DEVELOPING A MODEL TO PREDICT THE PROPAGATION OF SULFIDE STRESS CORROSION OF STEEL USED FOR PETROLEUM PIPELINES

M.B.A. Deemantha

198044

Degree of Master of Science

Department of Materials Science and Engineering

University of Moratuwa,

Sri Lanka

March 2021

DEVELOPING A MODEL TO PREDICT THE PROPAGATION OF SULFIDE STRESS CORROSION OF STEEL USED FOR PETROLEUM PIPELINES

M.B.A Deemantha

198044

Thesis/Dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree Master of Science

Department of Materials Science and Engineering

University of Moratuwa,

Sri Lanka

March 2021

DECLARATION

I declare that this is my own work and this thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my thesis/dissertation, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

Signature:

UOM Verified Signature

Date: 2021.11.09

The above candidate has carried out research for the Master's thesis under my supervision.

Name of the supervisor: Dr. G.I.P. De Silva

Signature of the supervisor: *UOM Verified Signature* Date 2021.11.09

ABSTRACT

Sulfide stress corrosion (SSC) is a deleterious type of corrosion that is abundant in petroleum refineries. SSC easily attacks oil country tubular goods (OCTG) in petroleum refineries. The main factors that affect SSC can be identified as the pressure/tensile stress applied on the metal, H₂S concentration and time period. It can be identified that the environmental conditions of petroleum refineries provide optimum conditions for the initiation and propagation of SSC. Therefore petroleum refinery plants conduct time-basis routine inspections to detect the sulfide stress corrosion. When a severe corrosion is detected at an inspection routine, pipelines are required to be replaced. The unusual behavior of the propagation of SSC cracks may lead drastic failures before it is identified through an inspection routine. Therefore the petroleum industry is expecting to use an accurate model that can predict the initiation and propagation of sulfide stress corrosion. However, the mechanism of SSC has not been clearly revealed yet.

The principle aim of this study is developing a model that can predict the propagation of depth of sulfide stress corrosion in API 5L Grade B steel as a function of applied load (tensile load), pH value and time duration. API 5L Grade B steel was procured from Sri Lanka petroleum corporation as a seamless pipe. The model has been established based on the experimental values of depth of sulfide stress corrosion under different predetermined test environmental conditions kept within the pH value of 2.7 - 3.5, applied load of 400 - 800 N and time duration of 15 - 45 days. The depth of sulfide stress corrosion was measured using the scanning electron microscope images of cross sections of corroded steel specimens. The temperature and the pressure of the test environments were maintained at 24 ± 3 ⁰C and 1 atm respectively. Further, the model was validated by another data set obtained within the aforementioned, same ranges of parameters. All the laboratory experiments were conducted in accordance with ANSI/NACE TM0177-2016 standard test method.

In addition to the development of the model, the propagation behavior of SSC was investigated under the above mentioned different test environmental conditions. The microstructures that were observed through scanning electron microscope (SEM) and SEM/EDAX elemental profile plots were obtained to investigate the Sulfur distribution within the crack.

According to the experimental results, it was able to develop a model that predicts the propagation of depth of sulfide stress corrosion. The model prediction values were in good agreement with the experimental values. However model tends to underestimate the depth of corrosion values when time duration closes to 30 days. Since the model has been constructed and validated within the pH value from 2.7 to 3.5, applied loads from 400 N - 800 N and time durations from 15 days to 45 days, the model is expected to be given the accurate predictions only within the aforementioned test environmental conditions.

The SEM images of cross sections of corroded specimens showed that crack initiation occurred after 15 days at all different test environmental conditions. Further, crack propagation occurred transversely, developing branches through the cross section until 30 days of time duration and the behavior of the propagation of crack was completely changed at 45 days of time duration.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work is not achieved only by myself, but it is an achievement I had through supports of numerous individuals. First and foremost I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my supervisor Dr. G.I.P. De Silva. He provided me with a great opportunity to join with this research project and has always been supportive to accomplish my objectives. His unstinting guidance and constructive feedback improved my research ability and professional skills.

