends in the Basin	149
7.1.1	Kirindi Oya Basin	149
7.1.2	Kalu Ganga Basin	151
7.2 Par	rameter Estimation	153
7.3 Mo	odel Calibration	154
7.4 Mo	odel Validation	155
7.5 Inc	corporation of Satellite Soil Moisture	156
7.5.1	Kirindi Oya Basin	156
7.5.2	Kalu Ganga Basin	158
7.5.3	Soil Saturation and Runoff Relationship	160
Chapter 8.		163
8 Conc	lusions	163
Chapter 9.		165
9 Recor	mmendations	165
Bibliograpl	hy	167
Annexure 1	1	183
Climate Ex	xtremes and Trends	183
	2	
	ameters	
Annexure 3	3	195
Events Idea	ntified	195
Annexure 4	4	197
Compariso	on of Satellite Soil Moisture, Precipitation, and Discharge	197

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2-1: Schematic diagram of HEC-HMS soil-moisture accounting module	16
Figure 3-1: Kirindi Oya basin with Tanamalwila watershed, rain/stream gauge stations	32
Figure 3-2: Ellagawa Watershed at Kalu Ganga basin with rain gauge and streamflow gauging	
stations	33
Figure 3-3: Methodology flowchart for the study	35
Figure 3-4: HEC-HMS model structure	39
Figure 4-1: Soil Moisture data (2020-09-15) obtained from SMAP L4 V5 dataset	43
Figure 4-2: Soil map of Tanamalwila basin obtained from the survey department of Sri Lanka	44
Figure 4-3: Distribution of various soil types in Tanamalwila basin	45
Figure 4-4: Pie chart showing the distribution of land use in the study area	45
Figure 4-5: Distribution of various types of land use in Tanamalwila Watershed	46
Figure 4-6: Hydro-meteorological data in the chronological order to identify missing data	48
Figure 4-7: Daily precipitation and cumulative precipitation plot of Bandaraeliya Station	49
Figure 4-8: Annual precipitation in Bandaraeliya station from 2002 to 2020	49
Figure 4-9: Cumulative precipitation in the various stations in the basin	50
Figure 4-10 Identification of missing values using conditional formatting	51
Figure 4-11: Box and whisker plot representing the monthly variation of rainfall in Bandaraeliya	
with top and bottom whiskers representing max and min, respectively	51
Figure 4-12: Monthly distribution of rainfall in various stations in the basin	52
Figure 4-13: Seasonal distribution of rainfall in Bandaraeliya	53
Figure 4-14: Annual rainfall in various stations in Kirindi Oya	53
Figure 4-15: Visual checking of Streamflow data where blue horizontal bars indicate the	
magnitude of the streamflow and dark green indicating higher magnitudes	54
Figure 4-16: Daily discharge at the Tanamalwila station during the study period	55
Figure 4-17: Monthly average streamflow (m³/s) in Tanamalwila station	55
Figure 4-18: Seasonal distribution of streamflow in Tanamalwila basin	56
Figure 4-19: Contribution of seasonal flow in every water year during the study period	56
Figure 4-20: Rainfall vs Streamflow graph to observe missing data and irregularities	57
Figure 4-21: Double mass curve of Bandaraeliya station	58
Figure 4-22: Double mass curve of Bandarawela station	58
Figure 4-23: Scatter plot of rainfall in a) Bandaraeliya and b) Bandarawela Stations plotted	
against average rainfall in all stations	60
Figure 4-24: Scatter plot of rainfall in a) Wellawaya and b) Handapangala Stations plotted against	
average rainfall in all stations	60
Figure 4-25: Consecutive low-flow days in Tanamalwila station	61
Figure 4-26: Consecutive high-flow days in Tanamalwila station	61

Figure 4-27: Annual highest daily streamflow in Tanamalwila station	62
Figure 4-28: Annual highest streamflow in consecutive 1, 2, and 5 days in Tanamalwila stati	ion 63
Figure 4-29: Standardized streamflow index for 12 months period in Tanamalwila station	63
Figure 4-30: Consecutive dry days in Bandaraeliya station	64
Figure 4-31: Consecutive wet days (CWD), heavy precipitation days (R10), and very heavy	
precipitation days (R20) in Bandaraeliya station	64
Figure 4-32: Annual precipitation extremes in Bandaraeliya station	65
Figure 4-33: Annual maximum 1-day, 2-day, and 5-day precipitation in Bandaraeliya station	165
Figure 4-34: Annual distribution of wet and dry days in Bandaraeliya station	66
Figure 4-35: Thiessen polygon with weightage of individual stations	67
Figure 4-36: Daily maximum precipitation during events along with the event's peak discharge	rge 69
Figure 4-37: Maximum 2-day precipitation during events along with the event's peak discha	rge 69
Figure 4-38: Identified events a) considering percentile ranges of maximum daily rainfall, b))
considering four quartile ranges of maximum 2-days rainfall	70
Figure 4-39: Different return period discharge in Tanamalwila basin	71
Figure 4-40: A straight line indicating a well-fitted curve in Gumbel distribution	71
Figure 4-41: Identified events considering different return period discharge	72
Figure 4-42: Events selected for the study	72
Figure 4-43: Events selected for the calibration in Kirindi Oya basin	74
Figure 4-44: Events selected for the validation in Kirindi Oya	75
Figure 4-45: SMAP soil moisture grids and points in the study area over the sub-basin	76
Figure 4-46: Sub-basin wise root zone SM dated 2019 Dec 18	77
Figure 4-47: Daily root zone soil moisture (m^3/m^3) along with daily rainfall and streamflow	from
2015 to 2018 in Kirindi Oya Basin	78
Figure 4-48: Daily root zone soil moisture (m^3/m^3) along with daily rainfall and streamflow	from
2019 to 2020 in Kirindi Oya Basin	79
Figure 4-49: Soil map of Ellagawa basin	82
Figure 4-50: Distribution of various soil types in Ellagawa basin	83
Figure 4-51: Landuse map of Ellagawa basin indicating various landuse types	83
Figure 4-52: Pie chart showing the distribution of land use in the Ellagawa basin	84
Figure 4-53: Daily precipitation and cumulative precipitation plot of Halwatura Station	85
Figure 4-54: Annual precipitation in Halwatura station from 1995 to 2020	86
Figure 4-55: Cumulative precipitation in the various stations in the Ellagawa basin	86
Figure 4-56: Box plot showing the monthly variation of rainfall in Halwatura	87
Figure 4-57: Monthly distribution of rainfall in various stations in the Ellagawa basin	87
Figure 4-58: Seasonal distribution of rainfall in Halwatura station	88
Figure 4-59: Annual rainfall in various stations in Kalu Ganga Basin	88
Figure 4-60: Daily discharge at the Ellagawa station during the study period	89

Figure 4-61: Seasonal distribution of streamflow in Ellagawa basin	90
Figure 4-62: Contribution of seasonal flow in a year during the study period in Ellagawa	90
Figure 4-63: Double mass curve of Rathnapura station	91
Figure 4-64: Consecutive low-flow days in Ellagawa station	92
Figure 4-65: Consecutive high-flow days in Ellagawa station	92
Figure 4-66: Annual highest daily streamflow in Ellagawa station	93
Figure 4-67: Annual highest streamflow in consecutive 1, 2, and 5 days in Tanamalwila station	93
Figure 4-68: Standardized streamflow index (SSI) calculated for 12 month period in Ellagawa	
station	. 94
Figure 4-69: Consecutive dry days in Halwatura station	94
Figure 4-70: Consecutive wet days (CWD), heavy precipitation days (R10), and very heavy	
precipitation days (R20) in Halwatura station	95
Figure 4-71: Annual precipitation extremes in Halwatura station	95
Figure 4-72: Annual maximum 1-day, 2-day, and 5-day precipitation in Halwatura station	96
Figure 4-73: Annual distribution of wet and dry days in Halwatura station	96
Figure 4-74: Thiessen polygons with weightage of individual stations in Ellagawa basin	97
Figure 4-75: Daily maximum precipitation during events along with the event's peak discharge in	
Kalu Ganga	98
Figure 4-76: Maximum 2-day precipitation during events along with the event's peak discharge in	
Kalu Ganga	. 98
Figure 4-77: Different return period discharge in Kalu Ganga basin	. 99
Figure 4-78: A straight line indicating a well-fitted curve in Gumbel distribution	100
Figure 4-79: Identified events considering different return period discharge for Kalu Ganga	100
Figure 4-80: Events selected for the study in Kalu Ganga	101
Figure 4-81: Events selected for the validation in Kalu Ganga	102
Figure 4-82: Sub-basin wise root zone SM dated 2017 May 18 in Kalu Ganga	103
Figure 5-1: Kirindi Oya basin model with sub-basins developed in HEC-HMS	106
Figure 5-2: Canopy storage in the Kirindi Oya basin	107
Figure 5-3: Surface storage in the Kirindi Oya basin	109
Figure 5-4: Streamflow recession analysis for the year 2005	111
Figure 5-5: Hydrograph displaying different shapes of recession curves for values of recession	
constant (left) and shapes of falling limb for values of ratio to peak (right)	115
Figure 5-6: Simulated vs observed discharge a) normal plot, b) semi-log plot during the warmup	
period	116
Figure 5-7: Sensitivity analysis of parameters in Kirindi Oya basin	117
Figure 5-8: Sensitivity analysis of SMA parameters in Kirindi Oya	117
Figure 5-9: Kalu Ganga basin model with sub-basins developed in HEC-HMS	119
Figure 5-10: Canopy storage in the Kalu Ganga basin	120