I would like to thank Prof M. Narayana and Dr. (Mrs.) N.M.V.K. Liyanage for their immense support and academic push throughout the evaluation procedure. Next, I would like to thank Ceylon Petroleum Corporation for providing steel samples for research works.

Further, I would like to thank all academic and nonacademic staff of department of materials science and engineering, University of Moratuwa for supporting me to conduct my research works in a better academic environment. Lastly, I convey my love and gratitude to my parents for their constant support.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1 INTR	ODUCTION	1
1.1	Research background and problem statement	1
1.2	Objectives	4
2 LITE	RATURE SURVEY	5
2.1	Mechanisms of SSC cracks propagation	5
2.2	Mackinawite	10
2.3	Propagation of sulfide stress crack	13
2.4	Identification of sulfide stress cracks	16
2.5	Comparison of models	20
2.6	Factors effecting sulfide stress cracking.	23
3 EXPE	ERIMENTAL PROGRAM	30
3.1 M	aterials	
3.2 Ex	xperimental setup – Test conditions in the corrosive vessel	31
3.2.	.1 Fabrication of the test vessel and specimens	31
3.2.	.2 Test solution	32
3.2.	.3 Test specimens	33
3.2.	.4 Sample holder/Specimens fixture	33
3.2.	.5 Determination of the applied load (tensile) values.	
3.2.	.6 Final experimental setup	
3.2.	7 Experimental conditions.	
3.2.	.8 Determination of depth of corrosion by SEM observations	
3.2.	.9 SEM/EDAX analysis of SSC cracks	
3.2.	.10 Development of the statistical model	
4 RESU	JLTS AND DISCUSSION	41
4.1 In	nplementation of the model	41
4.2 Va	alidation of the model	47
4.2. con	.1 Validation of the model based on the predetermined test enviditions	vironmental
4.2.	.2 Validation of the model based on the random test environmental co	nditions. 50
4.3 Li	imitations of the model	51
4.4 In	terpretation of behavior of SSC by SEM/EDAX analysis	52
5 CONO	CLUSIONS	60
6 RECO	OMMENDATIONS	61
7 REFE	CRENCES	62

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1 : Mackinawite crystal structure	11
Figure 2. 2 : Mackinawite crystal lattice located on a ferritic iron surface	12
Figure 2. 3: Mackinawite structure with additional cations intercalated in the structure	13
Figure 2. 4: Different reaction pathways for Mackinawite	14
Figure 2. 5: SEM image ruptured corrosion product layer.	15
Figure 2. 6: A corroded inner surface of the control valve body, (a) near the seat ring and	
(b) near the valve's flange	17
Figure 2. 7: A cross section of valve body base metal after 3 years of service in wet H2S	
environment (a) Crack emanating from inner surface of the valve's surface (b) surface of	
the valve's flange	17
Figure 2. 8: SEM images of SSC cracks in A216 steel (a) main crack and (b) branched	
cracks. Cracks propagated from inner surface to the base metal.	18
Figure 2. 9: SEM images of the cross-section plane of specimens and the selected areas	
for EBSD analysis	19
Figure 2. 10: The experimental and prediction corrosion rate vs. time under the	
conditions of total pressure p=1 bar, H_2S gas concentration from 0.1% to 10%, T 80°C,	
reaction time of 1 hour and 24 hours, pH 5.0-5.5, and velocity 0rpm	21
Figure 2. 11: Comparison of corrosion rate predictions with experimental results in the	
solution purged with different partial pressures of H ₂ S gas in the H ₂ S/CO ₂ gas mixture.	
Data taken from Lee [34]	22