Figure 5-11: Surface storage in the Kalu Ganga basin	.121
Figure 5-12: Streamflow recession analysis for the year 2006 in Kalu Ganga Basin	. 122
Figure 5-13: Simulated vs observed discharge a) normal plot, b) semi-log plot during the warmup	
period	. 124
Figure 6-1: Simulated vs observed hydrograph for calibration Event 1 a) normal scale, b) semi-	
log scale	. 126
Figure 6-2: Event 1 FDC a) simulated sorted high to low, b) simulated sorted with observed	.126
Figure 6-3: Simulated vs observed hydrograph for calibration Event 2 a) normal scale, b) semi-	
log scale	. 127
Figure 6-4: Event 2 FDC a) simulated sorted high to low, b) simulated sorted with observed	.127
Figure 6-5: Simulated vs observed hydrograph for calibration Event 3 a) normal scale, b) semi-	
log scale	. 128
Figure 6-6: Event 3 FDC a) simulated sorted high to low, b) simulated sorted with observed	. 128
Figure 6-7: Simulated vs observed hydrograph for calibration Event 8 a) normal scale, b) semi-	
log scale	. 129
Figure 6-8: Event 8 FDC a) simulated sorted high to low, b) simulated sorted with observed	. 129
Figure 6-9: Simulated vs observed hydrograph for calibration Event 8 a) normal scale, b) semi-	
log scale	. 130
Figure 6-10: Event 9 FDC a) simulated sorted high to low, b) simulated sorted with observed	. 130
Figure 6-11: Simulated vs observed hydrograph for validation Event 4 a) normal scale, b) semi-	
log scale	. 132
Figure 6-12: Event 4 FDC a) simulated sorted high to low, b) simulated sorted with observed	. 132
Figure 6-13: Simulated vs observed hydrograph for validation Event 5 a) normal scale, b) semi-	
log scale	. 133
Figure 6-14: Event 5 FDC a) simulated sorted high to low, b) simulated sorted with observed	. 133
Figure 6-15: Simulated vs observed hydrograph for validation Event 6 a) normal scale, b) semi-	
log scale	. 134
Figure 6-16: Event 6 FDC a) simulated sorted high to low, b) simulated sorted with observed	. 134
Figure 6-17: Simulated vs observed hydrograph for validation Event 7 a) normal scale, b) semi-	
log scale	. 135
Figure 6-18: Event 7 FDC a) simulated sorted high to low, b) simulated sorted with observed	. 135
Figure 6-19: SMAP SM grid points and average volumetric soil moisture in sub-basins	.136
Figure 6-20: Soil moisture value of sub-basins during different events	. 137
Figure 6-21: Results of the initial run of events with satellite soil moisture	. 139
Figure 6-22: Enhanced results of the validation run after incorporating SMAP SM	. 140
Figure 6-23: Simulated vs observed hydrograph for calibration Event 1 a) normal scale, b) semi-	
log scale	. 142
Figure 6-24: Event 1 FDC a) simulated sorted high to low, b) simulated sorted with observed	. 142