Figure 2. 12: Comparison of corrosion rate predictions with experimental results in the	
solution purged with different partial pressures of H ₂ S gas in the H ₂ S/CO ₂ gas mixture.	
Data taken from Choi et al [35]	22
Figure 2. 13: SSC failure windows for pH and H ₂ S partial pressure (Tale (2014)	
redrawing from Morana and Nice (2009)): a) P-110; b) Q-125; c) Grade 140; and d)	
Grade 150	25
Figure 2. 14: Relation between applied stress and fail time	26
Figure 2. 15: (a) and phase angle (b) diagrams of API 5L X65 and API 5L X80 steels in	
de-aerated solution A, NACE TM0284 (2011), without and with H2S saturation.	
Experimental Procedure 1	27
Figure 2. 16: (a) and phase angle (b) diagrams for the API 5L X65 steel during 24h	
immersion in de-aerated solution A, NACE TM0284 (2011), saturated with H ₂ S, sour	
medium. Procedure 2.	27
Figure 2. 17: (a) and phase angle (b) diagrams for the API 5L API 5L X80 steel during	
24h immersion in de-aerated solution A, NACE TM0284 (2011), saturated with H ₂ S,	
sour medium	28
Figure 2. 18: Loading stress vs. time to failure plots for the inertia friction welds and	
AISI 4137-H steel	29
Figure 3.1: Test vessel	
Figure 3.2: Dimensions of the test specimen	
Figure 3.3 : Steel sample holder	

Figure 3.4 : Final Experimental setup	35
Figure 3.5: Three transverse cuts of a specimen and obtaining average depth of co	orrosion
	37
Figure 3.6: Low speed precision cutting machine	
Figure 3. 7 : Interface of the data view of input of data	
Figure 3. 8 : Interface of the variable view of input of data	

Figure 4. 1: scatter plot of depth of sulfide stress corrosion Vs 1/applied load
Figure 4. 2: scatter plot of depth of sulfide stress corrosion Vs e ^{pH} load
Figure 4. 3: scatter plot of depth of sulfide stress corrosion Vs Time ^{4.45} load
Figure 4. 4: Histogram of frequency Vs Regression standardized residual
Figure 4. 5: Normal P-P plot of regression standardized residuals
Figure 4. 6: Scatter plot of standardized residuals Vs standardized predicted values
Figure 4. 7: Scatter plot of standardized residuals Vs unstandardized predicted values
Figure 4. 8: The comparison of model predicted values and experimental values of depth
of sulfide stress corrosion at 27 °C and 1 atm under different test environmental
conditions
Figure 4. 9: comparison between model prediction values and the experimental results
Figure 4. 10: SEM image of the cross section of a specimen under the test conditions of
pH value – 2.5, Load – 800 N, Time duration - 14 days
Figure 4. 11: SEM image of the cross section of a specimen under the test conditions of
pH value = 3.5, applied load = 400 N and time duration = 15 days

Figure 4. 12: Elemental profile plot of the cross section of a specimen under the test	
conditions of pH value – 2.5, Load – 600 N, Time duration –15 days	54
Figure 4. 13: SEM image of the cross section of a specimen under the test conditions of	
pH value = 2.5, applied load = 400 N and time duration = 30	55
Figure 4. 14: Elemental profile plot of the cross section of a specimen under the test	
conditions of pH value – 3.5, Load – 600 N, Time duration – 30 days	55
Figure 4. 15: SEM image of the cross section of a specimen under the test conditions of	
pH value – 2.5, Load – 800 N, Time duration – 45 days	58
Figure 4. 16: SEM image of the cross section of a specimen under the test conditions of	
pH value – 3.5, Load – 800 N, Time duration – 45 days	59

LIST OF TABLES

Table 3. 1: Chemical composition of API 5L Grade B	30
Table 3. 2: Mechanical and physical properties of API 5L Grade B steel	30
Table 3. 3 : Test environmental conditions	36

Table 4. 1: Test of normality	42
Table 4. 2: Pearson's correlation matrix	44
Table 4. 3: Collinearity diagnostic tables	45
Table 4. 4 : Test environmental conditions given by numbers in Figure 4.8	48
Table 4. 5: Test environmental conditions given by numbers in Figure 4.9	50

 Table 4. 6: Parameter ranges defined for the model at temperature 27 °C and the pressure

 1 atm.