Figure 6-25: Simulated vs observed hydrograph for calibration Event 3 a) normal scale, b) semi-
log scale
Figure 6-26: Event 3 FDC a) simulated sorted high to low, b) simulated sorted with observed 143
Figure 6-27: Simulated vs observed hydrograph for validation Event 3 a) normal scale, b) semi-
log scale
Figure 6-28: Event 4 FDC a) simulated sorted high to low, b) simulated sorted with observed 144
Figure 6-29: Simulated vs observed hydrograph for validation Event 2 a) normal scale, b) semi-
log scale
Figure 6-30: Event 2 FDC a) simulated sorted high to low, b) simulated sorted with observed 144
Figure 6-31: SMAP SM grid points and average volumetric soil moisture in sub-basins dated
2017 Aug 17 in Kalu Ganga
Figure 6-32: Results of the initial run of events with satellite soil moisture in Kalu Ganga 147
$Figure\ 633\text{: }Enhanced\ results\ of\ the\ validation\ run\ after\ incorporating\ SMAP\ SM\ in\ Kalu\ Ganga\ .\ 148$
Figure 7-1: Sensitivity analysis of soil storage parameters of various events in simulating runoff
volume in Kirindi Oya basin
Figure 7-2: Sensitivity analysis of soil storage parameters of various events in simulating peak
discharge in Kirindi Oya basin
Figure A - 1: Extreme precipitation indices in Bandarawela station in Kirindi Oya basin
Figure A - 2: Extreme precipitation indices in Wellawaya station in Kirindi Oya basin
Figure A - 3: Extreme precipitation indices in Tanamalwila station in Kirindi Oya basin
Figure A - 4: Extreme precipitation indices in Galatura station in Kalu Ganga basin
Figure A - 5: Extreme precipitation indices in Ratnapura station in Kalu Ganga basin
Figure A - 6: Extreme precipitation indices in Depedena station in Kalu Ganga basin
Figure A - 7: Daily root zone soil moisture (m³/m³) along with daily rainfall and streamflow from
2015 to 2017 in Kalu Ganga
Figure A - 8: Daily root zone soil moisture (m³/m³) along with daily rainfall and streamflow from
2018 to 2020 in Kalu Ganga 198

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2-1: Advantages and disadvantages of different hydrological models	12
Table 2-2: Advantages and disadvantages of routing models available on HEC-HMS	19
Table 2-3: Summary of all available satellite sensors estimating SSM	21
Table 3-1: Extreme indices used for the analysis of streamflow in this study	36
Table 3-2: Extreme indices used for the analysis of precipitation in this study	37
Table 3-3: General classification categories of SSI	38
Table 3-4: General performance rating for the model results	40
Table 4-1: Data required for the study with their resolution and source	41
Table 4-2: Data collected for the analysis	42
Table 4-3: Different land-use in the study area with their area and percentage coverage	47
Table 4-4: Missing data in different stations in Kirindi basin	59
Table 4-5: Thiessen weightage of rain gauge station in Kirindi Oya	68
Table 4-6: Events of various return period discharge selected for calibration and validation in	
Kirindi Oya	73
Table 4-7: Initial soil moisture condition before the start of the event derived from SSM	80
Table 4-8: Data collected for the analysis for Kalu Ganga.	81
Table 4-9: Different land-use in the study area with their area and percentage coverage	84
Table 4-10: Missing data in different stations in Ellagawa basin	91
Table 4-11: Thiessen weightage of rain gauge stations in Kirindi Oya	97
Table 4-12: Events of various return period discharge selected for calibration and validation in	
Kalu Ganga	101
Table 4-13: Initial soil moisture condition before the start of the event derived from SSM	103
Table 5-1: Different parameters required for model	105
Table 5-2: Canopy storage values for different land-use types (Bennett & Peters, 2000)	108
Table 5-3: Surface storage values for different slopes (Fleming, 2002)	108
Table 5-4: Values of canopy storage and surface storage in Kirindi basin	109
Table 5-5: Topsoil layer physical parameters for Kirindi Oya basin	110
Table 5-6: Groundwater layers parameters obtained from streamflow recession analysis	112
Table 5-7: Basin physical properties and lag time	114
Table 5-8: Calculation of recession parameters through streamflow recession analysis	115
Table 5-9: Sensitivity ranking of model parameters in Kirindi Oya	118
Table 5-10: Values of canopy storage and surface storage in Kalu Ganga basin	120
Table 5-11: Topsoil layer physical parameters for Kalu Ganga basin	122
Table 5-12: Groundwater layers parameters obtained from streamflow recession analysis	123
Table 5-13: Calculation of recession parameters for Kalu Ganga through streamflow recession	
analysis	124

Table 6-1: Summary of objective functions during calibration of events in Kirindi Oya	. 125
Table 6-2: Summary of objective functions during validation of events in Kirindi Oya	.131
Table 6-3: Sub-basin initial soil saturation (%) extracted from SMAP data for different events	. 138
Table 6-4: Summary of the objective functions for the initial run using SSM	. 139
Table 6-5: Summary of the objective functions for the final run using SSM	. 141
Table 6-6: Summary of objective functions during calibration of events in Kalu Ganga	. 141
Table 6-7: Summary of objective functions during validation of events in Kalu Ganga basin	. 145
Table 6-8: Sub-basin initial soil saturation (%) extracted from SMAP data for different events in	
Kalu Ganga	. 145
Table 6-9: Summary of the objective functions for the initial run using SSM	. 146
Table 6-10: Summary of the objective functions for the final run using SSM	. 147
Table 7-1: Trends of precipitation extreme indices in Kirindi Oya basin (2002 – 2020)	. 150
Table 7-2: Trends of streamflow extreme indices in Kirindi Oya basin (2002 – 2020)	. 150
Table 7-3: Trends of precipitation extreme indices in Kalu Ganga basin (1995 – 2020)	.151
Table 7-4: Trends of streamflow extreme indices in Kalu Ganga basin (1995 – 2020)	. 152
Table 7-5: Changes in parameters while incorporating SSM in the model to enhance result in	
Kirindi Oya	. 157
Table 7-6: Performance of model in different saturation scenarios in Kirindi Oya basin	. 158
Table 7-7: Changes in parameters while incorporating SSM in the model to enhance result in	
Kalu Ganga	. 159
Table 7-8: Performance of model in different saturation scenarios in Kalu Ganga basin	. 159
Table A - 1: Parameters involved in HEC-HMS and their method of calculation	. 190
Table A - 2: Parameters used for calibration of events in Kirindi Oya Basin	. 191
Table A - 3: Parameters used for validation of events in Kalu Ganga Basin	. 192
Table A - 4: Parameters used for calibration and validation of events in Kalu Ganga Basin	. 193
Table A - 5: Details of events identified for calibration and validation in Kalu Ganga basin	. 195
Table A - 6. Details of events identified for calibration and validation in the Kirindi Ova basin	196

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AM - Annual Maximum

AMC - Antecedent Moisture Condition

CDD - Consecutive dry days

CDS - Consecutive low-flow days

CWD - Consecutive wet days

CWS - Consecutive high-flow days

DC - Deficit and Constant

DEM - Digital Elevation Model

ESA - European Space Agency

ESRI - Environmental Systems Research Institute

ET - Evapotranspiration

FDC - Flow Duration Curve

FIT - First Inter Monsoon

HEC - Hydrologic Engineering Center

HMS - Hydrologic Modeling System

IPCC - Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change

LULC - Land-use Landcover

MIT - Minimum Inter-event Time

NEM - North East Monsoon

NSE - Nash Sutcliffe Efficiency

PBIAS - Percentage Bias

POT - Peak Over Threshold

PVE - Percentage Streamflow Volume Error

R10 - Heavy precipitation days

R20 - Very heavy precipitation days

RAS - River Analysis System

RMSE - Root Mean Square Error

RMSE std dev - RMSE Observed Standard Deviation Ratio

RX1 day - Max 1-day precipitation

RX2 day - Max 2-day precipitation

RX5 day - Max 5-day precipitation

SCS - Soil conservation service

SIM - Second Inter Monsoon

SM - Soil Moisture

SMA - Soil Moisture Accounting

SMAP - Soil Moisture Active Passive

SPI - Standardized Precipitation Index

SSI - Standardized Streamflow Index

SSM - Satellite Soil Moisture

SWAT - Soil and Water Assessment Tool

SWM - Southwest Monsoon

SX1 day - Max 1-day flow

SX2 day - Max 2-Day Flow

SX5 day - Max 5-Day Flow